	经转 致 经独转 我 经经验经验	
我我我我我我	लाल बहादुर णास्त्री प्रशासन अकादमी	į
1	Lal Bahadur Shastri Academy	
*	of Administration	•
Æ	मसूरी MUSSOORIE	
1	MUSSOORIE	1
X	पुस्तकालय 🦹	1
X.	LIBRARY	
水	लाल बहादुर णास्त्रा प्रशासन अकादमा Lal Bahadur Shastri Academy of Administration मसूरी MUSSOORIE पुस्तकालय LIBRARY अवाध्ति संख्या Accession No. 7-9-7 1157-57 वर्ग संख्या Class No. 954.02	
然正	वर्ग सख्या Class No. 954.02	,
及光		K .
· ·	Book No Maz	*
X:	和新新新新新新新新新新新新新	

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC HISTORY OF NORTHERN INDIA (1030-1194 A.D.)

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC HISTORY OF NORTHERN INDIA

(1030-1194 A.D.)

# Ву

BHAKAT PRASAD MAZUMDAR M.A., Ph.D. Head of the Department of History, B. N. College, Patna University, Patna.



Firma K. L. MUKHOPADHYAY CALCUTTA 1960

## C First Edition 1960

Firma K. L. Mukhopadhyay, Publishers, 6/1A, Banchharam Akrur Lane, Calcutta-12

Price Rs. 20:00

Printed by J. C. Sarkhel at the Calcutta Oriental Press Private Ltd., 9, Panchanan Ghosh Lane, Calcutta 9

# To my father Dr. BIMANBEHARI MAJUMDAR, M.A., Ph.D., Premchand Roychand Scholar, Bhagavataratna

Thesis approved for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the University of Patna.

#### **PREFACE**

The book seeks to interpret the working of the social and economic forces which shaped the political destiny of Northern India in the period between the death of Sultān Mahmūd of Ghaznī (1030 A.D.) and the final conquest of Ajmer by Qutb-ud-din Aibak from Harirāja, the brother of Prithvīrāja III (1194 A.D.). The pathetic indifference of the people to the paramount problem of defending their country against the devastating raids and invasions of the Turko-Afghans during the period of one hundred and sixty-four years has puzzled many. The present work attempts to find out whether the cause of apparent apathy towards the building up of an organisation of a quasi-permanent nature in order to offer united resistance to the invaders actually fies in the socio-economic factors.

The materials for the study of these factors are not inconsiderable in volume. These can be found in the contemporary nihandhas—or digests, literary and semi-historical works, books on nīti or polities, kośa or lexicon, technical works like Yuktikalpataru and Samarāigaṇasūtradhāra—and above all, in the voluminous body of inscriptions. A comparative study of the indigenous materials and the accounts of foreign writers yield fruitful results. Alberuni's work has been known to scholats as a veritable mine of information; but the accounts left by his pupil Gardīzī are not equally well-known, because some portions of it are still preserved in manuscript in the Bodleian—Library, Oxford. I secured a photostat of the relevant portions for my work.

The most important of the digests is the Krityakalpataru, written by Laksmidhara, at first Justice and later on the Minister of Peace and War of King Govindachandra Gāhadavāla (c. 1114-1154 A.D.). Prof. K. V. Rangaswami Aiyangar thinks that the work was compiled in the early part of the

reign of Govindachandra. It is a voluminous work in fourteen kändas, of which eleven, namely, Brahmachari, Grihastha, Suddhi, Niyatakāla, Tīrthavivechana, Srāddha, Dāna, Vrata, Vyavahāra, Moksa, and Rājadharma kāndas have been published in eleven big tomes. As its publication continued from 1941 to 1953 A.D., no student of history, to the best of my knowledge, has as yet tried to utilize the valuable materials it contains with a view to reconstructing the social and econoneic history of India of the twelfth century A.D. Many of the statements of Laksmidhara can be checked up with the help of the Mitāksarā of Vijñāneśvara, and the Kālaviveka, Dāyabhāga and V vavahāramātrīkā of Jīmutavāhana. All these works were written in the first half of the twelfth century A.D. An earlier work is the Rājamārtanda of Bhoja, probably the same as the famous King of the Paramara dynasty (c. 1000-1055 A.D.), Danasāgara and Adbhutasāgara, ascribed to Vallālasena and Brāhmaņa-sarvasva, written by Halāyudha, the Chief Justice in the reign of Laksmanasena, also furnish us with some valuable data. These can be compared profitably with the works of Apararka (c. 1125 A.D.) and Devannabhatta whose Smritichandrikā was compiled between 1150 and 1225 A.D. Whether some of the practices and institutions referred to in the digests of the twelfth century A.D. continued in later times can be ascertained by consulting the Grihastharatnäkara and Rājanītiratnākara of Chandeśvara (c. 1290-1370 A.D.) of Mithilā, Haribhaktivilāsa of Gopālabhatta (early sixteenth century) and the Viramitrodaya (1615-1645 A.D.). We have quoted epigraphic and literary evidence supporting many of the statements of the digests.

The information supplied by *smṛiti* works can be usefully supplemented by facts gleaned from the *nīti* works. Besides the *Rājadharmakāṇda* of Laksmīdhara, *Nītikalpataru* of Ksemendra, a contemporary of King Ananta of Kashmir (1028-1063 A.D.), which had been published by the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute in 1956 and *Laghvarhannīti*-

śāstra, written by the famous Jaina scholar Hemachandra, can definitely be ascribed to the period under review. mas has ascribed the Barhaspatya Arthasastra to the twelfth century A.D. Somadeva's Nitivākyāmrita, though written in c. 951 A.D. and the Rajanitiratnakara of Chandesvara, written early in the fourteenth century A.D., may be taken to reflect conditions which prevailed also in the eleventh and twelfth This is also the case with the startlingly centuries A.D. original book. Sukranitisāra. It is known from Kautilya's Arthaśāstra that there was an old school of political thought represented by Śukra, Uśanas or Bhārgava. Chandeśvara quotes verses from Sukraniti in as many as four places (f. n. of Javaswal's edition of Rajanitiratnakura, Chapter XI. Pp. 42, 70, 72, 76-77) but none of these verses occur in the edition of Oppert or of Jivananda Vidyasagara. The Nītikalpataru of Ksemendra however, quotes ten verses from the work of Bhargava, which have been traced to the printed edition of Sukranītisāra, though in some cases the lines interchange their positions in the *Śukranītisāra*.\* The citation of so many verses by an author who wrote in the middle of the eleventh century may be taken as a positive proof of the existence of the Sukranītisāra in some form or other in the eleventh century A.D. There have been some interpolations no doubt, for example, passages relating to fire-arms. But such instances of interpolation are not rare in medieval works. We have, therefore, drawn rather extensively from the Sukranitisāra.

Yuktikalpataru and Samarānganasūtradhāra, both attributed to Bhoja of the eleventh century A.D. and Mānasollāsa written by Somesvara (c. 1127-38 A.D.) constitute a class by themselves and throw important light on feudalism, military organisation, manners and customs and above all, on some of the important industries of the time.

<sup>\*</sup> Nītikalpataru, section 98 verses 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60 and 61 = Sukranītisāra IV, 7, 133, 134-135, 129, 130-131, 132, 124, 125, 126 and 127 respectively.

No other epoch of the Hindu age is so rich in historical and semi-historical writings as the period under our survey. Rāmacharita of Sandhyākaranandī, written in the reign of Madanapāla (c. 1144-1161 A.D.) of the Pāla dynasty, Vikramān kadevacharita of Bilhaṇa, composed before 1088 A.D., the masterly history of Kashmir by Kalhana completed in 1159-1160 A.D. and the Dvyāśrayakāvya or Kumārapālacharita, written by Hemachandra in the latter half of the twelfth century A.D., are rich mines of information relating to social and economic life of the people.

We have also pressed into service poetical works like Naisadhacharita of Śriharsa, who most probably flourished under Vijayachandra and Jayachchandra of Kanauj, Prabodhachandrodava of Krsnamiśra, written at the command of Gopāla, the chief of the vassals of Kirttivarman (1098 A.D.), Samavamātrikā, Kalāvilasa, Bodhisatīvavadāna-kalpalatā, Lokaprakāsa and Dasavataracharita of Ksemendra as also Gitagovinda of Javadeva and the works of his contemporaries like Dhovi, the author of Pavanadūta, Govardhana, the of Arvasaptasati and the poems contained in the Saduktikarnāmrita compiled by Śridharadāsa on the 11th February, 1206 A.D. The information contained in the Charväpadas, Udavasundarikathā of Soddhala (composed sometime between 1026 and 1050 A.D.), Trisastiśalākā-purusacharita of Hemachandra, Prithvīrājarāso of Chānd Baradāyī, Prithvirājavijaya (commented by Jonaraja) written sometime between 1178 and 1200 A.D., Hammiramadamardana, written in the thirteenth century A.D., Yasastilakachampū, written by Somadeva in Śaka 881/c. 959 A.D. has also been drawn upon.

The information gathered from literary works and digests has been corroborated and supplemented by epigraphic records, wherever available. These evidences culled together reveal to us a feudal society, characterised by social and political disintegration, economic stagnation, moral bankruptcy and a pathetic dependence on stars and omens.

The author craves the indulgence of the readers for certain misprints, broken types and errors in diacritical marks like *Thakkura* for *Thakkura*, *Brahmana* for *Brāhmana* which crept into the text

To the great regret of the author, this volume could not be printed during the life-time of Sri Baldeva Sahay. ex-Advocate-General of Bihar, who first infused into him the desire to carry on researches in Indian history. No less is the debt of gratitude to two other notable Indologists, Dr. A. S. Altekar and Dr. T. P. Chowdhury, of the Patna University, who passed away last year. They made many constructive criticisms.

The book owes much to a number of other scholars, Among them Dr. J. N. Banerjea, formerly Carmichael Professor and Head of the Department of Ancient Indian History and Culture. Dr. D. C. Sircar, Epigraphist to the Government of India. Dr. Jobal Hussain, Principal, Patna College, Prof. S. N. Bhattacharvya, formerly Professor of Sanskrit, B. N. College, Patna, Prof. S. H. Askari of the Department of History, Patna University, Prof. A. Khair, Head of the Department of Persian B. N. College, Patna, Dr. T. P. Bhattacharvya, former Head of the Department of History, B. N. College, Patna, Mr. O. Ahmed, Research Scholar, K.P.J. Research Institute, Patna, Prof. S. K. Dutta, former Principal, R. D & D. J. College, Monghyr and Prof. Bhagaban Prasad Majumdar of the Department of English, B. N. College, Patna, made valuable suggestions. No words can express the author's sense of gratitude to his teacher Dr. K. K. Datta, Director, K. P. Jayaswal Research Institute, Patna, for his encouragement, criticisms and guidance. Thanks are also due to Mr. Samar C. Dutt for help and suggestions.

Patna November 21, 1960. Rhakat Prasad Mazumdar

# **ABBREVIATIONS**

ABORI	•••	Annals of the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute.
AIOC	•••	Proceedings and transactions of the All-India
		Oriental Conference.
AR		Rāshtrakūtas and their Times by A.S. Altekar.
ASC	•••	Archaeological Survey of India, Reports by Sir A. Cunningham,
ASI	•	Archaeological Survey of India (Annual Report).
ASS	•••	Anandasrama Sanskrit Series, Poona.
BG	•••	Bombay Gazetteer, Vol. I.
Bh. List.		A List of Inscriptions of Northern India by
		D. R. Bhandarkar (Appendix to El. XIX-
		XXIII).
BI.	•••	Bibliotheca Indica, Calcutta.
Brahmacl	hāri	Brahmachārikāņda section of Krityakalpataru
		(GOS, VOL. No. CVI).
Briggs	•••	Ta'rīkh-i-Firishta, translation in English by
		Briggs.
BSS	•••	Bombay Sanskrit (and Prakrit) Series, Bombay
		(and Poona).
CC		Chaturvarga-chintāmaņi of Hemādri, Vol. II.
		(Vrata-Khaṇḍa), published by Bibliotheca Indica.
CII		Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum.
CP		Copper-plate.
Dānakāņḍ		Dānakāṇḍa section of Krityakalpataru (GOS. Vol. XCII).
DHNI		Dynastic History of Northern India by H. C.
	•••	Ray in 2 volumes, Calcutta 1931 & 1936.
DV		Dvyāśrayakāvya of Hemachandra.
ΕI	•••	Epigraphia Indica.

		and 246B-262B, photostat copy from
		Bodleian Library.
GOS	•••	Gaekwad's Oriental Series, Baroda.
Grihastha	•••	Grihasthakāṇḍa section of the Kṛtyakalpa-
		taru, (GOS. Vol. No. CI)
HB	•••	History of Bengal, Vol. 1 edited by R. C.
• • • • •		Majumdar, Dacca, May 1943.
HDS	•••	History of Dharmaśāstra by P. V. Kane, in
		5 vols. Bhandarkar Oriental Research
		Institute. Poona.
HIED	• • • •	History of India as told by its own Historians,
****		by H. M. Elliot and J. Dowson.
HIG	•••	Historical Inscriptions of Gujarat by G. V.
7.4		Acharya, Bombay,
JA JD	• • •	Indian Antiquary, Bombay.
1B	•••	Inscriptions of Bengal, Vol. III. by N. G.
IC		Majumdar.
	•••	Indian Culture, Calcutta.
IHQ	•••	Indian Historical Quarterly, Calcutta,
JAHRS	•••	Journal of the Andhra Historical Research Society, Rajamundry.
JAOS		Journal of the American Oriental Society,
		New Haven.
JASB	•••	Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal,
		Calcutta.
<b>JBBRAS</b>	•••	Journal of the Bombay Branch of the Royal
		Asiatic Society, Bombay.
<b>JB</b> ORS	• • •	Journal of the Bihar and Orissa Research
		Society, Patna.
<b>JBR</b> S	•••	Journal of the Bihar Research Society, Patna,
JDL	•••	Journal of the Department of Letters,
		Calcutta.
JNSI	•••	Journal of the Numismatic Society of India,
I () DI		Bombay.
J. Or. RI.	•••	Journal of Oriental Research, Madras.

Journal of the Orissa Historical Research

**JOHRS** 

Society. JRAS Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great ... Britain and Ireland, London. **IRASB** Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of ... Bengal, (Letters), Calcutta. JUPHS Journal of the U. P. Historical Society. ... KF Kalachuri Era ... KM Kāvyamālā, NSP., Bombay. ... KVKälaviveka of Jīmūtavāhana (Bibliotheca ... Indica). LP Lekhāpaddhati. . . . MASI Memoirs of the Archaeological Survey . . . India. Niyatakālakānda section of the Kritvakalpa-Niyata. ... taru, (GOS. Vol. No. CXI) NSP Nirnaya-Sägara Press ... PHAL Political History of Ancient India, by H. C. . . . Raychaudhuri, Calcutta. PIHC Proceedings of the Indian History Congress. . . . PO Poona Orientalist, Poona. PRAS. WC Progress Report of the Archaeological Survey of India, Western Circle, Rāi. Rājadharmakānda section of the Krityakalpa-٠.. taru, (GOS, Vol. No. C) RC. edited by R. C. Majumdar, Rāmacharita. . . . R. G. Basak and N. G. Banerii. Raishahi. RLARBP. ... Revised List of Antiquarian Remains of Bombay Presidency. Rājatarangiņī of Kalhana, translated by M. A. RT. . . . Stein. SBE Sacred Books of the East, Oxford, . . . Sachau Alberuni's India. edited with notes and ... Indices by E. C. Sachau, two volumes

one (popular edition), London.

Sadukti. ... Saduktikarņāmṛita of Srīdharadāsa, edited by Ramavatara Sarma, H. D. Sharma, published by Motilal Banarsi Dass, Lahore, 1933.

SI. ... Stone Inscription.

Sm. C. ... Smriti-chandrikā of Devannabhatta.

ŚP ... Śārngadhara-paddhati, edited by P. Peterson.

SS ... Samarāngaņasūtradhāra, edited by T. Ganapati Shastri (GOS, Vol. Nos. XXV and

XXX11).

Tirthavive-

chana. ... Tīrthavivechanakāṇḍa section of the Krityakalpataru (GOS, Vol. No. XCVIII).

Vaij. ... Vaijayantī of Yādavaprakāśa.

VRS. ... Varendra Research Society, Rajshahi.

Yāj. ... Yājňavalkya-smṛiti.

# CONTENTS

-Pref	ace				ix
Abb	reviations	• • •			xv
Chapte Fee	er I udalism				1-41
	Incessant wars in feudatories, 1; echange of overlord 4; lack of cent origin of feudalishey-day of feudatwelfth centuries number of feudacrease of power status of King a of vassals, 21; osub-infeudation, 28; contrast between feudalism, 33; In the common people.	effects of diship, 3; was trained adism. 5; its calism in the A.D., 11; atories. 13 of feudato obligations 28; disservice Europedian prince	fistant ra ars of suc ministrati developm ne cleven increase : causes ries, 18 ries, 19; of vassa ces of feu ean and s could n	ids, 2; cession, on, 5; ent, 9; ith and in the of in- ; legal grades ls, 25; idalism, Indian iot rally	
Chapte The	er II <i>Military Syst</i>	em and	its Effe	ects	42-76
	Hereditary arm Brāhmaṇas and n of troops, 44; d 48; constituents and elephants, 53; strength, 55; offensive weapons tions, 60; causes 66-67.	y and initiary profess and he of the ar 50-51; chas expenditus. 58; fire-ar	feudalism fession, 43 abits of s my, 50; riot, 53; re on arr rms, 59;	3; types soldiers, horses navy, ny, 56; expedi-	22.0

Chapter III  Castes and Professions 77-12:
Professions not based on castes, 77: rigidity of caste system, 78; categories of Brāhmaņas, 79: variety of professions, 84: influence of Brahmaṇas, 89: hunger-strike, 90; division according to habitat, 93; position of Kṣatriyas, 94: Vaisyas, 96: Kāyasthas as a caste, 98: Vaidyas, 105; šūdras, 106: the Harijans, 111.
Chapter IV
The Turkish Scitlers and effects of social contact with them 125-134
Foreigners in Indian population, 125: Turnskadanda, 126: tolerance shown to the Turks, 128: Süfi saints, 128: beef-eating, 130: Suddhi, 131.
Chapter V
Position of Women in Society 135-143  Women as dependents, 135; respect for women, 135; improvement in the position of women, 136; queens, 137; political activity of women, 139; their education, 139; purdah system, 141.
Chapter VI  The Educational System 145-169
General and technical education, 145; status

General and technical education, 145; status of *Upavedas* for medicine, military science and public administration, 146; the *kalās*, 147; primary education, 149; courses of study, 149; teacher-pupil relationship, 152; monastic establishments, 153; scholarly acti-

vities	in	monasi	eries.	155	;	Hinda	m	ițhas,
156;	eco	onomic	condi	tion	of	teache	rs.	160;
effects	0	Turko	-Afgh	an r	aid	s. 163.		

# Chapter VII

Land and its Cultivation 170-182

Effects of Sultan Mahmüd's raids on agriculture, 170: effects of frequent warfare, 171; land as a social trust, 171: irrigation projects and tanks, 172: assessment of land revenue, 174: agricultural products, 177.

# Chapter VIII

Labour ... ... .. 183-491

Guilds, 183; State as employer, 183; private employers, 184; wages, 185; slavery, 186; forced labour, 188.

# Chapter IX

Industries and their Organisation 192-214

Metallic industries, 192; textiles, 193; dress of the rich and poor, 194; umbrellas, 195; sugar, 196; iron and steel, 197; crucibles, 198; swords, 198; copper industry, 201; glass industry, 203; mirrors, 203; tanning industry, 204; boat-making, 204; wooden furniture, 207; soap and soap-nuts, 207; ornaments, 208; organisation of guilds, 209.

# Chapter X

Currency, Banking and Usury ... 215-227

Currency system adversely affected, 215; gold coins, 215; standard of money, 216; table of coins, 218; cowries, 218; medium of large transactions, 219; relative value of gold and silver, 220; banking, 220; merchant banking, 222; hundies, 223; usury, 225.

Chapter XI
$Public\ Finance \qquad \qquad \dots \qquad 228-244$
King's part, 228; land revenue, 228; sulka, 230; excise duty, 233; octroi, 233; road cess, 234; sales tax, 234; escheat, 235; tithes, 235; new taxes, 236; weifare functions, 239.
Chapter XII  Daily Life of the People 245-273
Insecurity on account of wars, 245; cities, 246; city life, 248; village life, 250; amusements, 251; dramatic performances, 252; dancing, 254; games, 254; food and drink, 257; forbidden vegetarian food, 258; fish, 258; meat, 259; wine, 260; alms-houses, 262; inns, 263; poverty, 264; fatalism and astrology, 265.
Chapter XIII
Festivals 274-315
Festive India, 274; in Chaitra, 274; Holi, 276; Vasanta festival, 277; in Vaisākha. 279; in Jyaistha, 281; in Āṣāḍha, 282: in Śrāvaṇa, 284; in Bhādra, 284; a political festival, 287; in Āśvin, 290; Durgā-pūja, 291; in Kārtika, 294; in Agrahāyaṇa, 297; in Pauṣa, 299: in Māgha, 299; in Phālguṇa, 301; a comparative account of early mediaeval and modern festivals, 305; too many festivals distracted the attention of the people, 307.
Chapter XIV

Unifying influence of tirthas, 316; pro-

Tirthas or Places of Pilgrimage ... 316-354

### XXIII

tection of holy places, 317; Puri, 319; the
twelve Jyotirlingas, 319; sources of our
knowledge about tirthus, 320; tirthus in
Varendrī, 327; in Kashmir, 328; some
famous holy places, 332; effect of Sultan
Mahmud's invasion on tīrthas, 334, 335,
338; Vaisnava tīrthas, 336, 344; Banaras,
339; Prayag, 341; Gaya, 342; Śakta pīthas
346; hardship of pilgrims, 349.

# CI

Index

hapter [	XV					
Stand	lard of More	ility	•••	• • •	355	-386
350 wir rup me <i>dās</i> ter	anging standard; immoral life he, 359; suicicotion, 366; querchants, 369; svīs, 371; saturny, 378; moral ical standard i	of kings. 3 le. 361; br acks. 367; prostitution nalian festiv standard of	57; drii ibery a dishon n. 370; als, 373 of poets	nking on the contest of the contest	of r- of 1- <b>l-</b>	
Biblic	graphy					387

... ... 402

#### CHAPTER I

#### **Feudalism**

The collapse of the Gurjara-Pratihara empire ushered in the age of feudal disintegration in Northern India. which had been faithful vassals of the Guriara-Pratīhāra emperors for generations, threw off their allegiance to their overlord in the tenth century A.D. Thus the Chandellas or Chandratreyas of Jejakabhukti, the Kalachuris of Tripuri and of Sarayūpāra, the Guhilas and the Chāhamānas, asserted their independence and began to fight interminable battles They themselves had to depend. amongst themselves. to a considerable extent, upon those vassals, who transferred their allegiance to them or those who aspired for important fiefs in recognition of their faithful service. The feudatories welcomed the ambitious designs of an energetic King, because, they had to be appeased with land and wealth before they could be induced to go out on an expedition. Someśvara Chālukya of Kalyāna in his Mānasollāsa<sup>1</sup> says, that, on the day preceding the date of starting out on an expedition, the King should convene an assembly of Princes, feudatories, rulers of mandalas and soldiers and satisfy them with presents of gold, dresses and ornaments and encourage them by singing their praises. Another mediaeval work on Politics, the Sukranītisāra,2 lays down the maxim that a King would be well advised to make peace with his own feudatories before going out to conquer his enemies. Sandhyākaranandī<sup>3</sup> also describes how Rāmapāla of Bengal conciliated his feudatories before undertaking the war against Bhīma Kaivarta: "by that King (Ramapala) that (body of Samantas), who attained great strength by possession of cavalry, elephants and infantry was gained over (i.e. made favourable) by presents of land and enormous wealth." Brihaspati<sup>4</sup> also advises a king to welcome feudatories as if they were himself, with viands, clothing etc.

As war was a passion with the kings of this age, the feudatories went on increasing their power. Succession of weak kings afforded them a suitable opportunity for extending their power still further. A war of succession, which was not infrequent in this period, was eagerly awaited by them, because, on such an occasion both the contestants for power tried to win them over by gifts.

Another factor responsible for the increase in the number, if not in the power, of feudal chieftains was the devastating raids by rulers of distant territories over vast stretches of land in Northern India. Rājendra Chola I raided Eastern India (c. 1021 A.D.). His raid was thus almost simultaneous with that of Sultan Mahmud of Ghazni. The mantle of the Rāstrakūtas fell on Chālukyas of Kalyāna and, like Govinda III. Kṛṣṇa III and Indra III, Vikramāditya VI and Someśvara III, they made rapid conquests of distant parts of Northern and Eastern India. Eastern India was raided by the Kalachuri Kings, Karna and Yasah Karna, Anantavarman Chodaganga and the Gahadavala ruler, Govindachandra. Such raids weakened and sometimes sapped the vitality of the reigning dynasty in the invaded country. The feudal chieftains, who were loyal enough to rally round the banner of their overlord for resisting the invaders, received or seized handsome rewards after the raid. The smaller chieftains. manifested signs of independence in the days of weakness of the overlord. Moreover, the invader sometimes set up new feudal chieftainship in the raided country by endowing some of his trusted lieutenants with fiefs out of the conquered territory. We can account for the existence of Rastrakūta Mahana or Mathana in Anga, Karnata Nanyadeva in Mithila and Vijayasena in Rādhā in this way. As it was not often possible for the successors of the raiding monarch to exercise any effective control over such distant fiefs, these feudatories assumed semi-independence and in course of time, complete independence.

Frequent change of overlordship was another characteristic feature of the age. In no other period of Indian history did large tracts of country pass so rapidly from one dynasty to another. The one hundred and sixty four years, between 1030 and 1194 A. D., witnessed kaleidoscopic changes in the frontiers of kingdoms. Thus Anga was conquered from the Pālas by the Kalachuri King Gāngeyadeva in about 1034 A.D. His son, Karna (c. 1041-1070 A. D.) invaded Magadha and appointed Jatavarman to rule over Anga as his agent or vassal. In 1124 A. D. we find Govindachandra Gāhadavāla (c. 1114 -1154 A. D.) ruling over Maner, which is at a distance of only 14 miles from Patna. Dr. D. C. Sircar has proved that the Pāla ruler Madanapāla (c. 1144-1161 A. D.) ruled over the Patna district in the third year of his reign, which falls in 11465 A. D., In the same year, however, Govindachandra is known to have been in possession of Monghyr<sup>6</sup> Madanapāla of the Pāla dynasty must have succeeded in reconquering Monghyr by 1157 A. D. His successor, Govindapāla held sway over the Patna-Gaya region in 1164 A. D., But within a few years, that is, before 1175 A.D., the Gahadavālas again succeeded in driving out the Pālas from West Bihar. A portion of Bhagalpore, however, in East Bihar. passed temporarily into the hands of Vallalasena about 1166 A. D.. It was recovered by the Pāla ruler Palapāla, who continued to rule over East Bihar till the end of the twelfth century.8 The misfortune of being transferred from one dynasty to another in quick succession was not peculiar to the history of Bihar alone. Banaras and the Gangā-Yamunā Doab were conquered by the Kalachuris from the Chandellas<sup>9</sup> in the second quarter of the eleventh century. But the Kalachuris lost control over these areas sometime before the accession of Yasah Karna (c. 1073 A. D.). Probably it passed into the hands of the Chandella ruler Sallakşanavarman<sup>10</sup> from whom it was conquered by Chandradeva

Gāhadavāla in about 1090 A. D.. Yasah Karņa is known to have reconquered it in 1122-23 A. D., but in 1124 A. D. Govindachandra finally brought it under his rule. Such rapid changes in the mastery over territories must have made the smaller feudal chieftains very important. A new conqueror could not have uprooted all the petty feudal chiefs, in whose hands, the actual administration of the country, especially in the interior, lay. He had, very often, to yield place to another conqueror, before he could consolidate his power and authority in the newly conquered area.

It is worth noticing in this connection that in this period there is hardly any instance of two consecutive generations of brilliant monarchs with record of unbroken success in any dynasty excepting the Chaulukyas of Gujarat. But the latter were troubled with wars of succession, which encouraged the feudatories to strengthen their Besides the Gujarat rulers, the most outstanding monarchs of this period in Northern India, were Bhoja Paramara (c. 1000 55 A. D.), Chandella Vidyādhara (c. 1019-50 A D.), Kalachuri Gängevadeva (c. 1019-41 A. D.) and his son Karna (c. 1041-70 A. D.), Gāhadavāla Govindachandra (c. 1114-54 A. D.) and Chāhamāna Prithvīrāja III (c. 1177-92 A. D.), Bhoja Paramāra had to devote much of his time and energy to repairing the fortunes of his dynasty, which had suffered eclipse at the sudden death of Muñja, yet, he was able to lead successful expeditions against the Chāhamānas of Nādol.11 the ruler of Chambā<sup>12</sup> and Gāngeyadeva<sup>13</sup> and to occupy temporarily Kanauj, Chitor and Dubkund.14 He was, however. overcome by the combined powers of the Chalukya king Someśvara I and Kalachuri King Karna. Arjuna, a feudatory of Vidyādhara, the Chandella monarch, slew the Guriara-Pratīhāra King Rājyapāla, for the latter's ignominous flights from the invading army of Sultan Mahmud, but he himself could not build up any lasting confederacy capable of undertaking any offensive war against the Yamīnī dynasty at Lahore or Multan. On the other hand, he frittered away the re-

sources of the state in fighting against the Kalachuris. His successors were not strong enough to withstand the attacks of Karna,15 who was able to build up a short-lived empire extending from the sources of Banas and Mahī rivers in the west to the Hoogli river in the east, and from the Doab to the upper waters of the Mahanadi, Wainganga, Wardha and Taptī, The rulecs of Guada, Chola, Kunga, Hūna, Gurjara and Kīra territories are said to have waited upon him16. But towards the close of his career, he suffered severe defeat at the hands of Chaulukya Bhīma I17, Chandella Kīrttivarman18, Paramāra Udayāditya and Vigrahapāla of the Pāla dynasty<sup>19</sup>. His successor, Yasah Karna had to face a life-and-death struggle against the Gahadavalas over the possession of the Uttar Pradesh and lost much of his territories to Chandradeva and Govindachandra. The misfortune of the Gahadavalas, however, was that they failed to produce any worthy successor of Govindachandra. They failed, therefore, to provide that strong centralised administration which could harness the energies of feudatories to build up a strong resistance to the inroads of the Turko-Afghans.

## Origin of feudalism:

In order to understand the role and significance of feudalism in this period, it is necessary to review very briefly the background of the evolution of this institution in India. Feudal institutions are apt to be evolved in a state which lacks an efficient system of centralised government, and is also wanting in facilities for transport and communication. The minimum standard of safety to life and property can be ensured in the interior of such a state by entrusting the function of administering civil and criminal justice to such influential persons as would be able to command obedience in the local community. They would raise or maintain their own army, collect taxes or customary dues, pay tributes and rally round the standard of their overlord with their military contingents, when called for. The germ of such a system is

traceable in the ancient Indian custom of placing a scion of the royal family of the conquered country on its throne as a subordinate ruler by the conqueror. Kautilya enjoins upon the conqueror not to covet the land, things, sons and wives of the King slain by him, but to reinstate in their proper places the relatives of the King slain and to install the son of the deceased on the throne of his father.<sup>20</sup> The Santiparva of the Mahabharata lays down that the conqueror should place on the throne of a conquered country, the brother, son or grandson of the defeated King and, in case none of these are available, a daughter of the late King should be crowned<sup>21</sup>. Manu,<sup>22</sup> Viṣṇudharmasūtra<sup>23</sup> and Agni Purāṇa<sup>24</sup> also prescribe that the conqueror should install some kinsman of the slain King on the throne of the defeated country.

Installation of such a person as ruler was sure to cause friction in course of time. He and his successors were constantly on the look out for opportunities for throwing off allegiance. Later writers on Indian polity, therefore, hold that normally the whole or major portion of the conquered area should be directly annexed to the kingdom of the conquered. Thus Medhātithi, commenting on Manu VII. 201 202, writes that the conqueror should, first of all, remit some of the burdensome taxes for one or two years; then, he should proclaim to the people of the city and the villages that what they had done by virtue of their loyalty to their former master had been forgiven. "If even after the bestowing of such favours", continues Medhātithi, "he finds that the citizens and the people are still so loyal to their former master that they still cherish feelings of attachment towards his dynasty, and that any Government of his own would not be lasting, then he shall do as follows", that is, person of the last ruling family. Sukranītisāra advises the conqueror merely to support the son and queen of the vanquished King or in case they are endowed with good qualities to hand them over one-fourth of the Kingdom and in case they are not so endowed, to give them only 1/32nd part of

FEUDALISM 7

it25. While Manu speaks simply of a treaty between the conqueror and the scion of the vanquished King, Medhātithi draws up the following stringent terms of the treaty: and I shall have equal shares in your income; you shall consult me in all that you do or not do; at the proper time you shall come and help me with your treasury and force"26. It may be noticed here that the conditions suggested above are much more stringent than those which were imposed under the terms of Wellesley's Subsidiary Alliance. The successor of the vanquished King sank to the position of a vassal and was under four types of obligations to his overlord; (a) to pay a regular tribute amounting to one-half of his annual revenue, (b) to take the overlord's permission in all matters of importance, specially with regard to army and foreign policy, (c) to pay special contribution at the time of war and other necessities of the State and (d) to help the overlord with military force.

It is worth noticing here that the type of feudatory mentioned above is one of the four kinds of mitra or ally mentioned in the Śāntiparva, namely, one who comes for protection or security.<sup>27</sup> There is a good deal of resemblance in the position of such a feudatory with the weak king of Kauṭilya,<sup>28</sup> who being attacked by a powerful king submits to the latter and sues for peace on condition of offering his army, treasury and his territory which are respectively called Daṇḍopanata, Koṣopanata and Deśopanata. Those who make peace on condition of supplying army themselves may lead it or through the princes or Commanders-in-Chief or some indefinite person to some place mentioned by the superior king.

Another germ of feudalism may be found in the practice of making payment to officers with assignment of land. Thus the Mahābhārata<sup>20</sup> states—"the lord of 100 villages shall enjoy one village, which is large, prosperous and well-populated. The lord of 1000 villages enjoys a Śākhā-nagara, a sub-city." Manu<sup>30</sup> lays down—"the lord of 10 villages shall enjoy one Kula and the lord of 20 villages, twenty Kulas; the lord of

100 villages, one whole village and the lord of 1000 villages, one town." Kautilya prescribes payment in cash to most of the officers. But some of the superintendents, accountants, Gopas and Sthānikas, Veterinary surgeons, physicians etc., were endowed with lands, but they had no right to alienate these by sale or mortgage<sup>31</sup>.

The Maurya system of administration was so centralised, efficient and well organised that feudalism as a political system could hardly raise its head either in the Maurya or even in the Sunga period. Feudalism and feudatories came to occupy some prominence for the first time during the Gupta period. Samudra Gupta's policy of not annexing the territories of defeated kings of distant regions and re-establishing the royal families, which had lost their kingdoms, must have created a number of feudatories, Chandra Gupta II must have found it more convenient to administer his vast empire with the help of feudal vassals. His feudatories were Mahārāja Trikamāla, Svāmidāsa of Central India and Mahārāja Viśvāmitrasvāmi32 and also Mahārāja Sanakānika. invasion of the Pusyamitras and the Hūnas were probably responsible for an increase in the number and importance of feudatories. In the later Gupta period, we find several feudal families ruling for generations. Thus Jayavarman, Simhavarman, Naravarman (404 A.D.) and Viśvavarman (423 A. D.) are known to have ruled at Dasapura. Similar was the case with the Maukharis who ruled over South Bihar and Eastern U. P. as feudatories of the Guptas. These feudatories became independent with the decline of the Gupta power and thus caused the disintegration of the Gupta empire. Sometime between 530 and 540 A. D., Yasodharman, belonging to the feudatory Aulikara family of Western Malwa, defeated the Gupta lords.33 Similarly, Isanavarman, who belonged to the feudatory Maukhari clan, defeated the Gaudas, Andhras and Śūlikas and assumed the imperial title of Mahārājādhirāja 34 At the time of Budha Gupta (476-495) 96 A. D.) we find that the Gupta feudatories, like the Parivrājaka Mahārājas of Bundelkhand, Jayanātha of the Uchchhakalpa dynasty, the Pāṇḍuvaṁśa, ruling over South Kosala and Mahārāja Lakṣmaṇa of Allahabad and Rewah, and Mahārāja Subandhu, ruling in Māhiṣmati³ (Māndhātā) on the Narmadā did not care to make any reference to their Gupta overlord in their copper-plates.

Another factor giving rise to the centrifugal tendency of feudalism in the Gupta period was the practice of making the post of military governor hereditary. Bhaṭārka, a general belonging to the Maitraka clan, was appointed military governor of Surāṣṭṭa. The post then went to Dharasena I, who was succeeded by his brother, Droṇasiṃha, who became so very important that he "had to be installed as Mahārājā by his suzerain".³6 The consequences of such hereditary succession was that "after 467 A. D. there is no evidence that the Imperial Guptas had anything to do with Surāṣṭṭa or the major part of Western Malwa".³7

The bigger feudatory rulers of the Gupta age began the practice of sub-infeudation. Dr. D. C. Sircar brings forward the evidence of the Nirmand inscription showing how Mahāsāmanta Mahārāja Varuṇasena enjoyed the allegiance of smaller feudatories. Mahārāja Vaiṇyagupta (507-508 A. D.) had two vassals under him, namely, Pādadāsa Mahārāja Rudradatta and Mahārāja Mahāsāmanta Vijayasena. The latter, according to the Gunaighar grant, held the office of Mahāpratīhāra Pañchadhikaraṇoparika.

# Development of feudalism:

Feudalism gained a strong foothold in Northern India in the period between 600 and 1000 A, D.. Almost all the ruling dynasties in the period had a number of feudatories. In Assam, Harjjaravarnian had under him the Senādhyaksya Suchitta, as his Mahāsāmanta.<sup>40</sup> Saśāňka himself was a Mahāsāmanta of Rohtasgarh before he became an independent ruler.<sup>41</sup> Mahārāja Mahāsāmanta Śrī Mādhavarāja 11 of Śailodbhava dynasty ruling over Kongoda was a feudatory of Śaśāňka in

619 A. D.. There are records showing that the pre-Pala rulers of Bengal, like Samāchāradeva, Devakhadga and Jayanāga, had sāmantas under them.43 The early Pāla rulers had numerous sāmantas who used to assemble at the Imperial Darbars of which we get a description in the Khalimpur copper-plate of Dharmapala.44 In Orissa, the Bhaumakaras and the Somavamsi kings had also feudatories.45 Harsavardhana<sup>46</sup> and the Gurjara-Pratīhāra emperors managed to rule their extensive empires with the help of feudatories. Gurjara-Pratīhāras had such feudatories as the Chandratreyas of Jejākabhukti,47 the Guhilas,48 the Kalachuris,49 Guṇāmbodhideva Kalachuri of Gorakhpur<sup>50</sup> and the Chāhamānas.<sup>51</sup> The Rästrakūtas who built up a considerable empire, had under them feudatories like Karkka and his successors ruling over Southern Guiarat, the Rattas of Saundatti, Silaharas of Thānā<sup>52</sup> and the Paramāras of Malwa.<sup>53</sup> The process of subinfeudation also went on without any hindrance during this age. Dr. Altekar has shown how the more powerful feudatories of the Rästrakūtas, like the Gujarat Rästrakūtas and the Silāhāras "could create their own sub-feudatories".54 Amongst the feudatories of the Gurjara-Pratihāras, Kokkalla I (c. 875-925 A. D.) of the Kalachuri family made each of his seventeen sons a mandalapati of his kingdom. 65

If in the Gupta period military governorship was conferred on important feudal chiefs, in the age of Harşa and of Imperial Kanauj, high ranking civil as well as military offices come to be bestowed upon persons holding feudal titles. Thus during the reign of Harşavardhana, according to the Banskhera plate. Mahāsāmanta Skanda Gupta was the Mahāpramātāra and Mahāsāmanta Mahārāja Bhāna was the Mahākshapatalādhikaraṇādhikṛita and according to the Madhuban plate Sāmanta Mahārāja Īśvaragupta was the Mahākshapatalādhikṛita. In the empire of the Gurjara-Pratīhāras, Mahāsāmantādhipati Undabhaṭa was Mahāpratīhāra in the reign of Mahendrapāla I and Mahāsāmanta Viṣṇurāma was governor of Luachchhagiri in the reign of Bhoja.<sup>57</sup> In the Rāṣṭrakūṭa

FEUDALISM 11

empire Palla, a Sāmanta held the office of foreign minister in the reign of Dhruva. Mahāmandaleśvara Kundamarāja was the visayapati of Kuntala-Viṣaya in 1019 A. D. In Orissa, we find Malladatta and the members of his family, helding the rank of Rānaka, were appointed to the post of sandhivigrahin under the Somavamśi kings. o

The twin practices of creating Mahāmaṇḍaleśvaras, māṇḍa-likas etc. and that of allowing their sons to succeed not only to the title but also to the official position of the father, as we find in the case of Malladatta's family or among the descendants of Bankeya in the Rāṣṭrakūṭa kingdom, were as certain to promote the tendency to assert independence among feudal chiefs as in mediaeval Europe. The titles and offices of Counts and Dukes were originally conferred during the sovereign's pleasure in the Merovingian period, but Hallam points out that "the claim of a son to succeed his father would often be found too plausible or too formidable to be rejected, and it is highly probable that even under the Merovingian kings, these provincial governors had laid the foundations of that independence which was destined to change the countenance of Europe". 61

Thus the essential features of a feudal polity were manifested even before the advent of the eleventh century. High offices in the state came to be regarded as a prerogative of the land-owning aristocracy. The rank of a Rāṇaka or Mahā-sāmantādhipati carried with it the command over considerable areas of land. The principle of hereditary succession to office contributed to the insecurity of the central authority. Hey day of feudalism:

The period between 1030 and 1194 A. D. may be regarded as the hey day of feudal anarchy. In the period intervening between the invasions of Sultān Mahmūd and that of Shihāb-ud-oīn Muhammad of Ghūr, the feudatories acquired so much power and influence that it became necessary for the King to watch their movement and conduct very carefully. Explaining the maxim of Manu that the King should ponder

over the conduct of his "Circle", Medhātithi62 states that the term "Circle" refers to the tendency to peace and war of his provincial governors". Someśvara in his Mānasollāsa advises that at the time of appointing the Minister of Peace and War, the King should consider whether the candidate is an expert in inviting, bidding adieu to and establishing feudatories, and especially those who deserve special honour. 63 This is really remarkable in as much as in normal circumstances, the Minister of Peace and War has to deal with foreign powers and not with internal disturbances created by feudal chiefs. The latter must have assumed so much importance in this period that it was considered advisable to entrust the Minister of Peace and War with the extremely delicate task of keeping them in good humour.

The feudal lords maintained their own army. Sukranītisāra draws a distinction between Gulmībhūta army and Agulmaka army<sup>64</sup>—the former being under the officers of the State, while the latter were under their own chiefs. These chiefs were in all likelihood, the feudal rulers, because the same work states that an expedition in which the King is aided by his feudatories, is known as the Sambhūya expedition. 65 When the King was strong and efficient, the vassals used to respond to his call, but whenever they detected any sign of weakness on his part, they indulged in private wars with their private army. Thus Dommanapala or (Ma)dommanapāla of Khādī-mandala (Sunderbans) made war against the neighbouring samantas and made them helpless. 66 In Kashmir, the dāmaras Janakachandra and Bhīmadeva. 67 along with their respective adherents, started a furious fight in the reign of Uchchala. Again, Kīrttipāla.66 brother of Kelhana, of Naddula and a feudatory of the Chaulukyas, fought against the feudatory Paramara line of Jalor and deprived them of their territory sometime after 1179 A. D. The Rajim stone inscription records that Jagapala, a feudatory of Jājalladeva I of the Kalachuri family of Ratanpur, struck terror into the heart of the mandalesvaras of Mayurika and Savanta and that the latter fled to the mountains. 69 The feudatories, of course, had the right to adopt defensive measures and to undertake war in self-defence without reference to their overlord. Thus Barma Dandin-gova of Toragale dispersed a crowd of hostile feudatory rulers 70 who had appeared against him in about 1187-88 A. D.

Some of the powerful feudatories exercised not only the right to wage wars but also entered into treaties with sovereigns of other States. An actual form of the treaty entered into by the Mahāmanḍaleśvara Rāṇaka Lavaṇaprasāda with Mahārājādhirāja Simhanadeva of the Devagiri—Yādava family in 1232 A. D. has been preserved in the Lekhāpaddhati.<sup>71</sup> It shows the High Contracting parties agreed not to invade the country of each other and that, in case of invasion by a powerful enemy, the two parties should jointly oppose the invader. The most significant feature of the treaty is the denial of the right of harbourage to any other noble who might flee into the territory of the other party with any valuable thing. In such a case the parties agreed to restore the valuables in the refugee's possession.

## Causes of increasing number of feudatories:

The period under review witnessed a tremendous increase in the number of feudatories. The kings of mediaeval India were themselves responsible for it. Among the cight objectives of the king, one was the conversion of princes into tributary chiefs of feudatory vassals. As in the earlier works on polity, Sukranītisāra<sup>72</sup> categorically states that the victorious kings should grant half and a quarter of the revenues of the subjugated kingdom to the vanquished king's son and wife respectively. Such magnanimity was considered a suitable display of the majesty of the conqueror. Numerous instances can be cited to show that the kings of Northern India translated the above-mentioned precept into practice. The family of Devarakşita of Pīṭhī, who was defeated by Rāmapāla's maternal uncle, Mahaṇa, was allowed to rule. In the period

between 1075 and 1135 A. D. the Somavamsis were allowed to continue to exercise sway in subordination to the Eastern Ganga kings. If we accept Dr. Sircar's interpretation of Somavamsi chronology, we find that though Viravarakesarin was ousted by Anantavarman Chodaganga, yet his relatives, Karnakesarī and Ranakesarī, were allowed to rule over Orissa as feudatories. 73 The rule of Javasimha (c. 1055-1059) 60 A. D.), successor of Bhoja Paramāra, also shows that in -spite of the fact that Chālukya Someśvara and Kalachuri Karna decisively defeated Bhoja, yet they allowed the relation of the vanquished king to succeed to the throne of Dhārā.74 The Chaulukyas of Anahillapātaka also followed the same principle with regard to the relatives of defeated kings like Barbaraka<sup>75</sup> and Vikramasimha.<sup>76</sup> Kashmir was no exception. Somavarman ruled Chamba valley as a vassal of the Kashmir King, though his father had been defeated by Ananta.77 The Gähadavālas also appear to have adopted the policy of retaining the defeated king's family as feudatories so far as their policy relating to the Kalachuris 18 was concerned.

There were, however, certain circumstances in which it was not feasible for the victor to allow any member of the vanguished family to continue to rule over the territory even in the capacity of a vassal. Thus when a feudal family had taken recourse to treachery and risen in against its liege-lord it was considered prudent to uproot it. Kumārapāla deposed the rebel Timgyadeva and installed Vaidyadeva as the ruler of Assam. Again, a particular area appeared to be exceptionally important to the which victor for economic or strategic reasons could not be permitted to continue under the rule of the family of the defeated king. Thus the Chaulukya ruler Jayasimha, having killed Navaghana, the Abhīra ruler in a battle, directly annexed the valuable Surastra territory to his kingdom. He appointed Sajjana as his Dandādhipati "to superintend the affairs of Surastra."79

FEUDALISM 15

The increase in the number of feudatories was also due to the allotment of territories to brothers and relatives of the kings. This policy of avoiding enmity of the relatives or dāyādas really gained acceleration from the eighth century onwards. In the period between 1030 and 1194 A. D., we find many instances of creation of fiefs for satisfying the relatives. The Somavamsi king Uddyotakesarī Mahābhavagupta IV made Abhimanyu a sub-king of the western parts of his dominion.80 Not only in Orissa, but also in other parts of Northern India,81 fiefs were granted to the sons, brothers and nephews of the King. Even in the disturbed kingdom of Kashmir, the kings tried to save themselves from ambitious relatives by granting estates to the latter. Queen Didda committed the great mistake of allowing her nephew Vigraharāja to rule over the fortress of Lohara, while nominating the latter's younger brother Samgramaraja as her successor to the throne. Utkarsa (1089 A. D.) realised. the mistake and united the two kingdoms. After the death of Harsa, Kashmir and Lohara again came to be under separate rule. While Uchchala took Kashmir, his brother Sussala remained in Lohara to make onslaughts on his elder brother, till he himself became King in 1112 A.D.. Jayasimha, as King of Kashmir, kept the fortress under his control with great difficulty till he crowned his son Gulhana as the ruler of Lohara.

The necessity of curbing the ambition of feudatories and especially of the relatives was well known to the writers of Hindu polity. While the Agni Purāṇa<sup>82</sup> states that the sāmantas are to be treated as enemies, Sukranītisāra<sup>83</sup> lays down that the relatives are to be accorded such treatment by the king as not to give rise to any dissatisfaction or difference of opinion. The same writer advises that "the king should arrange for these heirs the same kind of comforts and enjoyments as for himself, and should be strict in command in satisfying them with umbrellas and thrones" (I. 693-694) and further "he (King) should station them

(dāyādas) in various quarters by paying them one-fourth of the royal revenues or make them governors of provinces. He may appoint them, as heads of cows, elephants" etc. So the author of the Śukranītisāra opines that the relatives are to be made either officials or governors of state and given the rank of feudatories. Rank in the Court was determined by closeness of relation with the monarch as well as by the magnitude of the fief held.

The third factor which increased the number of feudatories was that the King rewarded his officers with land in consideration of valuable services rendered. In the Chambā valley, probably in the eleventh century A. D., the Lord of Kuluţa was offered a principality for his services by King Āsaṭa. In the Dharwar district, Āhavamalla Bhūteyadeva received the rank of *Maṇḍaleśvara* from the Chaulukya ruler Bhima II for killing Pañchala some time before 1187 A. D..

The practice of allowing a particular office to be held by a particular family on a hereditary basis must have been responsible for strengthening the feudal tendency. The Mahābhārata (Sabhā 5. 43) and Manu (VII. 54) and Yājñavalkya (I. 312) prescribe that the son may be allowed to succeed his father as minister, provided he is capable like the father. Chaṇḍeśvara, so while explaining Manu (VII. 48), holds that the amātyas are to be appointed on a hereditary basis. But neither the Arthaśāstra (I. 9. 15) nor the Nītivākyāmṛta (ch. 14) and Śukranītisāras enumerate heredity as one of the qualifications of amātyas or members of the council of ministers. Of course, heredity was not the only qualification for a minister either in ancient or early mediaeval India.

Political upheavals like dethronement and change of rulers in quick succession did not adversely affect the selection of ministers on strictly hereditary basis as is shown by the dethronement of Devavarman and the short tenure of power of Yasovarman.<sup>87</sup>

To the ministers, Mahāpurohita Jāguśarman and his son

Praharājasarman, successive Gāhaḍavāla kings like Madanaapāla, Govindachandra, Vijayachandra and Jayachchandra made grants of land on many occasions. The Kamauli grants show that these Brāhmaṇa ministers received grants of villages in Kāṭi, Bṛhagṛhe (ye?) varaṭha, Kesāure (?). Ughaṇaterahaṭṭara, Koṭhatakoṭiāvarahottara, Saru (ga?) ra, Haladoya, Maḍavala (?), Rāṇa, Navagāma, Temisapachottara, Jiāvai, Bṛihadgṛhokamisāra, Mahaso, Unāvisa, Vajaimhāchchhāsaṭhī, Kangali and Kachchhoa pattalās. Similarly, the Chandella King Paramardī granted the village of Nandinī to his Brahmaṇa Senāpati Madanaṛālaśarman before V. S. 1228/1171 A.D.. The Kalachuri King Pṛithvīdeva II granted a village to Puruṣottama, the Sarvādhikārin.

Contemporary inscriptions show that some of the ministers were feudatories. Kirttisimha and Malayasimha, the son and grandson of Padmasimha, were powerful not only as ministers but also as vassals of the Kalachuri King Vijayasimha. Both of these ministers were at the head of a number of Sāmantas. In Gujarat and South Bihar such minister-feudatories were Rāṇaka Śrī Chāchigadeva and Mahāmāṇḍalika Udayarāja respectively.

Other offices, besides membership of the Council of Ministers, were some times held on hereditary basis. Rāuta Rājyadharavarman, son and grandson of Mahāmahattaka Mahāthakkuras Vidyādhara and Jagaddhara, was the recipient of a land-grant by the Gāhadavāla King Jayachchandra some time before 1177 A. D. . Similar instances of the Chaulukya and Chandella Kings conferring posts like Mahāmātya and feudatory ranks like Mahāmānḍalikas, Rāutas and Thakkuras were—Ānanda and his son Prithvīpāla, Vatsarāja and his son Pratāpasimha, Rāutas Pāpe and his son Sāmanta. Devashamū, his son Jagadeva and grandson Haripāla, and Thakkura Maheśvara and his son Bhonapāla. It appears also that the Chaulukyas allowed the son to succeed to the office of the father even in an administrative area like a manḍala.

Causes of increase of power of feudatories:

The natural consequence of the creation of so many feudatories was that they gradually consolidated their position. Frequent change of frontiers, constant wars of succession of weak kings made these feudatories stronger. We find the largest number in Kashmir and Gujarat-Kathiawar regions. The feudatories of the Pālas went on increasing from the times of Nayapāla and Vigrahapāla III. During these reignperiods, Bengal and Bihar suffered from invasions of the mighty Kalachuris and Chālukyas of Kalyāṇa.

The feudatories enhanced their power further by intermarriages amongst themselves<sup>100</sup>. They also entered into matrimonial relations with royal families. These alliances indicate the strengthening of the power of fief-holders, and the consequent weakening of the position of kings. Such a political situation bears a close resemblance to that prevailing in England in the later Plantagenet period when many feudal nobles entered into matrimony with the huge progeny of Edward III and considered themselves not inferior to the monarch. Jātavarman's marriage with Vīraśrī, daughter of the Kalachuri King Karna, gave the former viceroyalty of Anga. According to Mm. Mirashi<sup>101</sup>, this alliance led to the installation of the Varmanas in place of the Chandras in East Bengal. The vassal-princes and damaras of Kashmir often married their daughters to the kings for strengthening their position and improving their status. Padmaka, the lord of Vallapura married his daughter to Bhiksachara who was contesting the throne against Sussala. When conspiracies were being formed to dethrone Salhana in favour of Sussala, Dāmara Gargachandra gave away his two daughters to the successful pretender Sussala. Sussala himself also married Rājalakṣmī and arranged the marriage of Gunalekhā, the sister of Rājalakşmī, to his son Jayasimha102.

The feudatories usually helped such kings as were related to them by marriage. It would not be an exaggeration to say that the credit of resuscitating the Pāla empire goes chiefly to

the Rāstrakūta chiefs of Anga. Rāmapāla received invaluable assistance from his maternal uncle Mathana or Mahana and the latter's sons, Kahnara and Suvarnadeva, in subjugating Devaraksita of Pīthī and in recovering Varendrī. Commenting on the marriage between Govindachandra and Kumāradevī, grand-daughter of Mahana of Anga. Dr. R. C. Majumdar significantly observes: "this marriage alliance was probably engineered by Mahana as a means to cement the alliance between the Palas and Gahadavalas103." a similar motive, the Kashmirian pretenders and kings entered into matrimonial alliances with their vassals 104. The relation of Sussala with the feudatory rulers of Champa and Vallapura was of considerable help to him in getting back the throne in 1121 A.D. and in securing triumph over Bhiksächara in 1122 A. D. Sussala and Jayasimha sought matrimonial alliance with Somapala of Rajapuri on account of the latter's influence and strength.

The monarchical power was further weakened by the granting away of extensive tracts of land along with the right to levy taxes to the donees. The surrender of the right to taxation in the area donated was a severe blow to the power of the king and such a practice encouraged some of the powerful donees to throw off their allegiance to the successors of the donors. The Gāhaḍavālas made land grants to Thakkuras, Devapālaśarman (Basahi pl. c. 1116 A.D.), Bālādityaśarman (Raiwan grant c. 1130 A. D.), Devavarman, Bhūpati and Śrīdhara (Gagaha pl. c. 1143 A. D.), Śrīdhara (Lar pl. c. 1146 A.D.), Kulhe and Anantaśarman (Bangavan pl. c. 1151 A.D.), and Rāuta Rājyadharavarman. They usually granted the donees the right to collect bhāgabhogakara, pravanikara and turuskadanda taxes.

## Legal Status:

In Europe, as well as in India, feudal theory laid great emphasis on the sacrosanct character of the king's person. The king stood at the head of the feudal hierarchy. He is often described in inscriptions and literature as having his feet worshipped by dependent princes. According to the Prabandhachintāmaṇi¹o⁵ the Kalachuri King Karṇa had as many as 136 subordinate kings who obeyed him. The author of the Mānasollāsa considered feudalism such a wellestablished institution that one of the functions of the Minister of Peace and War was to keep close contact with the feudatories.¹o⁶ The vassals not only attended the royal court but also received dresses from the Emperor at the time of witnessing elephant sports and games.¹o♂ These feudatories are mentioned in the official grants of almost all the dynasties of Northern India.

The institution of feudalism became an integral part of the early mediaeval monarchy in Northern India. Sāmantas participated in the royal coronation. Lakṣmīdhara, quoting Brahma Purāṇa, states that at the time of coronation-bath, the sāmantas and ministers hold the umbrella and wave fly-whisk etc. This ceremony is further elaborated in the Viṣṇudharmottara which has not been referred to by Lakṣmīdhara but quoted by Mitramiśra in his Vīramitrodaya. This probably indicates the formal acceptance of the king by the ministers, priests and feudatories and ultimately, by the people.

Another practice which was observed immediately after the coronation was the participation of feudals in a ceremonial dinner. According to the Brahma Purāṇa. 110 the king shares out the given food to the dependants and then eats himself. This partaking of food by the king was given a feudal touch at least as early as the ninth century A. D.. Abu Zeid, visiting India in 867 A. D., gives an elaborate description of the ceremonial feast. 111 Those officials who had had the privilege of dining with the king were bound to sacrifice their life at the funeral pyre of the king. A parallel to it may be found also in Tacitus, who states that when Otto committed suicide, some soldiers slew themselves near his funeral pyre, "not because of any fault or from fear, but

prompted by a desire to imitate his glorious example and moved by affection for their emperor". 112

The description of arrangement of seats in the royal court also shows how feudalism became part and parcel of the system of government in our period. According to the Sukranītisāra, 113 the sons, grandsons, brothers and nephews sat at the back and the daughter's sons on the right of the king. Uncles, superiors of the king, members of the assembly, agnates and cognates sat in front of the king, to his right, sat other elders of the family o his maternal grandfather, ministers, and to the left sat the father-in-law and brothers-in-law and officers (adhikāriṇaḥ). But the Mānasollāsa 114 gives a different order of seats. While the princes (Kumāras) and the priest sat in front of the king, the maṇḍalādhīśvaras or governors of maṇḍalas, sāmantāmātyakas or feudatory-princes and their ministers in front of the king on the right and left sides.

Amongst the agnates, close relatives of the kings, the vassals wearing crowns, the sāmantas and the maṇḍalādhīśvaras who were allotted seats in the royal court, were also some of the princes who had been defeated in war and given the status of vassals.

## Grades of Vassals:

The grades of feudatories are indicated by titles such as mahāsāmantādhipati, mahāsāmanta, mahāmaṇḍaleśvara, rājanyaka māṇḍalika, nṛpa, sāmanta, rāṇaka, rāuta, and thakkura. All these grades, however, cannot be found in each and every kingdom. Though we come across the title Maṇḍaleśa, we do not find a mahāsāmanta. rāṇaka, māṇḍalika or mahāmaṇḍaleśvara in Kashmir. Titles of rāutas are not found in the grants of the Pāla rulers. Similarly, the rank of mahāsāmantādhipati or maṇḍalādhipati is not mentioned in the grants of the Gāhaḍavālas.

The order of precedence of these feudatories cannot be definitely ascertained. The title of Rāṇaka was held by the Bhañjas of Khimjalimaṇḍala. (Ma)Dommanapāla, who

was practically an independent chief in the Sena Kingdom, retained the titles of Rānaka and Mahāsāmanta, though he also styled himself as Mahārāja. Mahāmandaleśvara Lavaņaprasāda, Viradhavala, and Mahāmāndalika Piplārāja, ruler of Kekind held the title of Rānaka. It appears from the Toragel inscription (line 8) that Mahāmandaleśvara Bhūta was above the mandalikas (mandalikamukha-darpana I. A. XII. 96). In the same Chaulukya kingdom to which Bhūta and his ancestors belonged, we find that that the Paramara chief Dhārāvarsa of Chandrāvati,116 who had the same status of a vassal-governor, was given the title of Māndalika only. Sometimes an ordinary feudal lord like Sāhilla, endowed with the status of Thakkura, attained the right of using the Panchamahāśabda.117 In an earlier period, Sāmantas Bappabhaţţi and Mandalla, who wrote the records respectively in 739 and 775118 A.D., used pañcha-mahāśabda. In Bengal, while under the Pālas, the rānakas have no place in the order of precedence, as given in their inscriptions, the Madanpur plate of Śrīchandra<sup>119</sup> gives precedence to the rānaka over the rājaputra, mahāsandhivigrahika, mahāsenāpati and mahāsāmanta.

Literature, however, clearly distinguishes between various orders of 'kings' and feudatories. The criteria may have been the use of crowns or revenue or judicial power. According to the Mānasāra, there were eight types of feudatories under the Sārvabhauma or Chakravartī ruler. The last four grades of feudatories, namely, mandaleśa, paţţabhaja, prāhāraka and astragrāha, were definitely landed aristocrats. Hemachandra categorically states that the mandalādhīśa is a second-rate king, who has to depend on others, has authority only over an area designated mandala and is below the Chakravartī emperor. 120

But the Śukranītisāra<sup>121</sup> distinguishes between the various grades of feudatories on the basis of annual income and area of jurisdiction. The Svarāt type of king had either an annual income varying from fiftyone lakhs to one crore of karşas or, who realised the revenue of 10,000 villages. Below the Svarāt, the mahārājā had an annual revenue of 21 to 50 lakhs

FEUDALISM 23

Karşas, Nripa-māṇḍalika to 10 lakhs Karşas, Sāmantanripa upto 30 lakhs, and sāmantas having an annual income of one lakh Karşas.<sup>122</sup> The above-mentioned text also informs us that there were several types of sāmantas, viz Hīna and Anu-sāmantas who received salary from the king-emperor. In Kashmir, some of the dāmaras were appointed on a salary basis by King Jayasinha.<sup>123</sup> Kalhana states that by this policy of appointing dāmaras in salaried service, King Jayasinha "increased (the number) of those who belonged to the inner court (ābhyantara), while reducing (the number of persons) of the outer court (vāhya),"<sup>124</sup>

It is noteworthy that neither the earlier works like the Mahābhārata, <sup>125</sup> Viṣṇusmriti, <sup>126</sup> and Viṣṇudharmottara, <sup>127</sup> nor later works like the Mānasollāsa, <sup>128</sup> Krityakalpataru-Rājadharmakāṇḍa <sup>129</sup> and the Rājanītiratnākara give the status of sāmanta to those persons appointed by the Emperor to look after the administration of 10, 20, 100, or 1000 villages,

The legal status of feudatories is fully discussed Chandesvara's Rājanītiratnākara, which was written in the early part of the fourteenth century A. D. . Chandesvara was a feudatory himself, holding the title of Mahārāia and serving as the Prime Minister of Harisimhadeva of the Karņāța Brāhmin dynasty. His father's younger Ganeśvara is described in his son Rāmadatta's Chāndogya-Mahārājādhirāja Mahāsāmantapālitam mantroddhāra as Mahāmāhattakeśa, and his another son, Govindadatta. describes him in Govindamānasollāsa as Śrīmanesa-Mahāmahattaka Mahārājādhjirājo-Mahā sāmantādhipatir-vikasvara Yasah puspasya janmadrumah. 181 He has defined three classes of sovereigns, namely samrāt, rājā and adhīśvara. Quoting the Mahābhārata<sup>132</sup> and Manu, he states that Rājās and adhīśvaras are below the rank of a samrāt, who collects taxes from all kings. It appears from Manu, as quoted by Chandesvara that Sa-karas or those paying tributes regularly every month or every year were known as Rājās and the A-karas were those who paid tributes occasionally on the pretext of courtesy-visits to the samrāt, were known as adhīśvaras.<sup>133</sup> He describes the A-kara Adhīśvaras as belonging to two varieties, <sup>134</sup> namely, one who rules by his own prowess and awards punishment according to his own will, and the other who is exempted from payment of tribute by favour of the emperor. He also refers to an unnamed Smriti work in support of the second type of a-kara adhīśvara. But the first type paid some tributes on pretext of courtesy call or by sending envoys.

Chandesvara opines that sa-kara räjās are also of two kinds. The first, called the adhikritadanda. has the right to administer criminal justice and their decision is final. But in civil cases there is an appeal from their judgment to the emperor. But those sa-kara rājās who are called anadhikritadanda have the right to administer civil law but have got no power to award punishment or no jurisdiction over criminal cases. The civil cases decided by them may be referred to the Emperor in appeal. If he rashly usurps more power or goes against the sovereign, he becomes liable, in case of sāhasa to pecuniary punishment; but in cases where sāhasa is not involved, the emperor shows his displeasure by refusing him interview for two or three days and by rebukes.

There were elaborate ceremonies like delivery of a turf. stone, wand, etc., with which a fief used to be conferred on a vassal in mediaeval Europe. In India, we come across only one ceremonial form, which was the conferring of the pañchamahāśabda. This ceremony was not prevalent before the eighth century A. D.. We do not read of it in the records of the Maurya—Gupta emperors. It came to be conferred on the feudatories by the Valabhīs, Rāṣṭrakūṭas, Chaulukyas, Gurjara-Pratīhāras and the Bhañjas of Orissa. The five instruments, which were associated with pañchamahāśabda, were according to the Vivekachintāmaṇi viz., śriṅga or horn, tammaṭa or haliage, tabor or timbrel, śaṅkha or conch, bherī or kettledrum and jayaghaṇṭā or bell, cymbał or gong.<sup>136</sup> The term, however, was used in Kashmir in a

different sense. It appears from the Rājatarangiņī (IV. 140-143 and 680) that pañchamahāśabda was conferred on Mitraśarman and Utpalaka by Lalitāditya and Chippaṭajayāpīḍa respectively, because they controlled the five offices viz., mahāpratīhārapīḍa (high chamberlain), mahāsandhivigrahika (minister of foreign affairs), mahāśvaśālā (chief master of horse), mahābhānḍāgāra (high keeper of treasury) and mahāsādhanabhāga (chief executive officer). Whatever might have been the meaning of the title, it is certain that paāchamahāśabda was conferred only on very powerful and influential feudatories. During the period under survey, this rank was enjoyed by vassals of the Chaulukyas, Paramāras, Kalachuris of Tripurī and Ratanpur, and Somavamśī kings. 138

The above-mentioned feudatories, it will be seen, flourished mostly in the period when the power of their respective suzerains declined. The period in which *Mahākumāras* Lakṣmīvarman, Hariśchandra, Udayavarman and Devapāla flourished (c. 1144-1218 A.D.), were the worst days for the Paramāras of Malwa.

The titles of the Telegu-Choda King Someśvaradevavarman II (c. 1105-1130 A. D.), as recorded in the Mahada plates are interesting. He is styled as Pañchamahāśabdasamanvita Mahāmahīmanḍaleśvara Mahābhūpatimalla and chakravartin in the plates. Dr. D. C. Sircar and M. Venkataramayya rightly observe, that "this combination of subordinate and imperial titles shows that the issuer of the Charter owed only nominal allegiance to the overlords of his predecessors." Someśvara of South Kośala was possibly a feudatory of Someśvara, the Chhindaka-Nāga King. These two Someśvaras were fighting for the control of Suvarṇapura against the Kalachuri King Jājalladeva I and the Somavamśī king Someśvara Bhujaballa. 140

# Obligations of vassals:

On being invested with a fief, the feudatories had to assume certain obligations. According to Dr. A. S. Altekar,

the feudatories were not permitted to issue coins and they had to (a) mention the name of the overlord, (b) attend the imperial Court on ceremonial occasions, (c) pay regular tribute, (d) make presents on festive occasions and when daughters were married and (e) send a certain number of troops.<sup>141</sup>

The name of the overlord is mentioned in almost all the epigraphs of the feudatories, excepting the cases where they had assumed almost complete sovereignty. The omission of the name of the overlord was a common feature of the periods of succession of weak rulers in all the dynasties our period. (Ma)dommanapāla,142 a feudatory of during Lakşmanasena, Mahānripati Indradhavala. 148 whose ancestors were feudatories of the Gahadavalas, Vyasa Maryadasagara and Sodhadeva,144 feudatory of the Gurjara-Pratīhāras, Paramāras of Jalor<sup>145</sup> after 1055 A. D., Chhittarājadeva, <sup>146</sup> feudatory of Chaulukya Bhīma I and Someśvara II of the Telegu Choda lineage, feudatory of the Nagavamsi kings, do not mention the names of their overlords while making grants. Similarly, when the Kalachuris of Ratanpur became strong enough to assert their independence, they ceased to regard themselves as mahāmandaleśvaras under the Kalachuris of Tripurī. The successors of Prithvideva I made land-grants without any reference to their former suzerains at Tripuri.

That the feudatories had to attend the imperial court on ceremonial occasions is evident from contemporary inscriptions and literature. They were present at the time of the coronation of the King. The commentary to the Rāmacharita IV. 16 states that *Manḍalādhipati* Chandra of Anga "caused jubilation among the people by spreading out the rich collection of materials for the coronation ceremony" of King Madanapāla. Similarly, the vassals of Kashmir attended the coronation of Gulhana at Lohara. 148

The obligation of vassals to pay tributes to the king is clear from Chandesvara's Rājanītiratnākara. Inscriptions

FEUDALISM 27

clearly prove that the kings raised tributes from feudatories. The Ratanpur inscription (verses 21-23) dated KS 861/1114 A. D., states that Jājalladeva I received annual tribute or presents from the chiefs of maṇḍalas of (Dakṣi) ṇa Kośala, Andhra Khimḍi, Vairāgara, Lañjikā, Bhānāra, Talahāri, Daṇḍakapura, Nandāvolī and Kukkuṭa.

The obligation of military service of the feudal lords to their overlords bears close resemblance to that in Europe. Though the number of days of military service was not fixed as in Europe and Jerusalem, 151 yet it can be said with certainty that the North Indian feudatories had to adhere to the King's side, while the latter fought against other powers. It has already been shown that the Sukranitisara (IV.7.9-21, 481 and 514-15), Mānasollāsa (II. 114 -1147) and Rāmacharita (I. 45 and II. 2) categorically state that the feudal soldiers helped the kings in wars. Not only in recovery of Varendri, but also in the victorious expeditions against Pīthī, Assam, Orissa, the feudatory chiefs rallied to the cause of Rāmapāla. If the reading of the Rajibpur inscription by Bhattasali<sup>152</sup> is accepted. Gopāla III was fortunate in having been served by Aidadeva and his eight other associates in his deadly struggle with the Senas in 1140 A.D.. The Paramaras of Malwa were helped to a great extent by their collateral feudatories of Vāgada, 153 in their wars against the Gurjaras. Similarly, other contemporary dynasties<sup>134</sup> received military help from their vassals on many occasions and expeditions. Arjuna, a feudatory of the Chandellas, slew the Guriara-Pratīhāra King Rājyapāla to the jubilation of his master Vidyādhra. Similarly, Kīrttirāja defended the fort of Gwalior against the onslaught of Sultan Mahmud in 1022 A.D. The Rajim inscription tells us that Thakkura Sāhilla's son Svāmin and grandsons and great-grandson Jagapal carried on successful wars for their masters, the Kalachuri kings of Ratanpur (I. A. XVII. 136-138).

The history of Kashmir shows that succession to the fief required the sanction and recognition of the overlord in

normal times.<sup>156</sup> It was also true of the feudatory chiefs of Kakaredikā<sup>156</sup> under the Kalachuris of Tripurī.

#### Sub-infeudation.

All the big feudatories had their own vassals, like sāmantas, thakkuras, räutas etc. The commentary of the Rāmacharita II. 5 and 6, states that Rāmapāla's feudatories Laksmīśūra of Aparamandār and Soma of Paduvanyā were served by a number of sub-samantas. Similarly, Malayasimha and Salakhanavarman, vassals of the Kalachuri Kings of Tripuri had a number of sāmantas under them, who are mentioned in inscriptions. The system of sub infeudation can also be found in the kingdom of the Gahadavalas, Chandellas, Paramaras, and Chaulukyas A number of epigraphs show that almost all big feudal nobles were served by sub-vassals, of the rank of Thakkura. 158 Amongst these, Thakkuras Mahāditya, Sīlaņa (Rewa inscr.) Vāchchhuka, Rāsala (Bhopal pl.) in the kingdom of the Kalachuris and Paramāras were recipients of land grants. The Thakkuras in Kashmir were so powerful that even kings like Harsa engaged them to kill Dhamata (RT. VII 1040, 1045). Some of these sub-vassals like Rāuta Ānanda under Rāņaka Vijayakarņa in Mirzapur district, Rāņā Sankarasiha under Mahāmandalesvara Srī Vapanadeva of Godhra, and Rānaka Amma under Yasovarman in Malwa, were rich enough to make donations for temples and deities. 1.39 At the same time, they were inspired by the idea of loyalty to their immediate liege-lord and sometimes took up arms even against the sovereign of the country. In Kashmir. Mallaka did not hesitate to fight valiantly for his masters Kamaliya and Chatuska, who rebelled against King Jayasimha 160

# Dis-services of feudalism:

The ircrease in the number and strength of the feudatories weakened the central authority in almost every state. The hostile  $s\bar{a}mantas$  of Bengal brought about the end of the rule

FEUDALISM 29

of Mahīpāla II. Gargachandra, a dāmara became the kingmaker and successively installed three kings, namely. Uchchala, Salhana and Sussala, on the throne of Kashmir. Gargachandra and Sussala quarrelled and soon began a vicious circle of intrigues so common in mediaeval Kashmir.

The feudatories of our period were always on the lookout for becoming independent. It was probably for this reason that the Agni Purāṇa (ch. 226. 11) states that the king should first satisfy the discontented subordinate sāmantas and his amātyas. Again the same Purāṇa, elsewhere, and the Matsya Purāṇa, as quoted by Mitramiśra, 161 plainly state that the sāmantas are to be treated as enemies of the state.

The highly unreliable character of the sāmantas, as depicted in the above-mentioned Puranas, can be corroborated by inscriptions. If Malayasimha of the inscriptions dated KS 944/1192-93 A.D., KS 962/1211 A.D. and KS 963/1212 A. D., be the same person, he transferred his allegiance some time between 1211-1212 A.D., from the Kalachuri King Vijayasimha to the Chandella King Trailokyavarman. 162 Similarly the son and grandsons of Sallakşanavarman, a chieftain of Kakaredikā and a feudatory of the Kalachuri ruler Vijavasimha, transferred their allegiance to the Chandella King Trailokyavarman, some time during the years 1239-1241 A.D. 163 The Chaulukyas of Anahillapataka also experienced the same misfortune. The Dvyāśrayakāvya164 informs us that when the sāmantas Vijaya and Krsna were sent to oppose Vallala, the usurper of the throne of Malwa. went over to the side of the latter. King Kumārapāla, to his utter surprise, learnt during the course of a campaign against the Chahamana King Arnoraja that his feudatories had been bribed by the latter.165 In Bengal, the treacherous nobles who changed sides, were Mahamandalika Īśvaraghosha of Dhekkarīya and Śūra chief of Apara-mandāra in Hoogly district. The Senas, Gāhadavālas and Mahāsāmantādhipati Nānyadeva, a feudatory to the Karņāţa

Chālukya King Vikramāditya VI, established independent kingdoms in Bengal, the Uttar Pradesh and Mithila.

The Rājataranginī provides us with a vivid picture of the role of feudal barons. These barons of Kashmir, were the most disturbing and at the same time, the most dangerous elements in the state. Like the English barons of the time of Stephen and Matilda, the dāmaras took sides with the aspirants to the throne in all the wars of succession with a view to securing lands and riches. They also utilised the opportunity to aggrandise themselves by plunder. Kalhana states (RT. VIII 1028) that they neither liked strong kings nor valiant persons desirous of establishing law and order in the country.

The meaning of the word and the exact status of dāmaras has not been indicated by Kashmiri historians like Kalhaṇa, Jonarāja and Kṣemendra. They were certainly not 'rebels,' a meaning given in the St. Petersburg dictionary. 166 Nor did they belong to a particular tribe known as lavanyas, 167 though at times some dāmaras have been designated as lavanyas, as for example Tikka, Pṛithvīhara, Koṣṭheśvara and Tṛillaka. 168 Had all the dāmaras been identical with lavanyas, the latter would not have been mentioned for the first time in the reign of Harṣa. Nowhere prior to Harṣa's reign, have the dāmaras been called lavanyas. Stein rightly pointed out that the dāmaras were feudal lords.

It appears that the dāmaras were influential landed aristocrats. A prosperous cultivator acquiring wealth seems to have been able to enter into the rank of the dāmaras. Lalitāditya (c. 699-736 A. D.) on his death-bed warned his successors against allowing persons to accumulate property. "Every care should be taken that there should not be left with the villagers more food supply than required for one year's consumption, nor more oxen than wanted for (tillage of) their fields", because, "if they should keep more wealth, they would become in a single year formidable Dāmaras and strong enough to neglect the commands of the King," The intimate connection between agriculture and these dāmaras.

is noticeable in the following remark of Kalhana. Jayyaka rose to the position of a dāmara by "the revenue of his land, and by selling victuals as a trader to far-off regions," and "having the ground dug up for a krośa and a half, he filled it constantly with heaps of money (dīnāra) and then had rice plentifully sown over it." The same writer also records elsewhere that they were "cultivators, though they carry arms." He also carefully mentions the locality of these landed aristocrats.

Several factors were responsible for the rise of  $d\bar{a}maras$  to power. Frequent wars of succession afforded opportunity to them to aggrandise themselves at the expense of the state by alternately siding with the rival claimants to the throne. In the period between the death of Lalitāpīda and accession of Jayasimha, there were forty-six kings, out of whom as many as thirty were either puppets or rulers for an extremely short period.

Like the feudatories of other kingdoms in northern India, the  $d\bar{a}maras$  increased their power by giving their daughters and sisters to the royal princes. It is rather startling to find that there is not a single instance of a  $d\bar{a}mara$  marrying a royal princess. The  $d\bar{a}maras$  had under their command both infantry and cavalry forces and occupied fortified castles.

The dāmaras were probably not in possession of cavalry force till the accession of Uchchala to the throne in 1101 A.D. As early as 855-856 A.D.. in the reign of Avantīvarman, Dhanva, a dāmara appeared before Śūra with a regiment of infantry.<sup>178</sup> And even as late as Harṣa's reign (1089-1101 A.D.) "the dāmaras who, being themselves mostly on foot, were afraid of the (king's) cavalry, brought him (i.e. Uchchala) up once more by the difficult mountain route of Lohara." But with the weakening of royal authority, the dāmaras strengthened themselves by recruiting cavalry from the beginning of the twelfth century.

The dāmaras lived in castles. Probably, the term upave-sana, 175 used by Kalhana for their residence means little

castles. In the greater portions of the valley of Maḍavarājya, we find the *upaveśanas* of the *dāmaras* in Khaḍūvi, Holaḍā, Samāṅgāsā, Utrāsa, Devasarasa, Kalyāṇapura, Naunagara and Degrāma.<sup>176</sup> These areas and other seats of *dāmaras* in Selyapura, Śamāla, Lahara and Rājavihara had great strategic importance

Thus the dāmaras flourishing in a period of chronic disorder naturally fished in troubled waters. During our period, they were in the ascendancy in the court as well as in the country. Dāmaras attained predominance in the reign of Samgrāmarāja<sup>177</sup> (1003-1028 A. D.), and continued to dominate the scene till the period of our survey.<sup>178</sup>

The history of Kashmir in the Lohāra period is a history of the attempts of the dāmaras to capture and retain political power in their own hands. They were emblems of anarchy and disorder. Their main interest was always to maintain a chaotically weak administration. They did not try to restrain the king from cruel oppressions, nor did they work for a stable government. They set up Salhaṇa on the throne and during his rule, robbers did not hesitate to plunder the people at mid-day, "what need to speak more of the traffic on roads" (RT VIII 418). Almost similar was the condition in the reign of Bhikṣāchara. In the reign of Jayasimha, the dāmarās offered help to Somapāla. They knew that this chieftain of Rājapurī, on account of the defects of his person and character, would allow them to have "the pleasures of the rule entirely for themselves." (RT. VIII 1490-1492),

There are numerous instances to show that much of the misery of mediaeval Kashmir was due to the rapacious nature of the dāmaras.

The Kashmirian kings were equally unfortunate with regard to their vassal states. Harşa had to undertake a war against Samgrāmapāla of Rājapurī. But when anarchy set in, Samgrāmapāla gave shelter openly to the pretender Uchchala. Sussala had to meet a more formidable conspiracy of the vassal hill-states. Queen Āsamatī purchased

their help in favour of the exiled pretender Bhiksachara. Jāsata of Champa, Vajradhara of Babbāpura, Rājā Sahajapāla of Vartula and the Crown-princes of Trigarta and Vallapura espoused the cause of the pretender. The rebellion, however, collapsed for lack of funds. 180 Again, soon after the disposal of Gargachandra, the dāmarā Somapāla invited Bhiksāchara to his estate. Consequently, the king dethroned Somapala and set up Nāgapāla. But shortly within a year, Nāgapāla had to vacate the throne for Somapāla. The ruler of Rājapuri continued his activities against Sussala. But even after setting up friendly Bhiksachara on the throne. Somapala could not forget his old enmity with Sussala. He pursued and attacked Sussala, who had taken refuge at Lohara after his abdication.181 The exiled king secured victory over the disaffected chief at Parnotsa in 1121 A. D. He continued his nefarious activities in the reign of Sussala's successor. Javasimha.

#### Estimate:

The evils of feudal anarchy have been depicted in lurid colours by the masterly pen of Kalhana. We have drawn upon him extensively to show how the centrifugal tendencies were accentuated by the feudatories of this period. Unlike the French monarchs, the Kashmirian kings were not able to destroy the castles of barons. Nor could they rally the common people round the royal banner. The townsmen of Toulouse wrote to Louis VII (1137-1180 A D.): "After God we appeal to you as to our good master, our protector, our liberator." Never did the people of Kashmir or any other Indian state make any such appeal to their monarch.

Feudalism, in Europe, in the words of Hallam, "by preserving the mutual relations of the whole, kept alive the feeling of a common country and common duties, and settled, after the lapse of ages, into the free constitution of England the firm monarchy of France, and the federal Union of Germany<sup>182</sup>." But in India, the boundaries of almost every state were changing so frequently that it was difficult either for the nobility or for the common people to be inspired by a sense of loyalty to the state, as distinguished from its government by a particular dynasty. Hence, feudalism did not usher in that spirit of civil liberty, which characterised the constitutional history of mediaeval England. The chief factor responsible for such a state of affairs was the failure of kings to stop the right of feudal lords to indulge in private warfare. The common people were so incessantly harassed by these wars that it became difficult, if not impossible, for them to develop that spirit of patriotism which promotes solidarity in a state.

The mediaeval monarchs of Northern India failed to put themselves at the head of common people who were continuously harassed by the feudal nobility. Had they been able to assume such leadership, it would not have been difficult to suppress the baronial anarchy. But they preferred to rely on the support of one group of feudatories in their attempt to quell the disturbances created by others. Most of the feudal chiefs were opportunists and time-servers. They did not feel any pang of conscience in deserting the cause of their hereditary overlord and transferring their allegiance to a powerful invader, who promised greater privileges to them. old ideal of kindness to the ruling family of the conquered region, which actuated the invader not to annex the territory and to install one of its scions to subordinate rulership, became in this age a prime cause for the instability of political condition. Moreover, monarchs like Paramara Bhoja, Kalachuri Karna, and Someśvara Chālukva, who went out on expedition to very distant areas, had to entrust some of their capable lieutenants with the administration of certain territories as feudal vassals. The personal relation between them might have been strong enough to keep the territories together for a generation or two. But in course of time, the memory of such personal relationship faded out and the feudal rulers tended to assert their independence. The feudal structure of governance of state in mediaeval India stood in the way of national cohesion, which could not be forged even in the face of Turko-Afghan invasions.

# REFERENCES TO CHAPTER I (FEUDALISM)

- 1 Mānasollāsa (GOS. Vol. 28, p. 132) Vimsati II. 20 1146-1147.
- 2 Sukranîtisâra (Cal. ed.) IV. 7. 243; (ed. Sarkar, IV, 7, 481)
- 3 RC (VR. ed.) Canto I.45
- 4 Bärhaspatya Arthaśästra III. 50.
- 5 Cf. also JASB. XIX (1953) p. 105-106 for Bihar Hill Image-inscription, Year 3.
  - 6 EI. VII. 98.
  - 7 Jaynagar Inscr. JASB. XVII (1951) p. 29.
  - 8 IHQ. XXX (1954) pp. 212-213.
  - 9 Struggle for Empire, pp. 61-62.
  - 10 DHNI, Vol. II. p. 701.
  - 11 El. XI. 68.
  - 12 AIOC. 1919, p. 324.
  - 13 IC. VII. p. 7.
  - 14 Struggle for Empire pp. 66-67.
  - 15 Vikramāńkadevacharita, Ch. 18. 93 and IC. VII. 14.
  - 16 IA. XVIII. p. 217.
  - 17 DHNI, II, p. 780.
- 18 Mahoba Inscr. El. I. 219-220; Ajaigadh inscr. in El.I.325; Prabodhachandrodaya I. 9.
  - 19 Nagpur Praśasti, DHNI. Vol. II. p. 872; RC. I. 9.
  - 20 Kautilya VII. 16.
  - 21 Mbh, Śānti. 33. 43-46.
  - 22 Manu VII. 202-203.
  - 23 Visnudharmasütra III. 47-49.
  - 24 Agni Purāna (ed. Cal.) Ch. 236. 22.
  - 25 Sukranitisāra (ed. Cal.) IV. 7. 397-399.
  - 26 Manu VII. 201-202 (trans. G. Jha).
  - 27 Mbh. Śānti. 80. 3.
  - 28 Kautilya VII. 3.
  - 29 Bbh, \$anti, 87. 6-8.
- 30 Manu VII. 119 (ed. Ganganath Jha, Vol. III. pt. II. p. 355-356).
  - 31 Kautilya II. 1.

- 32 Altekar and Majumdar; New History of the Indian People, Vol. VI. p. 172.
  - 33 Classical Age pp. 39, 40 ff.
  - 34 Ibid p. 67-68.
  - 35 Ibid p. 30-31.
  - 36 PHAI (4th ed.), p. 533; cf. Classical Age p. 61.
  - 37 Ibid p. 531.
  - 38. IHQ. XXIV (1948) p. 72-74.
  - 39 HB I. p. 52.
  - 40 Bh. List. No. 1376.
  - 41 Ibid No. 1741.
  - 42 Ibid No. 1339.
  - 43 HB I. p. 274.
  - 44 Ibid p. 114 and 275.
- 45 Bh. List Nos. 1413, 1416 and 1556; 1561, 1567-1568 respectively.
  - 46 Ibid No. 1385 and 1386.
  - 47 DHNI II pp. 590, 668, 670 and 672,
  - 48 Ibid I. p. 590, II. p. 1161, 1168.
  - 49 Ibid I p. 594-5.
  - 50 Ibid II. p. 745.
  - 51. Ibid I p. 595 and II p. 1059.
  - 52 AR p. 263.
  - 53 DHNI II p. 849.
  - 54 AR p. 265.
  - 55 DHNI II p. 755.
  - 56 Bh List Nos. 1385-86.
  - 57 Ibid Nos. 44 and 1085.
  - 58 AR p. 161.
  - 59 Ibid p. 177.
  - 60 Bh. List Nos. 1556, 1560, 1565, 1567-68.
- 61 Hallam: View of the State of Europe During the Middle Ages Vol. I. p. 153.
  - 62 On Manu VII. 154 (ed. Ganganath Jha).
  - 63 Mānasollāsa (Vol. I. p. 40) Vim. II. 2. 128
  - 64 Sukranītisāra IV. 7, 9 and 12 (ed. Cal.).
  - 65 Ibid IV. 7, 259 (ed. Cal); ed. Sarkar IV. 7. 514-515.
- 66 The above statement is based on the interpretation of Dr. D. C. Sircar in IC. I. p. 680; but Dr. B. C. Sen in IHQ. X. p. 326 f. n. 11, has taken the passage to mean that he reduced his own Samantas to helplessness.
  - 67 RT VIII. 21-32.

- 68 DHNI II. 919 and 925.
- 69 IA. XVII. p. 135 ff.
- 70 IA. XII (1883) p. 97.
- 71 BG I. i. p. 200: DHNI II. 1024-1025 and Majumdar, A. K.: Chaulukyas of Gujarat, p. 461, where the passage has been quoted and translated.
  - 72 Sukranîtisara IV. 7. 801-809 (ed. Sarkar).
  - 73 JOHRS, I. p. 297.
  - 74 DHNI II, 872-73.
  - 75 DV. XII. 65-76, XIII. 2.
  - 76 BG. I. i. p. 185 and DHNI II. 914 and 990.
  - 77 RT. VII. 218.
  - 78 DHNI. II. p. 751.
  - 79 Prabandhachintāmaņi Tr. Tawney, pp. 95-6.
  - 80 Bh. List No. 1744 and JOHRS I. 279.
- 81 Kokkalla I made each of his seventeen sons a mandalapati (DHNI II. 756-57): Alhan and Kelhana gave fiels to their brothers and nephews (Bh. List Nos. 310, 373, 377, 385; DHNI II. 1125 and 1183); Chaulukya Karna to nephew Devaprasāda (DHNI II. 963) and Kumārapāla to his cousin Arnorāja (BG I. ii. p. 197-98).
  - 82 Agni Purana (Cal. ed) ch. 233. 14 and 24.
  - 83 Sukranîtisara (ed. Sarkar) I. 691-699.
  - 84 IA. XVII. p. 11.
  - 85 Rājanītiratnākara (ed. Jayaswal) ch. 11. p. 10.
  - 86 Sukranîtisara II 333-336, cf. also II. 110-112 (ed. Sarkar)
  - 87 DHNI II p. 712.
  - 88 Ibid I pp. 516, 517-521, 523-25, 533, 536-538.
  - 89 Ibid II. p. 715.
  - 90 Koni inscr. verse 35; El. XXVII. p. 283.
  - 91 Rewa inscr. verses 14-15, dated 1192 A. D.
  - 92 IA. XI. p. 338.
- 93 EI. XXIII p. 227-28 (Sone East Bank Cp. dated vs. 1254-1197 A.D.)
  - 94 IA, XVIII (1889) pp. 136 and 139.
- 95 Pali Jaina inscr. dated V.S. 1201/1145 A.D. in Bh. List No. 264.
  - 96 Nadol pl. dated V.S. 1213/1156 A.D. in Ibid No. 296.
  - 97 Garra grant dated V.S. 1261/1295 A.D., DHN1 II 723.
  - 98 EI. XX. p. 133.
  - 99 Icchawar pl. dated V.S. 1228/1171 A.D. DHNI II 715.
- 100 Examples of inter-marriages amongst feudatories are:

  Devarakshita of Pīthī marrying daughter of Mahana of Anga (EI
  IX. 325): Vijayasena marrying Vilāsadevī, Šūra princes (HB I. p.

- 210, DHNI. I. p. 358), Ratnarāja I marrying daughter of Vajuvarman, prince of Komo-maṇḍala (DHNI II 804), Koṣṭhaka m. dtr. of Dāmara (R Vasanta T. VIII 2334-2337).
  - 101 EI. XXIV p. 105.
  - 102 RT. VIII 460, 1607.
  - 103 HB I. p. 166.
  - 104 RT. VIII 287, 1443-1444, 1464-1465,1648, 1649, 3394.
  - 105 Prabandhachintāmaņi (Tr. Tawney) p. 72.
  - 106 Mānasollāsa (Vol. I. p. 40), II. 2. 177.
  - 107 Ibid (Vol. II). III, 4, 38 and IV, 1, 3,
  - 108 Rāj. On abhişeka p. 10.
  - 109 Vīramitrodaya, Rājanītiprakāśa, p. 56.
- 110 Brahma Purāṇa quoted in Rāj. p. 13 and also in Vīramitro-daya, Rājanītiprakāša p. 46. In the latter text the word 'visrijya' of Rāj. has been written as 'vibhajya.'
- 111 Ancient Accounts of India and China (ed. Renaudot) p. 79; "They dress a great quantity of rice and pour it out upon leaves of the Monsa in sight of the king. Then three or four hundred persons come of their own accord without the least constraint on the part of the king, and present themselves before him. After he has eaten some of this rice, he gives a little of it to each of them, as fast as they come up to him, one after another, and they eat in his presence. By the cating of this rice they all engage to burn themselves on the day the king dies, or is slain, and they punctually fulfill their promise, throwing themselves into the fire till the very last, so that no one soul of them is left behind", vide also HIED Vol. 1, p. 9.
  - 112 Tacitus: History II. 49.
  - 113 Sukranītisara I. 354-357.
  - 114 Mānasollāsa (Vol. II. p. 104) II. 3.4-6.
  - 115 RT. VIII. 1117.
  - 116 Kankhal inscr. dated VS. 1265/1209 A.D. Bh. List No. 454.
  - 117 Rajim inscr. (1145 A.D.) DHNI II. 809.
  - 118 El. XII. p. 255.
  - 119 El. XXVIII. p. 57.
  - 120 Abh. p. 277-278.
  - 121 Sukranītisāra I. 186 and 192 (ed. Cal).
  - 122 Ibid I. 183-185 and 191; (ed. Sarkar I. 365-374).
  - 123 RT. VIII. 1542.
  - 124 Stein's translation in his edition of RT. Vol. II. p. 121.
  - 125 Mbh. 12. 87. 6-8.
  - 126 Visnu 3. 7. 10.
  - 127 Visnudharmottara quoted in Vira-Rajaniti p. 249.
  - 128 Mānasollāsa (Vol. 28. p. 43), Virhsati II. 159-161.

- 129 Rāj. pp. 79-81.
- 130 Rājanītiratnākara (1936 ed. Jayaswal) p. 60. The quotation from Manu by Chandesvara, is different from Laksmidhara.
- 131 Majumdar, Bimanbehari and Mitra, K. N: Vidyāpati, Intro. p. VII.
  - 132 Rājanītiratnākara, ed. Jayaswal, p. 4.
  - 133 Ibid, p. 3 (ed. Jayaswal, 1936).
  - 134 Ibid p. 4.
  - 135 Ibid p. 4-5.
  - 136 IA. XII. (1883) p. 96; El. XII. p. 255.
  - 137 RT. ed. Stein, Vol. I. p. 133.
- 138 Mahāmanḍaleśvaras Chittarājadeva (El. XII. 250-268) Tejahpāla (Glories of Marwar, p. 216) Āhavāmalla Bhūteyadeva (1A. XII. 96) under Chaulukyas of Anahilapāṭaka; Mahākumūras Lakṣmīvarmadeva, Hariśchandra Udayavarmadeva and Devapāla (1A. XVI p. 257, JASB. VII p. 737. DHNI II. p. 900) under Paramāras of Malwa; Mahārāṇaka Salakhanavarmadeva (1A. XVII. p. 229 and Bh. List. No. 432), Mahāmaṇḍalésvara Prithvīdeva (DHNI II. 805 and 1000) under Kalachuris of Tripurī; Thakkura Sāhilla (1A. XVII p. 136) under Kalachuris of Ratanpur; Rāṇaka Puṇja (JOHRS I. p. 292) under Somavanisīs and also Mahāmahī-maṇḍaleśvara Someśvara of Kośala (El. XXVIII p. 284).
  - 139 El. XXVIII p. 284.
  - 140 El. I. 32 ff, JOHRS I. pp. 298-300, El. XXVIII p. 288.
  - 141 State and Government in Ancient India p. 225.
- 142 El. XXVII. pp. 119-122 (Rakshaskhali island pl. dated 1190 A. D.)
  - 143 El, XXIII, pp. 223-230 (Sone East Bank cp. dated 1197 A. D.)
  - 144 El. VII. pp. 85-93 (Kahla pl. 1031 A. D.)
  - 145 DHNI, II, 925.
  - 146 EI, XII. pp. 250-268 (Bhandup pl. dated 1026 A. D.)
  - 147 Rāj, p. 10 and Viramitrodaya, Rajanīti, p. 56.
  - 148 RT. VIII 3303.
  - 149 Rajanītiratnākara I. pp. 4-5.
- 150 El. I. p. 33, 35-36, Cf. also other examples in BG. I. i. p. 194, DHNI III. p. 1001. RT. VII. 991 and VIII. 1970.
- 151 Hallam: The Middle Ages I. p. 171. In most of the countries, including England forty days and in Jerusalem 60 days.
  - 152 IHQ. XVII. pp. 210-217.
  - 153 DHNI, II. 922; History of the Paramaras, p. 338.
- 154 Under (i) the Chandellas, feudatory Arjuna of Dubkund slew Rājyapāla (EI. II. p. 237); Kīrttirāja defended Gwalior against

Sultān Mahmūd and checked aggression of Bhoja (Hist, of the Paramāras p. 106 and IA. XV. p. 36, 42-43). (ii) the Kalachuris of Tripurī and Ratanpur, foudatories Kamalarāja defeated the King of Utkala (El. XIX. p. 79). Malayasimha subjugated the rebellion of another feudatory of Karkkaredikā (MASI. p. 135), Sāhilla's son and grandsons conquered Bhatṭavila and Vihāra, Daṇḍora and Komomaṇḍala (IA. XVII. pp. 136-38), Vallabharāja and Brahmadeva defeated a Gauḍa king and Jāṭeśvara Kāmārṇava, son of Anantavarman Choḍagaṅga respectively (El. XXVII p. 279 and XXVI pp. 257-260). (iii) the Chaulukyas of Gujarat, feudatories fought against Graharipu of Saurāshṭra (Dvyāśraya, V) Malava and Saurāshṭra (El. IX, 70, 72 and 76.) Koṅkana (El. VIII p. 211-212) Chāhamāna King Aṇa (Dvāsraya IX) and Guhila King Sāmantasimha (El. VIII 211).

- 155 RT. VIII 2505, 2741.
- 156 IA. 1888, p. 229.
- 157 MASI, 1931, p. 134; IA XVII, p. 229.
- 158 Thakkuras Śrī Sujāna under Mahānrpati Indradhavala of Japila (Sone East Bank ep. El. XXIII. pp. 223-230). Udayasimha under Mahārāṇaka Harirājadeva in Chandella Km. (Rewa inser. IA. XVII p. 236), Mahāditya and Silhana under Kīrttivarman of Karkkareḍikā in Kalachuri Km. (Rewa inser. IA. XVII p. 226). Vāchchhuka and Rāsala under Mahākumāra Harischandra (Bhopal pl. El. XXIV. p. 227) in Malwa, Rājadeva under Rāyapāla of Naḍdūla (Nadlai Sl. DHNI. II. 1203), Pethaḍa under Rāyapāla of Naḍdūla (Nadol inser. El. XI. 41), Khelāditya under Alhaṇadeva of Nadol (Kiradu Sl. DHNI. II. 979-80), the two Kalaśarājas under Lehara and Rājapuri chieftains in Kashmir (RT. VII. 1040, 1045 and 1267-1294)
- 159 Belkhara SI. (DHNI. I. 545), Dohad inscr. (1A. X. 159). Kalvan pl. (El. XIX. 72).
  - 160 RT. VIII. 2319-2330.
- 161 Agni Purăņa (Cal. ed) ch. 233, V. 14; Cf. also verse 24 and Vīramitrodaya, Rājanītiprekāša p. 277.
  - 162 Rewa inscr. dated KS. 963, (EI. XXV. p. 4).
  - 163 IA. XVII. pp. 230-236.
  - 164 DV. 19. 98. (Kṛtyau bibheda sāmantau nāmnā vijayakṛṣṇakau)
  - 165 Majumdar, A. K. Chaulukyas of Gujarat p. 105-106.
- 166 I have pointed out in my article in PIHC, 1946, p. 192-193 that I do not agree to the meaning of Dāmara as "riotous, rebel" given in the St. Petersburg Dictionary Vol. III p. 125. Some of the Dāmaras like Bimba (RT. 7. 1630) supported the King. Thus they could not have been in a state of perpetual rebellion against all

rulers. Further, the Dāmaras were always seeking opportunities of establishing themselves in power.

167 Wilson: An Essay on the Hindu History of Cashmir, pp. 51-70.

168 RT. VII. 1236-37, VIII 90, 591, 627 and 1127, VIII 2009 and 2012, VIII 1682, 1707, 2730, 2740 and 3284.

169 RT. IV. 347-48.

170 Ibid. VIII. 495-96.

171 Ibid. VIII. 706-710.

172 1bid, VII. 159; VIII. 38; VII. 266; VII. 1022; VII. 1172-73; VIII. 995; VIII. 1306-1307; VIII. 522; VII. 1630-31; VIII. 424; VIII. 202; VII. 223, 1240 and VIII 40; VII. 1240; VIII. 41, 723; VII. 1254; VII. 1228 and VIII. 733; VIII. 651; VII. 1022, VIII. 591, 1517, 2749; VIII, 425.

173 Ibid. V. 57.

174 Ibid. VII. 1360.

175 Ibid VII 1254, VIII 648, 929, 1070, 1124, 1153, 2505.

176 Stein in RT. Vol. II. pp. 458-60, 467-68 and 470.

178 Majumdar, B. p.: Role of Dāmaras in PIHC. 1946 (Fatna Session), pp. 196 ff.

177 RT. VII. 119.

179 Ibid. VII. 967-91.

180 Ibid, VIII 537-52.

180 Ibid, VIII, 537-52.

181 Ibid. VIII. 885-87, 914.

182 Hallam: View of the State of Europe during the Middle Ages, Vol. I p. 269.

#### CHAPTER II

## The Military System and its effects.

Hereditary army and feudalism

Feudal principles permeated the social organisation Northern India in the eleventh and twelfth centuries. social status of a person was not unoften determined by the quantity of land held by him as also by the number of persons, who were dependent on him. This is illustrated by the position of the maula (hereditary) army, which was the main prop of the King both in offensive and defensive wars. Various writers on state-craft, from Kautilya to Chandeśvara, speak of the hereditary army, but none of them clearly explain the sense in which the army is regarded as hereditary. Did the son of a soldier invariably succeed to the office of his father, irrespective of his mental and physical aptitude? If a soldier had a dozen sons, did the king recruit each and every one of them? Was there no limit to the size of the maula force? We do not get any direct answer to any of these questions from the ancient and mediaeval authorities, but we can draw certain conclusions from a few stray hints given by some of these writers. Kautilya1 records his preference of hereditary army over the five other types of armies on the grounds that they depend on the king for maintenance, are being constantly drilled and receive constant favour from the King. Dr. Kane<sup>2</sup> surmises that "they most probably consisted of persons, who and whose ancestors got tax-free lands in lieu of military service." The relation between the King and individual soldiers appears to have been a direct one in the days of Kautilya. twelfth century A.D. it tended to become an indirect one as is evidenced by Manasollasa3, which states that the Kling should make sumptuous provision for the maintenance of the chiefs of the hereditary army by bestowing upon them a village or two or, at times, more villages. The King granted villages to a number of army chiefs, who in their turn must have bestowed land upon the families of individual soldiers. These soldiers cultivated the land either personally or through others on the basis of crop-sharing. There was nothing incongruous in the joining of the profession of arms with that of cultivation as even in the time of Kautilya<sup>1</sup> there were Corporations of Kṣatriyas and others in Kambhoja and Surāṣṭra, who were vārtāṣastropajivinaḥ or followers of the profession of husbandry and trade as well as of arms.

# Brāhmaņas and other castes joining military profession

According to the orthodox theory of the four varnas the profession of arms is the close preserve of the Ksatriyas. This theory has led scholars like Prof. Habib to think that the Bhahmanas did not join the military profession. As a matter of fact, the military profession was adopted by many of the Brahmanas from the days of the epics, if not earlier. The most notable instances are Drona and Kripa of the Mahābhārata. The teachers or predecessors of Kautilya gave preference to the Brahmana army over the Ksatriya, Vaiśya and Śūdra armies. But Kauţilya6 holds that a Brāhmaṇa may be won over by the enemy by making an obeisance before him. He goes further and states that, if an army of Vaisyas and Sūdras be fairly sizable or, if there is an army of welltrained Kstriyas, it is better than a Brāhmana army. shows that the profession of arms was not closed to the castes other than Ksatriyas. This is also corroborated by the evidence of the Sukranītisāra,7 which states that the caste does not determine the quality of soldiers. They may be Śūdras, Ksatriyas. Vaisyas, Mlechchhas or of mixed castes, but they must be brave, self-disciplined, well-built, devoted to their master and their Dharma and actuated by a feeling of hatred towards the enemy. Kāmandaka,8 however, holds that the hereditary army should consist mainly, though not exclusively, of Ksatriyas.

The Commander-in-Chief, according to the Agni-Purāṇa<sup>9</sup> and Matsya Purāṇa,<sup>10</sup> must either be a Brāhmaṇa or a

Ksatriya. The Sukranitisara categorically states that a valiant Ksatriya should be preferred as a Commander-in-Chief; but if such a person be not available, a Brāhmana may be so selected. He is definitely against the selection of a Sūdra as a Commander-in-Chief11. Epigraphic and literary evidences of our period conclusively prove the adoption of military career by castes other than the Ksatriyas. Illustrious examples of Brāhmana warriors are Guravamiśra and Vaidyadeva,12 ministers of the Pāla Kings Nārāyanapāla and Kumarapāla respectively. Māndalika Vanapati. 18 Gopāla. 14 Aiyaka. Kalyānarāja, Ojānanda and Yasorāja<sup>15</sup>. Hemachandra tells us that the army of Ana, Rājā of Sapādalaksa, was led by the Brāhmana general Rāka16. According to Kalhana, the Kayasthas of Kashmir took up the profession of soldiers<sup>17</sup>. The Ramacharita shows that the Kaivartas were valiant warriors. These evidences go to show that whatever might have been the theory of the varnäśrama-dharma, the military profession was not the exclusive monopoly of a single caste. But it was not the bounden duty of every citizen to take up arms in defence of one's country. No state in mediaeval India ever tried to enforce conscription.

# Types of troops

Besides the maula (hereditary) army, the King had to depend largely upon the Bhrita or hired soldiers. The maula army depended for their subsistence on the land-grants of the King. They had to be collected from all parts of the state. The hired soldiers, according to Kautilya<sup>18</sup>, "were stationed near at hand, and always ready to march." Such troops rallied round the banner of a Vijigīṣu or conquering king, with the hope of getting a large share of the booty. They had not, however, any hereditary attachment to the king. When they found the balance of war tipped against their employer, they did not hesitate to desert his cause. This is why the hereditary army was preferred to the hired army. Somadeva, in his Nītivākyāmrita, definitely states that the

maula army does not rebel and cannot be won over by enemies.

The third type of army, which a king enlisted, was called the Sreni or guild levies. Some of these guilds must have been Corporations of soldiers. Others were economic guilds. which maintained armies for the protection of their life and property. These were drawn upon by the king at the time of his need. Kautilya states that these are better than the allied troops, because they "belong to the same country as the King and have the same expectations of loss and gain." The Agni Purāna<sup>19</sup>, Kāmandaka Nītisāra<sup>29</sup> and Nītivākvāmrita21 follow Kautilya in showing preference to maula, bhrita, śrenī and mitra troops in the order in which they are mentioned here. But the Mānasollāsa<sup>22</sup> speaks of maula, bhrita and mitra army as the three best in order of preference and condemns the guild levy as untrustworthy. The fourteenth-century Mithila scholar-statesman Chandesvara explains the cause of not preferring the śrenibala, which according to him, receive payment for their services but flee from the battlefield whenever they find their life in danger.

The fourth source from which a king used to draw his army was the *mitra* forces. In the Maliva copper-plate of Dharasena II, dated 571-72 A.D. there is reference to the establishment of the Valabhī dynasty by Bhatārka with the assistance of the maula, bhrita, mitra and śrenī troops23. The exact significance of the term mitra cannot be definitely ascertained. According to the Mandala theory, he who is separated from the conquering king by the intervention of the kingdom of ari (in the immediate neighbourhood) is a mitra. A fully sovereign king will not normally place his army under the command of another king. He may, however, combine with a friendly power to bring about defeat or humiliation of a common enemy. The unreliability of the mitra-bala is illustrated by many instances in our period. Harivarman, the ally of the Kaivarta King Bhīma, went over to the side of Rāmapāla. on being paid a large

sum of money by the latter's son<sup>24</sup>. The allied powers at Dhārā separated themselves soon after the death of Bhoja, the Paramāra King. Hemachandra and Merutunga refer to the quarrels between the Chaulukya King Bhīma I and the Kalachuri King Karṇa. In course of narrating the events after the fall of Dhārā, Merutunga tells us that the feeling between the allies ran so high that Dāmara, the ambassador of Bhīma "entered the royal pavillion with thirty-two footsoldiers and took Karṇa prisoner, when he was asleep in the middle of the day."<sup>25</sup>

Besides these four, the writers on state-craft refer to two other kinds of armies, namely a-mitrabala and atavī-bala. The former, according to Mānasollāsa<sup>26</sup> consists of soldiers, who once belonged to an enemy king but being defeated were taken captive and made slaves. Instances of slaves enrolled as soldiers in times of emergency are not unknown in history. But such troops are a constant source of danger and annovance. Kautilya says that the common characteristic of these two types is that both are "anxious for plunder". This does not indicate that in his time, the amitra-bala consisted of slaves. The Rājanītiratnākara<sup>27</sup> defines aribala as "troops that come to a king after leaving the king's enemy." Chandesvara<sup>28</sup> rightly observes that a king accepts such troops only with a view to securing the enfeeblement of the power of the enemy and as such no confidence should be placed in them. The atavi-bala, consisting of persons living in the mountainous country as well as of Nisaddas and mlechchhas, was the worst type of troops, according to Manasollasa.

The six types of troops, described above, demonstrate the heterogenous character of the army of Indian Kings. With such an army it was difficult to undertake a long and arduous expedition but more hazardous still to offer resistance to an invading army. The size of the standing army was usually small as the king depended largely on the feudal contingents, which constituted the bulk of the hereditary or maula army. Reliance had to be placed on the hired army,

because the strength of the hereditary army alone was not sufficient for a successful expedition. According to the Mānasollāsa<sup>29</sup> the hired soldiers were reviewed by the King every day and were paid wages daily, monthly, quarterly, every four or six months according to terms of contract.

The hired soldiers were recruited from various regions. The Pāla and Sena inscriptions refer to troops recruited from Mālava, Khaśa, Hūṇa, Kulika, Karṇāṭa and Lāṭa countries³o. Kalhaṇa speaks of Khaśas in Kashmir and of the recruitment of mercenaries from Rajputana, Saindhava regions and Rajagriha in Magadha³¹. The Kashmirian kings did not hesitate to recruit even Yavana soldiers³o. King Harṣa of Kashmir (1089-1101 A. D.) employed Turuṣka troop leaders and showed great favours to them³o. Chaṇḍeśvara echoes the opinion of Manu³¹ who says that the soldiers from Kurukṣetra, Matsya. Pāñchāla and Surasena being noted for their bravery, should be placed in the vanguard of the army³o.

Kalhaṇa records the employment of a large number of Rājaputras in the Kashmirian army. They had such distinctive features that they could be easily told as foreigners from the Kashmirians<sup>26</sup>. They were employed by the Kashmirian Kings like Saṅngrāmarāja, Uchchala, Sussala and Jayasinha.<sup>27</sup> In 1111 A. D., like the Swiss guards during the French Revolution, a Rājaputra from Champa\* bravely faced death in overpowering the assailantts of King Uchchala. Other Rājaputra soldiers saved Sussala's capital from the attacks of Prithvīhara, the rebel Dāmara in 1122 A. D. They are also known to have accompanied the Kings in marching against Lahara and Pañchīgrāma in 1130 and 1144 · A. D. We come across only one instance of their cowardice when they fled while Utpala was murdering King Sussala<sup>78</sup>.

<sup>\*</sup>This Champā (is neither the Champā of Bhagalpur district, nor the island in the Indian archipelago) has been indentified by Stein with the region in the valleys of the sources of the Rāvī botween Kangra, the ancient Trigarta and Kāşthavāṭa (RT. Vol. II. p. 431-432).

We get some idea of the dress of the soldiers of mediaeval the Abhidhānachintāmani of Hemachandra. Soldiers were heavily clad with helmet and iron nets protecting separately the belly, thighs, arms and other parts of the body<sup>39</sup>. Somadeva, in his Yaśastilakachampū, has given a pen-picture of the dress, weapons, habits and deportment of the cavalry of Northern India, archers from Guriaradesa and soldiers of Tributa and of Gauda. The North regiment is described as "of truthful speech, and eloquent in praise of the speed of horses. The men have bodies, comely as heated gold, and held fast in their hands knives, darts, daggers, spears, blades and bows. The surface of the earth shakes under the hooves of their horses, galloping at top speed, so peculiar was their mode of riding. They wore puggrees made up with multi-coloured scarves arranged in layers; and with the crown of their heads adorned with clusters of flowers of endless varieties, they look like the sylvan abode of the goddess of victory."40 Dressed in this fashion the North Indian horse-soldiers certainly looked picturesque; but it may be questioned whether such a dress, and especially the decorative flowers were conducive to their efficiency as fighters. If they had in their hands simultaneously the "knives, darts, daggers, spears, blades and bows", they could hardly move any of these to strike the enemy. Probably different soldiers or platoons had different weapons.

Somadeva speaks very highly of the valour and military exercises gone through by the Tirhut regiment. They are said to be devoted to "manoeuvres incidental to naval combats." The mighty rivers, Ganges, Gandaka, Sarayū and Nāgamatī must have afforded them ample opportunity for practising naval warfare. They had also very big contingents of elephants with them. But it must be noted that one cannot fight simultaneously with boats and elephants. As a matter of fact the two are absolutely incongruous.

The Gauda soldiers are described in none too favourable words by Somadeva. These soldiers had on their head

"extremely long tufts of hair. The extremities of their teeth are worn out by constant munching of flattened rice and their mouths are tinged red with betel; they are by nature exceedingly irascible, and hurl abuses at the bystanders, when put to shame for stumbling on account of their cloak reaching down to the feet. The large shields of the regiment made from multicoloured cords have tinged the entire expanse of the sky with diverse hues." If the Gauda soldiers really donned such long robes, they could not have shown the agility of movement which is essential in battle-fields. Their habit of chewing betel leaves also affected their efficiency as adversely as did the wearing of flowers on the turbans by the North Indian cavalry regiment. The Gujarat regiments were more practical in their dress, as it reached only down to their knees. They were armed with bows, and their loins were girt with daggers with buffalo-horn hilts. The poet is certainly using a hyperbole when he states that "the existence of, certain portions of their bodies, the navel, eyes and the ears, can only be inferred from their actions, owing to their beards expanding thickly downwards and sidewise". They are described as swift in aiming, vigorous in shooting and accurate at hitting, distant targets.41 But it was not difficult for a desperate enemy to pull them and the Gauda soldiers, by the overflowing beards There is certainly poetic exaggeration in the description of soldiers given by Somadeva, but the point that emerges clearly from it is that the Indian soldiers of this age were more keen on making their appearance picturesque and colourful than on securing efficiency as warriors. There was hardly any uniformity in dress or weapons amongst the soldiers coming from different parts of the country.

The rigidity of the caste system did not allow the soldiers of a single army to have their food together. They could not be readily refreshed with food and drink in the battle-front. If the battle continued to rage till late in the afternoon, the Hindu soldiers were often found to be famishing for food.

# Constituents of the army

Conventionally the four-fold constituents of the Indian army were the infantry, cavalry, elephants and chariots. Following the precepts of older writers, the Agni Purāna<sup>42</sup> and the Sukranītisāra state that the King should have in the army a preponderance of foot-soldiers, a medium quantity of horse, a small quantity of elephant force, equal number of bulls and camels, but never elephants in excess. Bhoja<sup>44</sup> explicitly writes that the foot soldiers are the main source of strength on all occasions.

In earlier times, the proportion of infantry to cavalry was 3: 5, as is borne out by the evidence of the Mahābhārata. Ādiparva<sup>45</sup> and the lexicon Vaijayantī<sup>46</sup> in definition of patti. But in later times as it became increasingly difficult to secure good horses from the Sindhu and Afghan regions, which fell into the hands of the Arabs, the proportion was raised to 1: 1000. The Nītiprakāśikā states that each horseman was supported by a thousand foot-soldiers.<sup>47</sup> The Indian writers on statecraft, however, were keenly alive to the importance of the cavalry. Somadeva, in his Nītivākyāmrita writes: "with a King, having a strong cavalry force, war becomes almost a sport. Fortune smiles on him and the enemies stationed even at a long distance come within his grasp."48 Someśvara Chālukya also gives similar importance to cavalry in his Mānasollāsa, which states that the cavalry is the key to fame: a King in possession of a strong cavalry need entertain no apprehension regarding his territory.49

In ancient times India used to import the best horses from Arabia and Persia and also to purchase them from the countries, which are now called Afghanisthan and Western Pakistan. The Mahābhārata refers to the horses from Kamboja. Gāndhāra and Bālhika countries. The Jaina Uttarādhyāyana Sūtra. Sumangalavilāsini and Harsacharita and Balbia countries and Harsacharita and Harsacharita and Harsacharita and Falso refer to the commendable qualities of the Kamboja horses. The latter work also speaks of horses from Vanāyu. Āraṭta,

Bhāradvāja, Sindh and Persia. The Yuktikalpataru refers to the horses from Tājikistān and Tuṣāra countries as the best and the Sindhu horses as inferior ones. The Mānasollāsa, however, says that the Sindhu horses trained by the Yavanas are the best. The Śārṅgadharapaddhati classifies the horses born in Kīra (N. Punjab), Turuṣka, Āraṭṭa. Takka. Sindhus as of medium quality. The rise of the Arabs to great military power in Persia and Western Asia, their occupation of Sind and the extinction of the power of the Shāhīyas in Afghanisthan and the Punjab made it extremely difficult for the Indian princes to secure good horses. The only breed, which was tolerably good and was available to them was Surastra horses. The Indian horses could not stand comparison with the Turkoman horses\* on which the Turko-Afghans came to invade India.

#### Elephants

Elephants played a prominent part in warfare from very early times.<sup>58</sup> Megasthenes states that elephants were trained for war in India and often turned the scale of victory.<sup>59</sup> The elephants accompanied the vanguards of the army, cleared the road by removing trees and shrubs and battered the walls and gates of fortresses.<sup>60</sup> Two verses quoted in the anthology, Sārngadharapadhati, show that it was believed that elephants increased the grandeur of the power of a king<sup>61</sup> and that their use assured victory.<sup>62</sup> Kautilya asserts that the destruction of

\*The Turkoman horse "according to the Cambridge Mediaeval History (Vol. I. p. 331) "is the noblest in the whole of Central Asia, and surpasses all other breeds in speed, endurance, intelligence, faithfulness and a marvellous sense of locality. The Turkoman horse is tall, with a long narrow body, long thin legs and neck... On their predatory expeditions, the Turkomans cover 650 miles in the waterless desert in five days. They owe their powers to the training of thousands of years in the endless steppes and deserts, and to the continual plundering raids, which demanded the utmost endurance and privation of which horse and rider were capable."

the enemy's army depends on elephants. But the defeat of Indian kings in many crucial battles at the hands of foreigners was primarily due to the uncontrollable movement of warelephants. These historical examples led Somadeva to sound a note of caution about the use of these unwieldy mounts in war. He observes that if the elephants are not properly trained and guided to action, they bring disasters, and even death to the king who uses them.63 The wisdom of this observation is proved by several recorded instances in our period. In the battle of Ksiptikä, the supporters of the pretender Uchchhala, shot arrows at the elephants of King Harsa and these in their agony trampled down their own force. 64 The rebel Kaivarta chief Bhīma, was also defeated by Rāmapāla because the elephant carrying him was "disgracefully panicstriken" and was easily captured. 65 Sukra advises the restriction of the number of elephants even for transport purposes to the barest minimum. According to him, a King should have bulls 1/5th, camels 1/8th and elephants 1/32nd of the total strength of the cavalry.66 In actual practice, however, we find considerable numbers of elephants being engaged by the Hindu kings in their fights against the Turko-Afghans, Ānandapāla could have probably achieved victory in 1008 A.D., had he not used elephants. His enemies discharged arrows and naptha balls against the elephants. The elephant carrying Anandapāla, having been struck, became unruly and away.67 The Hindu army, thinking that the king was retreating from the battlefield became panicky and dispersed. Again sometime after 1194 A. D., the King of Banaras was also defeated by Qutbuddīn almost under similar circumstances. 69

Dr. B. P. Sinha<sup>69</sup> contends that had the elephant been considered the cause of the defeat of the army using it. the Turko-Afghans would not have cared to demand a tribute of elephants from the Hindu Kings. The real reason, however, for such a demand was that the elephant was a grand animal and its possession was considered a matter of prestige with them. Though they received elephants and tributes, yet we do not

come across a single instance of any Turko-Afghan invader ever using any elephant force in war.

Scholars like Dr. Altekar, Dikshitar and Chakravarti hold that chariots ceased to be used in warfare from the eighth century A. D.<sup>70</sup> The Mānasollāsa does not mention it as a constituent of war, nor does any Muslim writer or Indian inscription refer to it. But the Yuktikalpataru, Krityakalpataru, Rājanītiratnākara and Sukranitisāra refer to chariots.<sup>71</sup> We find at least one instance of the use of chariots in war in this period. The Varman King conciliated Rāmapāla by offering his own chariots in the war against Bhima<sup>72</sup>.

We do not find any positive evidence of the use of air-force in war during our period. But a contemporary writer. Bhojadeva devotes about one hundred ślokas in his Samarāńgaṇasūtradhāra to aeroplanes. These aeroplanes, according to him, could move on all sides, namely, in oblique direction, upwards, downwards, frontwise, sideways, etc. They could move in the heaven and also on the earth. These aerial cars were shaped like elephants, birds, monkeys, horses and chariots. Their body was made of light wood, and iron, lead, copper and other metals were used for construction of various parts of the aeroplane. It is distinctly stated that the body of the plane was filled with mercury and fire at the bottom. It had two wings, which were propelled by air, It is also stated to have carried passengers.

Prof. Dikshitar holds that the aeroplane was actually used in warfare, and observes: "such elaborate descriptions ought to meet the criticism that the *vimānas* and similar vehicles mentioned in ancient Indian literature should be relegated to the region of myth."<sup>79</sup> Inspite of this assertion we have grave doubts about their actual use. Bhojadeva states that the secret of the construction of the machine should not be made public and that is why details of the mechnism of the aeroplanes were not being given.<sup>80</sup>

The Navy did not constitute a regular feature of the military organisation of all the North Indian princes of the eleventh and twelfth centuries, though it played an important role in some of the countries. Boats are known to have been in use in India from the age of the Rgveda<sup>81</sup>. The Yuktikalpataru broadly classifies boats into two types namely, dīrghā (long) and unnatā (high). The dīrghā type consisted of the following varieties viz., dīrghikā, taraṇī lolā, gatvarā, gāminī, tarī, janghālā, plāvinī, dhāriṇī, and veginī. Of these lolā, gāminī and plāvinī caused much misery. The unnātā type had the following varieties: ūrdhvā, anūrdhvā, svarṇamukhī, garbhinī and mantharā. These vessels had their cabins towards the prows. They were made of wood, iron and brass.<sup>82</sup>

These boats were used for civil as well as military purposes. The Yuktikalpataru plainly declares that the King, who has boats, wins war, and the King, who, through ignorance, loses his prestige, vigour does keep boats. not treasury<sup>83</sup>. Mediaeval writers also refer to the use of boats in warfare. In the naval engagement with Sultan Mahmud in 417 A. H./1026 A. D., the Jats who had molested the invader's army on his way back from the expedition to Somanatha, employed a large number of boats. Nizāmuddīn Ahmad tells us that the Jats "launched, according to some, four, and according to others, eight thousand boats, manned and armed, ready to engage the Muhammedans84." Jats. however, were defeated in the encounter. Alberuni also refers to pirates of Kacch and Somanath, who used ships called vira85. We have already quoted a passage from the Yasastilakachampū, showing how the Tirhut regiment had regular practises in naval manoeuvres.86

Contemporary inscriptions too refer to naval battles. In Bengal there was an officer called naubalavyāpritaka<sup>87</sup>. During the Sena period the Bengal fleet proceeded on a conquering expedition" up the whole course of the Ganges<sup>88</sup>." The Kamauli plate of Vaidyadeva also records his naval victory in the Anuttara Vanga. The use of boats for military purposes in Kashmir is also testified to by Kalhana.<sup>89</sup>

# Strength of the Indian army

It is difficult to ascertain the exact strength of the army of any of the North Indian states during the period. The Sukranītisāra informs us that even an ordinary King, with an income of one lakh Karsas, kept the following number of soldiers and equipments, viz. 100 in reserved force, 300 infantry with laghunālika firearms. 80 horses, one chariot, two brihannālika firearms, 10 camels, two elephants, two carts and 16 bulls90. Foreign writers either exaggerate or make conflicting statements regarding the total strength of the army of any state. With regard to the number of elephants in the Pāla army, Sulaiman states it as 50,000 and Ibn Khurdāooah<sup>61</sup> as only 5,000. Similarly, while Nizāmuddīn records that the army of Ganda Chandella consisted of 36,000 horses, 1,45,000 foot soldiers and 390 elephants, Firishta informs us that it consisted of 45,000 foot soldiers. 36,000 horses and 640 elephants. It is interesting to record that the Kharataragachchhapattāvalī tells us that Prithvīrāja III had a cavalry force of 70,000 soldiers<sup>91</sup>. But Firishta states that the above-mentioned Chahamana King rallied such a huge number as 2,00,000 and 3,00,000 horses against Muizz-ud-dīn Muhammad Ghūrī in 1191 and 1192 A. D. respectively.

The patti was the lowest unit in the military organisation. So far as the higher units of the army were concerned it appears that they consisted of much larger number in the days of the composition of the Nītiprakāsikā, as compared to that of the Mahābhārata. The Mahābhārata gives different tables for the various divisions of the army. By way of illustration, we may refer to the table, mentioned in the Ādiparva (2. 19. 22), according to which a senāmukha consisted of three chariots, three elephants, nine horses and 15 foot soldiers and an akṣauhinī (the biggest division) of 21, 870 chariots, and same number of elephants, 65,610 horses and 109,350 infantry. But the Nītiprakāsikā 93 prescribes the following larger number in senāmukha, composed of three

chariots, 30 elephants, 3,000 horses, and 3,00,000 foot soldiers and in the biggest division, namely,  $ak_sauhin\bar{n}$  of 21,870 chariots, 2,18,700 elephants, 21,87,000 horses and 21,87,000,000 infantry. Thus, though the number of chariots did not increase at all, yet elephants increased ten times, cavalry 333 times and infantry 20,000 times probably in the eleventh century A. D. The vastness of the Indian army can also be realised from the Sukranītisāra\*. The Purātanaprabandhasanīgraha<sup>54</sup> states that Prithīvirāja III engaged three lakhs of infantry, in the second battle of Tarain in 1192 A. D.

### Larger experditure on army

The increase in the size of the army naturally caused a larger expenditure of state revenues for military purposes. Sukra states that a feudatory spent 24 per cent of his income for these, but a Nripa having an income of one lakh Karşas devoted as much as 53 per cent<sup>69</sup>. It is highly unlikely that any state atste was actually guided by these precepts. But this much is certain that the major portion of the in-

\*Calculation made on the basis of soldiers maintained by a ruler with income of one lakh Karsas as given in the Sukranītisāra IV. 7. 22-24 (ed. Cal.)

Status of king.	Reserve	Infantry Horses Char-Can-Elephants -iots non.					
Nripati with Karşa income 1 lakh.	100	300	80	1	2		
Māṇḍalika with Karṣa income 3 to 10 lakhs.	300	900	240	. 3	6		
Rājā, with Karşa income 10 to 50 lakhs.	1000	3000	800	10	20	20	
Svarāt, with Karşa income 50 to 1 crore.	5000	15000	4000	50	100	100	
Samrāt, with Karşa income 1 to 10 crores.	10000	30000	8000	100	200	200	
Virāt, with Karşa income 10 to 50 crores.	100000	300000	80000	1000	2000	2000	

come of each state was spent on military organisation, which, however, was not defensive in character. An expedition to a neighbouring state was a normal feature of the life of the time.

Feudatories, allies, adventurers, desperadoes rallied round the banner of a king going out on a conquering raid mainly in the hope of getting a rich booty. The soldiers were allowed a portion of the spoils of war from the time of Manusmriti, if not earlier. Manu<sup>98</sup> allows soldiers to take chariots, elephants, umbrellas, grains, animals and women as spoils of war. Commenting on this, Medhātithi observes: The King being the master of all, he might take away all the spoils of war; hence the text mentions a few exceptions. Gold, silver, lands, buildings etc. accrue to the King, hence the necessity of enumerating those that do not go to him. Arms and conveyances also accrue to the king.... It is in view of all this that there is the popular saying—"Half belongs to the king". The soldiers shall of their own accord, present to the king their best object and they shall not take all the booty themselves97." This shows that there was considerable relaxation of the rule regarding the booty at the time of Medhātithi. There was now a moral appeal to the soldiers not to take all they could seize and to give something, according to their own choice, to the king. Laksmīdhara, the minister of the Gāhadavāla King Govindachandra, quotes with approval the above-mentioned passage of Manu and also the views of Gautama98, which however, were not unanimous. Gautama did not allow soldiers to retain chariots and beasts of burden, which had to be given to the king. Manu definitely states that gold and silver looted during the battle belong to the king. Sukra categorically negatives the opinion of Manu and holds that "silver, gold or other booty belongs to him who wins it"" He further exhorts the ruler "to satisfy the troops by giving them those things with pleasure, according to the labour undergone." This is a counsel of perfection, because

the question of giving to the soldier does not arise unless he has made over to the king the booty seized. Medhātithi, therefore, appears to be more practical than Sukra in this matter.

## Offensive Weapons

Coming to the question of the weapons of war, we find that there was no new invention in arms during the last thousand years. The soldiers were using those very weapons, which were utilised in the period of the epics, early Smritis and the Gupta emperors. Some of these were, bows made of wood and horn, 100 arrows and specially iron-made arrows called nārāchas, 101 swords, 102 kunta, 103 tomara, 104 prāsa, 105 bhindipāla,106 gadā107 or mace, paraśu108 or  $p\bar{a}\dot{s}a^{109}$  or noose, chakra<sup>110</sup> or disc,  $\dot{s}\bar{u}la^{111}$ parigha 112 etc. Yantras, which were installed in fortresses and used for throwing stones, were in use as early as the days of the epics.113 They were also used in mediaeval India. 114. Such was the efficiency of these catapults, that Bhoja remarks humorously that they can do everything that is possible to imagine and can even make a person dance or take part in a drama.115

The mediaeval writers have thoroughly discussed the relative quality of swords manufactured in Northern India. The Agni Purāṇa<sup>116</sup> observes that "swords manufactured in Khaṭi or Khaṭṭara country are noted for their good appearance, those produced in Riṣika for their quickness in cutting things, those in Sūrpāraka for strength, in Aṅga for sharpness and Vaṅga for kcenness and durability." An altogether different list of location of this industry is mentioned in the Śārṅgadhara-paddhati, according to which, light and sharp swords were made in Madhyamagrāma and Sahagrāma, and smooth sharp and faultless steel in the Chedi country. A third list is furnished by Bhoja, 118 who mentions the relative quality of the swords manufactured in Banaras, Magadha, Nepal, Aṅga Kaliṅga and Surāṣṣtra. The first and the last mentioned

countries manufactured the best sword. Those produced in Maghadha, Anga and Kalinga were the worst.

Some weapons, used in ancient India, went out of use in the period under survey. Dikshitar points out that the following missiles, which were used in ancient times, are not mentioned in the Nitiprakasika, e.g., Brahmasirsa, sikhari, śuṣka, ādra, sikharāstra, vidyāstra, śoṣaṇam, prasvāpana, nāmana, tāmasa, samvarta, saura, māyāstra, tvāṣṭra, somāstra, samhāra, mānasa, nāgāstra, garuḍāstra and śaiveśika<sup>119</sup>. Similarly, hāṭaka (rod with three or four edges), varāhakarṇa (rod with bear's earshape), kaṇāya, (metallic rod with triangular ends), kārpana (a kind of arrow), which are mentioned in the Arthaśāstra, 120 are not referred to in the literature of mediaeval Northern India.

#### Fire-arms

It is extremely doubtful whether fire-arms were ever used by the Hindus in the eleventh and twelfth centuries. While Prof. Dikshitar holds<sup>121</sup> that ayaḥ, kaṇapa, tulā-guda, aurva, nālika, nārachs, agnivāna, and śataghṇī were all fire-arms, Prof. Jogesh Chandra Ray<sup>122</sup> and Dr. P. C. Chakravarti<sup>123</sup> contend that these were not real fire-arms. Medhātithi commenting on Manu VII. 90 observes: "while fighting his enemies in battle, he shall not strike with concealed weapons, nor with arrows that are poisoned or barbed on with flaming shafts."

This shows that the use of flaming shafts was known to the Hindus but it was denounced on moral grounds.

The real fire-arms, similar to guns and cannon, were nālikas and śataghṇas. The working of big and small nālikas is described in the Sukranītisāra. The author prescribes that one of the duties of the sachiva is to have an exact information of total stock of gunpowder in the arsenal. Prof. Ray holds that Chānd Baradāyī used the word 'tupak' in the sense of small guns. With regard to śataghṇas, Prof. Ray and Dr. Chakravarti, both quoting Vasiṣṭha-Dhanurveda, take them to be cannon and distinguish them

from śataghņīs.<sup>128</sup> Vasiṣṭha-Dhanurveda tells us that "the wise should place śataghṇa in forts for the security of the throne, and a large quantity of ranjaka (gun-powder) and vaṭi (bullets).

We cannot ascertain the exact date of composition of this work nor of the chapter on  $n\bar{a}lik\bar{a}stra$  in the Sukranītisāra. Moreover, contemporary inscriptions of the North Indian Kings as well as the writings of Muslim historians are absolutely silent regarding the use of firearms by the Hindus in the eleventh and twelfth centuries. In view of this silence it is not safe to assert that they were actually used by Indians in the period under survey.

# Time for undertaking an expedition

The nature of the military expeditions can be guessed from the time during which these are undertaken. Most of the writers on Dharmaśāstra and politics state that offensive military expeditions should be undertaken in (November-December) or Phalguna and Chaitra<sup>129</sup> (March-May). Explaining the reasons for such timing, Medhātithi writes: "In Margasirsa the autumn harvest would have been garnered, and the invader will be cheered by the prospect of having also his spring harvest. The time is good for siege operations. The rivers will then be running low, the ground hard, and there will be no overgrowth of jungle through which the army will have to march.....At any other season food grains, though sufficient, will not be diversified anxious to inflict some injury on the enemy, the expedition is expected to be of short duration and if the invading forces are quite strong, the invasion may take place in Phalguna or Chaitra especially if the country which is to be attacked is rich in spring harvests. The invader can be sure then of adequate fodder supplies and will also be able to destroy the spring crops in the invaded country.130" This shows that

economic causes, specially those relating to the supply of food and fodder determined largely the time for the military expeditions. The invading army hoped to be supported by the grains, which had recently been harvested in the enemy country. The soldiers must have taken away everything which fell on their way, thus inflicting famine on the people on whom their visitation occurred. The peasants had to look on helplessly while the looting away and destruction of all the crops they had grown with infinite pains went on under their nose. Had such expeditions been rare and infrequent they could somehow been tolerated. But as these constituted almost normal features of mediaeval life, the peasants must have lived in a state of chronic poverty.

Lakṣmīdhara quotes in his Rājadharmakānḍa, the views of Matsya Purāṇa regarding the suitablity of different seasons for various categories of army. If it had a preponderance of infantry and elephants the rainy season is the best; an army which has got many chariots and horsemen. hemanta and Sisir (November to February) is the best. Summer is best suited for an expedition, which has got a preponderance of mules and cannons. An army which has got all the four constituents, spring and autumn are the best. Sukranītisāra regards the months between September and December as the best, the spring as middling and summer as the worst season for an expedition. 132

As soon as the king decided to lead an expedition, he called the astrologers to find out the most auspicious day. Somesvara, the author of Mānasollāsa, Bhoja, the author of Yuktikalpataru and Lakṣmīdhara, the Gāhaḍavāla minister, all hold that after consultation with astrologers and watching the prognostics, the king should start on his expedition. Someśvara further states that kings should not start on the fourth, eighth, ninth, twelfth or on the fourteenth day of bright or dark fortnight, nor on Monday and Saturday for marching towards east, nor on Friday and Sunday for journey to the west, nor on Thursday for going in the southern direction.<sup>133</sup> Thus out of

28 days of the lunar month as many as 10 were declared inauspicious. Besides these, five days in the week were considered bad for undertaking an expedition in one direction or the other. The favourable time for an expedition alsodepended on the interpretation of śakunajñāna or prognostics. The Matsya Purāna (241.2.12-14), which is quoted also by Laksmīdhara and Mānasollāsa, 134 acquaints us with a catalogue of good and bad omens for undertaking an expedition. Someśvara holds that one should not start on seeing persons riding camels or buffaloes, ascetics, mendicants, untouchables, persons without cars, or nosc or hair, lean and thin mentruous women, pregnant and mad women, the crippled, the blind and the deaf.

The influence of astrology on the tining of military expeditions can be gleaned from other literary works. Kalhana tells us that when Uchchala was about to march against King Harsa, he saw a man carrying a dead hare. Uchchala at once became confident of victory on seeing that good omen. 135 Further, from Rajapuri he marched towards the capital and was cheered up by prognostics. "Though he had only on the full-moon day of Chaitra been exposed to dangers, yet he started without fear on his expedition on the fifth day of the bright half of Vaiśākha,186" and as he was marching Varāhamūla, "upon his head fell a garland from the head of (the image of) Mahavaraha, just as if the earth, which rested on the shoulders of that (god) had bestowed it upon (him as the man of) her choice.137" Ultimately Uchchala did indeed achieve success.

Not only the stars and omens, but also dreams dreamt by kings decided the time for undertaking an expedition. Laksmīdhara quotes the opinion of the Matsya Purāṇa on bad and good dreams. Hemachandra tells us that Mūlarāja on being asked in a dream by Somanātha Mahādeva fought against Grāharipu. Firishta preserved the traditional story that being told by the deity of Nagarkot in a dream, the Rājā of Delhi besieged Nagarkot. 140

The importance of the astrologer in the royal court may be gauged from the repeated references to him in the Pala. Sena and Gāhadavāla inscriptions. If the timing for beginning an expedition was dictated by dreams, omens and astrological forecasts, and not by diplomatic and strategic considerations, it afforded a golden opportunity to the Turko-Afghan invaders to gain easy victory. The King would not go out to check the onrush of an invading army if it fell on one of the ten forbidden days of the lunar month or one of the five inauspicious days in the week. Even when a battle timed on a lucky day, the soldiers might be depressed seeing something which was 'considered an evil omen. A nation cannot put forth effective resistance to determined invaders in such an atmosphere of superstition and fatalism. Belief in astrology was also not uncommon amongst Mussalmans. But they never made a fetish of it. 141

The Yātrā or march of the Indian army was at once colourful and spectacular. The King, as described in the Manasollāsa, Yuktikalpataru and the Agni Purān<sup>142</sup>, performed the Nīrājana festival in the month of Āśvin (September-October) for victory in battle. And on the day of battle, according to the Agni Purana, the King worshipped God Trivikrama. worshipped the weapons and beasts of burden with the Nīrājana Mantra and heard the recital of hymns conveying the idea of all-round success. He would then walk thirty-two paces to the east, ride on elephant, chariot, horses and other animals respectively. Then the King should ride away without looking back and after going a distance of a krośa, take rest and worship gods and Brāhmanas. We have almost the same details in the Manasollasa. The King looked at his face on ghee and mirror in the early morning of the day of marching. Then bathing in the water of holy places, he was to worship Jagannātha, the family deity and the Brāhmanas. On seeing these auspicious things and amidst shouts of victory and good wishes, the King marched forth for the battle ground. If the Kings were so meticulous in the observance of the ceremonial

rules, he could not have taken prompt measures to forestall invaders<sup>143</sup>.

The Indian generals were accustomed to marshalling their soldiers in phalanxes, the formation of which became increasingly complex. In the age of Uśanas there were three divisions viz., wings (pakṣau), vanguard (urasyama) and rear-guard (pratigraha). In the age of Agni Purāṇa, 144 there were seven divisions. Māṇasollasa 145 mentions nine divisions, viz., mukham, urasa, praurasyam, pratigraha, kakṣau, pra-kakṣau, pakṣau, prapapakṣau and pṛṣṭham. And the still later work Nītipra-prakāśikā (VI. 10) refers to thousands of vyūhas.

According to the exigencies of the situation, the Commander-in-chief arranged those divisions in different shapes<sup>146</sup>. Mānasollāsa<sup>147</sup> gives a vivid description of the arraying of soldiers in the battle-field. In the rear-centre and flanks should be stationed men with swords, in *prapakṣa* those armed with kodaṇda, in *pakṣa* (wings) those armed with *khe-ṭaka* weapons, in *prapakṣa* armoured men and cavalry, in vanguard (*purataḥ*) cavalry, infantry and in front elephants. But Chaṇḍeśvara quotes earlier authorities to show that on the flanks, the horses should be kept, then by the side of horses should be stationed chariots, then elephants and then the infantry<sup>148</sup>.

The Rājatarangini also mentions divisions in the battle-field. Uchchala proceeded towards Vijayaksetra "moving along an army in its eighteenfold division." Eighteen divisions are also mentioned elsewhere. But Bhiksāchara favoured only three arrays<sup>151</sup> of soldiers.

Stratagem is an essential feature of warfare. But the Indian writers on state-craft advised only the weak kings to take recourse to it. Even Kautilya would not allow a strong king to take recourse to stratagem. Kāmandaka, Agni Purāṇa and Sukranītisāra devote some space to the description of kūṭayuddha, but Lakṣmīdhara, the Minister of War and Peace of the Gāhadavāla King Govindachandra, refuses even to mention it, as he would have nothing to do except *Dharma* 

Yuddha. Chandesvara quotes 154 with approval Manu's views that a king should not resort to concealed weapons in killing enemies in war, and also that the king should ask his soldiers not to shoot arrows that are poisoned or barbed, with flaming shafts, nor to strike "one who is standing on the ground, nor one who is a cunuch, nor the supplicant who has joined palms. nor one with loosened hair, nor one who is scated, nor one who says, 'I am yours', nor one who has fallen in difficulties regarding weapons; nor one in distress, nor frightened, nor one who has turned his back." When the Turko-Afghans had absolutely no scruples about employing stratagem, it was foolhardy on the part of the Hindus to abjure it altogether in fighting against them. A typical illustration of of wordly wisdom is to be found in the their lack conduct of Paithvīrāja III on the eve of the second battle Firishta relates that when Shihābuddīn of Ghūr reached Bhatinda, he was requested by Prithvīrāja III to go back without a war so that there might not be unnecessary bloodshed. He also promised to allow a safe passage to the Turki army. Now, Shihābuddīn got a grand opportunity to taking take recourse to stratagem in the Hindu unawares. The Chieftain of Ghūr replied: "it is very generous and friendly of you to make this offer of peace. I am sending a messenger to my brother, who is the reigning Sultan, urging him to agree to make peace with you on the condition of Bhatinda, the Punjab and Multan remaining with the House of Ghor and the rest of Hindusthan under the Rajas. Pending the arrival of his reply, I beg you to suspend hostilities." The Raiput army, in the words of Dr. Jadunath Sarkar "swallowed the bait, and believing the invader's pacific tone to be due to his fear of their valour and a sense of his own weakness in numbers, they made no preparation for action and even neglected the common alertness necessary in the face of an enemy. Shihāb-ud-dīn, on his part, lost no time.155 Early next morning before day-break the Turko-Afghan army "covered the intervening miles (from Bhatinda to Tarain)

unmolested, and secured a lodgment in front of the Hindu camp before they could take alarm."

The slowness of the movement of the Rajput army was a sad contrast to such lightning speed of the Turko-Afghan invasions. When the Ghaznavid ruler Maudud was facing the rebellion of his brother Majdud and put to great trouble by the incursions of the Saljug Turks, the Rājā of Delhi recaptured Hansi. Thaneswar and Nagarkot in 435 A.H./1043 A.D. But the Hindu confederates failed to capture Lahore even after besieging it for seven months. 156 Had Lahore been captured, the history of India would have been written otherwise. Similarly, the army of Prithvīrāja III wasted their valuable time in capturing Bhatinda immediately after the first battle of Tarain. the words of Firishta, 'after the retreat of Mahomed Ghoory. the allied Rajas continued their march to Bhatinda, which they besieged for one year and one month, and at last were obliged to grant favourable terms to the garrison. 157" Prithvirāja quickiv pursued the fast-moving Turko-Afghan cavaliers and been more vigorous in his siege of Bhatinda, he would have earned the fruits of victory of Tarain.

In this connection we may recount the other causes of the defeat of Prithvīrāja III as mentioned in the traditional strories current in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries. According to the Viruddhavidhi-viddhamsa of Laksmīdhara, Prithvīrāja III was too much dependent upon two brothers, namely, Skanda. the Commander-in-Chief and Vämana, the Sandhivigrahika. 158 While Prithvīrāja was fighting at Tarain, Skanda was engaged in war elsewhere. Laksmidhara, commenting on the absence of co-operation from Skanda, observes that "Prithvīrāja, who though alive, was as good as dead and steeped in the vice of nidrāvyasana, was slaughtered in the battle by the Turuskas." But according to the Prabandhachintāmaņi, Prithvīrāja III was fast asleep due to the fast undertaken on the occasion of the Ekādasī<sup>159</sup>. Further, due to the earlier differences with his minister (Pradhāna) Someśvara, the latter went over to the camp of Shihāb-ud-dīn and conducted the Ghūr Chieftain to

Rajput camp. 160 The Purātānaprabandhasamgraha 161 states that Prithvirāja was asleep for ten days on the eve of the war. When most of his feudatories and soldiers were killed. he was roused from slumber by his sister. Prithvīrāja III was charged with arrows and imprisoned by 'Sāhavadīna' (Shihāb-ud-din). The book also tells how Kairovāsa. Turks and the discharged minister went over to the called in the sakas, i.e., the army of Shihāb-ud-dīn. Further the same story of treachery is told differently in the Hammīra-Mahākāvva by Navachandra Sūri. The Jaina writer says that on the night before the day of the battle, Shihāb-ud-dīn was able to win over Prithvīrāja's master of the horse and royal musicians, and a conspiracy was hatched. Next day, early in the morning, the Muhammedans attacked the Raiput camp. And then, "while he king's followers were preparing to meet their assailants, the disloyal master of the king's horse, as advised by his seducers, saddled and brought as the king's charger that day, a horse styled Natyarambha and the musicians, who were waiting for the opportunity, when the king had mounted, began to play upon their instruments tunes that were the king's favourites. At this the royal steed began to dance profundly. The king was diverted by this performance for a time, and forgot all the important business of the moment. The Muhammedans took advantage of the King's indolence and made a vigorous attack. The Rajputs, under the circumstances, could do little. 162" Thus, according to the traditions current in later mediaeval period, the defeat of Prithvīrāja III was due to the King's indolence and treachery in his own camp.

According to Dr. Jadunath Sarkar, the effect of the sudden attack of the Ghūri chieftain early in the morning was disastrous to the Hindus. "He (Shihābuddīn) seized the tactical initiative and forced the Hindus to fight on the ground and in the manner of the Turk's own choosing, instead of the defenders delivering any attack, planned and prepared from before." The Hindus fought the invaders, but with an empty stomach. Explaining the reason for the empty stomach. Dr.

Sarkar writes: "It was the Hindu practice to prepare for a pitched battle by waking at 3 o'Clock in the morning, performing the morning wash and worship, eating the cooked food (pakwān) kept ready beforehand, putting on arms, and marching out to their appointed places in the line of battle at surrise (See Mahadji Sindhia's preparations before setting out for the battle of Tunga or Lalsot, 1787). But in the second battle of Naraina, the Raiputs could take no breakfast; they had to snatch up their arms and form their lines as best as they could in a hurry." The Rajput soldiers in vain, tried to pursue the Turko-Afghan army from 9 O'clock in the morning 3 O'clock in the afternoon," at the end of which the Hindus were utterly disspirited by the futility of their exertions and exhausted from hunger and thirst. Their rigid caste rules prevented them from being readily refreshed with food and drink in the battle-front.163" At this crucial hour, Shihābud-dīn asked his select corps of 12000 steel-clad warriors to strike the final blow on the Hindus. Soon Govindarāja, the leader of the van and ten thousand soldiers fell fighting on the ground and Prithvīrāja III was imprisoned on the bank of the Sarasvatī by the Turkish soldiers.164

War was a passion with almost every mediaeval prince of India. It was undertaken not merely for setting right a real or imaginary wrong, but also for vindicating one's prowess. Frequent warfare dissipated the energy of the people, exhausted the treasury, inflicted incalculable hardship and sufferings on the peasants and artisans and contributed to a continuous process of disintegration within each kingdom. The princes of mediaeval India did not make any serious effort to give up fighting one another even in the face of dangers from the North-west.

They failed to build up any standing organisation for protecting their common country against the Turko-Afghan invasions. They failed to realise the true character of these onslaughts. They could hardly distinguish these from the usual predatory raids of a Hindu conqueror, bent upon making

as large a number of princes acknowledge his suzerainty as possible and satisfied with a mere promise of tribute in future. Many of them could not discern that the intention of these invaders was to uproot the ruling families completely and to settle down in Northern India as a conquering people.

In course of a comparatively long period of more than two hundred and sixteen years, from 978 to 1194 A.D. we come across only five instances of temporary military alliances between the princes of neighbouring states in Northern India. Firishta records the military assistance rendered by the Rajās of Delhi, Ajmer, Kalinjar and the Muslim heretic ruler of Multan to the Sāhi princes Jayapāla and Ānandapāla in 978, 1005 and 1008 A.D. 165 The Kashmirian king Sahigrāmarāja also rendered military help to the Shāhiya king Trilochanapala. 166 Prithvrāja III was joined by Chandrarāja, son of Govindarāja, the governor of Delhi and the feudatories of the Chāhamāna kings in the first battle of Tarain in 1190-91 A.D. 167 Excepting these five cases the majority of battles against the invaders were singly fought by the rulers of Northern India.

When the armies of Sultān Mahmūd were knocking at the gates of Kanauj, Mahipāla, the neighbouring rulers of Bengal and Bihar, did not evince any interest in checking the progress of the mighty invader or in coming to the rescue of the Gurjara-Pratīhāra ruler, whose adversity was usually a matter of jubilation for the Pāla kings.

The Mandala system of inter-state politics, preached in all the books of statecraft from Kautilya to Somadeva Süri, taught a ruler to regard his immediate neighbours as natural enemies, in whose defeat and humiliation lay his own prosperity. A spirit of intense jealousy manifested itself amongst the Rajput princes even in the days of national calamity. They could not forget their petty quarrels and make a common cause to save Northern India from the terrible onslaughts of the Turko-Afghan invaders. The Rajput princes were actuated by petty clannish spirit.

# NOTES TO CHAPTER II (THE MILITARY SYSTEM AND ITS EFFECT)

- 1 Kautilya IX. 2.
- 2 HDS VOL. III. p. 200.
- 3 Mānasollāsa Vimsati II. 6, 566-69.
- 4 Kautilya XI. 1.
- 5 Habib's Introduction to HIED Vol. II p. 44.
- 6 Kautilya IX. 2.
- 7 Sukranîtisara (ed. Cal) II. 137-39.
- 8 Kämandaka IV. 63, 65 and 67.
- 9 Agni Purăņa ch. 220. 1.
- 10 Matsya Purana Ch. 215. 10.
- 11 Sukranîtisâra II. 429-30 (ed. Cal.)
- 12 Badal PI, EI, II, p. 106 and Gaudalekhamālā pp. 130-31.
- 13 El. IV. pp. 314. ff.
- 14 EI. 1. 220.
- 15 RT, VIII, 472, 1071, 1071, 1073 and 1345.
- 16 DV. XVI.
- 17 RT. VII. 1319; VIII 473. 664.
- 18 Kautilya IX. 2.
- 19 Agni Purāņa ch. 242. 1.
- 20 Kāmandakīya XVIII. 4.
- 21 Nītivākvāmrta 22, 14-15.
- 22 Mānasollāsa Vimsati II. 6. 557-60.
- 23 Gupta inscr. p. 165.
- 24 RC. II. 41-43.
- 25 DV. IX. 557. Prabandhachintāmaņi Tr, Tawney p. 75 and Singhi Jain pp. 51-52.
  - 26 Mānasollāsa Vimsati II. 6. 559-60.
  - 27 Rājanītiratnākara (ed. Jayaswal), p. 35.
  - 28 Ibid. p. 35.
  - 29 Mānasollāsa Vimsati II. 6. 568-70.
- 30 Bhagalpur pl. of Nārāyaṇapāla, Bangaḍh pl, and Manahali cp. vide Gauḍalekhamālā p. 61 (line 36), p. 96 (line 40) and p. 153 (line 37) respectively.
- 31 RT. VII. 979, 1302 and VIII 2007 for Khasas; VII 1149, 1501 and 1868.
  - 32 Ibid. VIII 2264.
  - 33 Ibid. VII 1149.
  - 34 Manu VII. 193.
  - 35 Rājanītiratnākara p. 36.
  - 36 RT, VIII 1328.

- 37 Ibid. VII 48 and VIII 323, 1082, 1133, 1394, 1841 and 3124.
- 38 Ibid. VIII 1325, 1327.
- 39 Abh. pt. 111. 306.
- 40 Yasastilaka and Indian Culture by K. K. Handiqui p. 60.
- 41 Yasastilakachampü (ed. N.S.Press), III pp. 464-67.
- 42 Agni Purăņa Ch. 228. 7.
- 43 Śukranītisāra (ed. Cal.) IV. 7. 21.
- 44 Yuktikalpataru (ed. Cal.) p. 7.
- 45 Mbh. Adiparva II. 19; ed. Sukthanker 1,2.15.
- 46 Vaijayanti Bhūmikānda, Ch. on Kṣatriya, verses 57-58.
- 47 Nītiprakāšikā VII 3-10.
- 48 Nitivākyāmrita pp. 83-84.
- 49 Mānasollāsa Vimsati II 574.
- 50 Mbh. Sabha 53, 5; Sauptika 13.2; Udyoga 86.6.
- 51 Uttarādhyānana sūtra (SBE, II. 47).
- 52 Sumangalavilāsini I. 124.
- 53 Harşacharita II. 70.
- 54 Yuktikalpataru p. 182 verses 26-28.
- 55 Mānasollāsa Vimšati, II Ch. VI. 573.
- 56 SP. p. 258 Verses 1676-77.
- 57 Ibid. p. 256, verse No. 1675.
- 58 B. P. Sinha: PIHC. 1955 pp. 51-57 (Elephants in Anc. Indian army).
  - 59 Megasthenes, Fragment I, p. 30.
- 60 Kauţilya X. 4-5, Agni Purâṇa Ch. 242, 23-24, Kāmandaka XX 1-3. Nītivākyāmrta p. 207, Yaśastilakachanipū II. 176, 180, Mānasollāsa II. Ch. VI 622, Sadukti p. 203, ŚP Verse nos. 1570, 1572, and 1576.
  - 61 SP. Verse 1560.
  - 62 Ibid. verse 1563.
  - 63 Yaśastilaka III p. 491.
  - 64 RT. VII 1553-55.
  - 65 RC. 11. 20, pp. 53-54.
  - 66 Sukranîtisara IV. 7. 19 and 20 (ed. Cai.)
  - 67 Briggs, 1.47.
  - 68 HIED II 223.
  - 69 PIHC. 1955, p. 57.
- 70 AR. p. 248; Dikshitar: War in Ancient India p. 166, Chakravarti: Art of war in Ancient India p. 26.
- 71 Yuktikalpataru p. 7 verse 45, Rāj, p. 95; Rājanītiratnākara Text p. 40, Sukranītisāra 1V. 7. 20 and 23 (cd. Cal.)
  - 72 RC. III 44.
  - 73 SS. (Samarángaņasūtradhāra Ch. 31).

- 74 Ibid. 31, 53 (GOS Vol. I. p. 173).
- 75° Ibid. 31. 59.
- 76 Ibid, 31, 95-99.
- 77 Ibid. 31, 95.
- 78 Ibid. 31, 96.
- 79 Dikshitar: War in Ancient India: p. 277.
- 80 SS. 31, 79-80.
- 81 RV. I. 116. 5, X. 101. 2; VŚ XXI. 27, SB IV. 2. 5.10, Mbh, Sānti 59. 41 Manu VII 192, Kāmandaka XVI. 50, Rapson: Catalogue of India coins, Intro. p. 31-2; Deobaranark inscr. (CH 111 217), Aphsad inscr. (IA. XIX. p. 198). Khalimpur Cp. (El. I, 299 ff, XIV. 326 ff), Sachau I. 208 etc.)
- 82 Yuktikalpataru (ed. Cal.) p. 224, verse 84; p. 225 verses 36-98, p. 226, verse 6.
  - 83 Ibid. p. 229, verses 30-31,
  - 84 HIED II p. 478 (Aligarh ed. p. 484).
  - 85 Sachau, Vol. I p. 208.
  - 86 Vide op, cit. f,n, no. 41,
  - 87 FL XII. 40
  - 88 IB. III. 48.
  - 89 RT. VIII 1497-1502.
  - 90 Sukranītisāta (ed. Cal. p. 512-513) Ch. IV. 7. 22-24.
  - 91. HIED 1.5 and 14.
  - 92 IHQ, XXVI (1950) p. 226.
  - 93 Nitiprakäsikä VII 6-11, 27-30.
  - 94 Purātanaprabandhasamgraha, ed. Singhi Jain Scries, p. 87.
  - 95 Sukranîtisara (ed. Cal) I. 315 and 317, IV. 7. 25-27.
  - 96 Manu VII 96-7.
- 97 Jha, G. N.: Manu Smriti with the Bhasya of Medhātithi Vol. III. Pt. II pp. 344-45.
  - 98 Gautama I 20-23.
  - 99 Sukranītisāra (cd. Cal.) IV. 7. 372.
- 100 RV. II. 24. 8. VIII. 7. 4; IX. 99; X. 18.9, 125.6; Av. IV. 6. 4, Samyukia Nikāya pts. II 267-68. EI I. 87, 132, 302, 312 etc.; Agni Purāņa Ch. 245. 4, Chakravarti: Art of War pp. 151-56.
- 101 Mbh., Karna, 24, 21, Drona 125, 29; Kauţilya II. 18. Raghuvamśā III. 56, ŚP. verse nos. 1777-78 and 1787 quoting Sivadhanurveda, Mānasollāsa Vol. I, Ch. II. 1216, SS Vol. I. p. 178, Ch. 31, 103; Cf. also Kauţilya XIII. 4, Manu VII 90, Mānasollāsa II. 1065 and 1213, RT. VII 982-83.
- 102 Kautilya II. 18, RC. II. 50, R1 VII 792, 1505, Yuktikalpataru. pp. 141-42 verses 47-50.

- 103 Kauțilya *ibid* ; SS. 31. 107, Sukranîtisăra IV. 7. 215 ; Nîtiparakāšikā V. 22 ff.
  - 104 Mbh. Karna 27, 4; Kautilya ibid; Agni Purana 152,10
- 105 Mbh. Ädi 19.12, Udyoga 19. 3; 154. 9. 13, Vana 20. 33 etc. Kauţilya ibid; Sukranîtisāra ibid; Vaij. p. 117 line 330.
- 160 Mbh. Udyoga 19.3, 154.6; Bhisma 96.58, 106.23, Drona 24.59 etc; Matsya Purāṇa 160.10; Kauṭilya *ibid;* Vaij p. 117 line 331; Agni Purāṇa 252.15, Art of War p. 167, War in Ancient India p. 106.
- 107 Mbh. Bhişma 51.28; Udyoga 51.8. Drona 15.4, 15.13, Salya
   57. 18; Kauţilya ibid; Agni Purāņa 252, 11-12; Şukranītisāra IV.
   7. 212.
- 108 Kauţilya ibid; Agni Puraņa 252.13, Yuktikalpataru p. 140; Nitiprakāśikā V. 9.10.
- 109 Agni Puriiņa 251.2, 252. 6-8. Sukranītisāra IV. 7. 216; Nitiprakāšikā IV. 45-46.
  - 110 Kautilya, ibid; Yuktikalpataru p. 140; Sukranītisāra ibid.
- 111 Kautilya, ibid. Agni Purana 252, 9; Yukiikalpataru p. 140 verse 29, Şukranītisāra IV. 7, 215.
  - 112 Kauţilya, ibid: Yuktikalpataru, ibid.
- 113 Mbh. Sabhā 5.36, Sänti 69, 45, Rāmāyaṇa Sundara 62, 64, Laṅkā 3, 12ff; Kauṭilya, *ibid*.
- 114 Agni Purăna 241, 28 : 249.4 : 252, 18 : R.T. VIII 1677, SS Ch. 31.
  - 115 SS, Vol. I, p. 174, Verse 57.
  - 116 Agni Purāna 245, 21-22.
  - 117 SP verses 4677-78.
  - 118 Yuktikalpataru, p. 170 verses 25-28.
  - 119 Dikshitar: War in Ancient India pp. 119-120.
  - 120 Kautilya II. 18.
  - 121 Dikshitar; op.cit. pp. 102-105.
  - 122 Ray, Jogesh Chandra: Ancient Indian Life pp. 160-61.
  - 123 Chakravarti, P.C.: op. cit. pp. 173-74.
  - 124 Jha, op. cit. Vol. II. 2, p. 340.
- 125 Sukanītisāra (ed. Cal.) I. 239 and 255, IV. 7. 192-208 Cf. translation of the passages in Ray, op. cit. p. 162.
  - 126 Sukranītisāra (ed. Cal.) II. 93.
  - 127 Ray, op, cit. pp. 165-66.
  - 128 Dikshitar, op. cit. p. 105; Ray, op. cit, p. 160.
- 129 Mbh. Sānti 100. 10; Manu VII 182-83, Viṣṇu 3. 40; Vīramitrodaya-Rājanīti p. 331 quoting Viṣnudharmottara; Rāy. p.

- 115, 123-4; Mānasollāsa (GOS. No. XXVIII p. 96) Virhšati II, 345, Sukranītisāra (ed. Cal) IV. 7, 224, RT. VII 47 and VIII 2886.
- 130 Jha, Ganganath's translation; Manu-smriti Vol. III Pt. II pp. 390-91.
  - 131 Rãy Ch. on Yātrā.
  - 132 Sukranītisāra (ed. Cal.) IV. 7. 224-25
- 133 Mānasollāsa (Vol. 1) Vimšati II. 761-64, 796-97; Yuktikalpataru, p. 176-177 verses 78-87 Cf. Agni Purāna, ch. 233 1-10.
- 134 Rāj. p. 116, Mānasollāsa Vinhsati II. 811-919. Cf. Agni Purāņa Ch. 230, 8-12 for good omens like a cow, horse, jar full of water. fire, weapon, conch etc.
  - 135 RT. VII 1291.
  - 136 Ibid. VII 1297.
  - 137 Ibid. VII 1310.
- Ray, p. 118-22. Good dreams were: sprouting of grass and trees in navel, shooting up of fruit-bearing trees on body, wearing of garlands of dry flowers, embracing or raising enemy's flag. swallowing earth and sea, killing enemies eating uncooked flesh or fish, bathing in blood drinking liquor, blood, wine or boiled milk, sight of clear sky, cows being milked, coronation etc. Bad dreams were: sprouting of trees and grass on parts of body other than naval. smearing brass-powder on head, nakedness, shaved crown of head, wearing shabby clothes, fall from a height, riding on boar, bear ass, camels etc., eating birds, fish, oil; dance, laughter, songs and marriage; bath in muddy water or in cowdung, ascending on funeral pyre, descent of conch, flag, sun and moon, embracing unmarried girls, practising homosexuality, loss of one's limbs, march to the south, playing with demons, monkeys and bear etc. Cf. identical passages in Agni Purana Ch. 229. 2-16. The arrangements of verses are dissimilar in many places, e.g. Mat. P. Ch. 242 verse 10=Ag. P. verse 7 and 10; M.P. verse 11=Ag. P. 10+11; M.P. 14 = Ag, P. 13 and 14 M.P. 23 = Ag, P. 21-22, M.P. 32-33 = Ag. P. 30-31.
  - 139 IA. IV. pp. 72-74.
  - 140 Briggs 1, p. 118.
- 141 Timur's reference to astrologers: "And with the assistance of astrologers, I ascertained the benign or malignant aspects of the stars; their motions and the revolutions of the heavens." (Institutes of Timour ed. Davy and White, p. 213). But the astrologers never got the upperhand. When Timour decided upon the siege of Delhi on 30th December, 1398 A. D. soothsayers and astrologers secretly held disputations concerning the disposition of stars and

planets on the occasion. But Timour said plainly, "what avail the triplicitys or conjunctions of the planets? I'll never delay one moment the execution of my projects." (Hist of Timur Bec. Vol. II. p. 55). And on the 1st January, 1399 A.D./5th Rabi-ul-akhir 801 A.H. Timur crossed the river Jamuna for marching to Delhi.

- 142 Mānasoilāsa Viihšati II. 1152-62 (GOS, Vol. 28, p. 132-33) Yuktikalpataru p. 178 verses 88-94 and p. 179-81 verses 1-22; Agni Purāna, Ch. 236, 16-23. Cf. Kāmandaka XIX. 2.
  - 143 Kautilya X. 6.
  - 144 Agni Purāṇa, Ch. 241, 41-42.
  - 145 Mānasollāsa (Vol. I, p. 135) Viinsati II verses 1178-81.
- 146 Mbh. Udyoga 19. 34-35. Bhīṣma 50. 40, 51. 1ff, 69. 7-12, 81. 12, 81. 23, 87. 5, 99. 1, 99. 14, Droṇa 85. 23, Karṇa 46. 11ff, 46. 28, 11. 24, 11. 28, Śalya 8. 24 etc.; Kauṭilya X. 6 mentions 17 types of daṇḍavyūha, but Agnī Purāna, while mentioning 17 types of daṇḍavyūha, uses, the names like sthūṇakarṇa in stead of Kauṭilya's sthulakarna, sarpāsya instead of jhasāḥya, and does not enumerate Kauṭilya's durjaya; Kauṭilya X. 6 on bhogavyūha but in Agnī Purāṇa 242. 60 only five varieties and in 242. 56-57 as many as 7 varieties. In the last, however, new names like ahisaāchāri, pariplabangaka, daṇḍapaksa and vugorasya are not found in Kauṭilya For two varieties of mandalavyūha see Kauṭilya 6 and Agnī Purāṇa 242. 57-58; for asamnatavyuha see Kauṭilya, ibid and Agnī Purāṇa 242. 58-59 with slightly varied names.
  - 147 Mānasollāsa Vimsati II. 1184-89.
- 148 Rājanītiratnākara, Text p. 40. Commenting on the battle-order (vyūhas), Dr. P. C. Chakravarti writes "Whereas the Hindu love of vyūhas committed the armies of India to a cult of positions and defensive tactics, they regarded it as fundamental proposition of warfare that offence was better than defence, that the sword was better than the shield". (Art of War in Anc. India, p. 195) But discussing the need of formation of vyūhas Mānasollāsa observes that the good phalanx should be so arranged that it may break through the enemy's phalanx (Mānasollāsa II. 1178 and 1190)
- 149 RT. VII. 1371. Stein observes that the number was a traditional one.
  - 150 Ibid. VII 1513.
  - 151 Ibid. VIII 1197.
  - 152 Kautilya X. 3.
- 153 Kāmandaka XIX. 54-66, Agni Purāņa 240. 16. Šukranīti-sāra
   (ed. Cal.) IV. 7. 350, 368-69.

- 154 Rājanītiratnākara, Text p. 40. quoting Manu VII 89-93.
- 155 Hindusthan Standard, March 14, 1954, page 1 of Magazine Section.
  - 156 Briggs I. 118-20.
  - 157 Ibid. I p. 173.
  - 158 IC, VIII (1941-1942) p. 328 Cf. Struggle for Empire p. 112.
- 159 IC. X. 69. The Cat. of Mss. in the Library of India Office, No. 1577 reads "Gatenyasamgare skande nidrāvyasanannadhiḥ vyāpāditas Turuṣkaissa Jivanmṛto Yuddhi."
  - 160 Prabandhachintāmaņi, ed. Singhi Jain Series, p. 144.
  - 161 Pürätanaprabandhāsanigraha, ed. Singhi Jain Series p. 87.
  - 162 IA. VIII (1879) p. 61.
  - 163 Hindusthan Standard, 14th March, 1954.
  - 164 Struggle for Empire, p. 112.
- 165 'Utbī in HIND II. p. 31. Struggle for Empire pp. 3, 4, 8 and 9.
  - 166 RT. VII 47-69.
  - 167 Struggle for Empire pp. 109-110.

#### CHAPTER III

#### CASTES AND PROFESSIONS

The traditional theory relating to the professions to be followed by the four varnas was not scrupulously adhered to in the period under review. The tendency to deviate from the customary profession was noticeable even in an earlier age. The Brāhmanas, for example, did not invariably confine their activity to studying, teaching, worshipping and the performance of other priestly functions. Atri (verses 373-383) speaks of Ksatra Brāhmana, who lives by fighting, the Vaisya Brāhmana, who lives by engaging himself in agriculture, cattle-rearing and trade, the Śūdra-Brāhmana, who sells lac, salt, milk, ghee, honey, meat and some particular dves, and Nisāda-Brāhmana, who adopts the profession of thief and robber. Pressure of economic circumstances compelled many Brahmana families to encroach upon the preserves of the three other varnas. Those who accepted service under princes and feudatory chiefs either in civil or in military departments, did not lose their social status and privileges. But the humbler members of the Brahmana community, even when they followed priestly avocation, were regarded as degraded ones. For example, Viiñancsvara and Apararka state that if one touches a Brahmana, who lives on the things offered to the image of a deity continuously for three years or by officiating as the priest for the whole village, has to purify himself by taking a bath with his clothes on. the circle of the Brahmana community following strictly the rules of conduct as laid down in the Dharmasastras gradually narrowed down. The digests of our period enunciated the principles of social etiquette from the standpoint of the microscopic minority of the Hindu community, as they were mainly the repository of the learning, in Sruti and Smriti. They meticulously observed the rules of ceremonial purity.

The "ancient Hindus", observes Kane, "had a horror of uncleanliness and they desired to segregate those who followed unclean professions like those of sweepers, workers in hide, tanners, guardians of cemeterics etc. This segregation cannot be said to have been quite unjustifiable"2. The difficulty. however, in segregating persons following the professions, which were regarded as unclean, was, that, in course of time, a very large number of workers, engaged in performing the most essential services for the society, came to be viewed as unclean. Aparārka guotes<sup>a</sup> the Brahma Purāna to show that the food which comes from the hands or which is owned by the members of the following professions is forbidden: a singer, an actor, a physician, a surgeon, a goldsmith, a blacksmith, a vendor of weapons, a tailor, a washerman, a distiller or seller of liquors, an oil-presser, a bard, a carpenter, one who makes his living by astrology, one whose duty is to ring bells, a village officer, worker in hides, a potter, a wrestler, a worker in bamboo, an indigenous banker, and one who serves as a priest to the whole village. It is not possible for a modern man to find out any rational cause for treating a bard or singer as an unclean person. It is still more difficult to explain how a nation could effectively defend itself against foreign invasions when it tried to segregate all those, who were engaged in manufacturing and selling arms. armours or chariots. Medhātithi in explaining Manu VIII. 65 betrays a feeling of contempt for all craftsmen who are labelled as persons of "mean nature", who are prone to straying from the path of honesty. The idea of branding the physicians and surgeons as social outcasts appears to be equally fantastic. Vijñāneśvara, in explaining Yājñavalkya I.120, quotes Devala to show that it is the duty of a Sūdra to engage himself in agriculture, rearing of cattle, selling of commodities and also in drawing, painting, dancing, singing, and playing on musical instruments like the flute, lute, drums and tabors. Thus the sacerdotal class in the period under review came to regard not only the fine arts but also the professions.

which had been held peculiar to the Vaisyas in olden times as fit for persons of the lowest strata.

Alberuni mentions the four traditional castes.<sup>4</sup> Outside these were the *antyajas* and some people called Hāḍi, Doma. Chanḍāla and Badhatau, who could be distinguished from one another by their occupation. Alberuni must have been stating here merely what he had read in ancient scriptures and not what actually obtained in Hindu society in his time.

At the beginning of the eleventh century A.D. numerous castes existed and even before the beginning of the Christian era, there was a large number of castes and sub-castes. Kalhaṇa and later on Kullūkabhaṭṭa mention as many as sixty four castes. Numerous were the mixed castes. The Brihaddharma Purāṇa mentions 41 mixed castes, having the status of Śūdras. The Brahmavaivarta Purāṇa states that it is useless and impossible to count the number of those who belong to the mixed castes. The names as well as the number of these castes have varied according to time and localities. Yet the authors of dharmasūtras and smṛitis attempted to fit in these numerous castes within the framework of the traditional four varṇas. The process began with Manu-Yājñavalkya and it continued ceaselessly till the days of Raghunandana and Mitra Miśra in the seventeenth century A. D.

## Brāhmaņas:

The Brāhmaṇas stood at the top of the social hierarchy. They had regained their power and were responsible for reinterpreting the regulatory canons of life as laid down by the earlier texts. The digests of the eleventh and twelfth centuries A.D. contributed to the conservation of the social pattern. These digests and law-bocks give us the impression that the Brāhmaṇas were the really qualified leaders of society and thought. Lakṣmīdhara in his Dāṇakāṇḍas states that the ideal Brāhmaṇa donee should be devoted to the Vedic studies, chaste, truthful, serene, afraid of sin, practise ahimsā, keep burning the sacred Fires, scrupulously observe the

religious vows, love the cows and, be free from greed. Elsewhere in the Grihasthakanda, the abovementioned writer gives an ideal picture of the duties of the Sālina and Brahmanas.9 Such noble and large-hearted Brāhmanas could be found in the early mediaeval period. The qualification of the donees of the time of King Mahāsivagupta of the Somavanisī dynasty was that they should be acquainted with the six supplements of the Vedas, tend the fire, should not accept service under anybody, and shun gambling and prostitutes.10

Contemporary literature and inscriptions show that the Brāhmanas had numerous sub-sections. During our period, the Brāhmanas were divided in sub-sections according In Bengal the nomenclature their localities. Brāhmans according to the gāmis, began in the period between the fifth and seventh centuries A.D. It became an accepted convention by the twelfth century. Leaving aside the Kulajis, whose authenticity is doubtful, contemporary literature acquaints us with the names of gāmis. Bhatta Bhavadeva's mother was the daughter of a Vandyaghatiya Brahmana Another Vandyaghatīya Brāhmana was Sarvānanda. deva and Santyagarika Ramadevasarman belonged to Siddhala village. Aniruddha, the guru of Vallalasena, was a Champāhat(īya Mahāmahopādhyāya, and Vaţeśvara, the recipient of the Manahali grant of Madanapala also belonged to Champahiti. The names of other gāmis are referred to in Daśarathadeva's Adavadi plate and also to be found in the Saduktikarnāmrits.11

Halayudha refers to the division of the Rādhīya and Vārendra Brāhmanas, in his Brāhmanasarvasva Besides them, there were Vaidika Brāhmanas. The Kulajīs, possibly preserving the tradition, observe that a section of them were descendants of the five Brāhmanas brought from Kanauj by

<sup>\*</sup> HB I. p. 635-637 for a list of Gamis of the Radhiya and Varendra Brahmanas.

the Varman King Sāmalavarman is Saka 1001 A.D. and another section came from the banks of the Sarasvatī river and settled in Kotalinada under the patronage Harivarman. Amongst the Vaidika Brahmanas, who came from Upper India, were known as Pāśchātvas and those from as Dāksinātyas. Other Drāvida and Utkala classes Brāhmanas, who are known to Kulajis alone, were Vyāsa, Parāśara, Kaundinya and Saptaśatī.<sup>12</sup> In Bihar, we find mention of three classes of Brähmanas. The Maithila Brāhmanas formed a category by themselves. Some of them migrated to Assam.13 In the Gaya region settled the Maga or Śākadyipi Brähmanas and the Gayawals. The Govindapur inscription dated 1137 A.D. tells us that the Maga Brāhmanas were brought from Śakadvīpa by Śāmba.14 A branch these Maga Brähmanas settled in Magadha. Manoratha and Daśaratha served King Varnamāna of Magadha. They served the King in the capacity of Pratihara and superintendent of mahallaka, which word has been translated by Kielhorn as eunuchs (verse 11). This inscription also suggests that there was a branch of these Śākadvīpī Brāhmanas in Gangadhara married Pasaladevi, a daughter Jayapāni, a Gauda official (verse 29). The existence of the Gayāwāl Brāhmanas is proved not only by tradition but also by the Saktipur copper-plate of Laksmanasena, dated 1183 A.D.,15 which records that the donor's father Vallalasena had granted a plot of land to Haridasa, a Gayawal Brahmana. In the Uttar Pradesh too, we notice different sections Brāhmanas, during the period under survey. We have already referred to the Kanauj Brāhmanas, who are also mentioned in Bengal Kulajis. Moreover, the Pali grant dated VS 1189/1133 A.D. acquaints us with the Sarayūpūrī Brāhmanas. Thakkura Jayapālaśarman was the donee of ten tālukas of land from Rālhanadevī, the mother of King Govindachandra.<sup>16</sup> Kalhana himself refers to the non-Kashmiri Brāhmanas in the reign of King Javasimha in Kashmir. A number of Brāhmanas from the Indus region

and numerous Dravidas took up their residence in built by the abovementioned King.17 matha Contemporary inscriptions acquaint us with two classes of Brāhmanas in Raiputana. A Maga or Sakadvīpa Brāhmana is mentioned as composer of the Ghatiyala inscription Kakkuka,18 as early as the ninth century A.D. in Jodhpur. The Abotis, who are mentioned only in inscriptions of Rajputana, were usually temple servants.19 These inscriptions relate that they originally belonged to Dvaravati. In Gujarat we find several classes of Brāhmanas, namely, Modha,20 Udīchya.21 Rāyakvāl. as Nagara. Prāgvāt, Gugli, Uesval, Sihora.22 Tapodhana,25 Prasanna-Purasthana and Kapilavarta,26 Prof. K. B. Vyas and Sankalia hold that these Brahmanas derived their caste names from territories, like Anandapuranagara, Khetaka Mottaka, Modheraka etc. They are also of opinion that some of these Brahmanas, called Śrīmālā, Mevād. Udīchvas. migrated from Northern India, especially from Rajputana and Malwa, sometime between 1000 and 1300 A.D. Some sections like Udīchyas went by royal invitation to officiate as priests and teachers, while others took their shelter in Gujarat to save themselves from the Turko-Afghan invasions.27 The Bombay Gazetteer records traditions about Śrīmāla or Bhinmal Brāhmanas. According to one of these traditions, the Śrīmāla Brāhmanas, were those Gurjara Brāhmanas, who received the city of Śrīmāla from King Jagatsen, as a donation. According to another account, they were of Kashmiri origin and belonged to the Jamawala caste. They were brought to South Marwar by King Jag Som and they agreed to migrate for fear of the Arab invader Bughra in VS 759/703 A.D.28 The same work incorporates interesting legend about Oswals." They are strange highnosed hatchet-faced men with long lank hair and long beards and whiskers. They were originally Magha Brāhmanas and still are Vaishnavas, worshipping the Sun. They know that their story is told in the Namagranth of the Surya Purāņa." 29

It is necessary to refer here to the origin of the Guhilots

and the Brahma-Ksatriyas. Dr. D. R. Bhandarkar holds that originally Nagara Brahmanas." But the Guhilots were G. H. Ojha, C. V. Vaidya and M. L. Mathur have convincingly adduced proofs to show that they were Ksatriyas.31 regard to the term Brahma-Ksatra, Dr. Bhandarkar inclined to believe that it was a caste in the process of evolution. We find that the Guhilot King Bhartribhatta, Sāmantasena of Bengal and the Paramāra King Muñja, were called Brāhmana Ksatras. The nomenclature was given to "those who were Brāhmanas first and became Ksatriyas afterwards" i.e. "those who exchanged their priestly for martial pursuits33." In the context of the fact that numerous mediaeval Indian inscriptions refer to the Brahmanas following the profession of a soldier, it is not unlikely that the Senas, Paramāras and the Guhilots were originally Brāhmanas and later on avowed the profession of a Ksatriya.

The earlier dharmasastras and the nibandhas of our period, however, classify the Brāhmanas according to their avocations. We have already referred to the classification by Atri. Devala classifies the Brāhmanas according to the standard of knowledge of the Vedas, viz., mātra (who has not studied any of the Vedas nor performs the functions of a Brāhmaņa but is simply born in a Brāhmana family), brāhmana (who has studied a portion of the Veda), śrotriya (studied only one recension of the Veda along with its six angas and performed six duties of Brāhmanas), anuchāna (knowing the meaning of the Vedas and Vedāngas, pure of heart and tending sacred fires), bhrūna (having qualities of anuchāna brāhmana ond performing yajñas), rskalpa (one who has worldly and Vedic knowledge), rsī (a celibate, leading an austere life, truthful and is able to curse or reward) and muni (who is given to staying in a forest, subsists on roots, fruits, vegetables<sup>34</sup>). This passage of Devala regarding the eight grades of Brahmanas have been quoted by Laksmidhara<sup>35</sup>, Vallālasena <sup>36</sup> and Chaudeśvara<sup>37</sup>.

Contemporary literature and inscriptions show that some of the Brāhmanas of the period under survey led simple lives and followed the ideal of plain living and high thinking. It was because of the maintenance of the high standard of conduct that Laksmidhara eulogised the Brahmana by quoting Yama that "to be born a Brāhmana is deemed a piece of rare fortune, the result of good deeds in a past life38." But society is composed not only of such persons, but also of some despicable and vile men. Three such instances of bad Brāhmaņas can be given from the Rājataranginī King Samgrāmarāja appointed Pārtha as the City Prefect (Nagarādhikrita). He had illicit relations with his brother's wife. Moreever, unlike a Brāhmana, and "entirely devoid of merit" he committed slaughter and other sins on the holy platform of the Saiva temple of Pravareśa39. Amongs; the companions of the licentious King Kalaśa (1063-89 A. D.) were two Brāhmanas, named Pramadakantha and Lostaka. The former lived in incest with his own daughter. Despite this evil conduct he was not at all afraid of the society. It was natural for such a man to induce the King to ignore the distinction between those with whom sexual relation is permissible and those with whom it was not allowable<sup>40</sup>. Lostaka became dear to the King as "Guru, procurer and astrologer"." The Kathāsaritsāgara tells us of Brāhmanas, who made use of a candle prepared from human fat42, worked as robbers and unhesitatingly killed cows44.

# Profession :

The Brāhmaṇas maintained their livelihood by following varied types of profession. Some of these professions were allowed by the *dharmaśāstras*. It has already been shown that the normal business of the Brāhmaṇas was to teach the Vedas and allied subjects and to act as the *purohita* of the King. Laksmīdhara devotes a chapter on the specific ceremonies to be performed by the *purohita* in order to avoid calamities in a kingdom. Besides the daily worship of the

household divinities, the royal priests offered sacrificed animals to the goblins and piśāchas. It was the duty of the King to arrange for the performance of two lacs homas a year, one crore homa during a reign, expiatory ceremonies at eclipses, a mahāśānti on the occasion of an earthquake and the worship of Kartikeva and Devi in order to ward off fear and overcome enemies<sup>15</sup>. Inscriptions also refer to numerous grants to the purchitas. The Gahadavala kings gave donations to such purohitas like Jāguśarman" and Devavara47. The Barrackpur copper-plate records the grant of four pātakas of land to Udayakaradevasarman as fee for conducting the homa in the Tulāpurusadāna, 18 by Vilāsadevī, the queen of Vijayasena. Similar donations are referred to in the Naihati, Tarpandighi and Madhainagar copper-plates. The Guiarati purohitas were also recipients of donations.49

The ancient law-givers like Gautama (VII. 6 and 25) 348-349) allowed the Brāmanas to take (VIII. up arms in times of distress and also to protect themselves, for saving cows and Brahmanas or preventing admixture of Varnas.50 A note of dissent is expressed in Apastamba.<sup>51</sup> The general practice is also referred to in the Sukranītisāra. 52 As in the past, so during the period under review, the Brahmanas engaged themselves as soldiers. In Bengal, Govardhana, the father of Bhatta Bhavadeva, was not only a distinguished scholar but also a warrior53... "having increased (both his) land and learning in battlefields and assemblies of heretics, (respectively) by the feat of his arms and (display) of oratorial skill he justified his name (Govardhana) in a two-fold sense." 54 The Rajatarangini also records the skill of Brāhmaņas as soldiers in the battlefield. Inscriptions of the Chandella, Kalachuri and Chaulukya dynasties also refer to Brāhmanas as military officers. 56 The brilliant services of the Brāhmana Sāmanta Gopāla were responsible for the recovery of the fortunes of the Chandellas. which had been temporarily eclipsed by the victories of the Kalachuri King Karna. The minister of Kirttivarman

repeated the exploits of Parasurāma, and "his merciless battle-axe spared neither women, child nor old age, it cleft the broad shoulders of the enemy and its stroke was followed by a dreadful sound." The Viruddhavidhamsa refers to the family of Skanda, a Nāgara Brāhmaṇa, which was responsible for the expansion of the Chāhamāna dynasty. Skanda and his grandsons, Skanda and Vāmana, served Someśvara and Prithvīrāja III as ministers and valiant warriors

The earlier dharmasastras were not unanimous on propriety of becoming agriculturists in the case of Brāhmanas Mm. Dr. Kane has discussed the conflicting views of these works.58 He has not taken into consideration the views of Laksmidhara and the author of the Sukranitisara. Laksmidhara quotes the liberal reformist Devala to show that the agricultural profession was permissible to the Brāhmanas, fulfilment of certain conditions. If a Brahmana showed kindness to the oxen by neither putting unreasonably heavy load on them nor castrating them, gave them food and water at the proper time he might use them in ploughing and harvesting.59 Further, no sin was incurred by tilling the soil, if the Brahmana agriculturist paid one-sixth of the produce of the land as tax to the King, one-twentieth to the Gods and one-thirtieth to the Brāhmanas.60 The practice of tilling the lands by Brāhmanas is also recognised in the Sukranītisāra. 61 What Laksmidhara and Sukra state was not merely a theory; it can be corroborated by inscriptional evidence. A grant issued in VS. 1202 during the reign of Chaulukya Kumārapāla tells us that Rājadeva, Śūradeva and possibly Nagada were Brāhmana agriculturists.62

A Brāhmaṇa was allowed to maintain himself by trade in times of distress. But the ancient law-givers like Manu (X. 86-116), Gautama (VII. 8-14), Vasistha (II. 31), Nārada (Rṇadāna 61-63) and Yājňavalkyasa give a long list of articles which the Brāhmaṇas were forbiddensa to sell. There was no change in these views even at the time of Lakṣmīdhara, 65

Alberuni also saw a similar state of affairs. He states that the Brāhmaṇas preferred to appoint Vaisyas, who transacted business in their name. Yet some Brāhmaṇas tried their fortune in the trade of clothes and betelnut. The Pehoa inscription of the ninth century records that Vāmuka, the son of Bhaṭṭa Viruka, was one of the horse-dealers, who assembled in the town of Prithūdaka. It is interesting to note here that the sale of horses by Brāhmaṇas is forbidden in Manu (X.89) and this restriction was observed even in the days of Alberuni.

The two other occupations which a Brähmana followed for a living in times of distress were moneylending and service under the King. There are plenty of evidences to show that they were appointed not only as ministers. City-prefects, dandanāyaka but also as sculptors and composers of inscriptions. Kalhana notes that Sivaratha, a Brāhmana, was appointed as a Kāyastha official.

### Privileges:

The contemporary digests also show the continuation of the privileges of the Brāhmaṇas, as for example, of claiming reverence from all varṇas by the mere fact of birth, expounding the duty of all classes, freedom from death-sentence, exemption from taxes, precedence on the road, lesser punishment for certain offences in comparison with other castes, a shorter period of mourning etc. There are certain exceptions to these rules. The rules of salutation did not apply to a person who ate and sold everything without discrimination and did not restrain his inclinations to break the rules.

Amongst these privileges, the immunity of the Brāhmaņas from taxation is also referred to by Alberuni<sup>77</sup> and the author of the Mānasollāsa.<sup>78</sup> But it is extremely unlikely that ordinary Brāhmaṇas enjoyed this privilege. Dr. Ghosal and Dr. Altekar<sup>79</sup> have rightly pointed out that neither the Mahābhārata and Nāradasmriti in carlier times nor the

South Indian inscriptions recorded in the thirteenth century A. D., show that all classes of Brāhmaṇas, irrespective of their professions, were exempted from taxation. However, it may be pointed out here that, some later inscriptions, e.g. one found in Gujarat, dated 1230 A. D. and two others in Orissa, dated 1436 and 1470 A. D., refer to such exemptions of Brāhmaṇas.\* We should not take into consideration here the lands known as brahmadeya granted to individual Brāhmaṇas, who were undoubtedly given the privilege of non-payment of taxes.\*

The exemption of the Brāhmaņas from capital punishment is not only mentioned by Laksmidhara, but also by Alberuni<sup>82</sup> and several other writers, like the authors of the Bārhaspatya Arthaśästrasa and Laghvarhannītiśästra.81 these writers state that the most severe punishment for a Brāhmana was banishment. Alberuni informs us that if a Brāhmana killed a man, the former had only to fast, pray and give alms. And if a Brāhmaņa stole a valuable object. the King had the right to make him blind and cut off his left hand and right foot or right hand and left foot. On the other hand, the murderer of a Brāhmaṇa was the greatest sinner and performed the worst crime. This belief was so deep-rooted amongst the North Indian people, that Alberuni echoes it in the following lines: "nothing can wipe off any of the mortal crimes from a Brāhmana, of which the greatest are: the murder of a Brāhmana, called vajra-brahmahatyā".65 It is interesting to record here that the Kubjikāmata is perhaps the only book which recommends that the sin of killing a Brāhmana could be atoned by repeating a certain mantra 20,00,000 times.86 But with regard to the question of immunity of a Brāhmana desperado (ātatāyī) the digest-makers were divided in their opinions. While Sumantu quoted by Vijnneśvara permitted an ātatāvī Brāhmana to be killed, Devannabhatta, the author of the Smritichandrika, held\*7 a contrary opinion. We have reasons to doubt how far the Brāhmans enjoyed the privileges of not being killed. The

Rājataranginī records several instances when Brāhmaṇas were executed by naughty tyrants, and rebels.86

### Position in society:

It appears that the Brahmanas were the most respected caste in society. They were the intellectual class. But mere possession of knowledge and scholarship was not the sole cause of the ascendancy of the Brāhmanas. Traditional devotion undoubtedly helped them to maintain their posi-The Rājadharmakāṇḍa of Lakṣmīdhara\*9 and the Rājataranginī state that the Brāhmanas were still taking part in the coronation ceremony of the King. The Kashmiri Brahmanas still possessed the right to select a King and reject the nominations of kings. They nominated the Brahmana ruler Yasaskara (939-948 A. D.) in preference to Kamalavardhana.90 It was during the reigning period of Harsa that the Brāhmaņas of Hiranyapura consecrated Uchchala King.31 The extent of their influence over Kings can be easily measured. Even during the fourteenth century A. D., the digestmakers advised consultation with the Brahmanas before taking a decision. Chandesvara holds that though the final decision rested with the King, yet he was advised to consult first with the ministers and then with the Brahmanas.92 The influence of the Brahmanas on the kings of Bengal and Bihar continued to increase from the times of Pāla kings, who were mostly Buddhists. The family of Darbhapāni served four generations of Pāla rulers, beginning from Dharmapāla to Nārāyaṇapāla. There could be no greater testimony to the great authority of the Brahmana minister Darbhapāṇi than the fact recorded in the Badal pillar-inscription that he kept the Emperor Devapala waiting at his door. The Sarnath image-inscription tells us that Mahīpāla I worshipped the lotus feet of his guru Vāmarāśi. Vāmarāsi seems to have been a Saiva ascetic. The ascendancy of the Brahmanas increased still further in the time of the Varma and Sena Kings. Bhavedeva Bhatta, Halayudha and

Aniruddha Bhaṭṭa had enormous influence on the kings of the above-mentioned dynasties. The system of appointing Brāhmaṇa ministers on a hereditary basis was not followed in Bengal alone. The Chāhamāna Kings, Someśvara and Prithviraja III, appointed Skanda, his son Soḍha and grandsons Skanda and Vāmana as ministers. Similarly, the Chaulukya Kings Mūlarāja I, Chāmuṇdarāja and Bhīma II, 4 Kalachuri Kings Prithvīdeva I and Ratnadeva II of Ratanpur<sup>95</sup>, and almost all the Chandella kings<sup>96</sup> excepting Devavarman and Yaśovarman II favoured the appointment of Brāhmaṇa ministers on a hereditary basis.

### Hunger-strike:

The threat of hunger-strike was another great moral check on the despotism of tyrants and at the same time, a source of the influence of the Brahmanical community. Sometimes, they fasted for a noble cause like the reconciliation of Ananta and Kalaśa<sup>97</sup>, or against imposition of forced labour in carrying loads by Harsa even on the Brāhmanas<sup>98</sup> or increase of imposts.99 At times the members of the Purohitas' Corporation declared hunger-strike to win political struggles. The Brāhmanas enjoying endowments were induced twice to enter upon a solemn fast by the Pretender to the throne, named Vigraharāja, sometime immediately after the accession of Oueen Didda on the throne. But these fasts ended as soon as the Queen offered presents of gold.100 Fasting was sometimes resorted to with a view to coercing the Government to do something which is not morally justifiable. The Brahmanas of Rājānavātikā also threatened King Sussala by holding a fast in order that the King might punish ministers. 101 But when these Brahmanas found the King supplicating them, they gave conflicting advice to that unfortunate King. results were disastrous for the country. On the one hand, plundering became rampant and on the other, the violent purohitas behaved like a hostile army. "Worse than the Lavanya rebellion", writes Kalhana, "was this rebellion for

the King, just as a disease of the throat pains more than one of the foot." At last, the King was forced to offer bribe of gold to the chief intriguers and thus the fast ended. Another mass fasting began in the reign of Bhiksāchara when Tilaka's people plundered the Agrahara of Aksosuva.102 Immediately the Brāhmaṇas holding agrahāras began solemn fasts against the King at Vijayesvara, Rājānavāţikā and Gokula. They wanted the restoration of Sussala to the throne, and were even prepared to fight against the royal troops. Bhiksachara could not succeed in putting an end to their fasting.103 The power of the Brahmanas remained unimpaired during the reign of Jayasiniha. They opposed the appointment of Sujji as the Commander-in-Chief104 and began a solemn fast in Madayaraiya. They won another round of success when the King had to dismiss his minister Alanikāra. 195 Further, it was only when the King promised to suppress the rebellion of Trillaka, that the Brahmanas gave up their fasts at Vijayeśvara. 106

The effectiveness of these solemn fasts is also recorded in the Nadol stone-inscription of Rāyapāla, dated VS. 1198/1141 A. D. The Brāhmaṇas of the town of Dhalopa formed an organisation to detect cases of theft and made a solemn declaration to the effect that if any one amongst them refused to obey the order of the  $R\bar{a}naka$  to find out the lost property or resorted to self-immolation in protest against the search in his house, he would have to die like a cur, donkey or a  $chand\bar{a}la.$ 

We find Brāhmaṇas as feudatory lords from the seventh century onwards. Sāmanta Lokanātha, a feudatory of the Khadga dynasty ruled the Tippera region. Other feudatory lords were the father of Bhujaniga in Kashmir, Thakuras Devapālaśarman, Bālādityaśarman, Bhūpati, Srīdhara, Anantaśarman, Jayapālaśarman and Dalhū 110 under the Gāhaḍavālas and Rāutas Jāṭe Śarman and Dādśarman in the Uttar Pradesh.

The mediaeval digest like the Dänakanda of Laksmidhara and the Dansagara of Vallalasena held the ideal of the Mahabhārata that the possession of vast wealth was a source of calamity to a Brahmana. Hence a proper Brahmana donee, according to Laksmidhara and Vallalasena should be one who was not only an erudite scholar and who led an austere life but also one who was suffering from poverty and hunger. 113 They also prescribe that the following articles should not be given away as Dāna e.g. gold, silver and copper to ascetics. cows, house, woman and a bed to a Bramana donee, 114 But we find numerous Brāhmanas who had plently of wealth and yet received donations. The Avallika-pandita Halayudhasarman of Bengal, received grants from the Queen-mother. Kumāra Purusottamasena and King Viśvarūpasena. He was also rich enough to purchase for himself as much as 1943  $(165 + 10 + 7 + 12\frac{3}{4})$  Udānas) Udānas\* of land yielding an income of 240 Purānas. 115 Not only in Bengal but also in other parts of Northern India, there were Brahmanas who held important posts in the Government and yet were recipients of donations. More than 20 villages were granted to Jāgusarman and his son Praharājasarman, who were royal priests and received royal favours from four generations of Gāhadavāla kings, beginning from Madanapāla. Further the Brāhmana Senāpati Madanapāla had no hesitation in receiving the village of Nandini from the Chandella King Paramardī sometime before VS 1228/1171 A. D.116

Some Brāhmaṇa officials and ministers were wealthy enough to gift away valuables and villages for building big temples. Bhaṭṭa Bhavadeva, the Minister of Peace and War, of

<sup>\*</sup> The unit of measurement of land, namely  $Ud\bar{u}m\bar{u}na$ ,  $Unm\bar{u}na$  or  $Ud\bar{u}na$  has been interpreted by Dr. P. C. Chakravarti, as equivalent to 32 cubits, each cubit consisting of 12 angulas or digits (HB 1, 653 f.n. 1). But Dr. D. C. Sircar also suggests that one unit of  $ud\bar{u}mna$  or  $ud\bar{u}na$  was equivalent to 32 cubits, but each cubit consisting of 36 angulas or 27 inches. So according to Dr. Sircar, an  $unm\bar{u}na$  or  $ud\bar{u}na$  is quivalent to 704 sq. cubits or 1/9th of a  $bigh\bar{u}$  of land (IHQ, XXVI), p. 311-12).

the Varman King Harivarman of Bengal, built the huge temple of Anantavasudeva and adorned it with a tank and an excellent garden. 17 Another Bengal Brāhmana, probably a teacher, was rich enough to build a temple and provide for an alms house and 7 dronas of land for meeting the expenses of the worship of the deities. 118 Laksmīdhara, himself mentions in the beginning of Grihasthakanda, that he created numerous endowments in which the wives of śrotrivas lived happily with their families. 119 Keśava. 120 a Brāhmana Nāyaka and Vāsudeva,121 a Dandanāyaka, also built temples in the times of Jayasiiiha Chedi and Vikramāgitya Chālukva respectively. The Kashmiri Brahmanas were no exception. The Samayamātrikā relates the story of a Benares Brāhmana who used to feed one thousand Brāhmanas every day. The same book tells us that among the rich patrons of a prostitute was the son of a famous guru. 122 Again, Prithvīrāja III had been killed and Harirāja was when placed on the throne of Sakambhari. Vamana, the minister Prithvīrāja retired to Anahillapāṭaka, according to verse 25 of the Viruddhavidhividhvainsa, with a huge sum of twenty lacs and two thousand drammas. 123

By the end of the period under survey we find that all the Brähmanas did not belong to one unit. We have already seen that they came to be described according to their habitat, namely, Gauda, Pāśchātya, Nāgara, Kanauj etc. The divisions and sub-divisions went on increasing. The distinction between high and low classes of Brāhmanas was maintained. Apararka quoted with approval, the view of Yājñavalkya I. 224 that the devalaka (a Brāhmana worshipping a deity for money continuously for three years) was so impure that on touching him it was necessary to have a bath to purify The Matsya Purāna (XVI.16) states that the oneself. Brāhmanas residing in Triśańku, Barbara, Odra (Orissa), Andhra, Takka, Drāvida and Konkana were not to be invited to a funeral repast. The number of degraded Brahmans increased, if not in other parts of India, at least in Eastern

India. According to the Brihadharma Purāṇa, such degraded Brāhmanas were the astrologer class, worshipping the planets, and those who were born of Śākadvīpī-Devala Brāhmaṇas. The Brahmavaivarta Purāṇa refers to another class known as Bhaṭṭa Brāhmaṇas, who were born of a Sūta father and a Vaiśya mother. The results of such ramifications were that the homogeneous character of society was altogether lost. It is difficult to understand the logic of the lawgivers who found no fault with a Brāhmaṇa engaged as a general or a royal officer, but degraded the persons, who lived by teaching or acting as priests of the non-Brāhmaṇical community.

### Ksatriya:

Dr. Ghurye is of opinion that the Ksatriyas had a shadowy existence from about the eleventh century A.D. 126 He gives several reasons. The traditional account of their total extermination by Parasurama, their rou! caused by the inroads of the Hūnas, their support to Buddhism, and the defeat of the Hindu sovereigns at the hands of the Turko-Afghans helped to convince "the Pandits of the extinction Ksatriyas." Kamalākara grudgingly accepted their existence as a rare phenomenon in the seventeenth countury A.D. 127 But there is a plethora of inscriptional evidence to show that the Ksatriya was not at all a shadowy figure in the eleventh and twelfth centuries. In the Pala records, there is mention of only one Ksatriya, named Mahāsāmantādhipati Nārayanavarman in the Khalimpur copper-plate. But in other parts of Northern India, many Ksatriyas continued to exist. The Shāhiya princes and other Ksatriyas took shelter in Kashmir, when the Punjab and Afghanisthan were occupied by the Turko-Afghans. 128 Contemporary inscriptions Ksatriyas living in the kingdom of Jayachandra Gāhadavāla,129 in the Chandella kingdom under Madanavarman136 and at Gwalior under the Paramāras. 131

The Krityakalpataru and the Grihastharatnākara acquaint us with the duties and privileges of the Kṣatriyas. As the

name Ksatriya was derived from the words "Ksatāt trāņum", it was their duty to protect the men of other three varnas. Ouoting Manu, Parāśara, Paithīnasi, Hārita, Baudhāvana, Apastamba and Devala, Laksmīdhara prescribes that kings their special duties are to bear arms and rale the country righteously, settle disputes between litigants and protect the varnaśramadharma. It was the duty of ordinary Ksatriyas to fight unto the last and never to run away from the battlefield. In addition to this Devala prescribes that a Ksatriya should worship gods and serve the cause of the Brāhmanas. In this period he had all the privileges of the Brāhmanas excepting teaching and sacrificing. He enjoyed the right to learn the Vedas, but not to impart instructions in them. 133 Their curriculum of studies included prominently the study of the art of war (dhanurveda).

The digests allowed the Ksatriyas to follow the profession of an agriculturist only in times of distress. During the reign of Bhoja, we find a Kṣatriya's son named Memmāka-cultivating a field in Gwalior area.

Devala prescribes that a Kṣatriya should never beg. Lakṣmīdhara quotes Devala with approval, but he allows him the privilege of receiving gifts. Vallālasena in his Dānasāgara also relates such a practice. King Jayachandra granted several villages in the Antarvedī region to Rāuta Rājyadharavarman, a Kṣatriya. Inscriptions also refer to such a practice. King Jayachandra granted several villages in the Antarvedī region to Rāuta Rājyadharavarman, a Kṣatriya of the Vatsa gotra in between the years VS 1233/1177 A.D. and 1236/1180 A.D. on important occasions like Uttarāyaṇasamkrānti and full moon day of the month of Vaiśākha. 138

With regard to the question of the relative position of the Kṣatriyas in the social hierarchy all the digest-makers unanimously hold that the Brähmanas are superior. The Sukranītistāra recommended the old principle of the Mahā-Bhārata (XII. 79. 15-20) that a Brāhmana incurred no sin if he killed a wicked Kṣatriya in war.<sup>139</sup> But with

regard to punishment, the Ksatriyas enjoyed some special privileges, possibly because they constituted the prop of the defence force of the State. Alberuni informs us that on being convicted for theft a Brāhmaṇa could be blinded, whereas a Ksatriya was merely maimed in the right hand and left foot. Further, no capital punishment could be awarded to them even for the most heinous crime.<sup>140</sup>

The ruling (Rajput) families of Northern India, namely, Guhilas, Gurjara-Pratīhāras, Chāpas, Chāhamānas, Chaulukyas, Rāstrakūtas, Chandellas, Paramāras, Kachhapaghātas, and Gāhadavālas called themselves Rajputs. Inscriptions of these dynasties claim that they rose from the sacred fire kindled on Mt. Abu by the sage, Vasistha. Originally there were four clans. It has been rightly pointed out by Dr. U. N. Ghosal that it is not possible to agree with Smith's view that four of the principal Raiput clans, namely, the Paramāras, Chaulukyas, Chāhamānas and Pratīhāras were descendants of Hinduised foreigners like Hūnas and Gurjaras.141 Kalhana speaks of thirty-six original Raiput tribes. 132 Whatever might have been the origin of the Raiputs, they occupied an important position in the social fabric. The Kathāsaritsāgara tells us stories of valiant Raiput guards. 143 The Kinsariya inscription dated 1003 A.D. refers to the Dahiya Raiputs, who were feudatories of the Chahamanas and consructed temples and steepwells.144 Vaisvas .

Though the Vaisyas continued to be counted as a separate caste in the digests up to the end of the seventeenth century, a tendency manifested itself very early to degrade them to the Sūdra community. The approximation of the Vaisyas to the Sūdras began as early as Manu<sup>145</sup> and Boudhayana-dharma sutra. Dr. Altekar and Ghurye rightly hold that the Vaisyas were levelled down to the position of the Sūdras. Hemādri lumps together the goldsmith caste with that of the washerman, cobbler, actor etc. More than three hundred years before Hemādri. Devala assigned to the Vaisya the professions of carrier, dancer, vocal and instrumen-

tal musician and wrestler. Devala has been quoted with approval by Laksmīdhara.149 Alberuni also did not find any difference between the Vaisyas and Sūdras. If men belonging to either of these castes recited the Vedas, their tongues were cut off by the ruler. 150 He categorically states: "Between the latter two classes there is no very great distance. Much however, as these classes differ from each other, they live together in the same town and villages, mixed together in the same houses and lodgings."151 The truth of this statement, however, is very questionable, as even in the middle of the twentieth century, when laws make untouchability a penal offence and inter-caste marriage a perfectly legal affair, Sudras and Vaisyas do not mix together in the same houses and lodgings. There were minor distinguishing features between the Vaisyas and Sūdras. According to Alberuni, a Vaisya girded himself with a single vaiñopavita made of two cords, but a Südra used the thread made of linen. 152 Moreover, even in the days of Laksmidhara, the Śūdra had freedom to sell all kinds of goods, the Vaisyas were forbidden to carry on transactions in some specified articles like salt, wine, meat, curds, swords, arrows, water, idols etc153 Laksmīdhara here differs from Manu and Medhātithi. 154 because they allowed Vaisyas to trade in most of these articles. In this case a positive evidence of the attempt to relegate some of the castes dealing in the articles stated above is noticeable in the twelfth century. Similarly in Bengal, Vallalasena is said to have avenged an insult to him by degrading the Suvarnavanikas or goldsmith caste to such an inferior status that the drinking of water touched by them was prohibited for the higher castes.

## Kāyasthas:

The Kāyasthas were originally officials of a king or a feudatory chieftain. Their earliest mention is in the earlier Smritis like Yājñavalkya. But the epigraphic use of the word is as late as the Gupta period. Their main functions were not only to write documents but also to be officers in

charge of record, accounts and revenue departments, to helpthe judges and serve as the dewan or gumastha of the Moghul times. It is in this sense that earlier mediacval writers refer to a Kāvastha. Harisena, the Jaina writer of the Brihatkathākośa (931-932 A.D.) used the words Lekhaka and Kāvastha as synonymous. 11.6 Inscriptions of the tenth and thirteenth centuries reveal that they were writers of legal documents, 157 and also officers to whom information of grants was given. 158 From the days of Yājñavalkya (1.336) to the times Vijñanesvara, we find frequent references to the rapacity of these Kāyastha officials. The latter author explains the cause of their opportunity to oppress the people by their influence on the king and their fraudulent nature. Rājataranginī very frequently refers to unscrupulous oppression by the Kāyasthas.

### As a caste:

But from about the ninth century A.D. the Kayasthas came to exist as a caste. Much earlier to the inscriptional references to their origin, the two later smritis Usanas and Vedavyāsa, refer to the Kāyastha as a caste. The Vedavyāsa smriti includes the Kayasthas among the Sudras along with barbers, potters and others. The Usanas says that the word Kāyastha is "compound of the first three letters of Kāka (Crow). Yama and Sthapati to convey the three attributes of greed, cruelty and spoliation (or paring) characteristics of the three". 139 Srīharsa traces the origin of Kāyasthas to Chitragupta, the scribe of Yama. 16,0 But inscriptional reference to this caste occurs for the first time as late as the eleventh century A.D. In one inscription the genealogy is traced back to its founder Kuśa and his father Kāśyapa. 161 In another version we are told that those Ksatriyas who were created by Brahmā and were fearless even after the extermination by Parasurama, were called Kayasthas. 162 The Rewa inscription dated KS 800/1048-49 A.D. gives another account of the origin of Kāyasthas. A sage named Kāchara, while

living in the town of Kulancha, being pleased with the services of a Südra granted him the boon of a son who became the ancestor of the caste of Kavasthas. As the Sudra had innumerable merits in his body (kāya), so his descendants were known as Kāyasthas. 163 Soddhala, the author of the Udayasundarīkathā traces the origin of his family from Kalāditya, who was the brother of King Śilāditya and an incarnation of the gana of Mahesvara called Kāyastha. interesting to note that Kaladitya has been described as an ornament of the Ksatriyas. 164 Other theories of the origin of the caste have been advanced by Dr. Bhandarkar, the Vangadesīva Kāyasthasabhā and the Kulajīs of Bengal. The contributors to the History of Bengal and Sankalia have rejected the Kulaji story of Kāyasthas, being descendants of the five attendants of the five Brahmanas brought by Ādiśūra Dr. D. C. Sircar<sup>165</sup> has rightly rejected the theory of their connections with Persian rulers or kings.

The Kayasthas became a caste during the period under survey. As with the Brahmanas, they came to be known as Gauda, Kāyastha-vainsa, Mathurānvaya-kāyastha. Kāyastha-Kātāriyānvaya, Srīvāstava and Naigama-kāyastha according to their original habitat. The Bengal Kayasthas, known to Mediaeval India as Gauda Kāyasthas, were expert scribes. On and from the date of the composition of the Aphsad inscription of Adityasena, they rendered their expert services to the ruling kings and feudatories in writing eulogies even outside Bengal. The Dewal prasasti in the Pilibhit district of the U. P. was written by the Gauda Karanika Taksāditva<sup>166</sup> (992 A.D.). The Gauda Kāyasthas also rendered services to the Chāhamānas of Śakambharī and Naddūla by writing the Kinsariya<sup>167</sup> (999 A.D.), Delhi-Siwalik<sup>168</sup> (1163) and Nadol<sup>169</sup> inscriptions for Kings Durlabharāja, Vīsaladeva and Rāyāpāla respectively. Two others, namely Pandit Mahīpāla Mahāksapatalika Mahādeva wrote the Nadol and Nanana grants for the feudatory chief Pratapasimha<sup>170</sup> and his master. the Chalukya King Kumārapāla<sup>171</sup> in VS 1213 and 1212/1156 A.D. The Gauda Kāyasthas also travelled to the courts of Chandella and Kalachuri kings. The Karanika Jaddha wrote the Khajuraho inscription<sup>172</sup> (A.D.954) in pleasing letters for King Dhanga. When this document was renewed in VS 1173/1117 A.D., by Jayavarman, it was also re-written by another Gauda scribe named Jayapāla.<sup>173</sup> Pratirāja engraved the Pendrabandh plates of the Kalachuri King Pratāpamalla<sup>174</sup> (1214 A.D.) of Ratanpur.

These Gauda scribes were men of learning. An inscription of Govindachandra Gāhadavāla dated 1129-30 A.D. refers to Kāyastha Śūrāditya as proficient in all śāstras. 175 While Jaddha of the tenth century A.D. was proficient in the Sanskrit language, Pratiraja of the early thirteenth century A.D. describes himself as an ocean of learning and light of Karana community.<sup>176</sup> The members of the family of Gadadhara, who served as a minister of the Chandellas, are described as kavichakravartīs.177 Mahādeva, the composer of the Kinsariya inscription was a poet. Hence it is not surprising that it was at the request of Pandudāsa that Śrīdhara wrote Nyāyakandalī in 991 A.D.. One inscription at least refers to their charitable disposition.178 Being pleased with the services of the scribe Pethada, the feudatory title of Thakkura was conferred on him by the Chāhamāna feudatory King Rāyapāla of Naddūla.179 Further, Kāyastha Gadādhara held the position of Minister of Peace and War under the Chandella King Paramardideva.. 180

With regard to the Vāstavya or Śrīvāstava Kāyasthas we do not get definite information about their original habitat. The Ajaigaḍh stone-inscription refers to the fact that Nāna's ancestors were inhabitants of Kausāmyapura or Kosam in the Allahabad district originally.<sup>181</sup> If we take this region as the original habitat of the Śrīvāstavas, they were responsible for writing inscriptions in their own home province, Uttar Pradesh. Three inscriptions were written by these Vāstavya Kāyasthas for the Gāhaḍavāla kings Govindachandra and Jayachchandra and also the Sahet Mahet inscription<sup>182</sup> dated 1276 VS/1219-29 A.D. The existence of other responsible

members of this community is recorded in the Meohar<sup>183</sup> and Gadhwa<sup>184</sup> inscriptions. Painsuha wrote the Ajaygadh inscription, dated VS 1345/1288 A.D., for Nāna, the minister of the Chandella King Bhojavarman.<sup>185</sup> A hereditary family of scribes, represented by Kirttidhara, Vatsarāja, Dharmarāja Chitrabhānu, Devagaņa and Ratnaşiniha, served four generations of the Kalachuri kings of Ratanpur, beginning from Jājalladeva I in VS 866/1114 A.D., Srī Sujāna wrote in VS 1254/1197 A.D. the Sone East Bank copper-plate for his master Udayarāja, a feudatory of Indradhavala in Sahabad region in Bihar.<sup>187</sup>

Members of the Västavya community rose to a very high position. They enjoyed the feudatory status of Thakkura under the Gāhadavāla Kings Govindachandra 188 and Jayachchandra<sup>189</sup>, and the Chandella King Bhojavarman<sup>190</sup> and as an official under Indradhavala,191 a feudatory of Jayachchandra. Two of them were scribes. It is possible that because of their services, the king raised them to a higher status. Some members of this community were rewarded with bigger and still more responsible posts in the Chandella Kingdom. The Ajaigadh rock-inscription of the time of Bhojavarman<sup>192</sup> acquaints us with the role of a Vāstavva family, which seems to have migrated from Takkārikā. Their genealogy begins with the name of Thakkura Jajuka who held a position of trust under Ganda some time between 1002 and 1010 A. D. He not only received a grant from the King but also was appointed to the position of sarvādhikarana (V. 6). From him was descended Mahesyara who like his father received the endowment of the village of Pipalahikā and was appointed as the visisa of the fortress of Kalanjar (V. 89). Gadadhara, the next member. became the counsellor and chamberlain of King Paramardideva (V. 10). His brothers, Jaunadhara and Mālādhara were valiant warriors (V. 11-12). The fortune of the family probably sank down for a short period. It again rose with Vase. who was appointed as the visisa of Jayapura (at present

Ajaigadh) by King Trailokyavarman. He also received the grant of a village named Varbhavari. Thanks to his bravery, the rebellion of Bhojuka was crushed. The inscription also tells us that it was he who saved the kingdom of Trailokyavarman from foreign enemies (V. 19-20). His younger brother. Ananda as governor of the fort of Jayadurga also played the part of saviour of the Chandella territory by subduing the wild tribes of Bhillas. Sabaras and Pulindas (V. 21-22). Subhata, the grandson of Ananda was a minister and superintendent of treasury of King Bhojavarman. Another family, which served Bhojavarman and his ancestor was that of Nana. From the other Ajaigadh stone-inscription, dated in VS 1345/1288, it appears that Nana and his brothers served the Chandella kings as ministers. 193 The history of these two families show that the Västavvas could become valiant soldiers. For two generations Vase and Ananda held the post of governors of the fortress of Ajaigadh. When a change of the Governor of the fortress was made, Thakkura Ayo, who was also a Vāstavya Kāyastha, was appointed as the durgādhipa by King Bhojavarman. They were equally good with pen and intricacies of diplomacy. Nana was not only a teacher of religious laws but also an adept in all the fine arts. The members of the family of Dharmaraja, the hereditary scribes of the Kalachuri kings of Ratanpur, were good poets.194

Like the Gauda Kāyasthas, the Vāstavyas also were rich enough to build temples. Thus the temple of Siddheśvara (Mahādeva) in the village of Mehavada in the Allahabad district<sup>1103</sup> or a Siva temple in the village of Sāmba in Ratanpur<sup>106</sup> and two other devālayas including that of Hari in Ajaigadh are referred to in inscriptions.<sup>197</sup>

Another sub-caste of the Kāyasthas was the Mathur-ānvaya-kāyasthas, who probably were original settlers of Mathura. So far as inscriptional reference to them as scribes is concerned, the earliest one is the mention of Baijuka, who composed the Batihagarh stone-inscription in VS 1385/1328 A.D. As a feudal vassal, with the title of *Thakkura*, the name of one

Udayasiha is mentioned in the Bhinmal inscription of Mahā-rājādhirāja Udayasinhha<sup>200</sup> of Rajputana. Manoratha rose to the coveted post of secretary to Bhuvanapāla-Mahīpāla, the Kachchhapa ghāṭa ruler of Gwalior.<sup>201</sup>

The Kāṭāriya Kāyasthas are referred to the Balvan inscription, <sup>202</sup> dated VS 1345/1289 A.D. in Kotah, Rajputana. According to this inscription, the ancestors of Narapati, who belonged to that community, lived originally at Mathura (V. 13). This Narapati was the Chief Minister of the last two Chāhamāna kings, Jaitrasiniha and Hammīra of Ranthambhor.

The Naigama Kayasthas, so far as the inscriptional information is concerned, are referred to as scribes. They wrote the Nadol plates of Chāhamāna Kīrttipāla and Alhaṇadeva in VS 1218/c.<sup>203</sup> 1160-61 A.D. and Bijholi inscription<sup>204</sup> dated VS 1226/1170 A.D.

The other sub-castes of the Kāyasthas were Saksenā<sup>205</sup> and Välabhya or Valabha. The latter wrote the charters of the Paramära Kings Bhoja<sup>206</sup> and Vijayapāla,<sup>207</sup> Māṇḍalika, a feudatory of Paramāra King Jayasinha.<sup>208</sup> and the Sanjan plate of the Rāṣṭrakūṭa King Amoghavarṣa l<sup>209</sup> Guṇadhavala' writer of the above-mentioned Sanjan plate enjoyed the position of a judge and *senābhogika*.

Another sub-section of the Kāyasthas seem to have been the Karaṇa Kāyasthas. The Karaṇas as an official class played an important role in the history of Northern India. That they were officials is evident from the mention of Karaṇa-Kāyastha Naradatta in the Gupta times.<sup>210</sup> Karaṇa as a name of a caste seems to have been as old as Gautama (IV. 7), Yājñavalkya (I. 92) and Manu (X. 22). They were born either of Vrātya Kṣatriya parents or of Vaiśya male and Śūdra female. The Brihaddharma Purāṇa includes them in the Śūdra caste. Mediaeval lexicographers identify the Karaṇas with Kāyasthas. Kṣīrasvāmin, the commentator of the Amarakośa and Vaijayantī holds similar view.<sup>211</sup> The close identity between these two is also proved by the

inscriptions of the times of Govindachandra Gāhadavāla<sup>212</sup> and Bhojavarman Chandella.213 In the inscriptions of the Chamba valley we find mention of two Karana Kāvasthas.<sup>214</sup> But it cannot be said definitely that the merger of the Kāvasthas and Karanikas or Karanas became a settled fact in Northern India even by the end of the twelfth century. Men of the other higher or lower castes held the post of Karayikas. The donee of the Nidhanpur copper-plates, who held the post of Nyäyakaranika, was a Brāhmana.215 Another Karanika Brāhmana is referred to in the Dhod (Udaipur, Rajputana) inscription, as having sold his house to the temple of Nityapramoditadeva, sometime before VS 1228/1171216 A.D. Our statement is further corroborated by the fact that the author of the medical treatise Sabdapradipa describes himself as belonging to a Karana family.217 He himself, his father and grandfather were Court-physicians to the Bengal kings, Rāmapāla and Govindachandra. We have seen that the Brinaddharma Purana regards the Ambasthas as belonging to the Uttamasankara caste and practising the profession of physician. If the evidence of the Prabandhachintamani be taken as correct, Umapatidhara, the Chief Minister of Laksmanasena, was a Karana Kāyastha. Dr. Niharranjan Ray thinks that during the Sena times, Sālāddanāga, mentioned in Vijayasena's Barrackpur grant, Harighosa, the sandhivigrahika of Vallālasena, Sandhivigrahika Nānyīsimha and Kopivisnu under Viśvarūpasena were Karanakāyasthas.218 It is very likely that by the end of the twelfth century A.D., the process of identiying the Karanas and the Kāyasthas as belonging to the same caste had begun.

As we have got reference to the Gauda Kāyasthas in the Aphsad inscription, dated 672 A.D., it is not correct to say that the "earliest known epigraphical reference to Kāyastha caste" occurs in a Rāṣṭrakūṭa charter of 871<sup>219</sup> A.D. It is interesting to note that none of the sub-sections of the Kāyasthas like Vālabha, Naigama, or Māthura ever wrote any charter of the kings of Bengal, Bihar, Orissa and Assam.

In Gujarat, we find mention of only two sub-sections, namely, Gauda and Vālabha, as writers of charters. Inscriptions also show that the sub-sections, known as Māthura, Kāṭāriya, Naigama, and Śaksenā Kāyasthas, performed the duties of scribes in the twelfth century. Their activities were confined mainly within the kingdoms of the Chāhamānas and Kach-chhapaghāṭas.

It seems that the Kāyasthas did not form themselves into a caste in Kashmir. None of the abovementioned sub-sections is mentioned in any inscription or literature relating to Kashmir. The Kashmiris understood Kāyasthas to be officials, about whose nature and conduct we have plenty of information. Our surmise is substantiated by the fact that Kalhaṇa tells us that the hereditary occupation of Kāyastha (official) Bhadreśvara was that of a "gardener", trading in night soil, selling fuel and acting as a butcher<sup>220</sup> and another Kāyastha official named Sivaratha, who was a Brāhmaṇa by caste.<sup>221</sup>

### Veidyes

We have already seen that the thirteenth and fourteenth chapters of the Brihaddarma Purāṇa and the earlier Uśanassmṛiti refer to the Vaidyas. But, though both the texts refer to their profession as physicians, yet they state their origins differently. According to both the authorities, their father was a Brāhmaṇa, but while the former describes their mother as a Vaiśya, the latter says that she was a Kṣatriya. The contributors to the History of Bengal (Vol. I) and Dr. D. C. Sircar have shown that inspite of the reference in three South Indian inscriptions of the eighth century A.D. and the Bhatera copper-plate, the Vaidyas and Ambaṣṭhas, who were physicians, did not exist as a caste upto the end of the twelfth century<sup>222</sup> A.D.

Neither in Bengal nor in other parts of Northern India, the physicians formed themselves into a caste. It is because of their profession that the Bhisakas and Vaidyas were informed

of the charters granted by the kings of the Gāhadavāla, 223 Paramāras of Vāgada 221 and Chandella dynasties. 225

#### Śūdras :

The position of the Śūdras improved from the days of Medhatithi. An undercurrent of respect for them flows in their digests. 226. Birth alone ceased to be the criteria. Laksmidhara, quoting Hārita, tells us that a pure minded Sūdra was better than a bad and notorious Brāhmana, Ksatriya or a Vaiśya<sup>227</sup>. The Śūdras became free certain disabilities. Medhatithi and Visvarupa hold that they can neither be enslaved nor be made dependent on a Brāhmana. He can be a teacher in grammar and other sciences, perform rites which are allowed to all classes in the Smritis, utter the names of deities, according to the views of revered teachers and observe—the nāmakaran and sacraments without uttering the mantras.228 Laksmidhara in his Grihasthakanda denies all these rights to the Südras. Quoting Vyāsa, he says that, a Śūdra can sell meat and need not perform samskāras like upanavana and agnihotra rites.229 But elsewhere in the Nivatakālakānda section of the Kritvakalpataru, Laksmidhara permits removal of disabilities regarding partaking of food. Directly contrary to the rule of Manu. Laksmīdhara emphasises that the Śūdra commits no sin if he gives rice for getting it cooked to a Brahmana at the latter's house.<sup>230</sup> Further, he approves the rules of Yājñavalkya, Devala and Angira, who prescribe that a Brāhmana can take the meals offered by cowherds, peasants, barbers, and potters, but not by dancers, actors, carpenters, cobblers, musicians, blacksmiths, weavers, washermen, garland-makers, painters, cart-drivers and oilmillers.231 It is interesting to note here that he approves of the dictum of Parāsara to the effect that a plate of rice, though cooked by a Sūdra, may be purified by the touch of a Brahmana. It becomes havih (sacrificial offering) and can be taken by men of the highest varna. Bhatta Bhavadeva also quotes the abovementioned passage of

Parāsara, but he prescribes a Krichehhra penance for a Brāhmana for taking food, cooked by a Sūdra.

The social and political status and economic condition of the Sūdras will also show, that they were neither down-trodden nor a community living in poverty. They were forbidden to assume the role of a Kṣatriya, but there are plenty of instances to prove that they fought as soldiers.<sup>232</sup>

The Sūdras were the most numerous section in the community. Their number increased from age to age. Some of them have been regarded as mixed castes. These were born of hypergamous unions of upper caste males with lower caste females or of marriages in the inverse order. The Vaijayanti enumerates as many as 64 jātis. It has been shown in the History of Bengal (Vol. 1. p. 567 ff) that the Brihaddharma and Brahmavaivarta Puranas gave a different enumeration of mixed castes. The former Purana<sup>234</sup> mentions three grades, the first one comprised as many as twenty utlamasankaras, the second one of twelve madhvama-sankaras and the third one of nine adhama-sankaras or antyajas. The list is merely illustrative. Both Vijñāneśvara and the author of the Brahmavaivarta Purana, state that the mixed castes are innumerable.235 A detailed comparison between the different grades of Sudras, as described in the Brahmavaivarta and Brihaddharma Purāṇas, has been made by Dr. R. C. Majumdar, Dr. Hazra and Dr. Niharranjan Roy. They have shown that both the Puranas hold largely the same view regarding the antyajas whose position was below the asat-śūdras. Thev were Vyādha, Bhaḍa, Kola, Koñcha, Haḍḍi, Doma, Jolā, Bāgatīta (Bāgdi?) Vyālagrāhī (Vediā?), and Chandālas. The list agrees with Bhavadeva's who classifies the Chandalas with Kaibartta, Pukkasa, Kapalika, Nata, Nartaka, Taksana, Charmakāra, Suvarnņakāra, Sauņdika and Rajaka. Some of these people like washermen, leather-workers, Venas, Burulas, fishermen (Kaivartta). Medas, Bhillas have also been considered as antyajas in the Vaijayantī. That the antyajas were beyond the four orders or varnas is also observed by Alberuni.

Alberuni found two classes amongst those who were not reckoned in any caste or guild. In the first group belonged fuller shoe-maker, juggler, basket and shield-maker, sailor, fishermen, hunter of wild animals and of birds and the weaver. The second group consisted of the people called Hāḍi, Doma (Domba), Chandala and Badhatau.

## Svarņakāra, Pitalahara and Rāpakāra:

According to the Brahmavaivarta and the Brihaddharma Purānas, the Gandhavanika, Śainkhika, Kāinsyakāra, Suvarna-The latter Purana kāra and Svarnakāra, were all Śūdras, classifies the first of these castes as uttama (high)-sankaras born of the union of a Brahmana father and Vaisya mother and the last two, that is, goldsmith and trader, in bullion as madhyamasankara and being born of Ambastha father and Vaiśva mother.237 The Kāmsvakāra and the Sankhikas or workers in brass and shells, were not always poor. The former is mentioned in the Bhatera copper-plate of Govindakeśava and the latter in the Somnath temple-inscription, as donors of houses.238 With regard to the goldsmiths an attempt was made in the seventcenth century Bengal to raise their status higher in the hierarchy of castes. The Vallālacharita of Anandabhatta tells us that Vallalasena had particular animosity against the Suvarnavaniks or traders in bullion for several reasons. Vallabhānanda, the rich merchant of Sankakota agreed to lend a crore and a half of gold coins to Vallalasena on condition of receiving the revenues of Harikeli. They further enraged the King by refusing to sit along with the Sat-Sūdras in a dinner. They had also alliance with the Pāla kings. So the Sena King ordered that those bullion-traders should be deprived of their holy thread. Almost all the historians<sup>239</sup> have belived this story as particularly true. But the attitude of the smritis to the Suvarnakāras and Svarnakāra do not reveal that they were above the Sūdras. Manu (IV. 215). Yājñavalkya (I, 163), Śańkha and Sumantu quoted by Aparārka (Pp. 1175-76), and Bhavadeva and Hemādri place them

on a level with Karmakāra, Niṣāda, washernæn, actors Kaivartas, tailors, Medas and Bhillas.<sup>240</sup> The main reason for assigning them to the lowest class was theft of gold. We have a brilliant satire on the goldsmiths written by Kṣmendra in Canto VIII of Kalāvilāsa. He knew the sixty-four arts including twelve of movements, six of hissing, eleven of new ways of deception and five of reducing weight. The Suvarṇakāras made donations of images.<sup>241</sup> It is interesting to note that in Orissa as many as three inscriptions<sup>242</sup> were incised by the goldsmiths and bullion traders. This fact shows that the goldsmiths had already formed themselves into a caste in Orissa.

The Pitalahāra or coppersmiths also rendered services to the kings. Three Chandella inscriptions tell us of the services of Pālhaṇa, who incised grants.<sup>243</sup>

Like the Pitalahāra, the Rūpakāra also engraved inscriptions of Kalachuri kings of Ratanpur<sup>244</sup> and Paramāra kings of Malwa. They also carved out images of Nilakantha<sup>245</sup> and Jina<sup>246</sup> in the kingdom of the Chandellas.

## Grades of Untouchables:

There were other grades of untouchables. In the days of the composition of the early smritis untouchables were The enumerations of the sub-divisions of called antvaias. these antyajas differ, once from another. Atri mentions seven. The Vedavyāsa-smriti counts twelve names and also includes all those who eat cow's flesh as antvajas.247 Alberuni tells us that the following eight groups of people, who were members of crafts and professions, but did not belong to the fourfold caste system, were: fuller or washermen. shoemaker, juggler, basket and shield-maker, sailor, fishermen, hunter of wild animals and birds, and weavers. correspond to Rajaka, Charmakāra, Nata or Sailushika. Buruda, Nāvika, Kaivarta, Bhillas and Kuvindaka, who have been regarded as Chandālas and antvajas in all early smriti texts. But Manu (VIII. 279) regards them as Súdras and thus they belonged to the lowest caste. Some of the antyajas like weavers are included as uttamasankaras and boatmen and leather-workers as adhamasankara grades of Sūdras in the Brihaddharma Purāṇa.

It would seem that the position of the antyajas has always been pitiable and they have been regarded as untouchables in the community. But a closer study of the smritis show that their status had improved in course of time. Dr. P. V. Kane interpreting the information given in Manu (X. 36, 51) Härita and Ängirasa, has rightly observed that the seven well-known antyajas "were not so untouchable in the times of Medhätithi and Kullūkabhatta." "By improvement he means that their touch was not so polluting as to require an actual bath.

Inscriptions of the period under survey inform us that the above-mentioned crafts were at the mercy of kings. The oilmillers and florists were asked by their kings to make donations to the temples.<sup>249</sup> Sometimes a King like Govindakeśava gave away houses belonging to washermen, ivoryworkers and boatmen to a śaiva temple.<sup>250</sup> Their helpless existence further worsened when the kings assigned the whole-time services of washermen or gardeners to the requirements of a temple institution. It seems that Rattarāja, the śilāhāra chieftain, assigned one family each of washermen, potters, gardeners and oilmen to the services of the Karkaroni branch of the Mattamayūra śaiva āchāryas.<sup>251</sup>.

Ksemendra in his Samayamātrikā states that a barber is the usual friend of passionate people and teacher of prostitutes. The florists and betel-sellers agreed to supply, free or charge, flowers and betels required for the worship of deities in Gwalior and the Uttar Pradesh. Several rathakāras living at Samderaka gave a piece of land measuring one haela of yugamdhari for the Kalyānika festival in Rajputana. The oil-millers did not lag behind others in contributing towards the maintenance of temples. Some of them, like Jāna, the Paṭṭakila was rich enough to erect a temple of Sambhu in Rajputana.

#### Kaivartas:

The position of the Kaivartas, Mālākāras (florists), Kumbhakāra (potters) seems to have been improved during the Sena regime in Bengal. The Vallalacharita refers to them as being raised to the status of Sat-Súdras, and Vallalasena conferred the feudatory title of Mahāmāndalika to Maheśa, the headman of the Kaivartas. Dr. R. C. Majumdar and Dr. Niharranjan Ray have pointed out that the raising of the status of the Kaivartas was due to their hostilities to the Pāla monarch. The correctness of these views can be corroborated by the fact of their revolt during the time of Mahīpāla II. The Rāmacharita plainly records that Divyoka was a feudatory chief and after killing Mahipāla II assumed the sovereignty of Vacendri. Ultimately, Bhima was defeated by Rāmapāla. Further, in Bengal at least the Kaivartas were men of learning. The Saduktikarnāmrita contains a poem composed by Kevatta Papipa on the sanctity of the river Ganges. 257

The position of weavers seems to have been improved in the Sena times. According to the findings of Dr. Sukumar Sen and Dr. Niharranjan Ray<sup>258</sup>, Dhoyī, who belonged to the community of weavers, rose to the position of a court-poet of King Lakṣmaṇasena. But Mm. Haraprasad Sastri held that Dhoyī was a Rāḍhīya Brāhmaṇa of Pāladhī gāṇii. A Vaidya genealogical work claims Dhoyī as a Vaidya. Sri Chintaharan Chakravarti observes that "the question concerning the caste of Dhoyī must remain open until some new and definite information about it is brought to light.<sup>259</sup>"

# Hādi, Domba, Chandāla and Badhatau:

The Hāḍi, Domba (Doma), Chaṇḍāla\* and Badhatau occupied the lowest rank in the social hierarchy. According

\* According to the dharmassistras, Chandalas were either offspring of a Sūdra male and a Brāhmaņa female, or offsprings of an unmarried woman. They lived in houses outside the village, kept a cymbal under their armpit and their avocations were to execute offenders and remove dirt of the villages (Kane: HDS, Vol. II, pt. 1, p. 81)

to Alberuni, they were occupied with dirty work, like the cleansing of the villages and other services and were "not reckoned amongst any caste or guild." He saw the Doma, who played on lute and sang, following the occupation of inflicting corporal punishment on criminals or practised killing as a trade. The Badhatau or executioners were the worst of the untouchables, who devoured flesh of dead animals including dogs. A similar description of the Chandalas is given by Gardīzī, the author of Kitāb Zainu'l Akhbār. But Gardīzī distinction between the Chandalas and Danians, who lived like the former. These two communities however did not intermarry (amongst themselves.<sup>261</sup>) A perusal of the Purānas digests show that there was not much of distinction amongst these low castes. Agni Purāna<sup>262</sup> prescribes execution of criminals by Chandalas. Medhatithi263 assigns a similar business to Svapākas. Beginning from the days of Yājñavalkya (I.197) till the end of the thirtcenth century A. D. there was no change in the strict rules regarding the untouchability of the Chandālas. Some of the writers of digests went to the length of recommending penances for the higher castes for seeing or conversing and even crossing the shadows of untouchables. Kalhana records that Suyva, the great engineer of the times of Avantivarman (855-883 A.D.), was found in a heap of dust on the road by a Chandala woman Suyya by name. but she "without defiling the child by her touch," arranged for keeping the boy in the house of a \$ūdra nurse.265 Kashmir Chandālas worked as watchmen and guards of houses, as drummers and as executioners. Domhas worked as singers and huntsmen. Even the Jaina writer Hemachandra requires that the Chandalas and Dombas should make sounds of sticks, so that the men of the higher castes may be aware of their presence and avoid the pollution.266 Gardīzī also refers to such practices.267

Glimpses of the life of the Bengal *Dombas* can be found in the Charyāpadas. They lived in the outskirts of the city and were untouchables for the Brāhmaņas. They often rowed

across the rivers<sup>268</sup> and sold baskets and other articles prepared from bamboos in different parts of the country.<sup>269</sup> They were also experts in dancing as many of the Charyāpadas refer to the skilful Dombi dancers.

## Aboriginal tribes and heretical sects:

Along with these Chandalas there were other untouchables, who belonged to the aboriginal tribes like Bhillas, Sabaras. Andhras, Khasas, Kulikas etc. The Charvanadas and the Kathāsaritsāgara acquaint us with the manner of life led by aboriginal tribes like Sabaras and Bhillas. Sabara men wore tiger-skins and adorned their bodies with peacock-feathers. Women were also dressed in peacock feathers and wore necklaces of strings of the guñjā fruit. Two songs composed by Sabarapada draw a vivid picture of them. One song tells us that far off from human habitation dwelt the Śabaras, clad in feathers of peacocks and decked with guñjā fruits and ear-rings. Drinking of wine made the male Sabara forget his female partner and hence the Sabarī had to bring her husband back home. Betel leaves and camphor added to their conjugal love. The Sabara lived on hunting and went to far off places in search of quarry. The Sabarī had to take great pains in order to find out her husband.270 Other songs depict their domestic life. With the ripening of the Chinā (Kāgani) paddy begin the festivals of the Sabara community. Vultures and jackals moved frequently and as they destroyed the ripe corn, the Sabaras saved the corn by raising fencing of bamboos.271 The Bodhisattvādānakalpalatā further tells us of their sacrifice of human beings, and sometimes even their own children before their goddess, Chandikā or Durgā.272

Almost a similar and picturesque and nomadic life was led by the snake-charmers. Sarvānanda (1160 A.D.) found the Bediās showing the snake-plays. Umāpatidhara gives a beautiful description of such performance in these lines: "Brother of the forest, these snakes are small in size; they are bending their heads on hearing the spells uttered by you.

This hooded snake is perhaps old, because it does not bend its head even when a qualified person like you crawls on the earth."<sup>273</sup>

During the period under survey the society did not rigidly observe the rules laid down by the lawgivers. The Brāhmanas gave up the ancient ideal of plain and simple life. Some of them in Bengal, the Uttar Pradesh and the Nagara Brähmanas accumulated plenty of wealth. In the U. P. and Kashmir they attained the position of feudatory chiefs with the status of Thakkura. At times we find them doing the work of a sculptor which the dharmasastras assigned to the Sūdras.274 Some of the Ksatriyas, took to agriculture. The Kāyasthas, as a caste, were not mere scribes. They became governors of fortresses, ministers and attained the status of Thakkura chiefs. Further as in the period between 600 and 1000 A.D.275 the foreign races excepting the Muslims, were thoroughly absorbed in the Hindu social system. Inscriptions show that the men of the higher castes did not protest against the accession of Yasah Karna, who was begotten by his father Karna on Oucen Avalladevi of the Hūna stock (Hunanyaya)276 The Hūnas were sometimes employed as scribes. Bhāskara, the grandson of the learned Govinda, born in the family of Hūna princes, wrote the Aimer stone-inscription, which contains portions of the Harakelinātaka in VS 1210/1153 A. D. Govinda was also a favourite of King Bhoja.277 The people of the kingdom of the Chaulukyas of Anahillapätaka also took the Prägvāta, Osavala, Śrimāla, Dharkkata who, were possibly Sakas or Gurjjaras.<sup>278</sup> into their social fold.

Ramifications in the caste system continued. In Bengal the distinction between the Sat and Asat-Śūdras became more pronounced. The period also indicated formation of 'regional' sub-castes not only among the Brāhmaṇas and and Kāyasthas but also amongst the Jains. Of course, the Jaina jñātis in the Chaulukya kingdom were not "endogamous groups, as marriages between Prāgvāṭas, Moḍhas, Oisavālas are recorded."<sup>279</sup> Inscriptions and literature reveal some new

castes, hitherto unknown, namely, Odds, who excavated the Sahasralinga tank in the reign of the Chaulukya king Jayasinha, 280 and Gallaka, who by profession was a merchant. 281

Prof. Habib holds that on the eve of the Turko-Afghan invasions, "in India the doors of knowledge were closed to all persons not belonging to the twice-born castes." But we have already seen how the Gauda, Śrīvāstavas and Vallabha Kāyasthas, physicians and Kaivartas were well-versed in the Sanskrit language and familiar with many other branches of learning. Caste system did not invariably prove a hindrance to the personal achievements of the individual.

# References to Chapter III (Castes and Professions)

- 1 Mitākṣarā on Yāj. III. 30 and Aparārka p. 922
- 2 Kane: HDS II, Ch. IV p. 170
- 3 Aparārka pp. 1177-79
- 4 Sachau I. p. 100
- 5 RT VIII 2407 and Kullúka on Manu X. 31
- 6 Brihaddharma Purāņa II 13-14.
- 7 Brahmavaivarta Purāņa (ed. Jivananda Vidyasagar) I. 10. 122
- 8 Krityakalpataru Dānakāṇḍam (GOS) p. 26-30 quoting Yama. Yājñavalkya, Vasistha, Mahābhārata and Sātātapa.
  - 9 Grihastha pp. 171-179
  - 10 Sirpur stone-inscr. EI, XI, 192-193
- 11 IB. III. 181 and Sadukti. I. 34.5; 54.1; 148.1; II. 68.5; 151.4 and IV. 21.5; 3.5
  - 12 HB. I. 580-583
  - 13 EI, XIX, 117-124
  - 14 El. II. 330
  - 15 Ibid. XXI. 219, line 44-45
  - 16 Ibid. V. 114
  - 17 RT. VIII. 2444
  - 18 EI. IX. 279
- 19 Nadol inser. dated VS 1198/1141 A.D. (EI, XI. 38) and Bhinmal inser. dated VS. 1306 (EI, X. 57)
  - 20 Palanpur pl. of Bhīma I dated 1064 A.D. (EI. XXI. 173)
  - 21 Cp. of Bhīma I dated 1022 A.D. (HIG II. 140)
  - 22 DV. VI. 9
  - 23 Cp. of Bhima II dated VS. 1256
  - 24 Cp. of Bhīma II dated VS. 1266 (HIG. II. 162)

- 25 Abugirı Jaina inscr. No. 2 dated VS. 1287 (HIG. II. No. 168)
- 26 Cp. of Vīsaladeva, dated VS. 1317 (HIG. III. No. 216, line 18)
- 27 Journal University of Bombay Vol. XVIII (NS) pt. IV. (Jan. 1950) Pp. 35-41.
  - 28 BG, I. pt. 11, p. 463-64
  - 29 Ibid, p. 464
  - 30 JASB 1909, p. 167
- 31 History of Rajputana I. p. 369-388; History of Med. India II. p. 330-333; 1HQ, XXVI (1950) pp. 264-273
- 32 Verse 5 of Deopara inscr. (IB III. pp. 46 50-51); V. 5 of Chatsu inscr. (El. XII. 10ff) and Pingalasūtravritti ed. Pt. Kedar Nath p. 49.
- 33 Bhandarkar's definition in IA. 1911. p. 35 accepted in IB. III. p. 44 and f.n.3. Cf. HB. I. 207 and Bāngālīr Itihāsa Pp. 261, 265, 290
  - 34 Kane: HDS II, pt. I, p. 131-132
  - 35 Dānakāņdam p. 30-31
  - 36 Dānasāgara (ed. Bl) p. 18-19
  - 37 Dānaratnākara, quoted in Dānakāņdam Intro. p. 90
  - 38 Grihastha p. 415
  - 39 RT, VII. 108-109
  - 40 lbid, VII. 277-278
  - 41 Ibid. VII. 295-297
  - 42 Kathāsaritsāgara (Tr. Tawney) Vol. I. p. 306
  - 43 Ibid, Vol. II. p. 202
  - 44 Ibid. Vol. I. p. 241
  - 45 Rājadharma p. 176
  - 46 DHNI, I. Pp. 516-18, 521, 524-25 and 536
  - 47 Ibid. I. p. 512
  - 48 IB. III. 63 and 67
  - 49 RLARBP, p. 329
  - 50 Cf. Kautilya IX. 2
  - 51 Äpastamba I, 10, 29, 7
  - 52 Sukranītisāra (ed. Sarkar) IV. 7.599.
  - 53 IB. III. p. 33, verse 12

- 54 Ibid. p. 37
- 55 RT, VII. 1480 and VIII. 1013, 1071
- 56 Senāpati Kilhana and his son Senāpati Ajayapāla in Semra pl. (El. IV. 158) and Senāpati Madanapālasarman in Ichhawar pl. (IA. XXV. 205 fi) under Chandella Paramardi; Nāgamaiyya in Valipattana pl. (IHQ. 1928, p. 35, lines 44-45) under Raţţaraja of the Silāhāra dynasty; Rāka led the army of King Aṇa of Sapādalakṣa (DV. XVI)
  - 57 Prabodhachardrodaya (ed. N. S. Press) I. 3 and I. 6-7
  - 58 Kane: HDS Vol. II, Ch. III. pp. 124-126
  - 59 Grihastha, p. 194
  - 60 Grihastha, p. 194-195, Cf. Grihastharatnākara Pp. 430-431
  - 61 Sukranītisāra (ed. Cal.) IV. 3. 19.

- 62 HIG, III, 200
- 63 Yäj. III. 40-42
- 64 Forbidden goods for trade were—fragrant things, fluids, cooked food, sesame, hemp, kṣauma, deerskin, dyed and cleanly washed clothes, milk and its products, roots, flowers, fruits, herbs, honey, meat, grass, water, animals for being killed, slaves, barren cows, heifers and cows liable to abortion, land, rice, yava, goats, sheep, etc. (Gautama VII. 8-15, Vas. Dh. S. II. 27); weapons, sticky things like lac, young stalks (tokma), fermented liquids, sesame and rice (Apastamba Dh. S. I. 7, 20, 11-13, Baudh, Dh. S. II. 1, 77-78, and Laghu Sātātapa verse 81); stones, salt, silk, iron, tin, lead, animals (Vas. Dh. S. II. 24-29 and Yāj, III. 40).
  - 65 Grihastha pp. 199-212
  - 66 Sachau II. 132.
  - 67 El. I. p. 184, 472
  - 68 Grihastha Pp. 214-21, 222-23
  - 69 RT, VIII. 103, IB, III. 32if.; IHQ, XVI, p. 571, Laksmidhara etc.
  - 70 RT. VII. 108
  - 71 Sitabaldi S.I. dated 1087 A.D. in El. II. 306
  - 72 Bakulasvāmi (Girnar inscr. RLARBP, 332)
- 73 Manoratha in Kamauli pi, (El. II. 354), Someśvara in Gujarat (El. I. 31), Mādhava under Chaulukya Bhīma II (El. XX. 57)
  - 74 RT. VIII. 2383
- 75 Kane: HDS, II. pt. I. Pp. 138-154 Cf. Grihastha p. 397 and Rāj. 91-92, 166-175
  - 76 Brahmachári p. 190
  - 77 Sachau II. 149
  - 78 Mänasolläsa, GOS, Vol. I. p. 44, verse 166.
- 79 Ghoshal: Hindu Revenue System p. 138 and Altekar: State and Government in Ancient India p. 195
  - 80 EI. VIII. 211 and JASB. Vol. LXII. (1893) pt. I. No. 1.
- 81 Inscr. of Dharavarsa dated VS 1220/1163 A.D. in IA LVI (1927) p. 51
  - 82 Sachau II. 162
  - 83 Bārhaspatya Arthaśāstra (ed. Thomas) Ch. I. Verse 17
  - 84 Laghvarhannitiśästra I. 1, 37
  - 85 Sachau II. 162
- 86 A. Cat. of Palm leaf and Selected Paper Mss. belonging to the Durbar Library, p. XVII. B.
  - 87 Vijñāneśvara on Yaj II. 21: Sm. C. I. 30
  - 88 RT. VII. 1229 and VIII. 1013, 2060
  - 89 Rāj. p. 9-18
  - 90 RT. V. 461-477
  - 91 Ibid, VII. 1385
  - 92 Rājanītiratnākara Ch. II. p. 10

- 93 IHQ. XVI. 670-72; IC. VIII. 327
- 94 EI. II. 440-42 (Devapatan prasasti)
- 95 Ibid. XXVII. Pp. 278, 283 (Koni inscr).
- 96 Man and Baghari inscriptions (DHNI II. 702, 717)
- 97 RT. VII. 400-401
- 98 Ibid. 1008
- 99 Ibid. VIII. 2224
- 100 lbid. VI. 336-344
- 101 Ibid. VIII. 768-777
- 102 Ibid. VIII. 898
- 103 Ibid. VIII. 908
- 104 lbid. VIII. 2076
- 105 Ibid, 2737
- 106 Ibid, 2733, 2739
- 107 El. XI 40, lines 22-24
- 108 Ibid. XV. 301-315; HB. I. 88
- 109 RT. VII. 91
- 110 Vide Basahı, Raiwan, Gagaha, Bangavan, Pali and Kamauli plates.
  - 111 Kamauli pl. DHNI I. 523
  - 112 RAS, grant, DHNI I. 534
  - 113 Dānakāņda p. 28. Dānasāgara Vol. I. p. 18
  - 114 Dānakānda p. 20-21; Danasāgara p. 43, 45
  - 115 IB. III. p. 146-147, lines 44-61 (Sāhītya Parişat CP.)
  - 116 DHNI II. 715 (Icchawar pl)
  - 117 IB, III, p. 32 ff
  - 118 El. XIII. p. 283 ff (Silimpur slab inscr.)
  - 119 Grihastha, p. 1
  - 120 El. II. p. 17-19 (Tewar inscr).
  - 121 EI. II. 306 (Sitabaldi inser. Jated Saka 1008)
  - 122 Samayamatrikā (ed. N. S. Press) IV. 109-110 and V. 64
  - 123 IHQ. XVI. (1940) p. 571
- 124 Brihaddarma Purāṇa (Vangabasi) Uttarakānda, Ch. 13, 51-52 and Ch. 14, 66-67
  - 125 Brahmavaivarta Purāņa, Brahmakhanda ch. 10
  - 126 Ghurye, G. S.: Caste and Class in India
  - 127 Śūdrakamalākara p. 299
  - 128 RT. VIII. 3230 and 3346-47
  - 129 IA. XVIII (1889) 136-143
- 130 ASR. XXI. 49. During Madanavarman's reign Rāuta Veda, a Kṣatriya by caste, built something in Jayapuradurga for use of people (Ajaigarh S. I. 1151 A.D.)
- 131 El. I. 154 ff. Field of Memmäka, son of Ksatriya Devavarman given to Naba-Durga,
- 132 Grihastha, p. 252 commenting on Sankhalikhita's use of the word Kşatatrāna, Lakşmīdhara states Kşatāt trānam 'Kṣatatrānam'

- 133 Grihastha p. 253; Sachau II, 136
- 134 Grihastha p. 191. quoting Manu X. 83.
- 135 EI. I. 154ff.
- 136 Dānakāņda p. 37
- 137 Dānasāgara (Fasc. 1) p. 20-21 quoting also Visņudharmottra (3.300, 3-4)
  - 138 IA, XVIII. pp. 136-143
  - 139 Sukranītisāra (ed. Cal.) IV. 7, 332-334,
  - 140 Sachau II, 162
  - 141 Struggle for Empire p. 477
  - 142 RT VII. 1617-1618
  - 143 Kathāsaritsāgara (Tr. Tawney) Vol. I. p. 72, 140, 151, etc.
  - 144 El. XII. 57-59 dated VS. 1059/c. 1003 A. D.
  - 145 Manu III, 112
  - 146 Baudhāyana Dh. S. I. 11, 4
  - 147 AR. p. 332-333 and Ghurye, G. S. Op. cit. pp. 57, 64, 88, 96
  - 148 CC. Prāyaśchittakhanda.
  - 149 Grihastha p. 255
  - 150 Sachau II 136
  - 151 Ibid. I. 101
  - 152 Ibid, II, 136
  - 153 Grihastha, p. 258.
  - 154 Medhātithi On Manu X. 95.
  - 155 Kane: HDS. II. 1, 75-76.
  - 156 JUPHS XIX. (1946) p. 81-82
- 157 Writers of legal documents in different parts of Northern India were: In Orissa, Koighosa under Mahābhavagupta I (El. XI. 95), Janamejaya (El. III. 344), Mangaladatta under Mahābhavagupta II (Ibid III. 357) and Mahuka under Rānaka Malladatta (Ibid III. 347f), In the U. P. Sūraditya under Govindachandra Gāhaḍavāla (Ibid. XI. 25-26), Purandara under Jayachandra (IHQ. 1929, p. 40); In the Chamba State, Sekha under Lalitavarman (El. XX. 198); in the kingdom of the Chandellas Prithvidhara under Paramardideva (IA. XXV. 207); In the Chaulukya kingdom, Jejja under Mūlaraja I (IA. VI. 192-93) Kāōchana (IA. VI. 194, XVIII. 110) Vajeśvara under Bhīma I (El. XXI. 171). Keka under Karņa (JBBRAS. 26, 250), Kekkaka (El. I. 318), Somasinha (IA. VI. 200, 202, 204, 209), Vosarina (IA. VI. 195) and Vājaḍa under Bhīma II (RLARBP. 328); in the Paramāra kingdom, Guṇadhara in 949 A.D. (DHNI. II. 849) Sohika in 1047 A.D. (DHNI II. 865), Asarāja in c. 1080 A.D. (Ibid. II. 920).
  - 158 IA. XV. 208; El. XX. 130, 136.
  - 159 HDS, II. i. p. 76.
  - 160 Naisadhacharita XIV. 66 (ed. Handiqui).
  - 161 EI. XXVIII. 100f.
  - 162 Ibid XXV. 276f.
  - 163 Ibid. XXIV. 108.

- 164 Udayasundarīkathā (GOS) p. 11 (Kāyastha-nāmno Maheśvara-gaņasy āvatāraḥ Kṣatriya-vibhuṣaṇam Kalāditya) Cf. HB. I. p. 587 identifying Silāditya with a king of the Maitraka dynasty.
- 165 Bhāratīya Vidyā, Vol. X. p. 284 Dr. Sircar has given a correct explanation of the word when he states, "An official, who usually sat beside his master in discharging his duties and was often the chief intermediary between his master and the latter's clients or subjects, may have been naturally called Kāyastha in the sense of Kāyastha—iva 'as if staying in the person of his master,' by reason of his influence or intimacy on the master."
  - 166 El. I. 81.
  - 167 Ibid, XII, 61.
  - 168 IA. XIX. 218.
  - 169 EL XI, 41,
  - 170 IA. XLI, 203.
  - 171. ABORI. 1941-42 P. 318.
  - 172 EI. I. 122.
  - 173 Ibid, L. 147.
  - 174 Ibid, XXIII, 6, 8.
  - 175 Ibid, XIX, Pp. 209, 213,
  - 176 El. XXIII. 6. (Pendrabandh pl).
  - 177 DHNI, Vol. II. 718.
  - 178 Bh, List in El. XX. 64 (Nana inser, dated VS. 1257).
  - 179 El. XI. 41.
  - 180 Ibid. 1, 211.
  - 181 EL XXVIII. 100.
- 182 Benares grant, dated VS. 1171 and Kamauli grant, dated VS. 1172 by Jalhana (El. VIII: 153, IV. 104), Kamauli grant dated VS. 1182 by Kithana (El. IV. 101). Sahet Mahet inser. (IA XVII. 62)
  - 183 JRAS, 1927. P. 696,
  - 184 ASIR. III. 58.
  - 185 EI. XXVIII. 104, verse 39.
- 186 El. I. 39. (Ratanpur inser.), XXII. 163 (Sarkho pl), IHQ-1925, p. 411 and El. XIX. 214 (Amoda pl), El. I. 45 (Malhar Sl).
  - 187 EL XXIII, 230.
  - 188 Ibid, IV. 103-104.
  - 189 JRAS. 1927, p. 696. (Meohar SI).
  - 190 EI I. 333 (Jájuka in Ajaigadh RI. dated VS. 1345).
  - 191 El. XXIII. 230 (Sujana in Sone East Bank CP).
  - 192 Ibid, I. 333-336.
  - 193 Ibid. XXVIII. 103.
  - 194 Ibid. XXIII, 163.
  - 195 JRAS 1927, p. 695-696.
  - 196 Ibid, I. 45-52.
  - 197 (bid I. 330ff, and XXVIII, 104,

198 IA, XV. 202 (Gwalior inser, dated VS. 1161) ASIR. 1903-04 pt. II. p. 286 (Gwalior Museum inser, dated VS. 1350), PRAS. WC, 1905-06, p. 58 (Bijolia inser), El. XII. 46 (Battihagadh inser).

199 EL XII. 46.

200 Ibid. XI. 57.

201 IA. XV. 202.

202 El. XIX. 45-52.

203 Ibid. IX. 68; Ibid. IX 63-66; NX. 47.

204 Ibid, XXVI. 99, V. 89.

205 ASIR III 58 (Gadhwa Pl. dated VS 1199).

206 El. XXI. 158 (Tilakwada pl. dated VS. 1103/1946 A. D.).

207 IA. VI, 56.

208 El. XXI. 50.

209 Ibid. XVIII. 243.

210 From about the eighth century A. D. the kings informed them about royal grants (El. IV. 250, El. XXII. 155, XXVIII 282 II. 309 ctc). They composed and wrote the royal charters in the kingdoms of the Guhilas of Chatsu in Raiputana (DHNI, II 1200), Chandellas (DHNI II. 685, El. 1. 125, DHNI II. 726), Kalachuris of Tripurī and Ratanpur (El. XI. 146 line 49, Ibid XXVIII, 6, verse 29), and the Gähadavālas (DHNI, I. 516, 523, 526, El. IV, 104, 106, 107, 111, ibid, XVIII. 224, 225, XIII. 220, VIII. 100). Some of them even rose to the position of ministers. As early as the Gunaighar grant, we find reference to a Karana-Käyastha as the Minister of Peace and War (1HQ, VI, p. 55, 58). The father of Sandhyakaranandi also held a similar post (RC, Kavipraśasti, verse 3). During the period under survey the Karanikas of the status of Thakkura chiefs can be found in the kingdoms of the Chandellas. (Rewa grant in DHNI, II, 726) and the Gahadavalas (Kamauli pl. dated 1116 A.D. (El IV. 104), dated 1118-19 A.D. (EI, IV. 107), Don Buzurg dated 119-20 A.D. (EI, XVIII 223), Chhatarpur dated 1120 A.D. (EI. XVIII Gagaha dated 1143 A.D. (EI, XIII, 220), Lar pl. dated 1146 A.D. (EI. VII. 100) Banaras, dated 1146 (DHNI 1, 526). Contemporary inscriptions reveal two other facts about the Karanas. Some of them trained their sons in composing verses or writing the copper-plates. The writing of legal documents became a hereditary profession. The Harsa and Bilhari stone-inscriptions of the tenth-eleventh centuries were composed and written by Dhirunaga and Nai who were the sons of Karanikas Thirüka and Dhīra respectively (EI. II. 124, verse 45 and EI. I. 270). They were not simply following the set pattern in out the documents. Jaddha the writer of the Khajuraho writing inscription of the year 953-54 A.D. was a Sanskritabhāṣāvidyas (DHNI. II. 685). Pratiraja, the writer of the Pendrabandh plate of the Kalachuri king Pratāpamalla, dated KS. 965/1214 A.D. was an ocean of learning (Vidyāmbudhi in El. XXIII. 6).

211 Bhāratīya Vidyā. Vol. X. p. 281

- 212 Jalhana is described in one inscription as a Kāyastha (EL. IV. 104) and in another as Karapikodgata (EI, VIII, 153).
  - 213 EI I. 330.
- 214 Vogel, J. P.: Antiquities of Chamba State. Pt. I. Pp. 194, 199.
  - 215 EL XII, 75.
- 216 DHNI, II, 1082, Dr. Bhandarkar in his List p. 52 wrongly states that he made a donation.
  - 217 HB. 1. 585.
  - 218 Vāngālīr Itihāsa p. 319.
  - 219 Age of Imperial Kanauj p. 394 f.n. No. 94.
  - 220 RT. VII. 39.
  - 221 Ibid, VIII, 2383.
- 222 HB. I. 589-591 Vāngalīr Itihāsa Pp. 280-81, 307-308, Dr. D. C. Sircar (JUPHS, XVIII, 148-160) disproves the indentity of Vaidyas and Ambasthas and does not believe in the introduction of Kulinism amongst the Vaidyas earlier than the seventeenth century A. D.
- 223 EI. XVIII. 221 (Don Buzurg pl.) ibid. XXVI. 73 (Kamaul, pl.), ibid. XI. 24 (Sahet Mahet pl). ibid XXVI. 272 (Rajghat pl. dated 1140 A. D.), ibid. XXIV. 294 (Lucknow Mus. pl.).
  - 224 El. XXI. 52 (Arthuna inscr, dated 1109 A.D.).
  - 225 Ibid, IV. 157 (Semra pl.)
- 226 It is difficult to agree with the view of Dr. Ghoshal that "the description of the Sūdras' occupation and status in the commentaries and digests of this period (1000 to 1300 A.D.) follows the old Smriti lines. (Struggle for Empire, p. 475).
  - 227 Grihastha p. 427.
- 228 Medhātithi on Manu III. 67. 121, 156; X. 127; Viśvarūpa on Yāj. I. 13.
  - 229 Grihastha, p. 271-272,
  - 230 Niyatakālakānda p. 356; Grihastharatnākara p. 336.
  - 231 Niyatakālakānda p. 262-63.
  - 232 El. III. 16f., VI. 269, XI. 319f, XXII, 143f, etc.
  - 233 Vaij. 81. 108-111,
- 234 Brihaddharma Purāṇa, Uttarakāṇḍa, Ch. XIII. 33-48, XIV. 33-65.
  - 235 Brahmavaivarta Purāņa, I. X. 122; Vijñāneśvara on Yāj. I. 95.
  - 236 Vaii. 82, 121,
  - 237 Brihaddharma Purāna, Uttarakh, Ch. XIII, 33-34, 40.
  - 238 EI. XIX. 277ff; XXIII. 141.
  - 239 HB. I. p. 240-241; IHQ. XVI, 702-705.
  - 240 HDS II. pt. I. p. 98.
- 241 JBORS XXVI. 245 on gift of Kesava in the 31st. Yr. of Mahīpāla.

- 242 Singhara grant of Ranabhañja dated 9 incised by Vanik-Suvannakāra Padmanābha (DHNI, I, 437), Upalada grant of Rāṇaka Rāmadeva (El. XXIII, 141), Narasinghapur charter of Uddyotakesari Mahābhavagupta IV. by Suvarṇavīthivijñāni Baheru and Maṅgaka (JBORS XVII, 24),
  - 243 El. IV. 124, IA. XXV. 205-208, and El. XVI, 9.
  - 244. DHNI. II. 809 and 904.
  - 245 Ibid, II. 706 (Kalinjar RI).
- 246 Ibid, II. 708 (Khajuraho Jain images inscr. dated VS 1215/1157 A.D.).
  - 247 HDS. II. pt. I. p. 70-71.
  - 248 Ibid. p. 173.
- 249 JOHRS I. & 7 (Bhubanesvar Temple inscr.), El. 1. 287ff. (Mathura Prasasti).
  - 250 EI. XIX. 277ff
  - 251 EI. III. 296 (Kharepatan pl. dated 1008 A. D.).
  - 252 Samayamātrikā (N. S. Press) I. 8.
- 253 El. I. 154ff. (Vaillabhattasvāmin inscr. line 17-20); Ibid. I. 162 ff. (Siyadoni inscr. lines 15-16; Ibid. I. 271 ff. (Cintra Praśasti, verse 65).
  - 254 El. XI. 47 (Sanderav inscr. dated VS. 1221).
- 255 EI. I. 154ff. (Vaillabhattasvāmin inscr. lines 11-17), Ibid. XXIII. 141 (Somnath Temple inscr. dated 1028 A.D.).
  - 256 DHNI, JI, 875, JASB (NS) X, 241-43
  - 257 Sadukti, p. 28, I. 36, 5
- 258 Sen, Sukumar: Prāchin Bāṅgālā p. 19: Ray: Väṅgālīr Itihasa p. 319.
  - 259 Pavanadūtam (ed. Chintaharan Chakravarti) Intro. p. 5
  - 260 Sachau I. 102.
  - 261 Ouseley Ms. from Bodelian Library, Ms. Page No. 248A.
  - 262 Agni Purana Ch. 151, 10f.
  - 263 On Manu X. 38
- 264 Medhātithi on Manu V. 12, X. 51: Aparārka on Vāj. III. 292; Sm. C. II. 304-308; Prāyaschittaprakaraņa 111-114; Krityakalpataru-Suddhikānda (GOS) p. 127, 176 etc.
  - 265 RT. V. 74-77
- 266 Deśināmamālā II. 73, III. 54 dumbādinām sparašaparihārārtha chihņayastih; chaṇḍālādinām sparša parihūrārtha chihṇayastih.
  - 267 Ms. Ouselev, MS. Page No. 248A.
- 268 Bauddha Gāna O Dohā and Charyāgīti Padāvalī Pada Nos. 8, 14.
  - 269 Ibid. Pada No. 10
- 270 Bauddha Gāna O Dohā and Charyāgīti Padāvalī, Pada No. 28.
  - 271 Ibid, Pada No. 50

# 124 SOCIO-ECONOMIC HISTORY OF NORTHERN INDIA

- 272 Bodhisattvāvadānakalpalatā (ed. Ray) P. 707, Ch. 84, 37
- 273 Sadukti, P. 243, IV. 25, 5.
- 274 Vaikhānasa Smārta-Sūtra X. 14 (ed. Caland).
- 275 Age of Imperial Kanauj p. 373.
- 276 El. II. 4 verse 15; XII. 212, verse 15; JASB. XII. 480 verse 13
  - 277 DHNI, II. 1076
- 278 Sankalia: Studies in Historical and Cultural Geography of Gujarat Pp. 140, 143-145.
  - 279 Sankalia: Op. cit. p. 156.
  - 280 Forbes: Ras Mala (ed. Rawlinson) o. 110.
  - 281 El. III. 304 (Verawal image inscr. line 2, dated 1246 A.D.)
  - 282 HIED II (ed. Aligarh) Intro, Pp. 39, 41.

## CHAPTER IV

# The Turkish settlers and effects of social contact with them

The age-long tradition of Indian society was to allow foreigners to settle down in this country and to permit them to follow their respective avocations without any hindrance. There was a special department in the Maurya capital to take care of foreigners. The foreign elements in the Indian population responded to the kindness and hospitality of the people of the country and in course of time they became assimilated to the Indian social structure. The Governments and the people of different states of Northern India did not deviate from their traditional habit of tolerating the foreigners even after the ruthless invasion of Sultan Mahmud. Alberuni. of course, records that "Mahmud utterly ruined the prosperity of the country, and performed wonderful exploits, by which the Hindus became like atoms of dust scattered in all directions, and like a tale of old in the mouth of the people. Their scattered remains cherish, of course, the most inveterate aversion to all Muslims".1 This aversion manifested itself in matters of social contact with the Muslims indeed. but, it was not strong enough to impel them to prohibit the settlement of isolated groups of Turko-Afghans in different parts of the country.

Ibn Asir records that a large number of Muslims settled in the areas round Banaras since the time of Sultān Sabuktigīn. The historian makes the definite statement that "there were Mussalmans in that country since the days of Mahmud bin Sabuktigīn, who continued faithful to the law of Islam and constant in prayer and good works." This is partially corroborated by the tradition which the sixteenth century Lama historian Tāranātha records. He refers to the settlements of Turks in the Antarvedi or the Ganges-Jamuna doāb.3 He

further states that during the time of Lavasena and his successors, the Turks increased in number in Magadha, prior to the invasion of Odantapurī and Vikramašīla.4 We get another corroborative evidence from the traditional history of Maner. in the district of Patna, according to which there were Turkish settlers at Maner. An inscription found at Maner and dated VS 1183/1124 A.D. records the gift of the village Padali in the pattalä of Maniari, which is modern Maner, to a Brāhmana by King Govindachandra Gāhadavāla who orders his officers: "obedient to my command, you shall give all dues as given now including the revenue, the trade duties and the Turuskadanda".6 The term uruskadanda has been interpreted variously as a counterpart of Danegold offered to the Turks to induce them not to invade the country or as a tax collected for the purpose of collecting the funds for bearing the expenses of defending the country against the Turks. But in view of the fact that the Turks were allowed to settle down in some part of the Gahadavala kingdom, it was quite natural on the part of the Government to levy a special punitive tax from such settlers.\*

\*Dr. D. C. Ganguly, however, prefers to interpret turuskadanda as "Some suggest that it was an impost on the subjects of the Gahadavalues to meet the expenses of resisting the invasion of the Muslims. Others think that the Gahadavala kings realised this tax from the people in order to make annual payment of tribute to the Sultans of Ghazni...... It was probably to enforce the regular payment of this tribute that the Muslims led expeditions against Northern from time to time." (Struggle for Empire, p. 51) He identifies Chand Rai who helped Mahmud with Chandradeva, the second known king of the Gähadavala dynasty. This Mahmud is the son of Sultan Ibrahim of Ghazni and Governor of the Punjab and he plundered Kanauj and Kalinjar and invaded Ujjain. There is, however, no positive evidence to show that the Gahadavalas ever acknowledged the suzerainty of the Ghaznavide Sultans. As a matter of fact, we find that Govindachandra and his son Vijayachandra repulsed the attacks of the Mussalmans. Of course, the Muslim chroniclers claim that Ala-ud-daulah Masud III defeated Malhi, king of Kanauj and released him on payment of a large sum of money. If Malhi is identified with Madanachandra, whose son Govindachandra.

It was common sense prudence on the part of the Gahadavala Government to maintain some kind of register for Turki settlers and to impose a special tax upon them, because their compatriots were in the habit of attacking Northern India from time to time. Thus we find that between 1086 and 1114 A.D., there were the invasions of Mahmud, his son Sultan Ibrāhim of Ghaznī and of Ala-ud-daulah Masūd III. Again between 1133 and 1169 A.D. there were three other invasions. The earliest of these was repulsed by Arnoraja, the second by his son Vigraharāja IV alias Vīsaladeva, who claims to have "once more made Arvavarta what its name signifies by repeatedly exterminating the Mlechchhas (who had rendered the name meaningless by their occupation of the country)". 8 The third invasion was probably led by Khusrav Malik, who was defeated by Vijayachandra, son of Govindachandra Gāhadavāla.9 None but the Hindu princes allowed some members of the would have invading community to reside as freely in some parts of their domains. It cannot be said that they were forced to permit them the right of residence in their states because, concession of such a right is not recorded even by the Muslim chroniclers of old. It speaks highly also of the spirit of tolerance of the masses of Northern India that they did not make the life of Turkish settlers miscrable.

On the other hand, the history of the Chaulukyas of Gujarat furnishes several glorious examples of the active support which the princes and the people of Gujarat extended to the Muslim population. Dr. A. K. Majumdar has rightly pointed out that within three decades of the devastating invasion of Somnatha, the people of Gujarat allowed the

as a prince, claims to have fought and defeated the Turks, there is no reason why we should reject the Indian claim in favour of the claim of the Turks. Moreover, even the Turki claim does not go so iar as payment of tributes regularly at stated intervals by the Gāhadavālas. Had a tax been levied specifically to pay the tribute to the Turks, why should there be fresh attacks again and again?

Muslims to build up a mosque at Ahmedabad in 1053 A.D.<sup>10</sup> He further draws upon Muhammad 'Ufi to show how Siddharāja, on hearing of the burning of a mosque and the killing of eighty Muslims at Cambay, himself hurried to the place of occurrence in disguise, punished the offenders and gave the Muslims one lac of *Balotras* to rebuild their mosque.<sup>11</sup> The merchants of Gujarat too made handsome contributions for the purpose of constructing a mosque for the use of the Muslims.<sup>12</sup>

This sort of broadminded tolerance for the religion of the foreign settlers was not to be found in the history of mediaeval Europe. Alberuni mistook the insistence of the Brāhmanas on their habit of maintaining ceremonial purity as their narrowness and fanaticism, and wrote: "All their fanaticism is directed against those who do not belong to them, against all foreigners. They call them mlechchha i.e. impure and forbid having any connection with them, be it by intermarriage, or any other kind of relationship, or by sitting, eating and drinking with them, because thereby, they think they would be polluted. They consider as impure anything which touches the fire, and the water of a foreigner."13 The Kashmirian King Kalasa (1063-1089 A.D.) employed Turkish architect to erect a golden parasol over the temple of Kalaśeśvara. Another King of the same state, Harsa employed the Turks in his army.14

The Sūfī saints who came to India during the period under review tried to bring the two communities closer to each other. Prof. Askari has shown that a number of Sūfī saints like Sālār Masūd. Syed Hussain Khingsawar, Syed Ahmed. Syed Mohammad Momin Arif, Israil, Abdul Aziz and Ismail settled in Bharaich, Ajmer, Jarua (modern Hajipur) and other parts of North and South Bihar. The celebrated Sūfī saints Khwaja Muinuddin Chisti arrived in India in in 1190 A.D. and made Ajmer the chief centre of his activity. There were other Sūfī and Ismaili saints like Abdullā (1065 A.D.) Nuruddīn and Muhammad Ali (died 1137 A.D.) in Gujarat and

Sayyad Shāh Surkh Khal Datian at Netrokona in the district of Mymensingh<sup>16</sup> (now in East Pakistan).

The Sūfī saints did not like to have any connection with the Muslim kings, governors and generals. One of the early Sūfī saints of the Chisti order, Khwaja Fariduddin Masūd Ganjshakar (died 1265 A.D.) addressed his disciple Sayyidi Maulā thus: "Do not make friends with kings and nobles. Consider their visits to your home as fatal (for your spirit)." The Sūfī saints tried to pick up the language of the people and some of them are known to have conversed with them in Khariboli. They evinced their partiality for Indian music, used betel leaves, used the Indian palanquin for conveyance and manifested a liberal outlook in their dealings with Indians. 18

The Sufi saints adopted also some of the religious practices and beliefs of the Hindus and Buddhists. Prof. Askari thinks that in the practices of the Sūfī saints in Bihar like carrying a begging bowl, use of rosary, control of breath or prānāyāma, one can discern the influence of Hindu and Buddhist ideas. Moreover, the Sūfis came to believe in the seven worlds (Awalim-i-Sabaa) and Yoga and also in samādhi, which they Muragaba. "Sufistic Islam". observes Prof. Askari. "does not emphasize the transcendental ideals of orthodox Islam, and the absolute separation of the creation from the created but inclines towards the Hindu belief aiming at union with the created by merging the individuality with the Divine Essence or the tenets of Buddhism about the gradual perfection of the individuals or their growth by stages until they attain the state of beatitude."19 All these could not but draw certain sections of the Hindu community towards them.

It is, however, extremely difficult to ascertain the exact amount of influence which the Muslims exercised in the period prior to 1194 A.D. Some writers have gone to the length of saying that some of the lower castes, who were denied some of the ordinary rights of citizenship welcomed the message of equality preached by Islam and readily became

converted. But history or tradition records very few cases of such conversion. According to one tradition, one Rāmdeo, who was said to have been the chief priest of Ajmer, was asked by Pṛthvīrāja III to expel the Sūfi saint, refused to do so and subsequently became a disciple of Khwaja Muinuddīn Chisti.<sup>20</sup>

Another instance of conversion on a considerable scale is to be found in the eleventh century A.D., when some members of the depressed castes, like Kanbis, Kharwars and Koris were converted to Islam by Nuruddīn Nur Satagar of the Ismaili sect.<sup>21</sup>

Beef-eating was not unknown even amongst the Brāhmanas in ancient India.<sup>22</sup> In the fourteenth century, Chandeśvara quotes Devala to show that flesh of cows is forbidden.<sup>23</sup> But in the twelfth century Aparārka quotes Sankha in support of the views that the flesh of buffaloes was allowable.<sup>24</sup> Sukranītisāra refers to the custom of beef-eating among artisans of mid-India.<sup>25</sup> Yasastilakachampū speaks of the Rahamānas as eaters of beef.<sup>26</sup> From this Handiqui infers that they were Mussalmans. But Abul Faraj (A.D. 988), an Arab traveller, regards them as a sect of the Hindus, though he calls them Rahmar(n)iyya.<sup>27</sup> It is therefore, not possible to consider the Rahamānas as a section of Hindus, converted to Islam. Their name, according to Nainar, was a corrupt form of the word Rājānumaranūya, which means those who follow their king to death.

The one important effect which can be ascribed to the contact with the Arabs and Turks is probably an incentive to write history and historical poems. Before the ninth century A.D., we get only one instance of semi-historical literature, namely the Harsacharita by Bāṇabhatṭa. But the eleventh and twelfth centuries are exceptionally rich in this field. We find eminent scholars like Sankuka, Kalhaṇa, Sandhyā-karanandī, Hemachandra and Jayānaka, taking up the task of writing the history of their respective regions or periods.

Some scholars<sup>28</sup> think that words like 'Sāhī' and Hammīra

were adopted by the Hindus as a result of their contact with the Mussalmans. The earliest use of the title Sāhī by kings is as late as V.S. 1346/1289 A.D. by Hammīravarman, the Chandella king.20 But the use of the word Hammira or Hamvīra, in inscriptions and Sanskrit literature meant the Muslims till the end of the twelfth century.30

The Hindus influenced the Muslim kings and people in a number of ways. Dr. S. K. Chakraborty has shown how the kings like Sultan Mahmud, Muhammad bin Sam of Ghur and Iltutmish, were constrained to use the Hindu weight system, types and legends, and even the honorific (word), Sree, on their coins.31 The common Muslims of the times of Amir Khusrav (1255-1325) began not only appreciating the Indian music but also using pan or betel leaves. Dr. Yusuf Husain also finds a good deal of influence of Sivarātri and Rathayātrā or car festival and the celebration of Krsnalīlā in the Muslim festivals like Shabebarat and the takings out of Ta'ziyas on the occasion of Mohurram in India.32

#### **Su**ddhi

Turkish invaders often carried a large number of Hindus as slaves to their country. Many of these slaves were also kept in that portion of the Punjab, which fell to the hands of the Turks. Some of them must have succeeded in their attempt to escape to India. Were these people taken back into the fold of Hindu society? Devala-smrti classifies such people broadly into two categories—those who had been forced to kill cows, eat the leavings of the food of the Mlechchhas and cohabit with their women, and those who had not done any of the forbidden things. The former could be reconverted upto the period of less than four years and the latter "who had staved with Mlechchhas from five to twenty years is purified by undergoing two Chandrayanas".33 It has been usually held that the Hindu society became more conservative in the eleventh and twelfth centuries and refused to re-admit anybody who had been contaminated by his contact with the Mlechchhas. But we have positive evidence to show that even in the fourteenth century such re-admission was possible. Vidyāraṇya, who was a contemporary of Vedāntadeśika (1269-1370), in his Pañchadaśī uses the following simile: "Just as a Brāhmaṇa seized by Mlechchhas and afterwards undergoing the appropriate prāyaśchitta does not become confounded with the Mlechchhas (but returns to his original status of being a Brāhmaṇa), so the Intelligent Soul is not really to be confounded with the body and other material adjuncts.<sup>34</sup>

As regards abducted women, Devala writes (Devala-smrti 88-89) that if a Brāhmana woman happens to feed a Mlechchha, or to eat proscribed articles of food, she would attain purity if she observes a parāka penance. A quarter less is prescribed for the female members of the remaining varnas in descending order of the social grade. If there were no physical relation, nor any proscribed food eaten, or even if she had taken food belonging to a Mlechchha, she would obtain purity at the lapse of three nights (of the menstrual period). Devala was liberal enough to say that, "the womenfolk of the four orders as well as those of other castes, who happen to become pregnant as a direct consequence of coming in contact with Mlechchhas, or who happen to eat the proscribed dishes willingly or unwillingly, would become pure, by observing a Krchhra santapana penance and by cleansing the private parts with clarified butter. The child born of such unions should be given away to others and must be retained. The caste fellows too should reject such children for fear of causing a mixture of castes."35

We do not know whether such liberal views were prevalent even in the eleventh and twelfth centuries A.D. But Laksmīdhara, at least, was broadminded enough to exonerate the unfortunate women who were forcibly abducted. He quotes with approval the view of Yama to the effect that a woman does not become impure if she is taken by force and if she is enjoyed by the abductor.<sup>36</sup> The Brahmavaivarta

Purāna, which was possibly written in Bengal during the period under survey, shows equally liberal views. It states that an unwilling woman who has been ravished by a robust fellow cannot be blamed.37 The Purana further observes: "An unwilling woman must not be blamed, she would attain purity if she observes penances. But if she be a willing party, she should be disowned."38

#### REFERENCES TO CHAPTER IV

(The Turkish Settlers and Effects of Social Contact with them)

- 1. Sachau I. 22-23.
- HIED, Vol. II, p. 251.
- 3. IA, IV. (1875) p. 366.
- 4. IHO XXII (1951) p. 240.
- 5. AIOC 6th Session, Patna, pp. 123 ff.
- 6. JBORS II Pt. IV. p. 444 Text p. 346.
- 7. Struggle for Empire p. 82.
- 8. IA XIX. 219.
- 9. El. IV. 119; Struggle for Empire p. 54.
- 10. PIHC 1939 (Calcutta Session) p. 647; Majumdar, A. K. Chaulukvas of Guiarat p. 332.
- 11. Majumdar, A. K.: Op. cit. p. 331; HIED Vol. II. p. 163-164.
  - 12. Ibid p. 331; Jagadűcharita VI. 64.
  - 13. Sachau J. 19-20.
  - 14. RT VII 528-29, 1149.
  - 15. Historical Miscellany p. 53ff.
- 16. IC Vol. I. p. 205ff; Arnold: Preachings of Islam p. 275; Titus: Indian Islam p. 43.
- 17. Barani's Tarikh-i-Firoz Shāhi quoted in Y. Husain's Mediaeval Indian Culture p. 39.
- 18. S. H. Askari's article on Islamic Mysticism in Historical Miscellany pp. 61-69; Mediaeval Indian Culture p. 46.
  - 19. Historical Miscellany p. 51.
  - 20. Siyaru'l Auliā quoted in Mediaeval Indian Culture p. 37.
  - 21. Arnold: Op. cit. p. 275; Titus: Op. cit. p. 43.
  - 22. HDS Vol. II. pp. 772-781.
  - 23. Grihastharatnākara p. 375.
  - 24. Aparārka p. 1167.
  - 25. Sukranītisāra (ed. Sarkar) IV. 95-96; (ed. Calcutta) IV. 5. 49.
  - 26. Yaśastilakachampū (ed. N. S. Press) VII. 24.
- 27. Nainar, SMH: Arab Geographers' Knowledge of Southern India p. 128.

# 134 SOCIO-ECONOMIC HISTORY OF NORTHERN INDIA

- 28. Dikshit, R. K. in JUPHS. Vol. XXIII p. 235.
- 29. Charkhari pl. EI. XX. 135.
- 30. Hansi inscr. IA 1912, p. 18; Mahoba inscr. FI. I. 221, verse 17; RI. VII. 53, 64; Hammīramada-mardana of Jayasimha Suri; Hammira-mahākāvya of Nayachandra; Cf. Śrīdhara's Devapattan Praśasti dated 1216 A. D. EI. II. 445, verse 43.
  - 31. PIHC Vol. III (Calcutta Session, 1939) pp. 675-84; JUPHS XVII (1944) pp. 45-54.
  - 32. Husain, Y; Mediaeval Indian Culture p. 125.
  - 33. Devala verses 53-55; Kane; HDS Vol. II. 390-91.
  - 34. Kane: HDS Vol II. p. 391.
  - 35. Translation of Devala, verses 47-52 by Manmatha Nath Ray in JBORS 1927, pp. 166-167.
  - 36. Suddhikanda in Krityakalpataru, p. 179.
  - 37. Brahmavaivarta Purāņa II. 58. 109.
  - 38. Ibid, IV, 47, 40.

#### CHAPTER V

# Position of Women in Society

Women as dependents:

The Smrti commentaries and digests of the period under survey give us the impression that women had no independent legal status. The theory of perpetual tutelage affected their personal status. They were to remain chaste not only in their conduct but also in their thought. Women, the Sukranītisāra', lays down, are to assist in the function of the males and also in agriculture and store-keeping. It was because of their dependent position that the law-givers and digest-writers ordained that the superseded and adulterous wives were to be maintained by their husbands.2 Both Laksmidhara and Vijnanesyara<sup>3</sup> recommend that a superseded wife should receive from her husband a sum equal to the expenses of her marriage or as much as the new wife receives as a wedding gift. A woman could not dispose of her husband's property.4 She was never to be called to Court for giving evidence except in matters relating to women.

# Respect for Women:

Women continued to be respected as in ancient India.<sup>5</sup> An ancient Indian sage declared "strike not with a blossom a woman guilty of a hundred faults". Sukra states that women should be addressed as sister and subhagā<sup>6</sup>. The highest respect was shown for the mother.<sup>7</sup> Ksemendra<sup>8</sup> tells us that the mother's position was superior to that of gurus. She was not to be disobeyed even on a single occasion.<sup>9</sup> It is interesting to note here that quoting Baudhāvana and Gautama, Laksmīdhara recommends that a son should abandon the father who kills the King, who teaches the Sūdra, who accepts money, from Sūdras, for performing his own sacrifices or sacrifices on behalf of Sūdras, who is guilty of killing embryos, who

lives with the lowest classes or who cohabits with a female of the low caste, but never the mother even when she is excommunicated. Chandesvara however, omits the dicta of Gautama and Baudhāyana, and holds the view that both the parents are to be obeyed and served, even if they become outcastes.

The position of women improved considerably during the tenth and twelfth centuries A.D. Dr. Ghoshal and Dr. R. C. Majumdar after discussing the views of the Snutichandrikā, Vijñāneśvara, Dhāreśvara and Viśvarūpa. arrives conclusion that "a distinct improvement of their status is observable in respect of their rights of property". 12 We find corroboration of this view from the writings of Jimūtavāhana, who lays down that a widow has the right to inherit her husband's entire property in the absence of any male issue.<sup>13</sup> Dr. Asoke Majumdar has shown that the two documents in the Lekhāpaddhati called Vibhangapatravidhi dated V.S. 1288 declare the right of a widow to a share, which is equal tothat enjoyed by the son and that the successors are liable to contribute equally for the marriage expenses of the unmarried sister.14 In this connection it is necessary to note the observations of Alberuni. He states: "the chief rule of their law of inheritance is this, that the women do not inherit, except the daughter. She gets the fourth part of the share of a son, according to a passage in the book, Manu."15 Alberuni must have been wrongly informed in the matter of succession of widows to property. But his statement is partially true with regard to the unmarried daughter, who, according to Manu, is entitled to get one-fourth of the share of the deceased father's property. Both Jīmūtavāhana and Viiñāneśvara<sup>16</sup> preferred an unmarried daughter as heir to a married daughter. Alberuni could not possibly know the views of Gautama (28.22) and Yajñavalkya (II. 135) which has been quoted in the Mitakshara prescribing that among the "married daughters the indigent (or unprovided for) one is to be preferred to one who is well-placed." Jīmūtavāhana17 was also in favour

of the succession of a married daughter having a son in preference to a widowed or barren daughter. But the Sukranitisāra<sup>18</sup> recommends that the unmarried daughter ought to get half of the son's share and the married daughter's son half of that. The daughter herself was to get one-eighth, while the widow was to receive one-fourth of the property of her deceased husband.

Epigraphic records and contemporary literature reveal that women sometimes held important positions in the State. Inscriptions refer to estates independently held by the Chahamāna and Gāhadavāla queens.19 Kalhana refers to Dāmara lady from Nīlāśva who remained faithful to King Jayasiihha at the time of Bhoja's revolt.20 Thus some women owned by their right important estates even during the lifetime of their husbands. There are also plenty of instances which show the enormous political influence of women in Kashmir. Uttar Pradesh and Gujarat. Soon after the death of the Shahiya prince Rudrapāla, King Ananta came under the influence of his able queen Suryamati. Thanks to her assumption of control over administration, the King became free from the heavy financial strains which had led earlier to the pawning of the royal diadem and the throne to a foreign trader. As a result of the appointment by Sūryamatī of able ministers like Ksema, Keśava and also a servant of humble origin to the post of prime minister, peace and prosperity returned to Kashmir and the King was able to assert authority over the neighbouring hill-tracts. But the happy days came to an end soon. It was due to the misfortune that the Queen, in spite of the advice of wise counsellors, successfully persuaded the King to abdicate the throne in 1063 A. D. in favour of their son Kalasa who was a licentious person. Soon after the coronation of Kalaśa, the royal couple regretted the decision. The resumption of regal functions by Ananta caused a rupture between the father and the son. As Ananta was weak enough to be induced by his wife, he committed the blunder of not imprisoning his son and lived a retired life in the sacred town of Vijayesvara. Events

moved rapidly. Kalasa set fire to the town, where his father was residing and deprived his parents of all the treasures. When Kalasa insisted on sending Ananta to exile, the old King, after being insulted by his wife, committed suicide in 1081 A. D.

As autocracy was the normal form of government, there was bound to be much intrigue for succession to the throne, especially when the rival queens vied with one another for securing the nomination of their own son. The ladies in the harem became adept in palace intrigues. The Agni Purana<sup>21</sup> warns the king against trusting a wife who has got a son. The queens played an important part in the drama of succession to the throne. The conspiracy which succeeded in enthroning Lothana, brother of Sussala and uncle of Jayasimha to the throne of Lohara in 1130 A.D. against King Jayasimha, drew support from some important ladies in the royal family.<sup>22</sup> Kalhanikā, the queen of Jayasimha, contributed most to the prosperity of Kashmir in about the middle of the twelfth century. mediated in the quarrel between Bhoja and Javasimha.23 Another queen of Jayasiiiha, named Raddadevi had also enormous influence. By marrying their daughters Menila and Rājyaśri, King Bhūpāla and Ghatotkacha respectively rose to an honourable position and the height of fortune.24 Kalhana also records about her that "owing to the constant attachment of the King, the punishment or reward even of princes depends immediately and without fail upon her will".25 Merutunga tells us that Suhava was angry with Jayachchandra for refusing to declare her son as crown prince, invited the Turko-Afghans and ultimately caused the defeat and drowning of Javachchandra Gāhadavāla in the river.<sup>26</sup> A similar story has been related by Ferishta<sup>27</sup> with regard to the wife of the Rājā of Uch. The part played by Mayanalladevī, the mother of the Chaulukya King Jayasimha, is in refreshing contrast to the wives of the Rajas of Uch and Kanauj. She managed the minority of her affairs of the kingdom during the Another noble Chaulukya lady was Nāīkidevī, the mother of Mularaja II (1176-78 A.D.), who fought and defeated the army of Turko-Afghans, probably of Muizuddīn bin Sām, at Gaḍaraghaṭṭa possibly near Mt. Abu.<sup>29</sup>

## Their Political Activity:

Not only members of the royal family, but also wives of feudatories and even ordinary women are known to have taken part in political affairs. There are instances from Kashmir during the reign of Jayasinha. The mother of Mallārjuna went on a political mission to bring about reconciliation between the two brothers, namely, King Jayasinha and her son.<sup>30</sup>

Some women of the eleventh and twelfth centuries were bold enough to take part in war too. Dr. D. C. Sircar<sup>31</sup> has referred to such Kashmir ladies as Chuddā and Sillā who fought at the head of their troops. The Chaulukya queen Nāīki fought for the defence of Gujarat, "taking her son (Mūlarāja II) in her lap", records Merutunga. She is said to have "fought at Gadaraghaṭṭa and conquered the King of Mlechchas by the aid of a mass of rain clouds that came out of season attracted by her virtue". Urged by the same patriotic instinct the Rajput queen Karmadevī also fought against Qutubuddīn Aibak.<sup>32</sup>

There is, however, no specific reference to women acting as governors in the kingdoms of Northern India during our period. Dr Altekar<sup>33</sup> has pointed out this fact. The Bhor State Museum plates dated Saka 1001/1079 A.D. refer to ministers of Śridevī and Mahaladevī, queens of a feudatory of the Chaulukya kings.<sup>34</sup> Rut it must be pointed out that the history of Kashmir shows at least one specific instance of a Dāmari or female feudatory ruling in Nīlāsva during the reign of Jayasinha.<sup>35</sup>

## Their education:

As early marriage came to be advocated by the Smrtis long before the Gupta period and accepted by the com-

mentators, there was very little opportunity for women to higher education. In spite of this handicap, many women rose to fame as poetesses. Saduktikarnāmrta<sup>36</sup> quotes a poem by one Bhāvadevi, who may be presumed to have flourished during the period under survey. Bhojaprabandha<sup>37</sup> and Prabandhachintāmani38 relate that poetess Sītā studied not only the writings of Chanakya on morals and the principles of government, Raghuvaiusa and the Kāmasūtra of Vātsyāyana but also the three Vedas. Literacy was probably acquired by the women of genteel society. Some of the sculptures of Bhuvanesvara and Khajuraho depict women as writing love-letters. Dhoyī in his Pavanadūtain 39 also refers to such a practice. In the Viśvanātha temple at Khajuraho we find a sculpture depicting a woman reading from a book in front of a person, who is probably her teacher

There are some other indications to show the improvement in the position of women. Manu did not concede to women the right to receive gifts (pratigraha). But Vallalasena in his Dānasāgara40 draws support from Visnudharmottara in extending the right to the father's sister, sister, aunt and the grandmother. Laksmidhara41 quotes Vvasa to show that one acquires special merit by making gifts to wife, daughter, and mother on any occasion. Following the lead of Vallalasena and Laksmidhara, the author of the Madanaratnapradipa in the 15th century prescribed unqualified dana to married women. He quotes the Visnudharmottara which lays down that "a dana to a woman should be given into the husband's palm and in no other way".42 Dr. U. N. Ghoshal has referred to another instance of improvement of women's position during the Sena times in Bengal. The Senas are described as having brought about "a significant change in the formula of the royal landgrants so as to include the queen in the list of the king's informants". But we may point out that the queen in this respect was also recognised by the Gāhadavāla and Kalachuri kings.

## Purdah:

The use of veil was customary with the ladies of northern India. The Edilpur copper-plate of Keśavasena tells us that as Keśavasena passed through the city, the ladies saw him and behaved in a coquettish way casting amorous glances. They, of course, gazed at him from the top of the skyscraping houses.43 Slightly earlier than our period, we find the ladies of the harem of Mahābhavagupta I Janamejava<sup>44</sup> (c. 950-975) A. D.) observing purdah in Orissa. The Kathāsaritsāgara also tells us that when Udavana entered Kausambi with Vāsavadattā and Padmāvatī, some ladies peeped out at them from the windows, some "with their long-lashed eyes closely applied to the lattice of the windows".45 The practice of covering one's face with a veil, however, was not universal amongst the women of all countries or of all classes. appears from Dhoyi's descriptions that the system was not much in vogue at least among the women of Vijayapura, the capital of Laksmanasena. We have already seen how the Kashmir ladies of the royal family appeared before the public without any veil. Oueen Raddadevi, who had gone to crown her son at Lohara, openly accepted the homage of the vassals 46

The common womenfolk did not observe purdah at all under any circumstance. They had to earn their living by working in the open fields. Married women, as in Kautilya's or in Medhatithi's time were engaged in spinning and weaving and often engaged themselves in mechanical arts in order to supplement the income of their husbands.<sup>47</sup> A story in the Kathāsaritsāgara sums up the attitude towards the use of veils. Ratnaprabhā says "the strict seclusion of women is a mere social custom or rather folly produced by jealousy".<sup>48</sup>

In the inscriptions of the period under survey we find the ideal of the conjugal love amongst divine couples was held up before the people. The Rampala copper-plate states that Queen Śrīkāńchanā was to King Trailokyachandra what Śachī was to Indra, Gaurī to Hara and Śrī to Hari.

the Nainati copper-plate of Vallālasena compares Vilāsadevī, queen of Vijayasena, with Laksmī and Gaurī. The highest aspiration for a woman was to become a faithful wife. Bilhana draws a pen picture of the housewife who feels supreme attachment for her husband but at the same time is respectful to superiors and full of solicitude for the welfare of the younger ones and dependants as well as of other relatives. She behaves in the most friendly manner with her co-wives and is scrupulous in observing the *Vratas* and rituals. St

Usually a large number of persons belonging to three or four generations lived together. The Sukranītisāra states: "The chaste wife, step-mother, mother, daughter, father, widowed daughter or sister who has no offspring, aunt, brother's wife, sister, father or mother, grandfather, preceptor who has no son, father-in-law, uncles, grandson who is young and orphan, brother, sister's son—these must be maintained carefully to the best of one's ability even under adverse circumstances." It was no easy job for the mistress of the house to maintain peace and order and at the same to minister to the comforts and well-being of such a big family.

## REFERENCES TO CHAPTER V

## (Position of Women in Society)

- 1. Sukranîtisara (ed. Cal.) IV. 4. 26-27.
- 2. Śuddhikāndam p. 180-181
- 3. Grihastha p. 105 and Vijñāeśvara on Yāj. II. 148.
- 4. Jīmūtavāhana's views discussed in HB I. 610.
- 5. Ct. Manu III. 55
- 6. Sukranîtisāra (ed. Cal.) III. 18.
- 7. Kane: HDS II. i, 580-581 for Smrti rules.
- 8. Bodhisattvāvadāna Kalpalatā ed. Das p. 761.
- 9. Śukranītisāra (ed. Cal.) III. 51.
- 10. Grihastha, p. 421.
- 11. Grihastharatnākara p. 590-591
- 12. Struggle for Empire p. 483, 496
- 13. HB. I. 610; Mitter: op. cit. pp. 433-650; Kane: HDS III 701-712.
- 14. Majumdar, A. K. op. cit. pp. 334-336.
- 15. Sachau II. 164

- 16. Dāyabhāga XI. 2. 4 (ed. Jivananda p. 175): Vijnānesvara on Yāj, II. 135.
  - 17. Dāyabhāga XI. 2. 1-3
  - 18. Śukranītisāra (ed. Cal.) IV. 5. 299-301
- 19. Fiefs of Naddūla Chāhamāna Queen Jalhaṇādevī (Sanderav inscr. dated V.S. 1236/1179 A.D. in El. XI. 51-52); Pratāpadevī, Queen of Harirāja (Tantoti inscr. dated V. S. 1251/1194 A. D. in El. XX. 62); Queen of Jayachchandra (Machhlishr cp. V.S. 1253/1197, A. D. in El. X. 99).
  - 20. RT. VIII. 3115
  - 21. Agni Purāņa Ch. 224. 42.
  - 22. RT. VIII. 1820-1823.
  - 23. Ibid. VIII. 3063-71, 3096-3106, 3118.
  - 24. Ibid. VIII. 3394, 3398-99.
  - 25. Ibid. VIII. 3393.
  - 26. Prabandhachintāmaņi (ed. and Tr. Tawney) pp. 183-185
- 27. Briggs I. 169-170. tells us that she succumbed to the secret promise of the Ghūrī chieftain that he would entrust the government to her and marry her daughter. Relying on this promise, the Queen murdered her husband. The kingdom, however, was captured by Mui-zu-dīn Muhammad Ghūrī and the Queen was sent to Ghaznī. This statement of Firishta has been objected by Dr. Habibullah (The Foundation of Muslim Rule in India p. 37), accepted with reservation by Dr. Ishwari Prasad (Hist. of Mediaeval India p. 114) and without reservations by Sir W. Haig (CHL. III. 38).
  - 28. Sandesara, B. J. in Great Women of India p. 362.
- 29. Prabandhachintāmaṇi, (Tr. Tawney) p. 154; BG I. i. 195, II. 460, 476, 486, 548, Sukritasankīrtana II. 46. Sukritakīrtikallolinī on verses 70-71; discussion on the identity of the invader in DHNI II. 1004-05 and Chaulukyas of Gujarat p. 132-133.
  - 30. RT. VIII. 1968-1970
  - 31. Great Women of India p. 290
- 32. Tod: Annals and Antiquities of Rajasthan Vol. I. p. 242 (ed. Crooke)
- 33. Altekar, A. S.: State and Government in Ancient India (ed. 1949) p. 57; Cf. his article in Great Women p. 43.
  - 34. EI. XXII. 188.
  - 35. RT. VIII. 3115
  - 36. Sadukti II. 47.1
  - 37. Bhojaprabandha (ed. Bombay) pp. 88, 89, 147, 204.
  - 38. Prabandhachintāmaņi. (Tr. Tawney) p. 63; Singhi Jain p. 53f.
  - 39. Pavanadūtam verse 40
  - 40. Dānasāgara (ed. B. I..) Fasc. I. p. 23, verse 66.
  - 41. Dänakāņda p. 40
  - 42. Madanaratnadānapradīpa p. 80
  - 43. IB. III. p. 129 verse 23.

# 144 SOCIO-ECONOMIC HISTORY OF NORTHERN INDIA

- 44. IHQ. XX. (1944) p. 242. (Kalibhana grant, line 15)
- 45. Kathāsaritsāgara (Tr. Tawney) Vol. 11. p. 50.
- 46. RT. VIII. 3303
- 47. Cf. Dāyabhaga (ed. Colebrooke) p. 85
- 48. Kathāsaritsāgara (Tr. Tawney) Vol I. p. 334.
- 49. IB. III p. 7 verse 6.
- 50, *Ibid*, III, p. 77
- 51. SP. p. 564, No. 3756
- 52. Sukranītisāra (ed. Sarkar) Ch. III. 243-248

#### CHAPTER VI

# The Educational System

The system of education as outlined in the dharmasastras and nibandhas fails to convey an adequate idea of the institutions and methods for imparting instructions to students of different categories in the eleventh and twelfth centuries. one depends upon them exclusively, he would get no idea about the great universities of Nalanda and Vikramasila. The Brāhmana digest-writers like Laksmīdhara and commentators like Aparārka and Vijnānesvara confine their attention almost exclusively to the education of the Brahmanas who would dedicate their life to the study and teaching of the Vedic lore. To them the object of education continued to be the attainment of that knowledge which enables a person to realise the complete identity of the self with the supreme absolute. All other subjects were looked down upon as Aparā Vidyā, inferior or delusive knowledge as contrasted to the Parā Vidyā. A long and arduous course of training, lasting for many years, or in some cases even throughout the life, was envisaged for the Brāhmana who was expected to minister to the spiritual needs of the people. It is evident that these writers were not concerned with such training of pupils as would enable them to earn their livelihood by supplying goods and services required by the society.

A modern historian of socio-economic institutions, however, cannot ignore the fact that the highly-skilled architects of huge temples, the sculptors of beautiful images, the jewellers of excellent diadems and ornaments, the learned physicians, the wonderful chemists, who excited the admiration of Alberuni\* and thousand other persons, displaying technological

<sup>\*</sup>When Albertini failed to learn the science of alchemy and preparation of a compound with gold, he observed, "The adepts in

skill in the eleventh and twelfth centuries, must have had some sort of education. People engaged in agriculture, industries, trade and banking as well as the learned professions like medicine and engineering had an overwhelmingly numerical superiority over the Brahmanas. But the Brāhmana writers did not, as a rule, condescend to take any notice of their method of education. We have shown already that they regarded all those, who earned their livelihood by professing any of the fine arts, as socially inferior, though we find in the Chhandogva Upanisada (VII. 1. 2) no less a personage than Nārada as an expert in Devajanavidyā which included arts like dancing and singing. Smrtichandrikā (II p. 195) quotes Brhaspati to indicate that the *silvas* or crafts like dancing or preparing ornaments of gold are to be learnt by persons in the house of masters, under whom a period of apprenticeship has got to be served. Viiñāneśvara in course of explaining Yājñavalkya (II. 184) lends support to the view that the apprentice had to stippulate definitely the period during which he would stay with the master who would be entitled to appropriate the proceeds from his work, on providing him with board and lodging only. The learned author of the Mitāksarā regards Ayurveda as a silpa which is to be learnt under the same apprentice system. It may be recalled in this connection that Ayurveda (medicine), Dhanurveda (military science), Gāndharvaveda (music and dancing) and Arthaśāstra (the science of public administration) had in ancient India the status of Upa-Vedas, which were affiliated to Rgveda, Sāmaveda, Yajur and Atharvavedas respectively. Even Aparārka, who flourished in the first half of the twelfth century, quotes a verse of the Vishņu-Purāņa showing that

this art try to keep it concealed, and shrink back from intercourse with those who do not belong to them. Therefore I have not been able to learn from the Hindus which methods they follow in this science and what element they principally use." (Ed. Sachau, Vol. I., p. 187).

these, along with the traditional tourteen, namely, the four Vedas, six Vedāngas and Logic, Mīmānisā, Purāṇa, Itihāsa and the Dharmaśāstras, constitute the eighteen Vidyās or subjects of learning.

The Sukranitisāra treats Arthasāstra as an independent Vidyā and not as an Upa-Veda like Āyurveda, Dhanurveda and Gändharvaveda. This work says that ten Kalās are derived from Ayurveda, namely, preparation of alcohol, surgical operations, cooking, gardening, metallurgy, confectionery, pharmacy, analysis and synthesis of metals, alloys and salts. Though there is an apparent incongruity in jumbling up subjects like cooking and confectionery with surgery, metallurgy and chemistry, yet it gives us an indication of the technological courses which were taught in mediaeval India. Much research work was done in Ayurveda in this period. The Chikitsāsaingraha, popularly known as 'Chakradatta' and the Lohapaddhati of Suresvara deal with metallic preparations and their use in medicine. One of the monasteries excavated at Nalanda contains big ovens indicating that chemical experiments used to be shown to the students there. The science of metallurgy is described in almost contemporary works like Rasaratnasamuchchaya, Rasārnaya Rasendrachūdāmani, Rasa-prakāśa-sudhākara. Seven Kalās. namely dancing, playing on musical instruments, decorations, antics, laying out beds, jugglery, and erotics were affiliated to the Gandharvavidva. The Dhanurveda had five branches1. namely, taking up of stands for the employment of arms, duelling, marking, battle arrays and employment of horses, chariots etc. Besides these Sukranītisāra enumerates some technological subjects like the construction of palaces and buildings, excavation of tanks and canals, manufacture of clocks, watches and musical instruments, dyeing, testing of metals, preparation of artificial gems and metals, enamelling, extraction and preparation of oils from fats and seeds, glasswork, work in iron foundries, testing of gems, rope-making. thread-spinning, weaving, polishing of earthen, wooden,

stone, and metallic vessels, and drawing. We do not get information from any book regarding the details of the method of teaching of some of these highly technical subjects at a period when there was neither any engineering college nor any technological institution. There was an extensive literature on Vastuvidya dealing with the principles of Indian architecture. At least two important works on the subject, the Samarānganasūtradhāra and the Iśāna-Śivaguru-paddhati, were composed respectively in northern and southern India during the eleventh century. These are written in Sanskrit language and not in a very easy style. The architects, therefore, must be presumed to have a sound knowledge of Sanskrit. Speaking of the education of the Vaisyas, Manusamhitā<sup>2</sup> states that the Vaisya should know the qualities and defects of soils, the sowing of seed, the prices of jewels, coral and pearls, of metals and clothes, of perfumes and salt, and he should also be familiar with measures and weights, the different grades of qualities of goods, the profit and loss in trade, the rates of pay for servants various alphabets and the places where saleable articles are manufactured or produced. It was not possible, indeed, for the same man to be an expert in all these diverse subjects; but while some specialised in agricultural science, some in economic geography, some in accountancy or salesmanship, every successful farmer and businessman was expected to be familiar not only with the alphabet of his own language but also with alphabets of his customers and suppliers of goods. Laksmidhara refers to the gift of education in agricultural science and the art of making images in his Danakandas. We have already shown in Chapter Three that the number of Sūdras swelled in the period under survey and that many of them lived by pursuing professions which were monopolised by the Vaisyas in earlier periods. The better class Sudras and especially persons engaged in trade and industries may be presumed to have an elementary knowledge of reading, writing, and arithmetic.

## Primary Education:

Education began at the early age of five. The initiation of a boy into reading and writing took place on an auspicious day. The young pupil saluted first the deities like Hari, Laksmī, Sarasvatī,  $Vidy\bar{a}$  of his family and the sūtrakāras of that particular  $vidy\bar{a}$  and the  $vidy\bar{a}$  of his choice. The Smṛtichandṛikā quotes an unnamed smṛti which recommends that the curriculum should consist of a primer (mātrikānyāsa) and arithmetic.

Studentship for long terms began to be disfavoured from the days of Medhātithi. Both the Smṛtichandrikā and Lakṣmīdhara prescribed that it was enough to learn one Veda only which required a period of twelve years. Lakṣmīdhara however eulogises the life of a naiṣṭhīka brahmachārī, one who remains celebate and a student throughout his life.8

Mediaeval digests prescribed disciplined life for the students who would receive Brāhmanical education. The system of begging for daily food, rules of cleanliness, observance of sandavā, upāsana (twilight prayers), tending of fire, restraint of sense organs and other rules for the brahmachārin continued to be observed and are mentioned by Lakṣmīdhara.9

# Courses of Study:

As for the courses of study, Laksmīdhara quoting Bṛhas-pati urges that it is the duty of a Brāhmaṇa first to study the Veda and then Smṛti and Sadāchāra. Referring to the rule of Manu 12. 95-96, he states clearly that those subjects which are contrary to the Smṛti like the worship of Chaityas and the Buddhist scriptures are to be avoided specially<sup>10</sup>. But a perusal of the Krityakalpataru shows that besides the Vedas other subjects were also taught.

The most important and compulsory subject in the Brāhmanical education was the Veda. All over North India the Vedas were widely studied. It is impossible, however, to controvert the statement of Alberuni that no doubt the

Brāhmanas recited the Vedas but very few of them knew their meaning, and "still less is the number of those who master the contents of the Veda and their interpretation to such a degree as to be able to hold a theological disputation." The correctness of the observation of Alberuni can be shown from the Brāhmana-Sarvasva. Halāvudha. (the author of Brāhmana-Sarvasva) writes that the Utkalas and Pāśchātyas simply memorize the Veda. On the other hand the Rādhīyas and Vārendras of Bengal pay more attention to the sacrificial application of the Mantras and study the meaning of only a portion of the Vedic texts in the light of the Mīmāinsā School, without memorising them.<sup>12</sup> The defective nature of the Vedic studies may be seen in Kashmir too. Adityadarśana's statement shows that the decadence of Vedic scholarship existed for a long time. Living in the middle of the Muslim period of Kashmir, he laments, 'Priests, claiming Vedic knowledge read the Vedas, as a rule, very superficially and cannot understand the meaning of even a word from them. They are satisfied with mere recitation of texts."13 Hence in order to bring about an immediate improvement in the studies. Halāyudha wrote his Brāhmanasarvasva. For those who could not read the entire Vedic literature, he collected something like 400 mantras. He himself admitted that the book was meant only for the followers of the Kanva recension of the Vājasaneyī Yajurveda, and particularly for the Rāḍhīya and Varendra Brahmanas.<sup>14</sup> It is certain that only some parts of the Vedas were memorised by the students. Oral teaching of the Vedas was favoured in Northern India in the twelfth century<sup>15</sup> A.D. Both Laksmidhara and Alberuni inform us that the Vedas are to be studied in secluded areas and open fields.16 Laksmidhara also tells us that the instruction in the Vedas are neither to be given in the towns, roads, nor before the Śūdras and barbers.17 But contemporary epigraphic and literary records show that the Vedas were recited in important tīrthas and towns like Banaras,18 Gaya19 and Nāgaratīrtha20

The Dharmasastras and Puranas were widely read by

students. Grammar and astrology gained ascendancy during the period under survey. Not only were there numerous recasts of Pānini's Asṭādhyāyī, but also new schools of grammar by Jainendra, Kātantra and Hemachandra.<sup>21</sup> On astrology, there were innumerable compositions like Bhoja's Rājamārtaṇḍa, Bhīmaparākrama and Bhujabalanibandha,<sup>22</sup> Someśvara's Mānasollāsa, Bhavadeva's works etc. Some works on astronomy like Rājamṛgānka of Bhoja and Bhāsvatī of Satānanda were written in the 11th century. The Dvyāśraya informs us that the Chalukya King Jayasinha established a school for studying Jyotiṣa.<sup>23</sup> A chieftain of Khandesh also established a college for the study of astronomer Bhāskarā-chārya's works.<sup>24</sup>

Logic continued to be a favourite subject of study. Prof. Dinesh Chandra Bhattacharyya has written a long article on the study of Bhatta and Prabhākara schools in Bengal from the days of Śridhara, author of Nyāyakandalī to Chandīdāsa of the 13th century A.D. His contention is proved in Chakradattasaingraha, Prabodhachandrodaya references (II. 3 and 7), Chaturvargachintāmani of Hemādri, Dvaitanirnaya of Vāchaspati Miśra, Kāvyaprakāśadīpikā (Ch. V) of Chandidāsa, Latakamelaka of Sankhadhara (Act II. 16).25 Murārī, the composer of the Gava inscription of Yaksapāla was a prominent student of Nyāya.26 A college of Nyāya was also established by the Chaulukya King Jayasiiiha.27 Curiously enough, we find that for the first time in Indian curriculum the Kautilya Arthaśāstra was introduced in the twelfth century. Gangadhara, a minister of the Kalachuri King Ratnadeva III of Ratanpur, was well versed in the science of Chānakya.28 Malladeva, a Brāhmaņa poet of Anahillapātaka, was also well-versed in the Arthasastra of Kautilya29.

Specialisation in some branches of the Vedas and Vedāngas was the order of the day. But some scholars acquired knowledge in a large variety of subjects. Thus Bhaṭṭa Bhavadeva of Bengal was proficient not only in Advaitabrāhmaṇa, but also in Mīmāṁsā, Tantras, astrology and Buddhist philosophy.

Hemachandra and Ksemendra were polymaths of the age. Instances of such scholars can be multiplied.

# Education of a Prince:

Princes received a specialised type of education. They were trained in such a manner that they could become scholars as well as administrators. Such scholar-kings of our period are Bhoja of Paramāra dynasty, Someśvara III of the Chālukya dynasty of Kalyāṇa and Vallālasena of the Sena dynasty. A perusal of the chapter on the 'Protection of the Prince' in the Rājadharmakāṇḍa shows that the princes of the period under survey received an education which did not differ much from that imparted in ancient India. He learnt the sātras relating to Dharma, Artha, Kāma, crafts, as well as archery and physical exercises. A similar type of education is also recommended in Mānasollāsa. That the princes received education in Kāmaśāstra is evident from the recommendations in Agni Purāṇa and the Bārhaspatya Arthaśāstra.

# Relation between Teacher and Pupil:

Dr. U. N. Ghoshal has discussed the relation between the Vedic teacher and his pupil in matters of fees, punishment to students and the three higher castes who were admitted to schools. The Brahmachārikānda of Laksmīdhara also gives similar details on this matter.34 Here we shall again refer to some striking features mentioned by him. Laksmidhara quoting a passage of the Apastamba (1. 8. 24-28) tells us that the teacher should love his pupil like a son and he should be attentive to all his needs.35 No teacher has the right of withholding his knowledge from a student. Vidyā is not the property of a teacher, but it is to be regarded as a deposit with him.35 It is interesting to note that Laksmidhara doesnot like that students should associate with teachers who are enemies of the state. Similarly, a student should not take lessons from an immoral teacher. The same writer also refers to the relation between the student and the members

of the teacher's family in a short chapter entitled Guru-putrabhāryānuvṛtih in Brahmachārikānḍa. The son of the teacher is entitled to veneration similar to that shown to the teacher but the pupil is not to eat the remnants of food, nor to shampoo the body, nor wash the feet of the guru's son. Quoting Manu (II. 210-215), he further states that the wife of the teacher is entitled to the same honour as shown to the teacher. But no student should touch their body nor help them in their toilet. The feet of the low-born wives of the Guru are not to be touched.<sup>40</sup>

## Monastic establishments:

During the period under survey, the following were the notable monasteries imparting education. In Bihar flourished the Nalanda, Vikramasila, Odantapuri, and Phullahari monasteries near Monghyr. Inscriptions and literature show that some of the famous vihāras or Buddhist monasteries were also located in North and Eastern Bengal, as for example, Jagaddala, Somapura and Devikota in North Bengal, Vikramapuri in Dacca, Pattikeraka in Comilla and Panditavihāra in Chittagong. Similar centres in the Uttar Pradesh were situated in Sarnath<sup>41</sup> and Jetavana.<sup>42</sup> In Kashmir the following were the notable vihāras: Diddā, Indradevībhavana, Chankuna, Ratnadevī, Bhālerakaprapā, Sulla, Bijja and another on the banks of the river Vitastā. 43 Sussalā, the wife of the minister Rilhana, built some halls for students.44 The Nalanda inscription of Vipulasrimitra tells us that Vipulasrimitra in the fourth year of Mahendrapala (c. 900 A.D.) carried out repairs at the monastery of Pitāmaha at Choyandaka. 45 A minor vihāra was in existence at Gaya which was established by Brāhmana in the fifty-first year of Laksmanasena46. The Kathāsaritsāgara (XXXII. 42-43) speaks of a monastery at Valabhī. A perusal of the Charkhari plate of Paramardideva dated 1178 A. D. suggests that a monastery possibly existed in Bundelkhand.47 We have no means to find out whether the monasteries noticed by Hiuen Tsang in the places mentioned below

continued to flourish in the eleventh-twelfth centuries A.D.: Nagarkot, Udyana, Jalandhara, Mathura, Sthanesvara, Srughna, Matipura, Brahmapura, Govisana, Ahichchatra, Samkasya, Kanauj, Navadevakula, Ayodhya, Hayamukha, Prayaga, Visoka, Kapilavastu, Banaras, Ramagrama, Ghazipur, Tilosika, Gunamati, Silabhadra near Gaya, Kajangala, Pundravardhana, Kamarupa, Samatata, Tamralipti, Orissa, Berar, Malwa, Valabhi, Anandapura, Surat, Ujjayini and Chitor. Amongst these places Nepal and Orissa became famous centres of Tantric Buddhism in the eleventh and twelfth centuries A.D.

Most of the Buddhist educational institutions maintained and aided by royal patronage. The Pala kings established and gave large donations to Nalanda, Vikramasila, Odantapuri and the Jagaddalavihara in Varendri. The kings. queens and ministers of Kashmir erected. repaired endowed several monasteries. Queen Kumāradevī and her husband Govindachandra Gāhadavāla resuscitated and endowed monasteries at Sarnath and Jetavana. A feudatory of the Gähadavālas, named Vidyādhara, though a Saiva established a monastery at Jāvrisa.48 Sometimes an ascetic like Vipulaśrimitra repaired and established convents at Nalanda and Choyandaka.49 One Brāhman named Dāmodara erected a vihāra at Gaya in the fifty-first year of Laksmanasena.50

These monasteries imparted education to students from all parts of India and abroad. Many scholars have given details of the native and foreign scholars at the Nalanda monasteries since the fifth century A.D. Even in the early thirteenth century A.D. the Tibetan scholar Dharmasvāmin Śrī Chaglo-tsa-ba came to study at Nalanda.<sup>51</sup> The University of Vikramasila<sup>52</sup> gathered reputed teachers like Śākyaśrī from Kashmir, Ratnakirti, Vairochana, Kanakaśrī and Buddhaśrī from Nepal. The Jetavana convent at Sravasti attracted teachers and scholars from distant areas of India in the days of Govindachandra Gāhaḍavāla. Here lived the teacher Śākyarakṣita, hailing from Utkala or Orissa and his student

Vāgīśvararakṣita from Chola country. The Kathāsaritsāgaras relates how a Brāhmaṇa named Vasudatta, belonging to the Antarvedī region in the Ganges-Yamuna Valley, sent his sixteen-year-old son Viṣṇudatta to the University of Valabhī for education. The famous monasteries afforded a common platform for the free association of scholars belonging to different parts of India and her neighbouring countries.

# Writing of Books in Vihāra:

Many original books and commentaries were written in the monasteries and especially at Nalanda, Vikramasila, Phullahari in Bihar, Pandita Vihāra, Sannagara and Jagaddala<sup>56</sup> by famous scholars like Atīśa Dīpaihkara, Abhayākaragupta, Vibhutichandra. Dānasīla. Mokshākaragupta. Vanaratna. Subhākaragupta. Kumārachandra Dharmākara and and composed works on Tantra and Samvaravyākhyā in the universities of Vikramapuri (Dacca) and Jagaddala (Raishahi) respectively.<sup>56</sup> At Sarnatha, a devout Mahāyāna Buddhist lady named Māmakā caused the compilation of a copy of the Astasāhaśrīkā in a monastery known as the Saddharma-chakrapravartana-vihāra<sup>57</sup> in KS 810/1058 A.D. Ksemendra tells us that he wrote Bodhisattvāvadānakalpalatā in a cave convent. In the quiet atmosphere of the Ratnagupta and Ratnaraśmi vihāras of Kashmir, many Mahāyāna works were translated into Tibetan language in the eleventh and twelfth centuries.58

The heads of these vihāras tried to maintain a rigid control over students and teachers. Atīśa during his stay at the Vikramasila monastery, did not hesitate to expel Tantric teachers like Natekana and Vajrapāṇi, and a priest named Divākarachandra, who led a married life.<sup>59</sup> It appears, however, that the vast wealth of the monasteries exercised a corrupting influence in the twelfth century. Merutunga quotes an adage to the effect that for one who holds office in the state for three months or functions as abbot of a monastery for three days, hell is certain.<sup>50</sup> An interesting sidelight of the result of allowing women to enter monastic institu-

tion is reflected in the Samayamātrikā of Ksemendra. A prostitute took shelter in the Krityāśramavihāra, and changing her name to Vajraghaṇṭā, became a nun and pretended to worship. A large number of bangles made cf conchshell have been found during the excavations at Nalanda; but we do not know whether at any period nuns were allowed to live there.

The Jainas also imparted instructions in monasteries. We have only two inscriptions relating to the Jaina vihāra during our period. The Kuvara (Kumāra) vihāra was erected in VS 1221/1165 A.D. on the fort of Kāñchanagiri near modern Jalor (Rajputana) by the Chalukya King Kumārapāla. It was later on rebuilt by the Bhāṇḍārī Yaśovīra under the orders of the Chāhamāna King Samarasinha in V. S. 1242/1185 A. D.62

## Mathas:

The Mathas in some respects were the counterparts of monasteries of mediaeval Europe. These were usually managed by the followers of religions other than Buddhism. The Kashmiri kings like Ananta, Uccala and Jayasimha built mathas. The latter established permanent endowments for all the mathas. But a still larger number of such educational institutions were founded and endowed by queens, princesses, ministers and other Kāyasthas in Kashmir, 4 especially during the reign of king Jayasimha (1128-1155 A.D.) A king named Vaidyanātha of Orissa built a matha. 5 Similar patronage to learning by the establishment of mathas was shown by the Kalachuri and Chalukya Kings of Gujarat. It is interesting to note here that sometimes officials built such educational institutions abroad. Kandarpa, even after retirement, beautified the eastern region of Banaras with mathas. 67

The very fact that the agrahāras were attached to the mathas shows that there were residential arrangements for students and teachers. Ksemendra refers to students from Gauda staying in Kashmir. The mathas founded by Jayasimha's minister, Alamkāra on the Commander-in-Chief

Udaya<sup>70</sup> had numerous 'brahmapurīs'. The word brahmapuri means either a residence of Brāhmaņas or a city inhabited by Brāhmaṇas.<sup>71</sup>

Temples were attached usually to mathas, as we find in case of vihāras. The mathas built by the minister Mankha had a large number of Siva images and lingas.<sup>12</sup> Verse 85 of the Bijholi Rock inscription of the Chāhamāna King Someśvara dated V. S. 1226/1170 A. D. informs us that a matha was attached to the Jaina temple of Pārśvanāth, which was situated on the bank of the river Revā.<sup>73</sup>

Another notable feature of the Kashmiri mathas was that all persons who arrived there, were provided with food. King Jayasimha erected a matha in honour of Chandalā and provided it with ample means, and from its door no guest was turned away<sup>74</sup>.

The Saiva teachers of the Mattamayūra clan studied various orthodox and heterodox religious books and philosophy.75 They had a series of mathas. Purandara first established a matha at Mattamayūra and then at Ranipadra<sup>76</sup> in the first half of the ninth century A.D. His fourth successor Vyomasiva enlarged and repaired the latter matha. A perusal of the Bilhari and Chandrehe inscriptions would suggest that the third spiritual successor of Purandara, also called Pulandara, had established himself at Madhumatī (identified by Mirashi with the village Mahua, in Terahi). Hridayasiva, the grand-disciple of Purandara alias Madhumateya, received charge of the royal mathas of Vaidvanātha and Nauhāleśvara in the latter half of the 10th century A.D. Hridayasiva handed over the management of the latter matha to his disciple Aghorasiva. Another branch of the Mattamavura clan lived at the Golaki matha at Bheraghat which is about 10 miles from Tripuri, the capital of the Kalachuri Kings. Vimalasiva, the Rājaguru of the Kalachuri King Jayasimha, was a pupil of Kīrttisiva of the Golaki-matha. Vimalasiva erected a number of mathas." Viśveśvaraśambhu, another pupil of the Golakimatha did the greatest service by establishing many educational institutions at different spots, viz., Viśveśvara Golakī. Cudappa, Kurnool, Guntur and North Arcot in modern Andhra and Madras states, in the first half of the 13th century.<sup>78</sup>

Like the Buddhist monastic establishments, the *mathas* also attracted scholars from distant places. We have already seen that Kashmiri *mathas* attracted students from Gauda. The foundation of Simhapura had a large number of brāhmaṇas who hailed from the Indus region and also from Drāvida region. The Golakīmatha at Bheraghat had pupils like Vimalasiva who hailed from Kerala and his disciple's disciple Viśveśvarasambhu, a resident of Pūrvagrāma in Southern Rādha in Gauda<sup>80</sup>.

The renowned mathas did not suffer from want of money. The Ranod inscription of the end of the tenth or eleventh century A. D. refers to the prosperity of a renovated matha at Ranipadra in these lines: "There had been abundance of corn plants outside and plenty of jewels inside it; and also tall horses which were neighing and the haughty rutting elephants were crying."81 The Malkapuram inscription gives an insight into the elaborate arrangements for education provided in the Viśveśvara Golakīmatha which, however, was situated in the Andhra country. Sixty Brahmana families were imported from the Tamil country. "In addition to these there were Brahmins well versed in the three Vedas, in grammar, in logic and in general literature and five others skilled philosophy... The village had also a physician and an accountant (kāyastha), six Brāhmin servants were provided for the choultry and the matha, two for cooking and four for performing other miscellaneous duties. Ten persons bearing the appellation Vīrabhadras were appointed as guardian of the village... The village was also provided with a set of ten artisans, consisting of a goldsmith, a coppersmith, a blacksmith, a carpenter (Kāru), a stone-mason, a maker of stoneimages silpī, a basket-maker (vainšakārah), a potter and a barber headed by a master artisan Sthapati... The temple:

establishment consisted of ten dancing girls, eight persons to play on musical instruments of various sorts, fourteen singers, six persons for beating drums etc., and a Kashmirian whose duties are not stated. A number (not specified) of Brāhmaṇas from Viśveśvaraśiva's native village were employed to keep accounts of the income and expenditure of the village and temple. All the above-mentioned persons were assigned lands for their emoluments and they were allowed to enjoy these lands from generation to generation with rights of ownership... To supervise the administration of the charity a superintendent āchārya was appointed with an allowance āchārya bhogam of one hundred nishkas (a year presumably)."82 The association of dancing girls with a centre where education was to be imparted must have had a disastrous consequence on the moral character of the teachers and students.

Mathas, by receiving endowments, had contacts with contemporary kings. The Saiva āchāryas of the Mattamayūra clan became spiritual preceptors of several kings of the Chedi Dynasty for over three centuries. The kings who invited the teachers of the Mattamayūra mathas, were Yuvarājadeva I, Laksmaṇarāja, Yasahkarṇa, Gayākarṇa, Narasinha, Jayasinha and Vijayasinha. The social status of the authorities of these educational institutions was sufficiently high.

Alberuni refers to Varanasi and the Kuṭṭanīmatam<sup>88</sup> and the Kathāsaritsāgara to Pataliputra<sup>84</sup> as great centres of learning. Somadeva relates how Devadatta of the Gangetic valley and Kālanemī and Vigatābhaya of Malwa went to Pataliputra for studies.<sup>25</sup> Mithila began to rise in prominence as a centre of learning towards the end of the 12th century. Another centre of Sanskrit learning was, at Mt. Abu.<sup>86</sup> Here, according to Dvyaśraya of Hemachandra, many foreign students came to receive education. Learned scholars of this age exchanged their ideas in convocations and learned assemblies convened for the purpose. We hear of a convocation of scholars and learned men convened by Alarīkāra, minister of the court of Jayasiūha of Kashmir. Mankha tells

us that King Govindachandra of Kanauj sent Suhala as a delegate to that assembly.87 The Pandits of all the states of Northern India took part in learned discussions. In Bengal we hear of Bhavadeva, who is described in Bhuvanesvar inscription, as stoutly opposing the Buddhist and heretic dialecticians.88 We learn from the Kharataragachhapattāvalī that the Chāhamāna King Prthvirāja III and his Sarvādrikārin Kaimāsa presided over a discussion between Nārāyaņa and Jinapati Sūri.89 The meeting was also attended by the court pandits like Vagisvara, Janardana Gauda and Vidyapati. Another pattavali of the Jaina sect, informs us that Jinesvara defeated the Chaitvavāsīns or Buddhists before the royal court of the Chalukya King Durlabharāja in V. S. 1080/1023 A. D. 40 But more significant was the debate between the Svetambara teacher Devachandra Sūri, preceptor of Hemachandra and the Digambara scholar named Kumudachandra, hailing from Karnāta in the presence of King Jayasimha Siddharāja on the full moon day of Vaisākha in V. S. 1181/c. 1124 A. D.<sup>91</sup> The incident is related in the contemporary drama Mudrita-Kumudachandra and also in the Prahandhachintāmanī<sup>92</sup> and the Prabhāvakacharita93. The subject of the dispute was the salvation of women. The Digambara āchār) a was defeated after several days of discussion. The defeat was so decisive that Svetāmbara doctrine became the legal Jama doctrine in Gujarat.94 The Dubkund inscription of V. S. 1145/1088 A. D. also relates that the Jaina guru Santisena defeated hundreds of disputants before the court of King Bhoja of Malwa.95

# Economic Condition of Teachers:

The teachers of our period often received rewards from kings and feudatory princes. We hear of some teacrers who were royal preceptors, e.g., Mahāmahopādhyāya Aniruddha as teacher of Vallālasena They were undoubtedly recipients of large sums of money. Someśvara in his Mānasollāsa tells us that when the education of the prince was completed,

the teacher was amply rewarded with clothes, gold, land and even villages.99 King Harsa in his early years of kingship adorned learned men with jewels and bestowed upon them the privilege of (using) litters, horses, parasols etc., 100 Jayasituha made the grants of unimpaired fields and houses to men of learning. (101) Kalhana remarks on the king's piety in these lines, "Safe is the journey for scholars who follow him as their caravan-leader on the path on which his intuition guides, and which has been found by his knowledge". 102 A certain king Govindarāja of Saurastra gave several plots of land for supporting learned Brahmanas and their pupils. 103 Such grants to learned men are recommended by the contemporary digestmaker Laksmidhara<sup>104</sup> and also in Śukranitisāra.<sup>105</sup> In spite of liberal grants of some princes to certain teachers, the teaching class as a whole remained wedded to poverty. Damodaragupta tells us that none excepting the teaching section of the community was unhappy at Banaras. 106 Many scholars disdained to accept any gift from royal patrons. Prahāsa, a Bengal scholar, refused to accept 900 gold coins in cash and a landed property yielding 1000 coins from Jayapāladeva, a king of Kämarūpa,107 in the eleventh century. Similarly, a Jaina acharya called Jinavallabha boldly refused to accept three villages or 3,00,000 pārutha drammas from Naravarman, the Paramara King of Malwa (c. 1097-1111 A.D.). quested the king, instead, to grant him only two pāruttha drammas from the customs house of Chitore for defraying the expenses of two temples of the Kharatara Jainas. 108 Ksemendra opines that real scholars and men of learning should neither impart instructions in lieu of money nor should they go to the royal courts.109

# Scholars going Abroad:

Many scholars were invited to Tibet and China during this period. Not only Dīpamkara Śrījñāna but also, according to Rahula Sankrityayana, Paṇḍit Somanāth, Lakṣmīmkara, Dānaśrī, Chandrarāhula, Gayādhara, Pha-dam-pa-sangs-gyars,

Mitrayogī or Jaganmitrananda, Śākyaśrī and nine other scholars went to Tibet in between 1027 A.D. and 1203 A.D. Of these Somnanātha and Śākyaśrī belonged to Kashmir, Gayādhara to Vaiśālī and Mitrayogī to Raḍha.<sup>110</sup> Pha-dam-pasangs-gyars visited China in 1101 A.D.<sup>111</sup> Earlier in between 973 and 1001 A.D. went the famous Fa-Hien (Dharmadeva) from Nalanda and later on in the later half of the eleventh century went Dharmarakṣa, Maitreyabhadra and Sūryayaśas from Magadha to China.<sup>112</sup> Julien informs us of other North Indian educationists who arrived in China between 1025 and 1034 A.D. with presents of Buddhist manuscripts and relics of the Buddha.<sup>113</sup>

Similarly, a large number of Chinese and Tibetan pilgrims came to India for studying Buddhist scriptures as well as for pilgrimage. Several Chinese pilgrims' inscriptions are noticeable at Gava. They date back to 1022, 1023 and 1033 A.D.<sup>114</sup> The flow of the Chinese pilgrims in the 12th century ceased but the Tibetans continued to visit the Buddhist centres of learning. In between 958 and 1055 A.D. as many as twenty-one students studied at Kashmir. Rahula Sankrityayana informs us that the Tibetan scholars. Blo-Idan-sesa-rab (1059-1108 A.D.) studied at Kashmir at the feet of the famous teachers Parahitabhadra and Bhavyarāia, Varilo-cha-va under an āchārva at Bodh Gaya. 115 Marpa (983-1081 A.D.) came to India thrice, and he had the good fortune of meeting Śrībhadra of Nalanda and Dīpamkara at Vikramasila and Akara Siddhi at Phullahari in Monghyr. 116 The cultural contact between India Tibet did not come to an end even with the Turko-Afghan Dharmasvāmin Sri Chag-lo-tsaba studied at Nalanda, Gaya and Vaisālī in the second and third decades of the thirteenth century.117

# Literacy vs. Education:

The recitation of the age-old Epics and Purāṇas trained men to take note of the moral values of life. Madanapāla

of the Pāla dynasty and Govindarāja of Saurāṣṭra made land grants to the reciters of the Mahābhārata and sacred texts respectively<sup>118</sup> in the eleventh century. Kṣemendra in his Darpadalana describes beautifully the aims and objectives of education: one who is really educated dedicates his life to the promotion of good to humanity, distinguishes between right and wrong, śaucha and aśaucha, and does not perform magic and miracles and sell his learning.<sup>110</sup> Even Dīpaṁkara, the living lamp of learning of the East, had to use mystic charms to ward off the brigands who tried to steal a little sandalwood table in Nepal on his way to Tibet.<sup>120</sup> The use of magic by the Tāntric teachers is well-known.

# Effects of Turko-Afghan Raids:

But a great change in the educational system and the life of educationists came in with the Turko-Afghan invasions. Alberuni himself writes that he did not see any new scientific research. 121 But even these scanty remains of Hindu sciences "retired far away from those parts of the country conquered by us, and have fled to places which our hands cannot vet reach, to Kashmir, Banaras, and other places."122 The destructive fury of the conquests of Ikhtiyar-ud-din Muhammad Bakhtyār Khaljī destroyed the monastic universities of Odantapuri, Nalanda and Vikramasila. The Tibetan scholar Dharmasvāmir: (Chag-lo-tsa-ba) in the early part of the 13th century found no trace of the Vikramasila university. It was levelled down to the ground and the stones of the building were thrown into the river Ganges. He mentions another wave of invasion on Nalanda, Gaya and Vaiśālī sometime round about 1220 A.D. in the reign of Sultan Iltutmish.<sup>123</sup> Scholars like Śākyaśrī of the Vikramasila had to flee away. Taranatha124 relates the story of the migration of these scholars in the wake of the invasion of Bakhtyar Khaljī. He says that Śākyaśrī fled to Jagaddala which was located in Odivisa or Orissa and three years later went to Tibet. Amongst the other teachers of the Vikramasila Uni-

versity, Buddhasrimitra and Vajraśri went to South India, Ratnaraksita to Nepal, Jnanakaragupta and other 100 panditas to south-west India, Samgamaśrījñāna, Raviśrībhadra, Chandrā-16 mahantas and 200 junior panditas went further east to Rakhan Munan, Kamboja and other countries. The emigration of the Buddhist scholars to Nepal, Tibet and the countries to the east of Kamarupa and Tipperah known as Koki-lands, account for the scholastic activities in these countries in the thirteenth century. Magadha suffered a heavy loss. The Turko-Afghans raised a fortress on the site of the Odantavihara. Hence Taranatha laments. "In Magadha the doctrine was as good as extinguished. Though there were many people learned and devoted to siddhis at that time, there was no means to apply them to the welfare of beings.....only Natesvara's little school remained in the Buddhist doctrine."125

# Rebuilding of Nalanda:

But Indians and foreigners again rebuilt the educational institutions. Nalanda's history did not come to "a sudden and tragic end", as has been held by Prof. N. N. Das Gupta, 126 with the invasions of the Turko-Afghans. famous monastic establishment had been damaged by fire at an earlier period. It had been repaired by Bālāditya, a Mahāvāna Buddhist hailing from Kausambi in the eleventh year of Mahīpāla I.127 The accounts of Dharmasvāmin clearly state that even after the destruction by soldiers of Bakhtyār Khaliī, there were eighty vihārus. When the invaders left, scholars and teachers again gathered in the precincts of the University. Dharmasvamin visited the Nalanda University after its first destruction by the Turko-Afghans. He studied under Rāhulaśrībhadra. During his stay at this university, he himself saw another invasion.128 It also did not bring an end to the institution. The Tibetan chronicler Pag Sam Jon Zang informs us that a monk named Muditabhadra and a Magadhan King Kukkutasiddhi built temples

and monasteries in the same area.<sup>129</sup> It is quite likely that it was at the new Nalanda mahāvihāra that the son of a ruler of Magadha named Dhyānabhadra studied for a number of years under Vinayabhadra (Lu-Hsien) in the early part of the fourteenth century. The name and history of this Indian priest Dhyānabhadra, who subsequently preached Buddhism in the Inner Palace of the Mongolian Emperor of China, appears in a memorial inscription raised by his disciple, and now preserved in the Korean temple Kuei-yen-ssu (Juniper Rock temple).<sup>130</sup>

Nowhere in the mediaeval world was it considered the duty of the State to promote education amongst citizens under the direct agency of the government. To this rule India proved no exception. But it must be said to the credit of the princes of the eleventh and twelfth centuries that they continued to make liberal contributions for the support of the Mathas, monasteries and individual Brahmanas whose duty it was to carry aloft the torch of learning. The traditional system of providing food and shelter to the students both in the monastic institutions and in the thatched cottage of the Guru also continued uninterrupted. Of course, the Guru expected his Brāhmana pupil to earn his food by begging. The villagers were liberal enough to support them by giving alms. The richer classes considered it their privilege to invite the teacher and his pupils on all ceremonial occasions, and to give them handsome presents.

Though Ksemendra in his Desopadesa satirises the Gaudiya students and charges them with drinking wine and visiting houses of ill fame, it is difficult to believe that they received so much in presents at invitations that they could indulge in luxuries of fashionable dress and at the same time afford to pay the price of intoxicants and fees for public women. There was no postal money order system in those days and certainly the Gaudiya students did not dare to travel to Kashmir with a lot of money with them. As a matter of fact, the life of the students was a hard one. He had to

commit to memory most of the books he studied. He had to copy out the books and commentaries with his own hand. Aparārka in explaining Yājñavalkya (III. 267-268) condemns the selling of books on the Vedas, Vedāngas, Smṛti, Itihāsa, Purāṇa. Nītisāstra and Pañcharātra.<sup>131</sup> They could not, therefore, purchase these books from others. The Brāhmaṇic education, however, was narrow in the sense that it did not emphasise the importance of turning pupils into good citizens by teaching them social or natural sciences.

#### REFERENCES TO CHAPTER VI

### (The Educational System)

- 1 Sukranītisāra (ed. Sarkar) IV. 3
- 2 Manu IX. 329-332
- 3 Dānakānda, pp. 208-209
- 4 Bhoja's Rājamārtaņda and Bhīmaparākrama quoted in Vīramitrodava, Sanskāraprakāśa, pp. 322, 325
  - 5 Sm. C. pp. 66-67
  - 6 Sm. C. I. 26 and Apararka on Yaj. I. 131
  - 7 Sm. C. I. 29; Brahmachāri p. 263
  - 8 Brahmachāri pp. 271-74
- 9 Brahmachāri pp. 115-124, 146-184, 229-239. Sachau, II. 131. Cf. Struggle for Empire pp. 508-509. Mookerji: Ancient Indian Education (2nd. ed.) pp. 183-187
  - 10 Brahmachāri, pp. 266-67
  - il Sachau I. 125
- 12 Brāhmaṇasarvasva p. 3A quoted in Our Heritage, Vol. I. Pt. II. p. 144
- 13 Pākayajñavivriti printed in Introduction to Laugākshigrihyasūtra (Kashmir Sans. series) p. 8
- 14 Brāhmaṇa-sarvasva p. 38 quoted by Durgamohan Bhattacharya in Our Heritage, Vol. I. (1953) p. 147
  - 15 Dānakāṇda pp. 207, 213; Sachau I. 125
  - 16 Sachau I. 127
  - 17 Brahmachāri, pp. 257-259
  - 18 El. XIX. 299 (Rewah inscr.)
  - 19 Gaudalekhamālā p. 112 verse 3 of Krsnadvārikā temple inscr.
  - 20 IA. XI. 102
  - 21 Struggle for Empire, pp. 319-20
  - 22 Kane in J. Or. R. I. Vol. XXIII, pp. 94-127
  - 23 DV. Canto XV

- 24 JRAS (N. S.) Vol. I. p. 414
- 25 IHQ. Vol. XXII. pp. 131-139
- 26 IA. XVI. p. 65, verse 14
- 27 Ibid. IV. 267
- 28 El. XXI. 1. 164 (Kharod inscr. KS. 933/1181-82 A.D. verse 26 Chāṇakyavidyāmatim)
  - 29 IHQ. XVI. p. 572 (Verse 27 of the Viruddha-vidhi-vidhamsa)
  - 30 Rāi, Ch. XI. p. 99
- 31 Mānasollāsa Ch. III. 1283-1303 (GOS. ed. Vol. LXXXIV pp. 110-112). Also discussed in Struggle for Empire, p. 510.
- 32 Agni Purāņa (ed. Cal.) Ch. 225. 1.; Bārhaspatya Arthaśāstra II. 5-6.
- 33 Age of Imperial Kanauj, pp. 366-67; Struggle for Empire, p. 509 views based on Vijñāneśvara, Aparārka and Smṛtichandrikā.
  - 34 Brahmachāri, pp. 199-201, 210-227, 240-243 etc.
  - 35 Ibid. p. 240
  - 36 Ibid p. 242 quoting Manu II. 114
  - 37 Ibid. p. 234
  - 38 Ibid. p. 223
  - 39 Ibid. p. 227
  - 40 lbid. pp. 227-228
  - 41 EI. IX. 328
  - 42 Ibid. XI. 24 and IA. XVII. 62
- 43 RT. VII. 121 and VIII 246, 580, 1171-72, 2402, 2410, 2415, 2417, 2431, 3318, 3343, 3344 and 3352 respectively.
  - 44 RT. VIII. 2416
  - 45 El. XXI. 99 verse 7
  - 46 HB, I, 232
  - 47 EI. XX. 128
  - 48 IA, XVII. (1888) p. 62
  - 49 El. XXI, 97, 99
  - 50 HB. I. p. 232
  - 51 Spark, July 22. 1956
  - 52 Majumdar, B. P.: in JBRS, Sp. issue 1956, p. 182
  - 53 DHNI, VOL. I. p. 522, El. XI. 20-26
  - 54 Kathāsaritsāgara (ed. Penzer, Vol. III) Bk. VI. CH. 41. p. 93
  - 55 HB, I. p. 417-418; Mookerji, R. K.: op. cit. pp. 589-595
  - 56 IHQ. I. pp. 230, 232
  - 57 DHNI. Vol. 11. p. 785
  - 58 Struggle for Empire, p. 420.
  - 59 Mitra, R. C.: The Decline of Buddhism p. 75
  - 60 Prabandhachintamani (ed. Tawney) p. 26
  - 61 Samayamātrikā (ed. N. S. Press) Ch. II. verses 61-62
  - 62 DHNI, II, pp. 982 and 1125
  - 63 RT. VII. 214, VIII. 243, 2401, 3320-3321

64 Queens--RT. VII. 151 (Āsamatī, wite of Ananta, at Tripureśvara), 182-183 (Sūryamatī, wife of Ananta), 956 (Vasantalekhā, wife of Harṣa), VIII. 246, 374, 1052, 2309 (Jayamatī, wife of Uchchala), and 2333-34 (Ratnādevī, wife of Jayasimha).

Princesses-RT. VII. 120. (Lothikā, dtr. of Samgrāmarāja)

Ministers—RT. VIII. 2408 (Rilhana), 2429 (Dhanya), 2431 (Bhutta), 3354 (Mankhaka), 3355-3356 and 3359 (Sumanas).

Prince Sangiya in the time of Jayasimha RT. VIII. 3350

Others—RT. VII. 149 (Kayastha Utpala), VIII. 2420 (Udaya, the Commander-in-Chief of Jayasimha), VIII. 2422 (Śrngāra, the judge), 2423 (Alamkāra, the Superintendent of Treasury), and 2426 (Śringārabhatta).

- 65 JBRS XVII p. 124 (Undated Sobhanesvar inscr., possibly inscribed in the 12th century A.D.)
  - 66 EI. II. pp. 7-17
  - 67 RT. VII. 1010
  - 68 Desopadesa, Canto VI. (p. 16 ff)
  - 69 RT. VIII. 2423
  - 70 Ibid. VIII, 2421
  - 71 Cf. Vikramānkadevacharita, Canto XVII. 29
  - 72 RT. VIII. 3354 and 3359
  - 73 EI. XXVI. p. 99
  - 74 RT. VIII. 3320
  - 75 ET. I. p. 36
- 76 EI. I. 351 ff (Ranod inser.). In IHQ. XXVI. (1050) p. 2 and 7 Mm. V. V. Mirashi identifies Mattamayūra with Kadwaha, 15 miles south of Ranod in Gwalior and Ranipadra with Ranod.
  - 77 EI. XXV. 314 (Jubbulpur S. I. dated c. 1174 A. D.)
  - 78 IHQ. XXVI. pp. 10-11
  - 79 RT. VIII. 2444
  - 80 JAHRS. Vol. IV. pp. 158ff (Malkapuram Pl.)
  - 81 EI. I. 357 verse 30
  - 82 JAHRS, Vol. IV, pp. 153-154
  - 83 Kuttanimatam verses 181-182
  - 84 Kathāsaritsāgara Vol. II. p. 116 (Tr. Tawney)
  - 85 Ibid. I. pp 79 and 106
  - 86 IA. IV. (1875) p. 268
  - 87 Śrīkanthacharita Canto XXV. 102
- 88 IB III. p. 34 verse 20: "He is a very sage Agastya to the sca of the Bauddhas and clever in the refutation of the judgment of heretic dialecticians."
  - 89 IHQ. XXVI. 227
  - 90 IA. XI. 248
  - 91 Prabhāvakacharita Canto XXI. 195
- 92 Prabhandhachintāmaņi (ed. Tawney) pp. 97-103, (Singhi Jain series) pp. 66-68

- 93 Prabhāvakacharita Canto XXI. 81-251
- 94 Discussed in detail by Majumdar, A. K. in Chaulukyas of Gujarat, pp. 311-314
  - 95 El. II. p. 239 lines 44-45
- 96 MASI 1931 on Haihayas p. 134 (Rewa inscr. dated 1192 A.D.)
  - 97 HB. I. 353
  - 98 IHO, XXVI, 225
  - 99 Mānasollāsa (GOS Vol. LXXXIV p. 12 Vimsati III. 1304)
  - 100 RT. VII. 934
  - 101 Ibid. VIII. 2395-2397
  - 102 Ibid, VIII, 2397
  - 103 EI. II. 227 (Vaghli SI. dated c. 1069 A.D.)
  - 104 Rāj pp. 168-170
- 105 Sukranītisāra (ed. Cal. I. 369)
- 106 Kuttanīmatam verse 14
- 107 EI. XIII. 283-295 (Silimpur inscr. verse 22)
- 108 IHQ. XXVI. 224
- 109 Darpadalanam (Kavyamālā series) III. Verses 7 and 42
- 110 Sankrityayana, Rahula; Tibbat me Bauddha-dharma, pp 25-30
- 111 Ibid. p. 28
- 112 Mitra, R. C. op. cit pp. 45-46
- 113 IA. IX. 24 referring to Ma-twan-lin, book CCCXXXVIII fol. 14
  - 114 JHQ. III. (1927) p. 148
  - 115 Sankrityayana Rahula, op. cit. pp. 22-23, 29
  - 116 Mitra, R. C. op. cit. pp. 25, 72
- 117 Spark, op. cit.
- 118 DHNI, Vol. I. 351 (Manahali grant), EI, II, 228 (Vaghli inscr).
- 119 Darpadalanam (Kavyamālā series) Vichāra III. verses 28-50.
- 120 HB. I. 676
- 121 Sachau I. p. 152
- 122 Ibid. I. p. 22
- 123 Munshi, K. M. in Spark July 22, 1956, pp. 7 and 12
- 124 IHQ. XXVII (1951) pp. 241-246
- 125 Ibid. p. 241 Tr. Tāranātha's History of Buddhism in India,
- Ch. XXVII by U. N. Ghoshal and N. Dutt in IHQ. XXVII. p. 241.
  - 126 Struggle for Empire p. 425
  - 127 JASB (NS) IV pp. 106-107
  - 128 Munshi, K. M. op. cit.
  - 129 Vidyabhusan, S. C.: Med. School of Ind. Logic p. 149
  - 130 Mitra, R. C. op. cit., pp. 47-48
  - 131 Aparārka p. 1114

### CHAPTER VII

### Land and its Cultivation

Successive invasion of Sultan Mahipud must have struck a heavy blow on the agriculture of Northern India. Muslim historians have recorded only the plunder of jewels. gold and silver, but they are almost silent about the forcible seizure of the crops standing in the field or lying in the granary of the peasant. Sultan Mahmud used to undertake his long marches in Northern India in early winter and in the spring season when the autumn and Rabi crops had been harvested. For example, he is known to have crossed Yamuna for proceeding to Mathura on the 2nd December, 1018 A.D. with one lakh of horses and twenty thousand foot soldiers. He did not certainly carry provisions for all these men and animals from Ghaznī for the whole of the duration of his stay in India. It was only on the occasion of his march to Somanatha through the desert route that the historians speak of soldiers being provided with food, water and forage for many days. But even on this occasion the Sultan collected fresh provisions at Nahrwal, which has been identified with Anahillapataka, the capital of Gujarat. Famine followed in the wake of the huge army and camp followers, who marched through the country-side under the leadership of Sultan Mahmūd.

But the cessation of his invasion did not offer the peasants much opportunity for pursuing their avocations peacefully. War and conquering raids by ambitious monarchs were the normal features of the age. The kings used to start their conquering march on the Vijayā Daśamī day or the tenth day of the bright half of the month of Āśvina, mainly because the harvesting of the autumn paddy used to take place in the bright half of this month. Lakṣmīdhara gives a poetic description of the Navānna festival in his

Nivatakāla Kānda.2 From his account we learn that eight hundred years ago the harvesting of paddy used to take place at least a month earlier than at present in Northern India. We get an explanation of the relation between the customary time for the marching of the army and the harvesting of autumn crop in the commentary of Medhātithi who in course of expounding Manu (VII. 182) observes "when the expedition is expected to take a short time, and his force is sufficiently strong, then he may start also during the months of Phalguna and Chaitra, specially against a country which is rich in spring harvests. At this time of the year also, he can obtain fodder and at the same time inflict an injury upon the other party, by destroying the crops standing in the fields." Though the old law-givers set up the ideal of not allowing the soldiers to march through cultivated fields, yet this was seldom observed by the enemy forces. Political stability is a prime requisite for the pursuit of economic activities and especially in the cultivation of land. in which crops stand in the open for three to five months. Aparārka in explaining Yāi. II. 163 implies that no legal redress is possible for the harm caused to the crop by the horses and elephants belonging to the king and these are simply to be warded off.3 Numerous inscriptions refer to the immunity of the village granted from chāta and bhata, which have been explained as freedom from supplying shelter, provisions and forced labour to the regular and irregular army. This shows that normally the villages consisting mostly of cultivators had to bear these heavy burdens during the movement of soldiers

Without entering into the old controversy as to whether, the ownership of land belonged to the state or to particular individuals, we may note that land was regarded as a social trust. The State did not allow an owner to waste the valuable gift of nature. Manu<sup>4</sup> prescribes the imposition of a fine on a cultivator, who does not cultivate his field in proper time, nor guards the crop from being eaten up by animals. Similar-

ly Kautilya<sup>5</sup> ordains the confiscation of land from those who do not care to cultivate them. We do not know whether this dictum was responsible for the action taken by Govindachandra in 1120 A. D. in bestowing on *Thakkura* Vasistha the village of Karanda, which had been previously given to Rudrasiva by the Kalachuri King Yasah Karna.<sup>6</sup> We find a similar case of confiscation in the action taken by Laksmanasena against the Brāhmana Haridāsa to whom Vallālasena had given a plot of land.<sup>7</sup> The son of the author of the Dānasāgara was certainly aware of the sin incurred by one who resumed the land which had been gifted away previously. Aparārka quotes Bṛhaspati to say that the result of the resumption of the gift would be hell for sixty thousand years to the resumer.<sup>8</sup>

Several new features are noticeable during the period under survey. It appears that pressure on land increased, possibly due to the adoption of the profession of a farmer by people, who had earned their livelihood previously by trade industry. The invasion of Sultan Mahmud and the consequent loss of the major portion of the Punjab to the Turks. their subsequent raids and the interminable internecine wars of the eleventh and twelfth centuries could not but affect the trade of the country most adversely. Disturbed political condition always leads to the shrinkage of the volume of trade. If valuable markets were lost, there must have been less production in those industries, which supplied the principal commodities for trade and commerce. A considerable number of persons, therefore had to seek their livelihood by falling back on land.

# Irrigational projects and tanks:

The severe economic drain, which resulted from the invasions of Sultan Mahmud and also from the chronic warfare of the period, prevented most of the monarchs from undertaking any big irrigational project in this age. From ancient times it has been considered the duty of the king to excavate canals and tanks and provide other facilities for the supply

of water to cultivable land. But in the eleventh and twelfth centuries we do not find record of such efforts by any excepting three solitary kings, namely, Harşa of Kashmir, Siddharāja Jayasimha Chaulukya of Gujarat and one Karņa. Harşa (1089-1101) excavated the big Pampā lake, which has been identified by Stein with the lagoon called now Pamba Sar. More spectacular was the achievement of Jayasimha, who constructed the Sahasralinga lake at Anahillapura. An old inscription states that the water of the river Sarasvatī was canalised to fill up the lake with water. The lake has been referred to by Hemachandra in his Dvyāśrayakāvya. It has been mentioned in the Kīrttikaumudī, Maharāja Parājaya and Hammīra-Mada-Mardana. Merutunga refers to the excavation of the famous Karņasāgar lake by King Karņa<sup>13</sup>

The digests of this period speak very highly of the gift of tanks, wells etc. It was considered the most meritorious work to make provision for supplying water to land and its people. Accordingly we find a number of ministers and some private persons undertaking this type of work with their resources. Bhatta Bhavadeva, the minister of Harivarman caused the excavation of a tank before the temple of Visnu in Rādha. The Bhuvanesvar inscription claims that "the waterless boundary lands abutting on a village situated in an arid region, has been made by him a reservoir of water which gladdens the soul and mind of the company of tourists sunk in fatigue, and whose beds of lotuses have become devoid of bees as they are fascinated by the reflections on its surface of the lotus-faces of beautiful damsels engaged in bath."14 During the reign of Jayapāla of Kāmarūpa, a Brāhmaņa named Prahāsa caused the excavation of a tank.<sup>15</sup> In Bihar. Gangādhara, a counsellor of King Rudramāna caused the excavation of a tank in the Gaya district sometime before 1137 A. D.16

In Rajputana we find that tanks were constructed by Lāhinī, the sister of Purņapāla, a Paramāra ruler of Abu, probably at Vasantgaḍh, in Sirohi state, in 1042 A.D.<sup>17</sup> In

1086 A.D. Janna, a Teli Patila in the reign of the Paramara King Udayāditya excavated a tank at Chirihitla.18 Wells were constructed by the Naddula Chähamana King Kelhana and also by Ajayasimha, a son of his feudatory chief. 19 In the kingdom of the Kalachuris, inscriptions refer to the excavation several tanks by the Saivite āchāryas and some feudatories. Prasantasiva had caused the excavation of a well, but with the passage of time it dried up. His spiritual disciple, Probodhasiva not only re-excavated it, but also caused the digging up of another well. He also got excavated the Sindhu tank close to the mountain.20 Rāuta-Vallāladevaka, a feudatory of Narasimhadeva constructed a Vaha water channel sometime before 1158 A.D.<sup>21</sup> Another feudatory, Malayasimha caused the excavation of a tank, in 1192 A.D., probably in Rewah, at a cost of 1500 tankās.22 Similarly, the Tummāna Kalachuri feudatory Brahmadeva in 1163-64 A.D.<sup>23</sup> and ministers Purusottama<sup>24</sup> in 1147-48 A.D. and Gangādhara<sup>25</sup> in 1181-82 A.D. excavated wells and tanks in the Raipur-Bilaspur area. Gamiyaya, a minister of King Hemādrideva of the Berar region also excavated a deep tank and a well.26 There must have been thousands of other tanks and wells excavated by private persons in the vast area of Northern India in course of the 164 years of our period, but no inscription about these has either been discovered or been written at all. But what is remarkable is the dearth of measures taken by the kings of this period to save the cultivators from the vagaries of monsoon

# Assessment of Land Revenue:

The actual gross as well as net income from the sale of the produce of any particular plot of land depends on many unpredictable factors like timely rainfall, freedom from the ravages by insects and stray animals, quality of the seed sown, application of manure and the skill of the farmer. On the other hand, the rent which a plot of land had to pay was fixed for a pretty long period. It is the revenue collected from particular plots of land, which is indicated as the income per year in the Sena inscriptions.

The amount of revenue seems to have been assessed according to the fertility of the soil, location of the land and also the use to which the land was to be put. Residential land had to pay a higher rate of revenue than arable land and the land which was unfit for cultivation paid little or no rent. Naihati grant of Vallālasena<sup>27</sup> mentions three types of land, namely Vāstu, Ksetra and Khila. Vāstu land meant the land on which residential houses could be constructed. The term Vyābhū found in the Mehar plate of Dāmodaradeva and the Sahitya Parisat plate of Viśvarupasena, has been explained by Niharranjan Ray<sup>28</sup>, B. M. Barua and P. C. Chakravarti<sup>29</sup> as "demarcated homestead land or residential site." But D. C. Sircar correctly interprets the word as "V vāmiśra bhūmi" or mixed land consisting of Vasiu (homestead land), nāla (arable land) and Khila (fallow land).30 In some Bengal Khila land is also called Aprahata land. Amarakośa Vaijayantī also use the words Khila and Aprahata as synonyms. The inscriptions also refer to other types of land called Sugartosara,31 and Sajalasthala.32 Garta signifies hollow lands like pot holes, which make the land unsuitable for cultivation. Usara means uplands which cannot be cultivated. means lands which are filled with water. Certain abbreviations have been used in the Mehar plate in describing the types of land. Accepting the suggestion of D. C. Sircar we may state that 'gri-ti' indicates land containing houses and gardens, 'chā-tī', a mound containing plantations only, 'mu-tī', a mound or unprofitable plot or land covered with grass or jungle, and, 'chi-khi' stands for chira-khila, a plot of land which has not been brought under cultivation. Analysing the various rates of revenue-income mentioned in this inscription, he comes to the conclusion that a Drona of land consisting of house and garden had to pay 37% Purana; but if it consisted only of plantation and no residential house the rent was 30,2 Purāņas for a Drona. If the land happened to be covered with grass or jungle the rent was 4 Purāṇas only per Droṇa; but if it was arable but not actually cultivated the rent charged was a little higher, namely  $4^{+1}_{0.4}$  Purāṇas per Droṇa.<sup>33</sup>

Unless we keep in view the different categories of land. their location as well as fertility, it becomes impossible to explain how the different plots of land mentioned in the same inscription are assessed at different rates of revenue. the Saktipur plate of Laksmanasena refers to 36 Dronas of land yielding 250 Puranas in one case and another plot of 53 Dronas of land assessed at the same 250 Puranas. Two other plots aggregating 89 Dronus yielded a revenue of 500 Puranas.34 The Naihati grant of Vallälasena indicates that 287 Dronas of land paid 506 Purānas. In contrast to these rates revenue of 168 Purānas for 191 Khāri of land mentioned in the Malhainagar plate seems to be absurdly low. One Khāri, according to Bhaskaracharya, who flourished in the century A.D., was equal to 16 Dronas.35 Either the land was exceptionally bad or extraordinary favourable terms must have been enjoyed by it. In contrast to this low rate, we may mention that a little more than 60 Dronas of land at Betadda situated on the bank of the Ganges paid as high a revenue as 900 Puranas as mentioned in the Govindapur plate of Laksmanasena. The Tarpandighi grant of the same King shows that a piece of land measuring 120 Adhavapas and 15 Unmänas at Velahsthī in Varendrī vielded a revenue of 150 Purāņas only. As 4 Adhavāpas or Ādhakas were equal to 1 Drona, the quantity of land referred to here is a little over 30 Dronas only.

In feudal economy the actual cultivator seldom pays rent directly to the Government. We have got a few definite proofs to show that there were some tillers of the soil, who had no proprietory right on land. The Ashrafpur copperplate of Devakhadga shows that a  $P\bar{a}taka$  of land was owned by Sarvāntara, but it was cultivated by peasants like Mahattara and Sikhara. Similarly in Gujarat the Mehara King Jagamalla assigned sometime before 1207 A.D. 55  $P\bar{a}thas$  of land

to three husbandmen though the ownership of the land was vested in temples. The cultivators must have received a proportion of the crop raised in lieu of their labour and management. We do not know what the actual proportion was.

### Cultivators:

From the time of Kautilya, if not from a much earlier period, each village was peopled mostly by Sūdra cultivators.<sup>37</sup> According to the orthodox school of law-givers like Manu agriculture was one of the pursuits of the Vaiśya community, but in the eleventh and twelfth centuries the bulk of the actual tillers of soil came from the various castes who were considered to have belonged to the Śūdra community. It is highly improbable that the scholarly Brāhmaņas, to whom land was granted by pious kings, actually (tried their hand at) ploughed the field and reaped the corn.

### Agricultural Products:

As early as the days of the Vājasaneyī Samhitā the principal crops of Northern India were rice, yava (barley), wheat and pulses like mudga, masūr and māsa and oilseeds like sesamum.38 Mādhavakara, the famous author of the Nidāna, who was born at Silāhrada, probably in Eastern India in the ninth century A.D., has given a comprehensive idea of the different agricultural products in his Parvāyaratnamālā, which has been edited and published for the first time by Dr. T. P. Chowdhury in the Patna University Journal<sup>39</sup>. This work has been quoted many times by Sarvananda Vandyaghatīya in his Amarakosa commentary which was written in 1159 A.D. In this work we come across the names of various crops like vrihi (rice which is harvested in the rainy season), āśuvrīhi (a quickly ripening variety of paddy known in Bengal as borodhan), jombula or devadhānya, priyangu or kānganī variety of paddy, godhūma or wheat. Amongst pulses are mentioned mudga of the black as well as yellow varieties, kalāya (pea chanaka

or chick-pea), and Kulattha or horse-gram. Vegetables like patola or parval (trichosanthes diœca), Kārvellaka or karelā (momordica charantia), sovañjana or sajinā (moringa piterygosperma), helamocheka or hiñcha śāk (enhydra or hingchā), gandhā!ī (pæderia f.ætida), tumbī (lagenaria vulgaris ser), karkatāksha or knākumra (cucumis utilissimus), kusmāndaka (beninkasacerifera), olhā or ola (amorphophallus sampanuslatus), kechuka or kachu (a plant, solocasia antiquorum), rājamāsha or barbaţī (dolichos catjang) and sīmba or sīm (bean) of black and white variety are mentioned. Amongst fruits we find mangoes, bananas, palm, and cocoanut. Hemachandra mentions seventeen varieties of grains as follows: Vrīhi, Yaya, Masūra, Godhūma, Mudga, Māsha, Tila, Chanaka, Anava, Priyangu, Kodraya, Mayushthuka, Śāli, Adhaki, Kulattha, Kalāva, and Sana.40 It is, of course, curious to note that the great Jaina scholar has included sana or hemp amongst Dhānva which term he has used as a generic expression for grains.

Another surprising matter in this connection is that Laksmīdhara as a strict Brāhmaṇa does not favour the taking of pulses like *mudga* and *masūra*, though both are now recognised as easily digestible and highly nutritious food. He quotes the authority of the *Brahma Purāṇa*.<sup>41</sup> Kalhaṇa considered *Mudga* as a good food for poor people.<sup>42</sup>

We have got inscriptional evidence to show that wheat was cultivated in the Gwalior area.<sup>43</sup> From the numerous references in Laksmīdhara's Niyata Kālakānda to wheat as an offering to the gods and Brāhmaṇas during festivals we may reasonably conclude that the peasants of the Uttara Pradesh used to cultivate wheat in the twelfth century. As a matter of fact, Laksmidhara prescribes the cultivation of wheat for offering food to the gods on the ninth day of the bright half of Bhādra.<sup>44</sup>

Barley was widely cultivated in all parts of Northern India from very early times. Inscriptions of Rajputana refer to its cultivation. A poem quoted in the Saduktikarnāmṛta states

that the hairs of the barley corn growing in the fields were as delightfully green as the blue lotus growing in the pond at the boundary of the village.<sup>46</sup> In Kashmir, a festival was observed on the day on which barley became ripe.<sup>47</sup>

Similarly, Laksmidhara mentions another festival which was held on the full moon day of Vaisākha to commemorate the creation of *Tila* or sesamum by God.<sup>48</sup>

The chief crop, however, throughout Northern India was paddy of different varieties. The well-being of the masses depended largely on the success of its cultivation. Kalhana describes the snowfall which led to the destruction of the rice crop in autumn as "the grim laughter of Death bent on the destruction of all beings". He pathetically observes: "There sank (and perished) the rice crop together with the people's hope of existence."43 With a good harvest the price of a khāri of rice was 200 Dinnāras50, but in years of scarcity or famine the price rose as high as 500 Dimnārus, 51 as it actually did in 1099-1100 A.D. Anulia grant informs us that the Sāli rice was produced in large quantity in Bengal in the lands donated to the Brāhmanas.<sup>52</sup> Sandhyākaranandī writes that on account of the presence of Lakshmi whose lovely form was beheld in the paddy plants of various kinds. Varendri became the "sparkling crest-jewel of the earth".53 Bengali writers, however, are silent over the quality of the rice grown in Varendrī. Aparārka cites Magadha as a country rich in rice.54 Mānasollāsa speaks very highly of the virtues of the rice grown in Kalinga.55 Hemachandra notes that when the harvest time approached the cultivator's wives in Gujarat guarded the rice-crops and sang songs during their vigils. 56 Some of the poems quoted in the Saduktikarnāmrta describe the jubilation of the peasants when there was a good harvest.57

Amongst commercial crops sugarcane and cotton were the most important. Cotton plants were grown in Bengal as well as in Central and Western India. The *Charyāpadas* of Sabarapāda and Sāntipāda refer to Karpāsa. The Charkhari

plate of Paramardī and the Augasi plate of Madanavarman also mention it. The cultivation of sugarcane is referred to in the inscriptions of Central India<sup>58</sup> and Rajputana.<sup>59</sup> Kalhana refers to its cultivation in Kashmir.<sup>60</sup> Paryāyaratnamālā particularly mentions the Paundra variety of sugarcane. Reference to its cultivation in Bengal are found in the Kālaviveka<sup>61</sup>, Rāmacharita<sup>62</sup> and Saduktikarnāmṛta<sup>63</sup>. It is rather surprising to find that nowhere in the inscriptions of the Gāhadavālas is there any reference to sugarcane cultivation, though Uttar Pradesh is now the most important sugar-producing state.

Contemporary inscriptions frequently refer to the betel-leaves<sup>64</sup> and betel nuts<sup>65</sup>. References occur in inscriptions also to the fruits like cocoanut<sup>66</sup>, palm<sup>67</sup>, mango<sup>63</sup>, breadfruit (panasa)<sup>69</sup>, pomegranate<sup>70</sup>, date<sup>71</sup>, figs<sup>72</sup> and bassia latifolia (Madhuka)<sup>73</sup>.

There are good reasons to believe that the North Indians in the eleventh and twelfth centuries paid greater attention to balanced diet than what is done in the present age. They insisted on having larger pasture land attached to each and every village. Cows and buffaloes could graze there either freely or on payment of a small annual fee. People in their senseless efforts to produce more cereals did not encroach on pasture land, nor did they wantonly destroy forests.

### REFERENCES TO CHAPTER VII

(Land and its cultivation)

- 1 Struggle for Empire, pp. 8-21.
- 2 Nityata, p. 405; Krityaratnākara, p. 363.
- 3 Aparārka (Ānandāsrama), p. 771.
- 4 Manu, VIII. 243.
- 5 Kautilya, II. 1.
- 6 DHNI. Vol. I, p. 519 (Bengal As. Soc. grant).
- 7 El. XXI, p. 212 (Saktipur grant).
- 8 Aparārka, pp. 579-580.
- 9 RT. VII. 940.

- 10 Annual Report Baroda State, 1934-35, p. 18.
- 11 DV. Canto XV. 120-121.
- 12 PIHC (Calcutta) 1939, p. 479.
- 13 Prabandhachintān ani (ed. Tawney) p. 78 and Rās, Mālā I. 104.
- 14 IB. III, p. 40.
- 15 El. XIII. pp. 283-295.
- 16 El. II, p. 338.
- 17 Ibid. IX, p. 15.
- 18 Rea: Glories of Marwar, p. 224.
- 19 DHNI. II, p. 1119.
- 20 El. XXI, p. 150.
- 21 IA. XVIII, p. 213.
- 22 El., XIX, pp. 298-299.
- 23 El. XXVI, p. 262.
- 24 Ibid. XXVII, p. 283.
- 25 Ibid. XXI, p. 164.
- 26 Ibid. XXI, p. 132.
- 27 El. XIV, pp. 156-163.
- 28 Ray, N.: Vāngālīr Itihāsa, p. 223.
- 29 EI. XXVII, pp. 182-191.
- 30 Ibid. XXX, p. 56.
- 31 EI. XXI. 96; IA. XIX. 252 & XVI. 203; JUPHS XIV. 72.
- 32 EI. XXI. 96.; IA. XVI. 203 & XIX. 252; JUPHS XIV. 72.
- 33 El. XXX. (1953), pp. 56-57.
- 34 Sāhitya Parişat Patrikā 1939, pp. 87-88.
- 35 Līlāvatī (ed. H. C. Banerjee, 1893), p. 2.
- 36 MASB. I, pp. 85 ff.
- 37 Kautilya II. 1.
- 38 Vājasaneyī Samhitā. 18. 12.
- 39 Patna University Journal, Vol. II (1945-46).
- 40 Vide Majumdar A. K.: op. cit., p. 258.
- 41 Niyata., p. 275.
- 42 RT. VII. 758.
- 43 EI. X. 57. (Bhinmal inscr); EI. II. 236. (Dubkund inscr).
- 44 Niyata, pp. 396-397; Krityaratnākara, pp. 257, 278.
- 45 EI. X. 50 & XIV. 303.
- 46 Sadukti. II. 136. 5.
- 47 Nilamata Purāna, verses 696-697.
- 48 Niyata, pp. 388-89.
- 49 RT. II. 19.
- 50 Ibid. V. 116-117.
- 51 Ibid. VII. 1220.
- 52 IB. III. 85-86.
- 53 RC. III. 17
- 54 Aparārka on Yāj. I. 212; also quoted in Struggle for Empire p. 528.

- 55 Mānasollāsa, Virhšati III. 1347.
- 56 IA. IV. 74.
- 57 Sadukti. II. 84. 3; II. 176. 3.
- 58 EI, XX. 131; IA. XVI. 208.
- 59 El. XIV. 300.
- 60 RT. II. 60 & VII. 1574.
- 61 KV. (Bl ed) p. 411.
- 62 RC. III. 17.
- 63 Sadukti, II. 84. 3.
- 64 Bangīya Sāhitya Parisat grant of Viśvarūpasena; Lingarāja Temple inscr. (JOHRS I. 301); Naisadhacharita XVI. 110; RT. IV 427, V. 365, VII. 544, 787, 945 and 1067.
- 65 MASB. I. 90; Naihati, Anulia and Govindapur grants of Senas and Belavo pl. of Bhojavarman etc.
- 66 Rampal, Naihati. Tarpandighi, Govindapur grants, El. XIV. 300; RC. III. 19.
  - 67 IA. XVI. 124.
  - 68 IA. XIV. 103, XVI. 203, 208 and El. XX. 130-131.
  - 69 Belavo pl.
  - 70 IB. III. 97.
  - 71 Koţālīpādā pl. of Dharmāditya.
  - 72 IB. III. 154-156.
  - 73 El. XX. 130.

### CHAPTER VIII

### Labour

Large-scale industries under private management were conspicuous by their absence in ancient or mediaeval India. There could not, therefore, exist any organised labour force, negotiating terms of employment with the employees on a collective basis. But some of the functions of modern trade unions were discharged by the  $\dot{s}ren\bar{s}$ . Vijnānesvara contrasts  $p\bar{u}ga$  with  $\dot{s}ren\bar{u}$  by stating that the basis of the former association was residence in the same locality irrespective of castes and professions followed by the members of the  $p\bar{u}ga$ , while the  $\dot{s}ren\bar{u}$  was definitely a vocational organisation consisting of a group of people belonging to different castes, but following the occupation of one single caste. He cites as example of  $\dot{s}ren\bar{u}$  the association of weavers, shoe-makers and betel sellers.

The Government had to employ a large number of artisans of various categories for their civil and military departments. Sukranītisāra gives an idea of the types of employees, who are considered highly useful. Such classes included persons who manufactured lighter machines, arrows, swords, other arms and weapons like bows and quivers and various tools and equipments necessary for the army.2 Amongst the employees of the civil department were persons who could construct forts, parks, artificial forests and pleasure gardens.3 Artificial forests were probably used for pleasure as well as for fighting Kūta-Yuddha for misleading the enemy. Carpenters, builders of chariots, blacksmiths, coppersmiths, weavers, tailors and other artisans are specifically mentioned as those whom the king had to appoint to their proper work. The king could not maintain either a big retinue in the court or undertake any military expedition without a large number

of such employees. The invasions of Sultān Mahmud and several subsequent Turkish raids as well as the increase in the tempo of internecine warfare raised the volume of demand for the labour of the artisans.

The normal feature of social life was immobility of labour from one profession to another. A person born to a professional caste habitually stuck to it throughout his life. But there were many exceptional cases in which we find talented people changing their profession. Whatever might have been the magnitude of the volume of such changes, there is no doubt that the increase in the demand for the labour of one type by the Government contributed to the betterment of the condition of service under private persons.

Private employers belonged either to the category of farmers, traders, artisans or owners of big herds of cow. Both Kautilya4 and Yājñavalkya5 provide that the agent or employee of a trader, cowherd and husbandman should receive ten per cent. of the profit of the milk and of crops respectively as his share. This payment was considered rather low in the twelfth century. Devannabhatta in his Smṛti-Chandri $k\bar{a}$  observes that a ten per cent, share may be given to an agricultural labourer if the crop can be raised easily without much labour. He further states that if the employer provided him with food and clothing the share to which he is entitled is twenty per cent. In case food and clothing are not provided, that is, if the agricultural labourer or the employee of the trader and manufacturer live independently in a separate establishment, one-third (33 $\frac{1}{3}$  per cent.) of the produce in the case of crops raised and of the profit in other cases should be given to the employee. This indicates a distinct improvement in the status of labour. This is further supported by the most enlightened rules laid down in the Sukranītisāra. No labour leader of modern days can outbid the author of this book in his sympathy for the labourers. As a general principle he lays down that the rate of wages should be so fixed as to enable the worker to maintain those

LABOUR 185

who are his compulsory charges. He further observes that "those servants who get low wages are enemies (to the employer) by nature".6 The writer prescribes paid holiday for fifteen days in the year. He makes provision for medical leave, pension and workman's compensation. Leave medical grounds with full pay is allowed for a week in general cases, but a highly qualified worker should get leave for unspecified period on half pay. If a servant has been ill for a long time he should get not more than three months' wages. As regards pension it is provided that one would be entitled to half the wages only if he has spent a period of forty years continuously in service. Not only was this pension to be paid during the old age of the worker but also to be remitted to his son so long as he was a minor or to his wife and unmarried daughters, provided they were wellbehaved.<sup>7</sup> There is provision for bonus also. "The king should give the servant one-eighth of the salary by way of reward every year, and if his work has been done with exceptional ability one-eighth of the service rendered."8 If the worker happened to die in course of performance of his duty. his minor son was to be given the same pay as he used to earn; if the son was capable of earning his livelihood, then employment should be provided for him on a rate of pay suited to his qualification.9

The author of the Śukranītisāra envisages a sort of Workmen's Insurance Scheme when he advises the king to "keep with him (as deposit) one-sixth or one-fourth of the servant's wages, and pay half of that amount or the whole of it in two or three years". This provision might have also been made as a measure of security against negligence or betrayal by the employee.

Commenting on Manu VII. 126 Medhātithi writes that besides a *drona* weight of grains every month and clothing every six months, an ordinary servant was to be paid wages at the rate of one *pana* per month. We have got no definite information regarding the actual rates of money wages

in different parts of Northern India during the eleventh and twelfth centuries.

The old law-givers like Nārada classified Brāhmana pupils studying the Vedas at the residence of the Preceptor, apprentices or antevāsins receiving instructions from some artisans or artists, hired servants and supervisor of hired servants, all as Karmakāras. The disciple had to perform many services for his teacher relating to the craft which he was learning. according to the orders of his master without any remuneration, but he was entitled to free board and lodging during the whole of the period of his learning, the length of which, however depended on the terms of his contract. None of these Karmakāras could be asked to perform any ignoble or impure service, a list of which has been drawn by Kātyāyana<sup>11</sup> and quoted by Chandeśvara<sup>12</sup>. The list includes performance of work like sweeping roads and doors of houses, collecting and throwing away the leavings of food etc. Such work was probably considered fit only for slaves.

## Slavery:

The Arthaśāstra of Kautilya and the Smrtis13 refer to the institution of slavery in ancient India. The practice of keepslaves continued down to our period. Apararka and Devannabhatta<sup>14</sup> quoting Kātvāvana clearly distinguish between a hired servant and slave. Both of them hold that a Brāhmaṇa on no account could be reduced to the status of a slave. Sultan Mahmüd, however, in carrying thousands of persons as slaves to Ghaznī did not certainly make any distinction between a Brāhmana and a Śūdra. The conditions for slavery have been laid down by Jīmūtavāhana.15 One who was without food and clothes could sell himself as a slave by a written document to the effect that he would serve his master. During famines, which were not infrequent in this period, many persons sold themselves as slaves with a view to saving their life. Nārada prescribes that such a person can purchase his freedom by giving a pair LABOUR 187

of cows. It is difficult to find out how a slave, whose master was entitled to all his earnings, could procure a pair of cows.

The existence of slavery as known from the nibandhas can be corroborated by literary evidence. The Kathāsaritsagara tells us of the story of the redemption of four merchants from slavery on payment of fees.16 Female slaves are also mentioned in the digests and the Kathasaritsagara. The views of Katyayana that an independent woman on being married to a slave also became a slave and had to serve her husband's master, has been quoted with approval by Devannabhatta.17 The Kathāsaritsāgara18 tells us of slave-girls married to hired-servants and to Brahmanas. In the same book of stories we read the story of a merchant of Pātaliputra, employing slaves. Jīmūtavāhana opines that if a female slave is inherited by a number of persons, she should serve all the shareholders by turns (of several days).19 interesting to note that the Tilotha image inscription records the pilgrimage of as many as five female slaves, named, Ladumā, Nayakāmā. Ālhī, Puttrikī and Ekalī, to the Tutrahi falls in Sahabad in the company of their master Nāyaka Pratāpadhavala.20 These slaves must have been treated affectionately by their master, otherwise their names would not have been inscribed at the foot of the image. The Lekhapaddhati records four documents, dated V.S. 1288 on female slaves in Maharastra and Gujarat regions.21 These slaves had to perform many duties, such as cutting (vegetables), pulverizing (spices), washing the floor, sweeping, bringing fuel, water etc., milching the cow, buffalo, and goat, churning the curd, weeding out the grass, threshing grains, and also other domestic duties like cooking, cleaning the gutters etc. Some of these duties are also mentioned in Hemachandra's Trişastisalākā-purusacharita.22 Any dereliction of these duties or non-adherence to the orders of the owner of the slave. was dealt with severely. The punishment, which was to be given for violation of duty, was also proclaimed in public before the agreement of slavery was entered into.\*

### Forced Labour:

An increase in the demand for labour mitigated the hardship of those who were forced to render service to the state without remuneration. The practice of levying labour-tax was prevalent in India from very early times.<sup>23</sup> The practice attracted the notice of Megasthenes.<sup>24</sup> Manu prescribes it as a regular levy<sup>25</sup> of one day's labour per month from mechanics, artisans, and Sūdras. He does not, however, mention whether the workers are to be given food on the day of work. The Gupta monarchs used to levy it as they are known to have recorded it in their inscriptions.<sup>26</sup> The Agni Purāṇa definitely lays down that the king must provide food to those from whom forced labour was to be taken.<sup>27</sup> Lakṣmīdhara quotes with approval the views of Gautama who has prescribed the supply of food to the workers. This shows that at least in the Gähadavāla kingdom the practice of supplying food was followed. Lakṣmīdhara cites the example

\* The most interesting deed Svayam-āgatā-dāsī-patravidhi is the declaration of Samouri...a girl of ten years, before becoming the slave of Chāhada, which is as follows: "If I, so long as I live, while employed as a slave in your house or in any other house, commit theft, seeing a vacant room appropriate some article, or finding that begging had become easier go elsewhere or mix with cheats, rascals or your enemies, or in my youth being tempted by some men leave you, then on the strength of this deed you will catch me by my hairs, bind me and again set me to work as a slave. I shall always throughout the night and day carry out the orders of your relatives. If ever, out of wickedness, I refuse to perform my duty, when ordered to do so, then you will punish me by kicking and beating with sticks and (may even) torture (me) to death, (for which) you my lord will remain as free from guilt as if you had been absent. I declare to all that should I die under torture (above-mentioned) it will have been brought about by my own fault, and you and your family shall be absolved by bathing in the Ganges. If ever I commit suicide by jumping into a well or pond or by taking poison on account of pregnancy (udarabadha) you my lord will be guiltless, and will only (have to perform the penance of) bathing in the Ganges." (Translation of the passage in Majumdar: Chaulukyas, p. 347) The reference to the capture of truant slaves by catching hold of their hair explains the famous song by the 16th century poet Govindadasa in which the Gopis tell Krsna that he has brought them to the Rasa as one brings slaves by catching hold of their hair.

LABOUR 189

blacksmiths, porters, boatmen, owners of carriages, ₽ actors and dancers as persons who were liable to pay the labourtax once a month. We can understand the need of compelling boatmen and owners of carts to carry heavy loads from one place to another in the absence of regular transport service. The king very often collected a proportion of the crops raised by cultivators as his share by way of tax. It would have been extremely difficult and costly to send royal share of the crops. Very strict supervision, however, was needed to see that the grains were not pilfered on the way. But the levy of forced labour of artisans like blacksmiths for one day per month was not likely to secure for the Government any complicated piece of work, which required more than one day's labour to complete it. Efficiency in production could not be expected from those who were compelled to work without payment. Such a system was detrimental to the larger interests of the community. The requisitioning of the services of actors and dancers was meant for arranging free amusement to the local officers of the king. It was certainly not a practical proposition to bring all the actors and dancers in the kingdom to the capital for the benefit of the king.

The technical term for the labour-tax was "Visti" and Laksmidhara explains it as Valātkāreṇa ākriṣṭa Karmakāh: labour forcibly recruited. During the movement of the army people were forced to carry loads, make roads, and render many other types of miscellaneous service. Burder such circumstances life was not an easy one for the common people. Kalhaṇa observes that during the reign of Jayasinha the villagers in spite of their wailings were forced to carry loads at the command of the commissariat. Sometimes on the orders of the autocratic authority respectable people like the Brāhmaṇas following priestly profession were compelled to carry loads on their head, as we find in the reign of Harṣa in Kashmir. The priests, however, had recourse to hunger strike on a mass scale as a protest against such orders. The king had to withdraw them.

The rise in the demand for labour was probably responsible

for making the author of Sukranītisāra recommend that "the King should make the artists and artisans work one day in the fortnight" instead of the customary one day in the month. He further prescribes that prisoners as well as those who are accused (of serious crimes) should be set to repair the road. He goes so far as to declare that those who forsake their parents and wife should be put in chains and made to repair the road. The use of convict labour for road-making was prevalent down to the early part of the nineteenth century, but as it was found inefficient, hired labour was substituted.

### REFERENCES TO CHAPTER VIII

#### Labour

- 1 Mit. on Yāj II. 30.
- 2 Sukranītisāra II. 196 (ed. Cal.).
- 3 Ibid. II. 194.
- 4 Kautilya III. 13.
- 5 Yāi, II. 194.
- 6 Sukranītisāra II. 397-400 (ed. Cal.).
- 7 Ibid, II. 409-410 (ed. Cal.).
- 8 Ibid. II. 412.
- 9 Ibid. II. 413.
- 10 Ibid. II. 414.
- 11 Kātyāyana (ed. Kane) 720.
- 12 Vivādaratnākara, p. 144.
- 13 Kane: Hist, of Dharmaśāstra, II, pp. 180-189.
- 14 Aparārka, p. 788 and Smrti-Chandrikā II, p. 197 quoting Kātyā-yana 715.
  - 15 Vyavahāra-mātrikā, p. 337.
  - 16 Kathāsaritsāgara I. (ed. Tawney), p. 92.
  - 17 Smrtichandrikā II 201.
  - 18 Kathāsaritsagara 1 (Penzer's Ed.), pp. 240, 261, 307.
  - 19 Dāyabhāga, Verse 7 (ed. C. Smritibhusana, p. 13.)
  - 20 El. XX, 249.
- 21 Lekhāpaddhati 44-47 as quoted by A. K. Majumdar in his Chaulukyas of Gujarat, pp. 152, 345-349.
  - 22 Trișastiśalākā III. 248.

LABOUR 191

- 23 Kauțilya II. i; VIII. I.
- 24 Megasthenes as quoted by Arrian, Indica XII.
- 25 Manu VII. 1384.
- 26 Gupta Ins. 38, 39, 58 and Girnar Inser.
- 27 Agni Purăna, Ch. 223.
- 28 Rājadharmakāṇḍa, pp. 93-95.
- 29 RT. VIII. 2513.
- 30 Sukranītisāra, IV. 2. 121 (ed. Cal.), ed. Sarkar IV. 2. 241.
- 31 Ibid. IV. I. 92.

### CHAPTER IX

# Industries and their Organisation

Literary and epigraphic records of the eleventh and twelfth centuries reveal a highly advanced stage of industrial life in Northern India. We are fortunate enough to get a more or less detailed account of many of the industries in the Yuktikalpataru of Bhoja. Metallic industries are described in Rasārnava, written in the twelfth or beginning of the thirteenth Some of these are referred to by Chakrapanidatta who flourished in Bengal in the eleventh century. Charyāpadas, the poems of Jayadeva and other poets belonging to this age contain some information relating to some of the industries. The temples and sculptures of Bhubanesvara. Puri and Khajurāho bear unmistakable evidence of the advancement of industries requiring a high degree of skill. Some of the inscriptions and accounts of foreign travellers support the testimony of many of the literary works of this The lexicons like Vaijavantī and Deśīnāmamālā and period. the commentary on Amarakosa by Ksīrasvāmin bear testimony to the existence of many industries in the eleventh and twelfth centuries.

We have got no evidence, however, to show that there was any large-scale industry in this period. Numerous instances have been cited in this chapter on the localisation of various industries. Some cities, regions or countries became famous for some industries. The artisans living in that area had knowledge of superior workmanship bequeathed from father to son for generations. Experiments could also be undertaken in such an atmosphere. It is not possible to give an exhaustive account of all the crafts and industries which existed in the period under review. We shall mention only the most important of them.

### Textiles :

The history of textile industry in India goes back to centuries before the birth of Christ. The main centres of this industry since the days of Kautilya1 were Bengal, Kamarupa, Magadha. Madura, Aparanta, Kalinga, Kasi, Vatsa and Mahisa. Bengal continued to hold its eminence is clear from the Periplus of the Erythraean Sea: "Through this (Ganges) place are brought malabathrum and Gangetic spikenard and pearls and muslins of the finest sorts which are called Gangetic."2 Arab writers like Sulaiman belonging to the ninth century identify Ruhmi with Bengal where "a stuff made in this country which is not to be found elsewhere; so fine and delicate is this material that a dress made of it may be passed through a signet ring".3 This also was supported by Khurdadbah of the tenth century A.D.,4 Marco Polo in the 13th century5 and the Chinese Ma Huan, a traveller of the 15th century. The typical products in linen and cotton remained famous between the times of the composition of the Arthasāstra and the Varnaratnākara of Jyotirīśvara, a Maithila writer of the 14th century. Referring to the dukula variety of linen cloth, Kautilya says that the variety produced in Lower Bengal (Vangaka) was white and soft; that produced in North Bengal (Poundraka) was black and 'as soft as the surface of a gem' and the one manufactured in Kamarupa (Suvarnakudya) had the "colour of a rising sun". Jyotirīśvara mentions embroidered red clothes of Bengal like Meghaudumvara, Gangāsāgara, Gangora, Laksmīvilāsa, Dvāra Vāsinī and Sylhafi.

Besides Bengal, Gujarat and Paithan were famous as centres of textile industry for centuries.<sup>7</sup> Marco Polo notes on the quality of the products of Paithan in these words: "These are the most delicate buckrams and of the highest price; in sooth they look like the tissue of spider's web. There can be no king or queen in the world but might be glad to wear them."

These textile commodities were of many varieties, e.g., Ksauma, Dukula, Patrorna, Kārpāsika etc. Kṣauma according

to Maitrāyanī Sainhitā9 means a linen garment. Kşīrasvāmin commenting on Amarakosa10 explains Ksauma as made of the fibre of ksauma, which is explained as Atasī in Amarakosa<sup>11</sup> and Nandana's commentary on Manu<sup>12</sup>. Hemachandra includes Dukula or Dugula in the category of Ksauma. But such an inclusion is against the evidence of Kautilva and Yājñavalkva. differentiates between Dukula Kautilva<sup>13</sup> and Ksauma. Yājñavalkya<sup>14</sup> identifies Ksauma with Amsupatta. Patrorna, according to Amarakosa, was "a bleached or white Kauseya" But the commentator Ksīrasvāmin explains it as a fibre produced from the saliva of a worm on the leaves of trees. Kārpāsika means cotton fabrics. Hemachandra states that cotton clothes were known as Vadara. There were also other materials, from which people prepared dress. Woollen clothes were known as ūrnā in the time of Devala. Both Devala and Vijñāneśvara mention a different variant known as Kutapa. 15 The latter clearly states that it was a blanket made of the hair of mountain-goats.

# Dress of the rich and the poor:

There was a considerable difference between the dress worn by the rich and that used by the poor people. There is no doubt that Ksauma cloth, Chīnāmsuka or gold-embroidered clothes were used by the richer sections of the community. Dāmodara Gupta tells us that Chintāmani, the son of a Government official, used to wear a yellow-coloured gold embroidered cloth. A prostitute was given a pair of Chīnāmsuka by one of her admirers. The Chinese silk (Chināmsuka) was such a costly fabric that the feudatories are said to have covered the path with it for King Nala to walk on. This type of silken cloth is also mentioned in the Amgachhi grant of Vigrahapāla III. Jayadeva's Sri Kṛṣṇa is described to have worn a dukula.

The poor section of the community used ordinary clothes. They had to satisfy themselves with cotton clothes and sometimes even with cloth made of hemp. In the padas of Saba-

rapāda and Śāntipāda, there are often references to cotton plants and carding. A song composed by the former tells us that "This my third house is as open as sky. Oh! how, beautiful cotton flowers have blossomed in my garden." A poem of Śubhānka, collected in the Saduktikarnāmṛta, also refers to the houses of poor Śrotriya Brāhmanas as strewn with cotton-seeds ammediately after a storm. In Kashmir poor people had to wear miserable bhanga or hemp-made garments. Prisoners were also given such dress in jails. In the cotton-seeds ammediately after a storm.

Textile manufacturers gave occupation to various classes of people. Some of them prepared not only the *dhotis* and *sārīs*, but also other items of dress such as veils or *Avaguņthana* and *Nichola* which was something similar to veil, according to the Kārikā of Hemachandra and Jayadeva's *Gītagovinda*.<sup>24</sup> References are also found to head-dress or *Uṣṇīṣa*<sup>25</sup> for males, and to *Tuṣa* blanket.<sup>26</sup>

North Indians began using mosquito-nets at least as early as the time of the composition of the Chullavagga.<sup>27</sup> It is called *Maśaka-kuṭika* in the Buddhist texts. P. K. Gode<sup>28</sup> holds that the word *Maśakahari* on *Chatuhṣkī* came to be used in Sanskrit lexicons from about 1000 A.D. onwards. It is in the *Vaijayantī* of Yādavaprakāśa (c. 1050 A.D.) that the earliest mention of the words is to be found.<sup>29</sup> Ajaya, the lexicographer states that it was used by the rich people only (ed. T. G. Shastri, p. 111, Kūnda II. Verse 731).

#### Umbrellas:

The making of umbrellas was also an ancient craft of India.<sup>30</sup> Its use was confined not to males only but it was also popular with women. Mahāśvetā in the Kādamvarī refers to a woman holding an umbrella. Pāla sculptures also show the use of umbrellas.<sup>31</sup> In the Naiṣadhacharita Nala is described as using a white umbrella. But the most detailed account of it is found in Bhoja's Yuktikalpataru.<sup>32</sup> Kings, princes, and ordinary people used different types of umbrellas. The Višeṣa and Sāmānya or special and ordinary types were

used by kings and common people respectively. The special type was of two categories.—Nirdanda which could not be folded and sadanda which could be folded. The King is advised to use an umbrella whose stick and neck are to be made of pure wood, spokes of pure origin and both the thread and the cloth are to be of scarlet colour. The King also used another variant whose stick and neck were made of sandal wood, thread and cloth were to be pure white and this umbrella was decorated with a golden pitcher at the top. In the Kali age, the prescribed measure of the royal umbrellas was danda or stick of four cubits, kilaka of 3 vitasti, 40 śalākā or spokes and each spoke three cubits long, cloth, double of those of spokes and kilaka of 6 angulis. At the time of marriage or coronation, the stick of the umbrella was to be of pure gold and the cloth was to be whiter. It was known as the Navadanda type. The princes of royal blood were given a different variant of umbrella. Its height was to be one-fourth less than the length of the umbrella of the King. The Pratapa type used by princes had bluish cloth and stick decorated with a golden pitcher at the top. As for common people, their umbrellas were to be half of the length of that used by princes. The stick of these ordinary umbrellas were made of timber: champaka, panasa, sāla, śrīphala, sandal, vakula, neem, and varjarvarna. Iron spokes are conspicuous by their absence in all types of umbrellas.

It is interesting to note here that a copper-plate grant dated Saka 972/c. 1050 A.D. of King Trilochanapāla of Gujarat refers to the dedication of an umbrella resplendant with gold and jewels to God Somanātha by Vatsarāja, the father of King Trilochanapāla.35

## Sugar:

We have already seen that the cultivation of sugarcane was popular in Bengal, Central India, Kashmir and Rajputana. Of these, Bengal and South India led in the manufacture of sugar. Bengal produced so much sugar according to the six-

teenth century Portuguese traveller Barbosa that she competed with South India in supplying it to different parts of India, Ceylon, Arabia and Persia.<sup>34</sup>

### Salt :

Contemporary inscriptions of Bengal<sup>35</sup> and Rajputana<sup>36</sup> and in the kingdoms of the Chandellas<sup>37</sup>, Gāhaḍavālas<sup>38</sup> and Kalachuris of Tripuri<sup>39</sup> refer to grant of salt-pits and the right of manufacturing salt to donees. It is difficult to state whether there was a large-scale salt manufacture in Northern India.

### Metals (Iron and Steel):

Northern India made a considerable progress in the field of metallurgy. Iron came to be used for variety of purposes during our period, specially in building temples. 'Utbī in his Tārikh-1-Yamīnī<sup>40</sup> informs us that on both sides of the City of Mathura, "there were a thousand houses to which ideal temples were attached all strengthened from top to bottom by rivets of iron." (Beams). Indian engineers also planned the manufacture of beams. About 239 beams ranging upto 17 feet long and upto 6" by 4" or 5" by 6" inches section have been used in the Gundichabari at Puri. 41 Graves gives a detailed account of these beams. As many as 95 beams were tixed at the lintels of doors and 114 below the temple. Iron beams are also seen in the Bhuvaneshvar and also in the Konaraka temples. In the Konaraka temple Stirling counted 9 beams in 1824 42 and Graves 29 beams. L. Mitra noted the length of beams as 21 feet with average cross-section of 8 inches by 10 inches. But Graves found the largest beam 35 feet long and 7" to 7½" inches square weighing 6000 lbs. Percy Brown<sup>43</sup> observes that beams were forged and "the larger ones were evidently produced by welding together a number of 'blooms' of wrought iron by means of a hammer. However, this process of forging of beams seems to have been known only to Orissa."

### Crucibles:

Crucibles, swords and water-vessels were manufactured from iron. The making of the Jāranāya yantram has been mentioned in the Rasārṇava<sup>44</sup>, written in the twelfth or thirteenth century and the Rasarainasamuchchaya<sup>45</sup>. Iron crucibles were twelve digits long. Regarding the ingredients for crucibles, Vāgbhaṭa recommends that the "earth which is heavy and of a pale colour, sugar or earth from an ant-hill or earth which has been mixed with burnt husks of paddy, fibres of hemp plant, charcoal and horse-dung pounded in an iron mortar and also rust of iron, are to be recommended for crucible-making." The Edilpur plate of Keśavasena mentions water-vessels of iron.<sup>46</sup>

## Arms, spears etc:

Weapons of war such as arrow-heads and swords have been mentioned by a host of mediaeval Muslim historians. Alberuni also refers to the makers of arrows, spear points, swords and knives in Northern India.<sup>47</sup> The author of the Sukranītisāra regards those who could make light machines, arrows, cannon-balls, swords, bogs and quivers as useful servants of the King.<sup>48</sup>

### Swords:

The manufacture of swords was an ancient Indian industry. 'Utbī<sup>49</sup> says that soldiers of Brāhmaṇapāla, son of Anandapāla, used white swords, blue spears and yellow-coats of mail. White swords evidently mean the best steel sword, which when swung appeared to be only a flash of light, a radiant whiteness. Nizāmi in his Tāj-ul-Māāsir<sup>60</sup> also gives a vivid picture of Indian swords used by the soldiers of Gwalior. "That sword was coloured of Caerulean blue, which from its blazing lustre resembled a hundred thousand Venuses and Pleiades, and it was a well-tempered horse-shoe of fire, which with its wound exhibited the peculiarity of lighting and thunder; and in the perfect weapon the extreme

of sharpness lay hid, like (poison in) the fangs of a serpent; and (the water of the blade) looked like ants creeping on the surface of a diamond." The elaborate description of the process of manufacturing arms and weapons found in Sanskrit technical literature proves the truth of the statement made by Nizami. The indigenous literature also mentions the characteristics of the swords manufactured in various parts of The Yuktikalpataru of Bhoja states that North India. Benares, Magadha, Nepal, Saurastra and Kalinga had a reputation for producing swords. 51 But Śārugadhara, who flourrished in the thirteenth-fourteenth century, mentions that Khati-Khattara, Rishika, Vanga, Sürpäraka, Videha, Madhyamagrāma, Chedideśa, Sahagrāma and Kāliñjar were famous Of these places, the swords manufactured centres.52 Saurastra, Rishika, Anga and Kāliñiar could stand the test of time. Chau-Ju-Kua states that the doubleedged swords of Bengal were very sharp.<sup>53</sup> A comparative study of the works of different periods show that some localities developed their skill in course of time, while others fell into decay. Thus according to the Agni Purāna swords made in Khattara are beautiful, Surpāraka swords strong, Anga and Vanga swords sharp.<sup>54</sup> In the eleventh century Bhoja. however, describes the Anga swords as dirty and blunt.55 Śārngadhara's anthology of the thirteenth century speaks of Anga swords as famous for their sharpness, strength and excellent handles.<sup>56</sup> As the age of this particular poem is not known, its evidence cannot be accepted against the positive opinion of an expert like Bhoja. The decadence of South Bihar in the mediæval age is well-known. A reference by Bhoja to the bad type of swords produced in Anga illustrates the decay of an once-famous industrial area. The criterion of distinction between good and bad swords, according to Bhoja's Yuktikalpataru and Nītikalpataru ascribed to Kşemendra, was the sound produced by them. The Agni Purana, however, regards that the sword, 50 angulas in length, producing the sound of an ornament was the best, and the best

types were those known as Padmapalāsāgra, Mandalāgra, Karavīradalāgra, Ghritagandhā and Ākūšuprabha. The worst type was known as Kākolūkavarnā.<sup>57</sup> Sārngadhara<sup>58</sup> devotes nine slokas each on good and bad swords. The sword, which on being struck produced beautiful sound, was worshipped by kings and the one which was curbed was to be thrown away.

Contemporary literature also discusses the relative qualities of iron. The Rasendrasārasaingraha quotes a passage from the Yuktikalpataru on this. Bhoia puts the relative quality of the following grades of iron thus: Krouncha iron two times better than Sāmānya iron, Kalinga as eight times better than Krouñcha, Bhadrā as hundred times better than Kalinga, Vajra as hundred times better than Bhadra, Pandi as hundred times better than Vajra, Niranga as ten times better than Pandi and lastly, the Kartha iron as million times better than Niranga iron. These gradations of iron obviously refer to the pig, cast and wrought iron. But the author of the Rasaratnasamuchchaya was more precise in describing the characteristics of each quality of iron. 59 Munda or cast iron has been classified thus: Mridu or easily malleable but unbreakable and glossy, Kuntha which expanded very little after hammering and Kadara which was breakable. Tiksna or steel had the following six varieties: Khara, Sara, Hrinnāla, Tarabhatta, Vajira and Kālalauha. Kānta had also different varieties: Bhrāmaka or iron which moved all other iron. Chumvaka and Karşaka, i.e., magnetic iron, Drāvaka, i.e., iron which easily melted, Romakāntā, i.e., iron which when burnt shot hair-like filaments. These characteristics or iron and steel clearly prove that the manufacture of iron reached a high stage of development in Northern India in the period under survey. The process of purifying iron has been discussed at length by Sir P. C. Ray in his History of Hindu Chemistry. 60 The Kashmir manuscript of Vrinda (c. 900 A.D.) prescribes that iron is to be lighted first and then "macerated in the juice of the emblic myrobalan and trewia nudiflora and exposed to the sun, and again to be macerated in the juice of certain other plants and then to be rubbed in a mortar". Chakrapāṇi of the eleventh century A.D. prescribes a better method of purification of iron. After the bar of iron is rubbed with impalpable powder, as described by Vrinda, the iron is to be heated to fusion point and plunged into the decoction of the myrobalans and roasted repeatedly in a crucible. The Rasaratnasamuchchaya informs us that if a piece of iron is rubbed with cinnabar weighing 1/20th of the weight of that iron, and lemon juice and sour gruel and roasted in a covered crucible forty times. kāntam, tākṣṇam and mundam are killed. Tākṣṇa iron can be powdered if it is repeatedly heated.

# Copper:

North Indians had presumably no knowledge of the copper mines of Chotanagpur and the Deccan. It was an article of export from Broach in the days of Periplus<sup>63</sup> (c. 75 A.D.) but in Marco Polo's time (c. 1293) Thana port used to import copper.64 The author of the Rasaratnasamuchchaya aware only of the superior quality of copper brought from Nepal, and of the copper dug from mines in Mlechchha countries. Locations of copper are mentioned in Dhātumañjarī, a part of the Rudravāmalatantra, probably work composed not earlier than the fourteenth century, as Nepal, Kamarupa, Bengal, Madanesvara, Gangādvāra, that is foot of the Himalayas, the country of the Mlechchas, Rūma, the country of the Phirangas and mountaineous regions.66

Sulphide and sulphate are two compounds of copper. Vrinda (c. 900 A.D.) says that sulphide of copper could be prepared artificially. "Sulphur, copper and the pyrites are to be pounded together with mercury and subjected to roasting in a closed crucible and the product thus obtained to be administered with honey." Chakrapāṇi also gives us the method of preparing powder of copper-compound. 68

Copper could be extracted from other materials like blue vitriol and borax<sup>69</sup> as is known from *Rasaratnasamuchchaya* (II. 133-136) which states: "Take blue vitriol and one-fourth its weight of borax and soak the mixture in the oil expressed from the seeds of *pongamia glabra* for one day only and then place it in a covered crucible and heat in the charcoal fire," mākshika which has been identified by P. C. Ray with pyrites and vimala.<sup>70</sup> The process described in these books is similar to that of the earlier *Rasaratnākara* (II. 35-36) ascribed to Nāgārjuna. However, it is interesting to state here that according to the *Rasaratnasamuchchaya* II. 8 D, the golden pyrite was available in Kanauj.<sup>71</sup>

## Use of other metals:

Like the purification of iron, other matters like sulphur, alum or tuvari and minerals like silver, tin, lead could also be purified.<sup>72</sup> Besides these metals, mica was pressed into service. A close study of the Rasaratnasamuchchaya<sup>73</sup> reveals that there were three varieties, viz. pinākam, nāga mandukam and vajram. The speciality of mica as known to them was that mica did not combine with mercury.

Hindu alchemists could extract zinc from calamine.<sup>74</sup> The Rasaratnasamuchchaya, V. 205 and 212-216 prescribes the method of preparing alloys like Kāmsya (bell-metal) and Vartaloham.<sup>75</sup> "Kāmsya, is made by melting together eight parts of copper and two parts of tin." "Vartaloham is produced from Kāmsya, copper, pittala (brass), iron and lead." The Bhatera copper-plate of Govinda-keśava also mentions the name of one Govinda, who used to make bell-metal.

The purification of gold and other minerals or that of mercury was known to Indians for a long time. These were used for medical purposes. The earliest account of the chloride of mercury is to be found in the Rasārnava<sup>78</sup> (c. 1000-1212 A.D.). Sir P. C. Ray writes in his Hindu Chemistry that "the chlorides of mercury are found to be medicinally used from the twelfth century downwards". The Tantras

and the Nātha yogins knew and popularised the use of mercury. That mercury gave long life was not the tall claim of the Tantras alone. Foreign observers like Marco Polo believed in its efficiency. "There is another class of people called *chugi* (yogi)", writes Marco Polo, "who were indeed properly abraiman, but they form a religious order devoted to the idols. They are extremely long-lived, every one of them living to 150 or 200 years.... These people make use of a strange beverage, for they make a potion of sulphur and quicksilver mixed together, and this they drink twice every month." The famous physician Chakrapāṇi (c. 1060 A.D.) gave due recognition to the preparation of black sulphide of mercury for cure of diseases.

## Glass Industry:

The use of Kācha or glass in India is at least as old as the age of Suśruta, who prescribed that liquids and wine should be served in glass vessels. More references to glass occur in mediæval works\* of the 12th and 13th centuries. Rasārņava mentions Kācha Kupi, a glass bottle. The use of different types of glass-vessels are mentioned in the thirteenth century works on alchemy like Rasaratnākara of Nityanātha Siddha and Rasaprakāśasudhākara of Yasodhara. The Rasaratnasamuchchaya (IX. 34-36) also mentions an apparatus of glass known as Vālukāyantram³ which is described as follows: "A glass-flask with a long neck containing mercurials is wrapped with several folds of cloth smeared with clay and then dried in the sun."

#### Mirrors:

But Indians did not know the method of making lookingglasses. Brass served the purpose of mirrors. Mirrors were manufactured from eight minerals.<sup>51</sup> It appears from the

\* B. K. Sarkar in his edition of the Sukranītisāra wrongly translated kṣara, which occurs in the Sukranītisāra, IV. 2. 118 (Cal. Ed.), as glass. Kṣara means alkali (vide Ray: Hindu Chemistry, I. 30 & 38).

Yuktikalpataru that mcrcury was also one of the ingredients. The above-mentioned book also refers to three varieties of mirrors, viz. bhavya which was one-vitasti in length, vijaya which was four angulas long and broad and pauruşa on which fell the full length of a person. Even in the 17th century, when Vīramitrodaya was composed, mirrors were made of bell-metal. India began to import foreign European glasses from 1550 A.D. onwards.<sup>82</sup> So we may safely assume that the mirrors which figure in the Pāla, Khajuraho, and Bhuvanesvar sculptures were made of brass or gem.

## Tanning Industry:

How old the use of footwear is in India cannot be definitely ascertained. The Taittirīya Samhitā<sup>83</sup>, Gobhila Grihyasūtra<sup>84</sup> and Mahābhārata, Anuśāsana Parva<sup>85</sup> refer to it. Sculptural representations from Bengal, Bihar and other places<sup>86</sup> show the use of boots by Sürya and Revanta. Tanning industry must have been popular as Lakṣmīdhara speaks of the association of leather workers. The Śukranītisāra also refers to persons working with leather as deserving of the attention of the king.<sup>87</sup> Leather was also exported in large quantities from Thānā.<sup>88</sup> Marco Polo says that dressed hide was exported to Arabia and the Persian Gulf.<sup>89</sup> Cambay was one of the centres for manufacture of sandals.<sup>90</sup> Besides shoes, other articles made were bags for carrying water and bottles for keeping oils.<sup>91</sup>

## Boat-making industry:

Boat-making was one of the main industries in countries like Bengal and Kashmir, which have got a net-work of rivers. The *Charyāpadas* often explain their philosophy by using the simile of boats. A *pada* of *Kañhapāda* states that the body which is the net or Māyā should be plied in the mid-stream, and the Pañcha Tathāgata should be made an oarsman.<sup>92</sup> Some of the *Charyāpadas* also introduce us to the method of plying boats. Incidentally the life of boatmen is presented

to us in these picturesque descriptions of the Sahajia Buddhists. Sarahapāda states: "Body is boat, pure mind is its oar, the Guru's words are helm. Fix up your mind and hold the boat, in no other way can you cross the river. The carries the boat by rope; mingle yourself with Sahaja and do not go in any other different direction."93 Kamvālamvara also describes the starting of a boat. The peg of the boat has been taken out and the rope has been spread. Oh labourer, after asking the Sadguru, ply the boat. He looks around; but who can ply the boat without helm? Another song composed by Bhūsukupāda, narrates that Bhūsuku after crossing the Padma canal reached East Bengal. But Vanga (i.e., East Bengal) is merciless—whatever material was on the boat, everything was taken away by the dacoits.94

The Yuktikalpataru of Bhoja 25 acquaints us with all the necessary materials and characteristic qualities of types of boats. Boats are classified by him on the basis of woods used in them. They are four categories, viz. (a) light, soft and pieceable wood belonging to the Brahmanical class, (b) light and yet strong and not easily pieceable to the Ksatriya class, (c) soft and heavy as Vaisya and (d) strong and heavy as Śūdra. Bhoja recommends that the Ksatriya wood was the most suitable for construction of boats. Another basis of his classification is the measurement and area of boats. The ordinary types were ten in number: ksudrā, madhyamā, bhīmā, chapalā, pātāla, bhayā, dirgha, patrapuţā, garbharā and mantharā. Of these ordinary vessels journey in bhīmā, bhayā and garbharā are regarded as inauspicious. Excepting mantharā all the above-mentioned boats could cross the ocean. Bhoja also advises that the woods of ocean-going vessels are not to be joined together with iron, because magnetic iron in the sea could lead to the capsize of boats.

Contemporary inscriptions and literature also corroborate the statements of Bhoja. The Bhatera copper-plate refers to boats. In Bengal, during the days of Devapala there were officers in charge of ferries called *Tarika* and *Tarapati*. 96

Bengal merchants often went abroad. It is also well-known that the South Indians had intimate commercial and political contacts with South-East Asia, which could not have been possible without ships. Sriharşa used the term pota for sea-going vessels. Thanks a used the term pota for sea-going vessels. Charyā song no. 15 composed by Sāntipāda also refers to vessels entering the seas.

Other types of boats are also mentioned in the Yuktikalpataru. The special (viśeṣa) boats are classified in two categories, viz. dīrghā and unnatā. The former was again classified into ten sub-classes, viz. dīrghikā, taraṇī, lolā, gatvarā, gāminī, tarī, janghalā, plāvinī, dhārinī and veginī. Of these the use of lolā, gāminī and plāvinī has been regarded as troublesome. He also describes boats with cabins and without cabins. The boats with cabins are known as sarvamandirā, madhyamandirā and agramandirā on the basis of the position of the cabin in front, the rear or the whole of it. The first type sarvamandirā was used by kings and queens, the second one by the sovereign during rainy season and the third one for military expeditions.

Contemporary literature and inscriptions show the value of boats in wars. The Kamauli grant of Vaidyadeva, the commentary of the Rāmacharita refer to naval engagements and to the crossings of rivers by the Pāla army. Boats were used in a military expedition of Vijayasena. The Madanpur plate of Śrīchandra also refers to ardhanauvāṭaka and nauvāṭaka, which mean a fleet of boats and half a division of fleet. Beens that only by the use of 1400 war-boats Sultān Mahmūd could defeat the Jats in 1026 A.D. In Kashmir, Sujji could win the battle of Gambhīrā by erecting a boat-bridge. Boats are essential for crossing the rivers in Kashmir. The making of boat-bridges over Vitastā is as old as the time of Pravarasena II. The importance of boats as the ordinary means of travel in the Kashmirian valley is evident from frequent references to river journeys in the Rājataraṅgiṇi. 104

It is interesting to note here that something like modern oceanic vessels with several floors and facilities like lounge, playgrounds etc. are mentioned in Kālidāsa's Raghuvamśa<sup>105</sup> and Śrīmad Bhāgavata<sup>106</sup>. These are called Nauvimāna. Neither inscriptions nor technical literature acquaint us with such pleasure-boats. They may be poetic fancies. Dr. Radhakumud Mookerjee is the first Indian historian to draw the attention of the scholarly world to the shipping industry in ancient India.

## Wooden furniture:

Household commodities made of wood were, as in olden times, chairs, couch and bedsteads. The Yuktikaipataru mentions chairs made of metal, stone, and wood. The varieties of woods used were: gāmbhāri, vanasa, chandana, bakula trees etc. The pīthas called śubhasangaka, jaya, sukha, jaraka, were used during royal coronation. Bhoja also gives detailed information about the types of planks of wood used for manufacturing the bedstead. There were eight types of bedsteads, viz. mangalā, vijayā, puṣṭi, kṣamā, tuṣṭi, sukhāsana, pracaṇḍā and sarvatobhadrā, each of which differed from the other in size. The Rājataraṅginī mentions cotton-padded couch. 107

# Soap and soap-nuts:

A scholarly account of the use of soap and soap-nuts in ancient and mediæval India has been given by Gode. As early as the Manusmṛti<sup>109</sup> and Yājāavalkya<sup>110</sup> various types of woollen or silken goods were washed with ariṣṭa or soapberry tree, bel fruit or ūṣah and kṣāranṛttikā or saline earth. According to Dalhana of Kashmir (c. 1100 A.D.) saline earth could be found in plenty at Badataradeśa near Benares. Phenaka, a soap-like substance began to be used by men of fashion in the days of Vātsyāyana. By the time of Aparāka, the following things were used for cleaning clothes: hot water, ashes, powder of wheat, kalāya, māṣa, masūra and mudga pulses, cowdung, salt, earth, gosakrīdabhasma, sand, alkaline water, asmapragharṣaṇa and gomūtrakṣāra. Silvery water was

to be used for woollen and silken garments and gold-water for kṣauma goods. Exposure to air, fire, moon's and sun's rays was recommended in case of costly woollen garments and also the use of soap-nuts, inguda, rice (tandula), and mustard.<sup>111</sup>

#### Ornaments .

Arab travellers like Sulaiman and Abu Zeid of the ninth century found men and women using gold bracelets adorned with precious stones.

Precious ear-rings and collars were worn by kings and the grandees of courts. 112 Describing the ornaments used by kings, Abu Zeid writes: "They (i.e., the kings of the Indies) wear also collars of great price, adorned with precious stones of diverse colours, but especially green and red; yet pearls are what they most esteem; and their value surpasses that of all other jewels.... The grandees of their court, the great officers and captains wear the like jewels in their colours."113 Many ornaments are referred to in the Deopara inscription of Vijavasena and the Najhati plate of Vallalasena. The former mentions jewels, necklaces, ear-rings, anklets and gold bracelets.114 Rāmacharita115, Trisastisalākāpurusacharita 116, Rājataranginī<sup>117</sup>, Samayamātrikā<sup>118</sup> and Naisadhacharita<sup>119</sup> cribe various types of ornaments. The terracotta figures discovered at Raighat. Baranasi show how the hairs of ladies were tied with pearl festoons. 120 Ratnakundala was a popular ornament in Bengal.<sup>121</sup> The Khajuraho sculptures show that keyura, nūpura and graivyeka necklace were extensively used. O. C. Gangoly has shown that these sculptures demonstrate the wearing of various kinds of ornaments by females on their forehead, arms, wrists and ears. 122 In the Desīnāmamālā of Hemachandra we have the names of the following ornaments: ear ornaments, rings, necklace and head ornament called chālvāso.123 Besides these, ornaments like ullarayam made of cowries and Tagnam, a bracelet made of strings as used by poorer people are also mentioned by Hemachandra. 124

The high degree of skill attained by jewellers is amply testified by the intricate designs manifested in the necklaces and ear-rings in Khajuraho sculptures. Pārvatī and Lakṣmī wear a necklace arranged in rectangular slabs. An Apsarā with a mirror in the Kandariya Mahādeo temple wears a tyre-shaped necklace and the Flying Deva in the Dulādeo temple is seen with a necklace whose rectangular blocks are long. As regards ear ornaments, the Apsarā of the Pārśvanath temple, wears a heavy ornament, where a bud appears below the car and below the bud a sun-flower-like ear-ring hangs. The Apsarā with a mirror in the Kāli temple has an ear-ring, which is a round pressed square-block. Another Apsarā with a scorpion in the Kandariya Mahādeo temple has an ear-ring whose flowers are entwined.

Contemporary inscriptions and literature refer to the following industries also: machinery for drawing water, <sup>125</sup> for extraction of oil, <sup>126</sup> for pressing barley, <sup>127</sup> equipments for catching fishes, <sup>128</sup> conchshell, <sup>129</sup> construction of chariots, stone-cutting, enamelling of metals, pottery, <sup>130</sup> polishing earthen, wooden, stone and metallic vessels, making of watches and musical instruments, roaps and saddles, flaying of hides, canework <sup>131</sup> dyeing of clothes, <sup>132</sup> ivory-works, <sup>133</sup> silver products <sup>134</sup> etc. The casting of metallic images was another important industry in India. Its centres, however, are not known to us. But it is certain from the findspot of images of Nalanda and Kurkihar that bronze industry was in a flourishing condition in South Bihar. Soon after the Turko-Afghan invasion, the bronze industry seems to have disappeared from the region in the thirteenth century.

## Organisation of Guilds:

The artisans and craftsmen engaged in different industries generally carried on their work in their own cottages. Some of them, however, had a number of apprentices under them. These apprentices learnt the craft under the master's care and supervision. He had to provide them with food and

lodging, but no remuneration had to be paid for the output turned out by the apprentices. As all classes of people were habituated to live in joint families and as five to ten adult male members very often lived in one family, the industrial units in the eleventh and twelfth centuries need not be considered as run by one or two personally. People belonging to the same vocational caste used to reside together in a village or in a quarter of the town.

From time immemorial the artisans and craftsmen carried on their work under the control of their śrenīs or corporations. Each craft or industry had its own corporations, but these bodies were local in character. There is no evidence to show that the vocational corporations or śrenīs of villages were united in district councils or that the latter sent representatives to the regional or state councils. The local associations, however, in big cities or capital towns wielded considerable power.

Medhātithi commenting on Manu VIII. 41 states that śrenīs are formed by artisans, tradesmen, money-lenders, coach-drivers and so forth. Vijñāneśvara in explaining Yājñavalkya II. 30 specifically mentions the śrenī of weavers, shoemakers, betel-sellers etc. Devannabhatta also defines śrenīs as guilds of craftsmen like weavers. Alberuni states that eight classes of people, namely, the fullers, shoe-makers, jugglers, basket and shield-makers, sailors, fishermen, hunters of wild animals and birds and weavers formed guilds. The Tailika śrenī or guild of oil-pressers are and gardeners are referred to in contemporary inscriptions.

Lakṣmīdhara<sup>139</sup>, Aparārka<sup>140</sup> and Devaṇṇabhaṭṭa<sup>141</sup> all quote a passage of Bṛihaspati which states that the śreṇīs, as well as gaṇas and village associations, may make certain agreements which must be binding on all the members. The old law-givers like Yājnavalkya and Nārada lay down that it is the duty of the king to prevent the breach of conventions of śreṇī, pūga, naigama etc.<sup>142</sup> These clearly show that the guilds had some power to make laws relating to the

conduct of their business. They had also some judicial powers. Aparārka quotes Brihaspati to show that the heads of guilds may reprimand and condemn wrong-doers and may also excommunicate them. 143 It is, however, stipulated in the *Sukranītisāra* that cases of theft and robbery are to be decided by the king alone and not by the guilds. 144

The Smṛtichandrikā declares that when samāhas like śreṇīs fail to decide cases unanimously, they should appoint an executive committee consisting of two three or five  $k\bar{a}ya-chintakas$  and  $hitavādins.^{145}$  The heads of important guilds commanded great authority and respect. The Deopara inscription states that Śūlapāṇi who was the head of the artisans of Varendra (North Bengal), received from the king the tittle of  $R\bar{a}naka.^{146}$ 

#### REFERENCES TO CHAPTER IX

## (Industrics and their Organisation)

- 1 Kautilya, II. 2.
- 2 Periplus (ed. Schoff), p. 47.
- 3 HIED, I. 361.
- 4 Ibid., I. 14.
- 5 Marco Polo (ed. Yule, II. 115).
- 6 JRAS 1895, pp. 531-32.
- 7 Periplus, pp. 34, 42, 43.
- 8 Marco Polo, II, 391.
- 9 Maitrāyani Samhitā, III. 6. 7.
- 10 Kşirasvāmin's Com., II. 6. 113.
- 11 Amarakosa, II. 9. 20.
- 12 Nandan's Commentary on Manu. Il. 41.
- 13 Kauţilya, II. 2.
- 14 Yāj., II. 6.
- 15 Amarakoşa, II. VI, 3. 14.
- 16 Kuttanimatam (ed. T. Ray), verse 66, p. 12.
- 17 Ibid., verse 344, p. 62.
- 18 Naisadhacharita, XXI. 2.
- 19 Gītagovinda, I. 44; 11, 12.
- 20 Charyāpada (ed. Sen), No. 50.
- 21 Sadukti., III. 17. 2.
- 22 RT, VII. 300.
- 23 RT. VIII. 93.
- 24 Gītagovinda, V. 11.

- 25 Medinīkoşa.
- 26 Samayamātrikā, 8. 124.
- 27 Chullavagga (ed. Rhys Davids), V. 13, 3.
- 28 Bhāratīya Vidyā, VII, pp. 279-288.
- 29 Vaijayantī (ed. Oppert), p. 168.
- 30 Rāmāyaņa, Ayodhyākānda XX, 45. 23. Bṛhatsamhitā, 72. 922-924.
  - 31 Kramrisch, S: Pāla and Sena sculptures, figs. 29 and 51.
  - 32 Yuktikalpataru, Ch. XXXVI, pp. 62-63.
  - 33 IA. XII, p. 202, verse 27.
  - 34 HB, I. 656.
  - 35 Irda, Rampal and Belava grants.
  - 36 DHNI, II. 1066.
  - 37 1A. 16. 206.
  - 38 El. X. 99.
  - 39 El. XXI. 96.
  - 40 HIED, II. 44
- 41 Graves in Journal Iron and Steel Institute (1912), LXXXV, No. 1, pp. 200-202.
  - 42 Asiatic Researches XV, p. 330.
  - 43 Brown, Percy: Ind. Architecture, p. 127.
  - 44 Rasārņava, IV. 7.
  - 45 Rasaratnasamuchchaya, X. 5. 6.
  - 46 IB, III. 123 and 128.
  - 47 Sachau I. p. 61.
  - 48 Sukranîtisăra (ed. Cal.) II, 196-197.
  - 49 HIED II, p. 33.
  - 50 Itid., p. 227.
  - 51 Yuktikalpataru, p. 170, verses 24-29.
  - 52 SP. verses 4672-4679.
  - 53 Quoted by Niharranjan Roy: Vāngālīr Itihāsa, p. 182.
  - 54 Agni Purāṇa (Vangabāsī), p. 475, Ch. 245. 21-22.
  - 55 Yuktikalpataru, p. 170, verse 27.
  - 56 SP. Verse 4676; Nitikalpataru (ed. BORI), pp. 210f.
  - 57 Agni Purāṇa, Ch. 245, verses 25-26, p. 475.
  - 58 SP. Verse 4658, 4666.
  - 59 Rasaratnasamuchchaya V, verses '11-72.
  - 60 History of Hindu Chemistry, pp. 59-60.
  - 61 *Ibid.*, pp. 62-63.
  - 62 Rasaratnasamuchchaya V. 113-114.
  - 63 Periplus, p. 36.
  - 64 Marco Polo 11. 395.
  - 65 History of Hindu Chemistry, p. 107.
  - 66 Ibid., II, p. 126.
  - 67 Ibid. I. p. 58.
  - 68 Ibid I, p. 62.

- 69 Ibid., pp. 86-87.
- 70 Ibid. pp. 70 and 84.
- 71 Ibid., Sanskrit texts, p. 26.
- 72 Rasaratnasmuchchaya III. 12-15, 24-25, 59; V. 32-34, 154-156, 176-179: Rasaprakāśasudhākara of Yaśodhara, Ch. X.
  - 73 Rasaratnasamuchchaya, II. 5, 14.
  - 74 Ibid., quoted in Hindu Chemistry, Vol. II, pp. 17, 18, 22.
  - 75 Ibid. I, p. 114.
  - 76 Rasārņava, XI. 24.
  - 77 Hindu Chemistry, I, p. 250.
  - 78 Marco Polo II, p. 300: Sastri: Foreign Notices, p. 177.
  - 79 Gode in Bhāratīya Vidyā, VII (1946) pp. 148-160.
  - 80 Hindu Chemistry, I, p. 123.
  - 81 Yuktikalpataru, Vitanalaksanam, Verse 10.
  - 82 Bhāratīya Vidyā, VII, p. 159.
  - 83 Taittīrīyasamhitā, V. 44; VI. 6.6.1.
  - 84 Gobhila Gr. III. 1.6.
  - 85 Mbh. Anu., Ch. 95.
  - 86 H.B.I., 457-458; Vāṅgālīr Itihāsa, p. 554.
  - 87 Sukranītisāra (ed. Cal.), II. 202.
  - 88 Marco Polo, II. 395.
  - 89 Ibid., II. 393.
  - 90 Masudi (ed. Ferand), 95.
  - 91 Desīnāmamālā, III. 21; V. 22.
  - 92 Bauddha Gāna O' Dohā, Pada 13.
  - 93 *Ihid.*, Pada 38.
  - 94 Ibid., Pada 49.
  - 95 Yuktikalpataru, Ch. 120-21, pp. 224-229.
  - 96 Sen. B. C.: Historical Aspects of Bengal Ins., p. 541.
  - 97 Naisadhacharita, 2. 60.
  - 98 Com. of RC, II. 10.
  - 99 Deopara Ins., Verse 22.
  - 100 El. XXVIII, 55-56.
  - 101 HIED (ed. Aligarh), Vol. II. p. 478.
  - 102 RT, VIII. 1502.
  - 103 Ibid, III. 354.
- 104 Ibid., V. 84; VII. 347; 714, 1628.
- 105 Raghuvamsam, 16. 68.
- 106 Śrīmad Bhāgavata, III. 23, 16-21.
- 107 RT. VIII. 2145.
- 108 Poona Orientalist. XI (1946), pp. 1-21.
- 109 Manu, V. 120.
- 110 Yāi, I. 186-187.
- 111 Poona Orientalist, XI, p. 17.
- 112 Ancient Accounts of India and China, p. 36.
- 113 *Ibid.*, pp. 98-99.

### 214 SOCIO-ECONOMIC HISTORY OF NORTHERN INDIA

- 114 Deopara Ins. Verse 11 in IB III.
- 115 RC, III 33-34.
- 116 Trishastisalākā purusa-charita, I. 229.
- 117 RT, VIII, 2833, 2835.
- 118 Samayamātrikā, VII. 14-17.
- 119 Naisadhacharita, I. 38, VII. 80, IX. 104, X. 116, XV. 33.
- 120 JUPHS, XIV. 4.
- 121 Kramrisch, S: Pāla and Sena Sculptures, Fig. 39.
- 122 The Art of the Chandellas, Plates 10, 11, 19, 22 and 30.
- 123 Desīnāmamālā, III. 8.
- 124 Ibid., I. 110; V. 1.
- 125 RT, VII. 1232.
- 126 EI. XXVIII. 244; XXIII. 139.
- 127 El. X. 51.
- 128 JASB XVII, 145-162.
- 129 Fl. XXVIII. 244; XXII. 139; XXIII. 141; Naisadhacharita XII. 35; XV. 45.
  - 130 Sukranītisāra (ed. Cal.), II. 197-98.
  - 131 Ibid., IV. 3. 83-84, 86, 90, 94.
  - 132 Desīnāmamālā, I. 98; and Sukranītisāra, IV. III. 85.
  - 133 El IX, Bhatera CP., line 51.
- 134 EI, XXI. 96.
- 135 Sm. C. II, p. 223.
- 136 Sachau I, p. 101.
- 137 EI. XXIII, p. 138, lines 16-17.
- 138 El. I, pp. 154 ff and 285,
- 139 Devanuabhatta II. 223 mentions a variation of reading in Kalpataru.
  - 140 Aparārka, pp. 792-93.
  - 141 Sm. C. II, 222-23.
  - 142 Yāi, II. 192 and Nārada, Samyasyānapākarma 2.
- 143 Aparārka, p. 794.
- 144 Šukranītisāra (ed. Cal.), IV. 5. 30.
- 145 Sm. C. II, p. 224.
- 146 IB. III, p. 49.

### CHAPTER X

# Currency, Banking and Usury

The plunder of accumulated gold and silver on an extensive scale in cities like Kanauj, Mathura and Somanatha by Sultan Mahmud could not but produce an adverse effect on the currency system in Northern India. Gold had gone out of currency as a result of the large-scale devastation of the country by the Hūnas. Excepting Kashmir, it took nearly five hundred years to make arrangement for issuing gold coins after the fall of the Gupta empire. The credit of resurrecting gold currency goes to Gangeyadeva, who ascended the throne of Tripuri some time before 1019 A.D. He must have issued these in his kingdom when other parts of India were being devastated by Sultan Mahmud. Varanasi, which had recently been incorporated by him in his own kingdom, was denuded of its riches by Ahmad Nivaltigin, Governor of the Punjab under the ruler of Ghazni. The general impoverishment of the country prevented Gangeyadeva's successors from continuing the issue of gold currency\*.1 King Harsa (1089-1101 A.D.) was the only king of Kashmir during our period to issue gold and silver coins.

In the second-half of the eleventh century A.D. Kīrttivarman issued Half-drammas weighing 31 grains only.<sup>3</sup> Amongst his successors Madanavarman issued Quarter-Drammas and Paramardī full Dramma. weighing 61.64 grains. Pṛthvīdeva, Jājalladeva and Ratnadeva II belonging to the Kalachuri dynasty of Ratanpur issued gold coins weighing 13 to 60 grains.<sup>4</sup> The only Paramāra ruler to issue gold coin was Udayāditya, who ruled over parts of Central and Northern India between 1060 and 1087<sup>5</sup> A.D. A large number of gold coins of Govindachandra

<sup>\*</sup> Prof. Mirashi suggests that probably Karna struck the eight gold coins which bear the name of Gängeyadeva and have been discovered in the village of Isurpur, Tahsil Rehli, Saugor district, on the ground that they differ considerably from other coins of Gängeyadeva.<sup>2</sup>

Gāhadavāla has been discovered. These usually weigh 59 to 68 grains. The only ruler belonging to the Chaulukya dynasty of Gujarata whose gold coins have been discovered is Jayasimha Siddharāja, two of whose gold coins have been found in the Jhansi district of the U. P.6 Speaking of the gold coins issued by the Chandellas, Kalachuris of Tripuri and Ratanpur, Tomaras, and Rathors, Dr. S. N. Chakravarti observes: "The metal is generally very much debased". Tr. Altekar draws attention to the depreciation of the gold coins in weight from 120 grains to 60 grains in the gold coins of Northern India in the eleventh and twelfth centuries.8 He also shows that silver coinage of the period was about ten grains lighter. Prthvīrāja III (c. 1177-1192 A.D.) issued silver and billon coins, whose weight varied from 47 to 53 grains. Dr S. N. Chakravarty observes that on account of the scarcity of silver, "the Rajput states were forced to use billon as a substitute of silver". According to Cunningham, "the Billon coins of mixed silver and copper which were adopted by all the later kings or Tomaras and Chauhans, are called Diliwals or Dilials by the early Muhammadan writers". 10 The weight of copper coins of Govindachandra preserved in the Indian Museum weigh 37 to 49 grains, while those issued by the Tomaras and Chahamanas are in the neighbourhood of 50 grains, in place of the ancient Indian standard Kārsāpana of 146 grains.

The standard money in many parts of Northern India appears to have been *Dramma*. The Gwalior inscription of Bhojadeva of Kanaui, dated 875 A.D., the Pehoa, Asni and Sivadoni inscriptions of the tenth century.<sup>11</sup> the stone-inscription of Aśvāka dated 1143 A.D.,<sup>12</sup> the Kiradu stone-inscription of Alhanadeva, dated VS 1209/1152 A.D.,<sup>13</sup> the Nadol plates of Prince Kīrtipāla dated 1160 A.D.,<sup>14</sup> and the Besani<sup>15</sup> and Jaunpur<sup>16</sup> inscriptions of 1207 and 1216 A.D. refer to *Drammas*. The most interesting of these from the standpoint of history of currency is the Siyadoni inscription, which mentions four varieties of *Drammas* and four other varieties of

namely, Pañchiyaka-dramma, Vigrahapāla-dramma, Vigrahapāla-satka-dramma, Śrimadādiyarāha-dramma, Varāhakāyavirnsopaka, Kākinī, Varātaka and Kapardaka "The Pañchiyaka dramma", according to P. N. Bhattacharyya. "appears to have been a piece of 5 Boddikas. As a Boddika weighs 11.2 grains, a Panchiyaka could weigh only 56 grains."17 Hemachandra mentions Boddia as a coin, and this may be the same as Boddikas. Dr. V. S. Agrawala equates a Boddika with the old Bengali term of accounting called Budi, which consists of 5 Gandās or 20 Cowries or quarter-Pana.18 Cunningham takes Boddika as a corrupt form of Pādika which means one-fourth. He, of course, treats a Boddika as a quarter of silver Purāna. A copper Boddika<sup>19</sup> could be equal to twenty Cowries, but not a silver Boddika. Dr. Altekar in explaining the Shergadh (Kotah) inscription of V.S. 1075 also points out that the term Vodri means one-fourth of the copper Pana and was equivalent to twenty Cowries.20 The Pañchivakadramma, therefore, was equal to one hundred Cowries. The Vigrahapāla-dramma weighed 67.2 grains which is of the same weight as the Sadboddika-dramma mentioned in the Jaunpur inscription. It was equal to 6 Boddikas or 120 Cowries. The weight of a gold Srīmadādivarāha-dramma was about 63 grains. It was, therefore, of a little higher value than a Pañchiyaka and a little lower than the Vigrahapāladramma.

A Kapardaka is the same as Kapadi as mentioned in the Bilhari inscription. It has been translated as one Cowrie shell by R. D. Banerji. It has got, therefore, the same meaning as the Varātaka. According to the Ganitasāra, 20 Varātakas or Cowrie shells make one Kāgeni or Kākinī. Laksmīdhara states that it is well-known that 4 Kākinīs make one Pana and 16 Panas are equivalent to one Purāna. The term Purāna is frequently found in the Sena inscriptions. It means therefore, 20 Varātakas  $\times$  4 Kākinīs  $\times$  16 Panas = 1280 Cowries. This is also the finding of Dr. N. K. Bhattasali, who equates Kāhana and Kārsāpana with Kapardaka

Purāṇa.<sup>23</sup> He seems to have followed the lead of Thomas, who states that 16 Paṇas were equal to 1 Kāhāwan or Kārṣā-paṇa of silver.<sup>24</sup>

Stein has reconstituted the following table for calculating the currency of Kashmir, after taking into consideration all the literary references in Kashmirian literature and also the account of Abul Fazl

Designation.

Equivalent values on Abul Fazl's estimate.

- 12 Dīnnāras = 1 Dvādaša (Bāhgani) 1/8th Dām or 1/320 rupee. 2 Dvādaša = 25 Dīnnāras (Pañchavinsatika) 1/4th Dām or 1/360 rupee.
  - 4 Pañchavimsatika = 100 Dinnāras (1 Sata, 1 Dām or 1/40th rupee. Hāth)
- 10 Sata = 1000 Dīnnāras (l Sahasra, 10 Dām or  $\frac{1}{4}$  rupee.  $S\bar{a}s\bar{u}n$ )
- 100 Sahasra = 100,000 Dīnnāras (1 Lakṣa, lākh)
   25 rupees.

   100 Lakṣa = 10,000 Dīnnāras (1 Koti, crore)
   2500 rupees.

Speaking of the traditional systems of counting current upto 1892 A.D. he states:

- 1 Bāhgan or 12 Dīnnāras = 8 Cowries.
- 1 Pūntshu or 25 Dīnnāras =16 Cowries.

He further observes: "As 4  $P\bar{u}ntshus$  go to the  $H\bar{u}th$ , which is represented now by the pice or 1/64 of a rupee. it follows that  $16 \times 4 \times 64 = 4096$  Cowries are, or were, until quite recently reckoned in the rupee". It may be noted here that the Kashmirian  $D\bar{v}nn\bar{u}ra$  was lower in value than the Cowrie as 8 Cowries were equal to 12 Dinnāras. It was difficult to import and transport Cowries to Kashmir and this is why its price was higher there.

Some inscriptions refer to a coin called Gādhaiyā or Gadyānas. These are identified by Cunningham with Sadbod-dikka-drammas of Jaunpur inscription.

In spite of gold, silver, buillion and copper currency, the masses kept their account and transacted business in *Cowries*. We have already noted the use of *Cowrie* in the Siyadoni inscription and in the Bilhari inscription, which records the receipt of one *Kapardī* from each shop by the Saiva ascetics.<sup>26</sup>

Ksemendra in his Samayamātrikā<sup>27</sup> refers to a miserly merchant who sends his contribution to a dinner party one Tolā of oil, two Tolās of salt and two Śvetakas or Cowries for vegetables. In his Kalāvilāsa<sup>28</sup> he relates the story of another merchant who sent three Cowries every evening to his house for daily expenses. Kalhana speaks of a favourite of King Sangrāmadeva who started his life with a Varāṭaka or Cowrie and finally amassed a crorc.<sup>29</sup> From all these Stein concludes "that the Cowree was from early times used as a monetary token in Kashmir as elsewhere in India".<sup>30</sup> He further observes that "gold and silver cannot have formed in Hindu times an important part of the actual coined currency in Kashmir".<sup>31</sup>

A similar statement may be made with regard to the currency in Bengal. The Charyāpadas refer to the use of Kāvaḍi and Voḍi.<sup>32</sup> Minhāj states that when the Muhammedans for the first time came to Bengal they noticed no silver currency in the country but found the people using Cowrie shells in economic transactions.<sup>33</sup> The land-grants of the Sena period speak of Purāṇa and Kapardaka-Purāṇas indeed, but Dr. S. K. Chakravarti suggests that these were a mere abstract unit of account and that "payments were made in Cowries and a certain number of them came to be equated to the silver coin, the Purāṇa'.<sup>34</sup> Chandeśvara quotes Hārita in his Grihastharatnākara (Page 447) to show that at the rate of 8 Paṇas monthly interest on 25 Purāṇas, the principal would be doubled in 4 years and 2 months.

There was a severe paucity of coined money in Orissa. Some of the land grants of the Somavamssi rulers and the Talcher copper-plates of Gayadatunga refer to rent in certain quantities of silver measured in *Palas*, *Māsas* and *Gunjās*. The daily transactions were certainly carried on there in *Cowries*.

A close study of inscriptions, however, reveals that bigger transactions used to be carried on in many parts of Northern India in gold and silver. Even a remote corner of Eastern India like Assam used gold coins, as is testified to by the grant of 900 gold coins to a Brāhmana of Varendrī by King

Jayapāla of Kamarupa,<sup>36</sup> who flourished in the eleventh century A.D. An inscription of the reign of Bhīma II of Gujarat, dated V.S. 1264/1207-08 A.D. shows that the Pratīhāra (doorkeeper) Sakhada gave to one Rauta Uchchadeva together with his sons and grandsons, one *Dramma* yearly and one *Rūpaka* daily to some other persons.<sup>37</sup> This shows that the *Dramma* referred to above was gold *Dranma* and that *Rupaka* was apparently made of silver.

The relative value of gold, silver and copper must have differed from one state to another, on account of the availability or otherwise of one metal in terms of others. Theoretically, 1 part of gold was equal to 16 parts of silver according to the twelfth-century mathematician Bhaskarāchārya, who states that 16 silver *Drammas* were equal to a golden *Niska*. The same ratio is also prescribed in the Sukranītisāra, which states that gold is 16 times dearer than silver.39 work states that silver is 80 times dearer than copper.40 Dr. Altekar has shown however, that according to Bhaskarachārya and the Medinikosa one silver Dramma was equal to 16 copper Panas. He further observes: "The silver unit in the currency at the time of Bhāskarāchārya was of about 50 grs.; and allowing for an alloy of 20%, the data in Līlāvatī would show that 40 grains of silver were equal in value to 16×140 grs, of copper. The ratio in the prices of the two metals would thus be 1: 56." This is a much higher ratio than the one mentioned by Sukra. We, however, do not know what the unit of the copper currency was actually in vogue in the time of Līlāvatī. If we assume that it was a Pana of 20 and not 16 Māshas, then the ratio between the prices of the two metals would be about 1: 70."41

## Banking:

Merchants and manufacturers used to keep deposits of customers with them. We have not been able to find out any epigraphic evidence of the existence in Northern India of any guild accepting the deposits on promise to pay interest during

the eleventh and twelfth centuries A.D. The Siyadoni inscription records that in Samvat 969/912 A.D., the merchant Nāgāka gave to a deity the capital of 1350 Srīmadādivarāha-drammas which had been invested with the distillers of spirituous liquor.42 The Turkish raids, almost interminable warfare between the North Indian states and the frequent wars of succession which characterised the period under review, must have adversely affected the position of indigenous bankers. The old lawgivers state that if the deposit be lost through act of God or the King or is stolen by thieves, the person who has accepted the deposit is not to be made liable to return them.<sup>43</sup> We may presume that in troublous period between 1030 and 1194 A.D. many such deposits were either plundered by invaders or taken away by the King for reasons of state. There are definite indications to show that the supply of capital was inadequate to meet the demand and, therefore, concessions had to be made in favour of the owners of capital to induce them to invest it in trade and industry, and also lend it for consumption purposes.

According to Manu the property which is mortgaged on the condition that in lieu of interest the fruits or profits of it are to be enjoyed by the creditor cannot be sold or gifted away by the latter even after a long time.<sup>44</sup> Medhātithi in explaining this verse says that the creditor cannot sub-mortgage such a property. But after a lapse of some three or four hundred years from the time of Medhātithi, Kullūkabhaṭṭa notes that it is a common practice in all countries for a mortgagee to execute a sub-mortgage of land. Capital could not be locked up indefinitely for a long time and so provision had to be made for making it available to the creditor by allowing him to sub-mortgage the property.

Kane has pointed out that Vijñāneśvara in explaining  $Y\bar{a}j\tilde{n}avalkya$  II.64 states that if the income from a mortgaged property is not sufficient to meet the interest wholly then the debtor may have to pay the principal and the unpaid part of the debt before redeeming his property.<sup>45</sup> This again shows that on account of scarcity of loanable funds, which are now

technically called liquid assets, a rate of interest was fixed even in such cases of loan in which the creditor was given, the right cases of loan in which the creditor was given, the right to enjoy the produce of the mortgaged property and that if he found its value less than that of stipulated rate of interest, he could charge the balance on the debtor. This was highly prejudicial to the debtor, because the creditor might not have applied sufficient doses of labour and capital to the land mortgaged or given inadequate food to the milch-cow pledged.

Manu and Gautama have distinctly laid down that "the amount of principal and interest recoverable at one time in a lump sum cannot be more than double of the money lent". 46 But Vijnāneśvara in explaining Yājnāvalkya II. 39 stipulates certain conditions under which it is possible for the creditor to realise more than double of the amount lent. Of these the most important is that if the interest is received every day, month or year and is not claimed in a lump sum at one time then the total interest received by a creditor may be even several times more than the principal lent. Interest was certainly collected by the creditor periodically in most cases and in all these cases the law of damdupat could be evaded intentionally.

Merchants sometimes proved dishonest in their dealings with depositors. Kalhana relates a story of such a merchant, who had taken a deposit of one lakh of Dīnnāras from a customer. The deposit lay with him for a period of twenty or thirty years, during which the customer used to take out small amounts for his expenditure. When he asked for the return of the balance, the merchant made an absurd and fraudulent statetment from his account book as follows: "...600 (Dīnnāras) have been taken by you for tolls in crossing the bridge. A hundred was given to the leather worker for the repair of a torn shoe and of a whip. For 50 (your) servant-girl took ghee against a blister on the foot. From pity you gave 300 to a potter-woman who was crying over her broken load of pots. Look again and again, here they are put down on the birch-bark. For a 100 you have

brought from the market mice and fish-juice to feed tenderly the kittens of the cat. For seven hundreds were bought butter as an ointment for the feet as well as riceflower, ghee and honey on the occasion of the baths of the Śrāddha fortnight. Your little boy took honey and ginger when suffering from an attack of cough.... In order to get rid of an obstinate beggar who tore his testicles and was expert in assaults, you gave him 300. For the incense, the roots of the Sauda plant and the onions (presented) to the Gurus, at an average (estimate) of the whole cost one hundred or two must be counted." He ultimately claimed that interest must be paid on these advances as the total of these sums, according to his reckoning exceeded the amount of the original deposit. The merchant speaks of the deposit as a closed one bearing no interest. King Uchchala. however, decided that as the deposited amount was used by the merchant it must bear interest. We have made this lengthy quotation because here Kalhana draws a realistic picture of the Kashmirian society in the twelfth century. He makes a sweeping remark regarding the character of indigenous bankers as follows: "A merchant in a law-suit relating to the embezzlement of a deposit is more to be dreaded than a tiger; because he shows a face smooth as oil, uses his voice but very little and shows a gentle appearance".47

Yājūavalkya<sup>18</sup> has laid down that if the depositee makes use of the article deposited without the permission of the depositor, he should be fined and made to return the deposit with interest. But Chaṇḍeśvara states that if the deposit is not sealed and if the depositee uses it for sometime and then replaces it, no blame or liability attaches to him.<sup>49</sup>

A highly interesting document relating to the use of *Hundis* in the eleventh century A.D. has been quoted from Kşemendra's *Lokaprakāśa* by Stein. It relates to a transaction for a sum of 10,000 *Dīnnāras*,\* which is payable at

<sup>\*</sup> The Huṇḍikā torm runs as follows:
deyam śrī prāpte sati việaye Jayananeya dām (ara) amukenā-

Jayavana, modern Zevan, within a period of one year. The Lokaprakāśa, which was originally written by Kşemendra and later on supplemented by various other persons belonging to the Hindu period of Kashmir, uses the terms, dinnarojjamachirikā or acknowledgment of a debt in Dīnnāra or cash. acknowledgment of dhānyojiāmachirikā or debt rice and bhāndoijāmachirikā or acknowledgment debt on pawn.51 In his Samayamātrikā too we come across the term *Ujjāmappatrikā*, meaning a document acknowledging the receipt of a loan.<sup>52</sup> It was usual, therefore, to have documents relating to a loan transaction. Yājñavalkya and Nārada prescribe that the creditor must either write on the reverse of the document or issue separate receipts for the part payment made to him by the debtor.53 The Jaunpur brick-inscription dated 1217 A.D. shows that one Gangadeva borrowed 2250 Drammas on the security of cultivated lands and attested his own signature on the inscribed bricks.<sup>54</sup> Unscrupulous money-lenders used to take advantage of the illiteracy of debtors. Damodaragupta relates that Hara used to write ten times the amount lent.55

The merchants used to borrow capital from indigenous bankers just as they do at present. The rate of interest charged was much heavier in the eleventh and twelfth centuries A.D. Both Lakşmidhara and Chaṇḍeśvara quote with approval the time honoured rate of 1/80th of the principal lent as interest per month on secured debts. This means that the principal was doubled in 6 years and 8 months, or that it yielded an interest of 15 per cent. The Mahājanas of Sivapura paid 25% interest on the deposit of 6 Gadyānas. This is nearly the same rate as the rate of 2% per month prescribed by Lakşmīdhara and Chaṇḍesvara on loans with-

mukaputrena kum vā neśāne sati dharmatah dīnnāra-sahasrašake aṅke di 10,000 etc. aīnārāadyārbhya samvatsaraṃ tāvat/prāptalābhād/dī (nnāra) sahasraekam nyāyaprāvaparihāre sati ruddhā nibandham nyāyatāndatayā (?) yasya hasteyaṃ huṇḍikū tasyaivam.

out pledge or mortgage bandhaka-rahita.58 Alberuni writes59. "The usury or taking percentages is forbidden... only to the Śūdra. It is allowed to take percentages, as long as his profit is not more than one-fiftieth of the capital" (i.e., not more than 2 %). This was the ordinary rate for perfectly secure debts. But Vijnänesvara upholds the charging of a rate of 10 % per month or 120% per year from merchants who had to traverse dense forests for carrying on trade; seafaring traders being charged double this rate. He adduces the argument that these exorbitant rates are allowed because of the great danger of the loss of even the principal as the debtors may perish by shipreck or from the attacks of robbers and wild beasts. This apprehension of losing the principal shows that there were persons and institutions to advance money to traders engaged in risky trades on personal security, because had there been sufficient security, the question of losing the principal would not have arisen at all.

It was customary to lend not only money but also various articles of consumption like grains, fruits, ghee, milk, woollen, silken and cotton clothes, salt, oils, wines, gur, yarn and leather. Chandesvara in his Vivādaratnākara<sup>61</sup> has given an claborate list of such articles, compiled from smrti works. He also mentions the maximum that can be recovered the creditor from the debtor. He quotes Kātyāyana to show that the maximum recoverable in the case of oils, liquors, ghee, raw-sugar and salt was eight-fold; in the case of metals other than gold and silver five times; and in the case of fruits, silk, wool, pearls, corals, gold and silver double of what is lent out. He also quotes from Brhaspati a text approving the recovery of a loan of seeds by the payments of five times the quantity lent. This was, of course, the maximum. The actual rate must have depended on the relative intensity of the desire to take the loan and that of lending out. In any case, the lot of the debtor was a hard one. This is why the law-givers condemn the profession of the money-lender. Brhaspati has defined Kusīda or interest as that which is taken fourfold or even eight-fold without any qualm of conscience from a wretched man who is sinking or distressed. Lakṣmīdhara, condemns the practices of charging heavy interest and compound interest. He further observes that the greatest sinner is the usurer who outweighs all other sinners. But at the same time he recognises that the business of money-lending is free from dangers of draughts, rats, etc. 4

### REFERENCES TO CHAPTER X

### (Currency, Banking and Usury).

- 1 Cunningham: Coins of Mediaeval India, p. 70.
- 2 Numismatic Supplement, No. XVII, JASB 1912, p. 123, pl. VI; Mirashi in JNSI, III (1941), Pt. I. p. 26.
  - 3 Cunningham: op. cit., p. 79.
  - 4 JASB, XXVI (1930), N. 35.
  - 5 Singhal, C. R.: Bibliography of Indian Coins, Pt. I, p. 96.
  - 6 Ibid., p. 96.
  - 7 Journal of the Department of Letters XXX (1938), p. 37.
  - 8 Altekar, A. S. in JNSI. II, p. 2.
  - 9 Journal of the Department of Letters XXX (1938), p. 38.
  - 10 Cunningham: op. cit., p. 89.
  - 11 El. I. 184; IA. XVI. 174; El. I. 162-179.
  - 12 EI. IX. 32-33.
  - 13 Ibid., XI. 43-46.
  - 14 El. IX. 69.
  - 15 ASR. XXI. 102.
  - 16 ASR XI. 176.
  - 17 JASB. XXVI. No. 34.
  - 18 JUPHS, XVIII. pp. 196 f.
  - 19 Cunningham: op. cit., p. 50.
  - 20 EI. XXIII. 140.
- 21 Memoirs of Arch. Survey, No. 23 (by Banerji, R. D.: Haihayas of Tripurī, p. 114).
  - 22 Grihastha, p. 219.
  - 23 Bangiya Sāhitya Parisat Patrikā, 1339 B. S., p. 90.
  - 24 Thomas: Ancient Indian Weights. p. 19, f.n. 3.
  - 25 Stein in RT. Vol. II (Note H., Appendices), pp. 322-324.
  - 26 Bilhari incr., verse 80.
  - 27 Samayamātrikā, VIII. 80.
  - 28 Kalāvilāsa, II. 5, 7.
  - 29 RT. VII. 112.
  - 30 Stein in RT. Vol. II (Appendices), p. 323.

- 31 Ibid., p. 317.
- 32 Bauddha Gāna O Dohā, Pada No. 26.
- 33 HBI. 669 quoting Tabakāt-t-Nāsiri (translation), p. 556.
- 34 IHQ. VIII-597.
- 35 Sircar, D. C. in El. XXVIII (1949-50), pp. 46-47.
- 36 El. XIII, pp. 292, 295.
- 37 IA. XI. 338.
- 38 Līlāvatī 1. 2. quoted by Altekar in JNSI II. p. 9.
- 39 Sukranītisāra (ed. Cal.) IV. 2, 92-93.
- 40 Ibid., IV. 2. 92.
- 41 JNSI. II, p. 13.
- 42 EI. I. 162-179.
- 43 Manu, VIII. 189; Yāj., II. 66, Nārada, V 9 and 12; Kātyāyana, 593.
  - 44 Manu, VII. 143.
  - 45 HDS. III, p. 430.
  - 46 Manu, VIII. 151; Gautama, XII. 28.
  - 47 RT. VIII. 123-160.
  - 48 Yāj. II. 66.
  - 49 Vivādaratnākara, pp. 86-87.
  - 50 Stein in RT. II. (Note H., Appendices), p. 314.
  - 51 Ibid. II, 147 f.n.
  - 52 Samayamātrikā, VIII. 96.
  - 53 Yāj. II. 93; Nārada IV. 114.
  - 54 JUPHS XVIII, p. 196.
  - 55 Kuttanimatam (ed. T. N. Ray), verse 746.
  - 56 Grihastha; pp. 217-219: Grihastharatnākara, pp. 446-447.
  - 57 Yewur Inscr. (El. XII. 273).
  - 58 Grihastha., p. 218; Grihastharatnākara, p. 447.
  - 59 Sachau. II, p. 150.
  - 60 Mitākṣarā on Yāj., II. 38.
  - 61 Vivādaratnākara, pp. 17-19.
  - 62 Brhaspati (SBE, XXXIII) p. 320, verse 2.
  - 63 Grihastha, pp. 215-216.
  - 64 Ibid., p. 219.

### CHAPTER XI

### Public Finance

Laksmidhara sets up before the rulers the high ideals preached by Kātyāyana regarding the financial administration of the state. Citing two verses from Katyavana, which, however, cannot be traced in Kane's edition of the work. Laksmidhara declares that the king is the supporter of all those whom there is none else to look after, he is the son to the sonless and father to the orphans and the asylum to the homeless. He specifically mentions the duty of the king to maintain carefully the learned Brāhmanas, widows and the helpless persons. In describing the plan of settling the durga or fortified town, he follows the lead of the Matsva Purāna<sup>2</sup> which prescribes that it should have a majority of Vaisvas and Sūdras, many artisans and a few Brahmanas, all of whom should be devoted to the king and all should be free from the burden of heavy taxation. Being an orthodox Brähmana himself, he takes care to quote from Brhaspati the adage that the king should not impose any tax on the Brahmanas learned in the Vedas; and that it is the duty of the king to endow them with land and houses so that they may not have any difficulty in earning their livelihood.3 The numerous grants which the Gahadavāla kings made to the Brāhmanas show that Laksmīdhara's recommendation in this respect was followed scrupulously by them. We have to examine whether in the matter of taxation they were equally solicitous of carning the good opinion of all classes of subjects.

Laksmīdhara does not lay down the rate at which land revenue, the principal source of income in an agricultural country, should be levied. He quotes the views of Manu, Bṛhaspati, Viṣṇu and Gautama in this respect without stating whether it should be levied at 1/6th, 1/8th or 1/12th as Manu holds, or at 1/6th, 1/8th or 1/10th as recommended

by Gautama and Brhaspati, or it should be at a flat rate of 1/6th as prescribed by Visnu.4 It is interesting to note that Someśvara, the author of the Mānasollāsa, also does not lav down any specific rate. He simply directs that onesixth, one-eighth or one-twelfth of the share of the corn produced should be collected as bhaga or land revenue paid in kind according to the fertility of the soil.5 As a matter of fact, it is not advisable to prescribe one uniform rate of taxation with regard to land which has got access to water from river or canal and to the land which has to depend on mensoon for irrigation. Moreover, there are numerous other considerations like location of the land near market, composition of its soil etc., which make it necessary to levy land revenue at rates fluctuating between one-sixth (16½%) and one-eighth  $(12\frac{1}{2}\%)$ . It is not likely that in some of the states in Northern India during this period the rate was as high as 25% or 33%, because Manu<sup>6</sup> as well as Kautilya<sup>7</sup> allow the King to levy one-third or one-fourth of the crop in times of distress. With frequent invasions and interminable wars the kings had some justification to treat the time as an abnormal one. This may be the reason which led Chandesvara to explain away the term Sadbhāga as a mere figurative term and to recommend the levying of such amount as would be found necessary to meet the requirements of Government and at the same time not felt as oppressive by the subjects.8 The author of the Sukranītisāra was more alive to the needs of the state in recommending a much higher share of crops as land revenue. He says that the king should take the traditional one-sixth share from barren and rocky soils. This is nothing but a naive suggestion for showing outward compliance with the Smrti texts, because barren soil by its very name is precluded from producing anything. For other types of land, the revenue charged is to vary from 25% to 50% according to the means of irrigational facilities available. It is to be ½ for land enjoying normally good rainfall and also drained by

river; \frac{1}{3} for land irrigated by tanks and \frac{1}{4} for those drawing supply of water from canals and wells.9 Dr. M. H. Gopal suggests that one-sixth was the pure land-tax, while the rates 1. 1 or 1th include irrigation cess also. It is extremely doubtful however, whether the Ricardian theory of pure rent was known to the old law-givers of India. Dr. Ghoshal uses considerable ingenuity in suggesting that "while the older authorities apparently make the gross produce the basis of assessment, the Sukraniti seems to show that 1rd of net produce was taken to be the proper rate of land-revenue".10 He has probably arrived at this conclusion from the statement in the Sukranītisāra to the effect that the taxation on ordinary dry lands should be such that the net produce should be twice the cost of production, including the government dues.11 This, of course does not yield the conclusion that the book recommends  $33\frac{1}{3}\frac{\text{o}}{\text{co}}$  net produce as the share of the state. For example, if labour costs 300, seed, manure. interest and management costs 50 each and government dues 250, totalling 750 and the value of net produce be 1500; the land revenue in this case will be 1/6th of the latter. It would be safer to treat Sukranītisāra's prescription of higher rate as simply due to the exigencies of time.

Contemporary inscriptions make references to the following taxes:  $bh\bar{a}ga^{12}$   $bhoga^{13}$  or periodical supply of fruits, firewoods, flowers etc., which the subjects had to make either to the King or to his local agents, and  $hiranya^{14}$  which has been interpreted as a tax in cash levied upon special crops as distinguished from tax in kind called  $bh\bar{a}ga$  levied ordinary crops.

## Sulka:

Next to Bhāga, the most important source of revenue was Sulka, so far as the volume of yield was concerned. Kshīrasvāmin, who flourished in the latter half of the eleventh century. commenting on the term Ghaṭṭādideya used in Amarakosa as the synonym of śulka states that ādi refers to

ferry fluties, the tolls paid at the military stations of police outposts and also the transit duties paid by the merchants. Sulka, therefore, was much more comprehensive than customs and tolls in the eleventh century A.D. Yajñavalkya states that the king is entitled to 1/20th part as śulka because he fixes the price of articles.<sup>16</sup> Vijñāneśvara interprets it as the right of the king to take 5% of the price as fixed Medhātithi, Govindarāja and Kullūkabhatta by himself. commenting on Manu<sup>17</sup> state, however, that the king is entitled to 5% of the profit derived from the merchandise. There is a world of difference between 5% of the price of an article and 5% of its profits. Agni Purana goes a step further in prescribing a higher rate of śulka on foreign merchandise as its recommendation is that so much sulka should be levied as would leave the merchant a profit of 5% only.18 In approving 240% rate of interest on capital lent to merchants engaged in overseas trade, Vijñāneśvara has drawn attention to the grave risks of foreign trade. Not to speak of the merchants of the eleventh and twelfth centuries, very few will agree to carry on transaction on foreign merchandise at present on 5% profit. The recommendation of Govindaraja Kullūkabhatta regarding the levy of 5% of the profit of the merchandise as śulka does not seem to be practicable. If it is difficult to apprise the value of an article correctly it is hundred times more difficult for an officer stationed at a gate. police outpost or military station to ascertain the profit which will accrue out of a transaction.

Trade was very much hampered on account of the imposition of *śulka* in all the states through which a commodity had to pass. Roads were infested with robbers, means of transport was slow, rate of interest exorbitant and taxation rather heavy. It is rather surprising that in spite of all these difficulties the channel of foreign trade was not choked up.

The types of śulka levied in Rajputana and other states of Northern India may be illustrated by the following levies. Sometimes one hāraka of barley corn<sup>19</sup> and two palikās of

oil from every oil-machine20 were levied and granted in favour of deities. The Chahamana ruler Alhanadeva assigned Drammas every month from the Sulkamandapikā of Naddūla in favour of a Jaina temple, and 2 Drammas every year from each of the 12 villages in Naddula to the temple of Jina Mahāvīra.21 Another Nadol grant states that Mahāmāndalika Pratapasiniha, a feudatory of the Chaulukva King Kumārapāla, granted one  $r\bar{u}paka$  per day out of the collection at the customs-house.22 We do not get, however, an exact idea as to the rate at which sulka was imposed by these feudatory rulers. But this much is certain that the collection was much greater in the cities than in villages. In the reign of Alhanadeva we find that whereas from twelve villages only two Drammas per annum were granted to a temple, sixty Drammas were granted per year from the customs-house of Naddula. In the kingdom of the Chaulukyas of Anahillapātaka, the temple inscription dated V.S. 1074/1017 A.D. Somanatha refers to the daily gift of a karsa or about 3/4th of a tola of ghee out of the mandapikā-tax by three merchants.23 Rattaraja, a feudatory chieftain assigned to a temple and to the ascetics therein the following taxes: one gadyana of gold from every foreign vessel and one dharana of gold from every ship arriving from Kandamuliya.24 In the kingdom of the Paramaras, the Arthuna inscription dated V.S. 1136/1080 A.D.25 refers to dues collected in the market. The place was in Banswara in Rajputana. The deity was granted (a) one cocoanut for every bhāraka of cocoanut; (b) a māraka for each mūtaka of salt; (c) one nut for every 1000 areca-nuts; (d) one palikā on every ghātaka of butter and sesame oil; (e) 1½ rūpakas on each kotika of clothing fabrics; (f) 2 pulakas of a jala (i.e., bunch of buds) (g) 2 santas on each lagada: (i.e., bar of gold or silver); (h) a panaka on each karza of oil; (i) a Vrisa-vimsopaka on each load of cattle feeder; (i) one Dramma on every pile of sugar etc., (k) a hāraka of barley on every machine-wheel; (l) one bhāraka on every 20 packs of loaded grain; (m) one chhanga on every bhāraka of loaded grain etc. Sulka was also levied

by the Chandella kings<sup>26</sup> and Vikramasinha, the feudatory chief of Gwalior.<sup>27</sup> An example of customs duty is given in the *Mānasollāsa*.<sup>28</sup> It is prescribed that when the native sailors return to the harbour along with goods, the king should charge 10% of the price of the merchandise purchased as duty.

# Excise duty:

All mediaeval texts enumerate taxes on the following items. which may be classed under excise duty: frankingense, medicine, bamboo, leather, earthen and stone products, honey ghee, minerals like gold, silver, copper, zinc, iron, gems, lead, glasses,29 etc. Laksmidhara30 endorses the levy of 2% on gold as mentioned in Manu and Visnu. The inscriptions of the Gāhadavāla kings also refer to taxes on wood and grass,31 and mines, 2 Kūtaka33 and Visatiathuprasthaka,34 mentioned in the Gahadavala grants, may have been a tax on each kūtaka and prasthaka weight of a commodity. The pratiharaprasthakara35 mentioned in Basahi grant may have been likewise a tax collected on some commodities by the Pratīhāra. The Chaulukva ruler Bhīma II ordered that the merchants Salakhanapura were to pay the following dues for the maintenance of temples, viz., madder (mañjisthā), hingulla, coral (pravāla), camphor (karpūra), cloth, cocoanut, medicinal plants, sugar, dates, śrīkhanda, kastūrī, kumkum, jāiphala, jaitrī, and hing.36 Another inscription relates to the levying of four rūpakas from each vumvaka of distillers in the Paramāra kingdom.37

## Octroi duties:

As in Mauryan times, octroi duties continued to be levied in the period under survey. The Nadlai stone-inscription, dated V.S. 1195 states that the feudatory ruler granted 1/20th path of the income (abhavva) "derived from the loads on bullocks" passing through Nadlai.<sup>38</sup> In another Nadol inscription, dated V.S. 1202, the charges are stated in greater details.<sup>39</sup> Two rūpakas were charged on each bullock carrying twenty pāilās of load and one rūpaka for each cart carrying commodities.

### Road Cess and tolls:

The Sukranītisāra informs us that the kings were authorised to ask for payment of tolls for using the highways. The practice of collecting tolls from caravans and road cess was current in Gujarat during the period under survey. Inscriptions too refer to the levying of tolls on roads by the Gahadavālas and the Chāhamāna ruler Sāmantasimha. The latter chieftain issued an order that when a caravan consisted of more than ten camels and 20 bullocks, a cess had to be paid. Every such caravan had to pay one pāilā of goods. If the owner of the caravan failed to pay, he had to pay probably a fine of ten Bhīmapriyā-vimšopaka coins. Similarly the Paramāra King Jayasinha assessed one vimšopaka coin on every bull that passed through the road.

# Sales Tax:

Sometimes sales tax had to be paid by dealers in different types of commodities. The Anavada inscription records that during the reign of Sārangadeva, ruling at Anahillapāṭaka in V.S. 1348/c. 1291 A.D. the sellers agreed to pay at the following rates: ½ Dramma for selling one dhadī of madder. 1 Dramma for one dhāda of solonum melongana (hingudī), one pālī from every jar of ghee and dealers in grains one portion from each cart filled with grain.<sup>45</sup>

### Trade Tax:

The Śukranītisāra allows the king to levy taxes on shops.<sup>46</sup> A chieftain ruling in Rajputana assigned to a temple, one *Dramma* on each shop during the Chaitra and sacred thread festivals and one *Dramma* on all shops of braziers every month.<sup>47</sup>

### Escheat:

An important source of revenue of the Chaulukya kingdom

<sup>\*</sup>According to Dr. H. C. Ray  $p\bar{a}il\bar{a}$  is a kind of weight; "4  $p\bar{a}il\bar{a}=1$   $p\bar{a}yal\bar{i}$ ; 5  $p\bar{a}yal\bar{i}s=1$   $m\bar{a}n\bar{a}$ ; 4  $m\bar{a}n\bar{a}=1$  sei; 2 sei=1 mar" (DHNI II. 1113).

was escheat<sup>48</sup> and confiscation<sup>49</sup> of goods of persons dying without male heirs. Though some of the old texts prohibit<sup>50</sup> this practice, yet Aparārka approves of it<sup>51</sup> so far as non-Brāhmaṇa communities were concerned. King Kumārapāla allowed the widows to keep the property of their husbands.<sup>52</sup> The Managoli inscription, dated 1178 A.D. confirms the statement made here. The inscription runs thus<sup>53</sup>; "if any one should die at Manigavalli without sons, his wife, female children... and any kinsmen and relatives of the same gotra, who may survive, should take possession of all his property, that is bipeds, quadrupeds, coins, grains, house and field; if none should survive, the authorities of the village should take over the property as dharmadeya property."

### Other taxes:

Taxes like Kara<sup>34</sup>, which probably means a general property tax, daśāparādha, pratyādāva, 55 nidhiniksepa, 56 valādi 57 ialakara58, lavanakara59, visayadāna,60, which we find in the Gāhadavāla inscriptions are also mentioned in Kautilva's Arthaśāstra and other earlier Śmrti texts and inscriptions. Valādi may have been a tax for recruitment of army. It may be compared to senābhakta of Kautilya's Arthaśāstra and similar tax mentioned in the Sukranītisāra.61 Śukra provides that when the king is preparing an army to destroy the enemy he should receive from the people special grants of fines and śulka. Jalakara was undoubtedly an irrigational cess. find references to daśabandha in inscriptions of the Gähadavala king dated 1161 and 116262 of the Vikrama era and a Chāhamāna King of Nadol. The Nadol inscription of VS 1200/1143 A.D. records that Bhamana, a Karnāta Rānaka exempted the pramadākula or dancing girls of the temple of Usapattana from paying daśabandha, which according to Dr. Bhandarkar was "possibly a kind of tax equal to one-tenth of their income".63 Another old tax which continued to be levied during the period under survey was a tax on gambling.

The Arthuna inscription<sup>64</sup> informs us that two  $r\bar{u}pakas$  were levied on every gambling house in the Banswara state.

# New taxes:

From the days of the composition of the Mahābhārata upto the end of the twelfth century A.D., the law-givers have repeatedly stated that the first principle of public finance is that taxes should not increase. This maxim is suitable for a static society. A tax which trenches on the capital has always been condemned. Lakṣmīdhara quotes the opinions of Manu VII. 139 and Yūjūavalkya I. 340-341 and Kātyāyana (Kane's edition, verse 75) to this effect that taxes should be only on what accrues. The author of the Sukranūtisūra also states categorically that new taxes and duties are vexatious to the people. How far the people actually became disaffected on account of heavy taxation is clear from the reverses of the fortune of the Kaivarta leader Bhīma in Bengal.

Against the injunctions of the Śukranītisāra,68 which lays down that the king in normal times should not levy taxes on places of pilgrimage and properties consecrated to purposes, the Chaulukya kings as well as kings of Bengal levied taxes on tirthas. The Prabandhachintāmani<sup>69</sup> and verse 36 of the Sukritasainkirtana of Arisimha state that at the request of her mother King Jayasinha remitted a tax imposed pilgrims visiting Somanathapattana by the officials Bahuloda. Merutunga also informs us that the pilgrim-tax yielded 72 lakhs of coins. A parallel case was the levy of tax on the Kashmiris performing śrāddha at Gaya as mentioned in the Rajatarangini. The kings of the Varman dynasty of Bengal also collected dues from sacred places. The Belava copper-plate states that Bhojavarman had an officer called Pithikāvitta.72 Dr. B. C. Sen thinks that he was probably an officer "engaged in collecting some kind of state-dues from visitors to sacred places or from meomes accruing to religious institutions".73

The inscriptions of the Gāhadavāla kings refer to the levy of new taxes like kumaragadiānaka<sup>74</sup> vamalikamvalī. Yavalikamvali, 76 varavajha 77 gokara, pravanikara and turuskadanda.78 The exact nature of these unusual taxes are not known to us. These were also not levied in any other part of Northern India in the eleventh and twelfth centuries, A.D. We can make some plausible conjectures only. Kumaragadiānaka may have been a tax in gold coins known as kumāragadyāna. The epigraphical records from Karnātaka and Tamil states mention Gadvānaka coins which was equal to two Kalañiu coins.89 Gokara was probably a tax on the milk of cows. It may be pointed out here that the Śukranītisāra clearly forbids<sup>81</sup> the king from collecting taxes on the milk of cows and rice for family consumption and the king should purchase rice and cloth for his use. Turuskadanda was levied for the first time in the reign of the first real founder of the Gahadavala state, Chandradeva, and it is mentioned in all of his grants excepting B.A.S. grant dated V.S. 1154/1097 A.D.82 continued to be levied by his successors. Madanapāla Madanachandra (c. 1104-1114 A.D.), Govindachandra and Vijayachandra (c.1155-1170 A.D.), Neither the grants of Jayachchandra nor of his son Harischandra mention the imposition of this tax. We have already discussed the nature of this tax in Chapter IV.

In the kingdom of the Kalachuri ruler Jayasinha we have references to certain new taxes, 83 like those levied at resting place or camping ground and village-headman's dues (paṭṭakilādaya).

Another tax called *Talarabhavya* is mentioned in the Sanderav inscription<sup>84</sup> of Kalhana, dated V.S. 1221. The term *Talarabhavya* is also mentioned in a Mangrol inscription. The editor of the inscription interprets the word *Talarabhavya* as "revenue of a *talara*"85 area.

The Rājataranginī gives details of many new taxes on the people of Kashmir during the eleventh and twelfth centuries A.D. The passing away of Lalitāditya Muktāpīḍa coincides with the beginning of the misery of the Kashmirians. From the

close of the ninth century A.D. Śaukaravarman and Queen Diddā began levying forced labour and new imposts.86 At the beginning of the eleventh century A.D. soon after the murder of Tunga, the greedy King Samgrāmarāja appointed Matanga. "an expert in the science of fleecing the subjects" who filled the treasury of the king.87 The king was aware of his shortcomings. Kalhana sarcastically observes that he showed true judgment by not establishing even a drinking-place (prapa) and "that the wealth he owned was unlawfully acquired".88 King Ananta also filled his treasury by levying dvādaśabhāga89 or impost of one-twelfth on the people at the suggestion of Ksema. Later on Haladhara, the Prime Minister, seems to have abolished the additional impost. 90 Ananta's successor Kalasa also did not give people respite from fresh taxation. 91 The next king Harsa imposed a labour-tax namely, the carrying of loads, against which, the members of the Corporation of the Purohitas of the temple of Bhīmakeśava went on a fast. 92 He also imposed fresh imposts and is reported to have appointed even a "prefect of night soil" to raise revenue.93 Famine conditions did not melt his heart. When a great famine occurred in 1099-1100 A.D. the king went on exacting heavy fines. The burden of these taxes fel! so heavily on the people that it seemed "just as if a boulder (were thrown) on an old bullock which has become worn out by (dragging) the plough".94 They revolted and on one occasion their cause was supported by the Dāmaras. The king immediately gave an order of suppression.95 The people of Kashmir got some relief during the reign of Uchchala. But oppressions again began during the period of Sussala's rule (1112-1130 A.D.). He collected "greater treasures" as the chronicler puts it.96 Gauraka also increased the amount in the treasury and the result was that the strength of the country was sapped. Even during he reign of the wise King Jayasinha Mahattama Ananda levied taxes on there were exactions. various auspicious occasions (mangalyadanda).97 Chitraratha, the Lord of the Gate, harassed the people by ever-increasing imposts. His servants confiscated even the grazing-land and

the sacred cows.<sup>100</sup> Consequently, the Brāhmaṇas of Avantipura went on a fast. The King paid no heed to the lamentation of his subjects "Look, how the subjects are ruined in their helplessness by a rogue of a minister, while the King imperturbable in his kindness (to him), pays no regard to them".<sup>101</sup>

All these instances reveal that the rulers of Northern India adopted all sorts of ingenious devices to raise funds, which were increasingly needed to meet their military expenditure. Somadeva in his Nītivākyāmrta recommends that in case the treasury is depleted it should be filled up by taking away the surplus wealth of merchants, temples and Brāhmanas, after leaving them the amounts which are necessary for performing religious service, sacrifices and meeting the expenditure for maintaining the kinsmen respectively. The king should also appropriate the wealth of rich widows, courtesans. officers, guilds and heretics. The richer persons living in cities and villages should be also made to pay a share of their wealth. The king should request his ministers, priests, allies and feudatories to cooperate in the work of filling up the depleted treasury. 102 Sukranītisāra 103 recommends that the king should draw upon the wealth of rich men in times of danger but return it along with interest when he is free from danger. Some of the epigraphic references to taxes actually levied show that the rulers were not slow in acting up to the advice of Nītivākyāmrta. We have no means to find out whether any king did actually return the money taken by him in emergencies.

No state in the middle ages, whether in the east or in the west took upon itself the functions of ministering to the welfare of subjects. Protection from internal dangers and external invasions was all that was attempted by any Government in that age The North Indian rulers also did not attempt more than this. The skeleton budget of a subordinate ruler having an income of one lakh Karşa, which the Sukranītisāra<sup>104</sup> gives shows an expenditure of 2400 Karşa or less than  $2\frac{1}{2}\%$  expenditure on learning and education. Even such a provision

cannot be found in the budgets of European states in the eleventh and twelfth centuries A.D.

## REFERENCES TO CHAPTER XI

(Public Finance).

- 1 Rāj (GOS), p. 84.
- 2 Matsya Purāṇa Ch. 217, verses 2-3 quoted in Vīramitradaya Rājanītiprakāśa, p. 198.
  - 3 *Rãj*. Ch. V.
- 4 Ibid, pp. 88-92 quoting Manu VII. 127-132 and X. 118-120 Visnu III. 22-27 and Gautama X. 30-33.
  - 5 Mānasoltāsa (GOS, Vol. 84) Virhsati II. 3. 163-164.
  - 6 Manu X. 118.
  - 7 Kautilya V. 2.
  - 8 Rājanītīratnākara Ch. XII. p. 55. Also quoted in AR; p. 217.
  - 9 Sukranītisāra (ed. Sarkar), IV. 2. 120ff.
  - 10 Ghoshal: Agrarian System in Ancient India, p. 23.
  - 11 Sukranītisāra (ed. Cal), IV. 2. 114.
- 12 IA. VI. 56; XVI. 203, 206, 208; XVIII. 17. 131; XXV. 207. EI. II. 360; III. 48; IV. 97-133; V. 49; X. 99; XI. 182; XVIII. 224, 299 and 323.
- 13 IA. VI. 56; XVI 203, 206, 208, 255; XVIII. 17. 131; XXV. 207, EI II. 360, 363; III. 48; IV. 97-133; V. 49; X. 99; XI. 182; XVIII. 224, 299 and 323.
- 14 IA. VI. 56; XVI. 203, 206 and 255; XVIII. 17; XXV. 207, EI. III. 48; XI. 182; XVIII. 299, 323 and IA. XIV. 103.

The following forms of bhūga, bhoga and hiranya are written in the Gābaḍavāla grants:

Bhāgabhogakara in Chandravati plates dated VS 1150 (El. XIV. 195) and VS. 1156 (Ibid 199); Kamauli pl. dated VS. 1178 (El. IV. 110); Benares dated V.S. 1203 (El. VIII. 158); Badera dated V.S. 1164 (JUPHS XIV. 72).

Bhāgabhogakarahiranya in BAS grant dated V.S. 1154 (IA. XVIII. 12); Kamauli pl. dated V.S. 1198 (EI. IV. 113).

Bhōgabhogakūṭaka in Kamauli pls. dated V.S. 1162 (EI. II. 360) and V.S. 1191 (EI. IV. 132); Rahan grant V.S. 1166 (IA. XVIII. 17).

Bhāgabhogapravanikara in Chandravati grant (EI. IX. 305); Kamauili V.S. 1171 (EI. IV. 103); 1174 (Ibid. 106), 1175 (Ibid. 107), 1176 (Ibid. 109); Don Buzurg (EI. XVIII. 222); Maner 1188 (JBORS II. 446); Kamauli V.S. 1184 (EI. IV. 111); Itaunja V.S. 1186 (Ibid. XIII. 297); Set Mahet, 1186 (Ibid. XI. 24); Pali. 1189 (Ibid. V. 114); Kamauli 1190 (Ibid. IV. 112); Benares, 1190 (Ibid. VIII. 156), 1196 (Ibid, II. 363); Kamauli 1196 (Ibid. IV. 114), Gagaha 1199 (Ibid XIII.

219); Machhlisahr, 1201 (*Ibid.* V. 116); Lar, 1202 (*Ibid.* VII. 100); Benares, 1207 (*Ibid.* VIII. 159); Bangavan 1208 (*Ibid.* V. 118) Kamauli 1221 (*Ibid.* IV. 120); Kamauli pls. 1226 (*Ibid.* IV. 1. 21) 1231 (IV. 126), 1232 (*Ibid.* IV. 128), Benares, 1232 (IA. 18. 131); BAS, 1233 (*Ibid.* XVIII. 136-137). 1234 and 1236 (IA. XVIII. 139, 142-143); Kamauli 1233 (EI. IV. 129), Bhadavana, 1184 (*Ibid.* XIX. 294); Lucknow Museum, 1237 (*Ibid.* 24. 295); Rajghat 1197 (*Ibid.* XXVI. 273); Machhlisahr 1253 (EI. X. 99).

Bhāgabhogakarapravanikarakūtaka in Kamauli V.S. 1172 (EI. IV. 104); Chhatarpur, 1177; Kamauli, 1182 and 1184 (EI. IV. 101, XXVI. 73).

Bhāgabhogahiranyapravanikara in Benares V.S. 1187 (EI. VIII. 154); Kamauli 1200 (Ibid. IV. 115), 1211 (Ibid. IV. 117), 1228 (Ibid. IV. 123), 1230 (Ibid. IV. 124).

- 15 Struggle for Empire, p. 319.
- 16 Yāj. II. 266.
- 17 Manu VIII. 398.
- 18 Agni Purāņa Ch. 223. 23-24.
- 19 Sevadi SI. V.S. 1167/1110 A.D. (EI. XI. 30), Lalrai, V.S. 1233 (*Ibid* XI. 49-50).
  - 20 Nadlai SI V.S. 1189 (EI. XI, 34-36) and 1200 (Ibid XI, 41).
  - 21 Nadol pls. of Albanadeva and Kirtipala (El. IX. 66 and 69).
- 22 IA. XLI. (1912), p. 202 Cf. Nanana grant dated 1156 A.D. (ABORI 1941-42, p. 317)
  - 23 EI. XXIII. 137.
  - 24 1bid, III. pp. 295-296.
  - 25 lbid. XIV, 295ff.
  - 26 Ichchhawar pl. Jated V.S. 1228/1171 A.D. (IA. XXV. 207).
  - 27 EI. II. 236.
  - 28 Mānasollāsa (GOS. Vol. 28, p. 62) Virhšati II. 4. 374-376.
- 29 Agni Purăna Ch. 223, 24ff; Mānasollāsa Viinšati, II. 165-166; Sukranītisāra, IV. 2. 118-119 (ed Cal.); Rāj; pp. 88-89.
  - 30 Rāj; pp. 88-89 quoting Manu VII, 130 and Visnu III, 408.
  - 31 IA. VI. 56.
  - 32 IA. XVIII. 17.
- 33 IA. XIV. 103. Also mentioned in Gāhaḍavāla grants along with bhāgabhoga, hiranya and pravaṇikara.
  - 34 IA. XIV. 103 (Bashi pl. V.S. 1161).
  - 35 Ibid.
  - 36 IA. VI. 202.
  - 37 EI. XIV. 300 (Arthuna inscr. verse 74).
  - 38 EI. XI. 36.
  - 39 Ibid. XI. 42-43.
  - 40 Sukranītisāra (ed. Cal.), IV. 2. 129.
- 41 Mārgādāya Kauptika in Somanatha Temple-inscr. (EI. XXIII. 131); Rāsmālā (ed. Rawlinson), p. 235.

- 42 EI. XIV. 184; IA. VI. 204.
- 43 EI. XI. 59.
- 44 Ibid. XXI. 48-49 (Panahera inscr.).
- 45 IA. XLI. 20 (Anavada stone inscr. of V.S. 1348).
- 46 Sukranītisāra (ed. Cal.), IV. 2, 129.
- 47, El. XIV. 300. (Arthuna inser. verses 73 and 74).
- 48 IA. IV. 269.
- 49 IA. XXXI. 483, Sukritasankīrtana, verses 39-43; Prābandha-Chintāmaņi (ed. and Trs. Tawney), p. 133.
- 50 Satapatha Brāhmaṇa, IV. 4. 3. 13; Maitr. Sam., IV. 6. 4. Baudhāyana, XI. 2. 53; Vasistha, XVII. 62.
  - 51 Aparārka, p. 745.
- 52 Yaśahpāla: Moharōjaparōjaya, Act III. Verse 50; Kumārapālapratibodha, pp. 48; Prabandhachintāmaņi (Tr. Tawney), p. 133.
  - 53 El. VI. 28.
  - 54 IA. XVIII. 131, and XXV, 207.
  - 55 Daśā parādha in IA, XIV, 103, and XVIII, 16.

Pratyādōya in El. XIV. 195. Cf. Kauţilya IX. 4, which according to Dr. U. N. Ghoshal signifies "a loan which is to be returned and which was levied in emergencies".

- 56 El. IV. 124 (Kamauli pl. dated V.S. 1230)
- 57 JA. XVIII. 17 (Rahan grant).
- 58 El. XIII. 219; VIII. 158; IV. 120 and X. 99.
- 59 EI. X. 99.
- 60 Vişayadāna-EI, XIV. 195 (Chandravati pl. V.S. 1150)
- 61 Kaufilya, II. 15 and Śukranītisāra, IV. 2. 10 ed. Cal).
- 62 IA. XIV. 103 (Basahi, V.S. 1161) and El. II. 360 (Kamauli V.S. 1162).
  - 63 DHNI, VOL. II, 1113.
  - 64 El. XIV. 300 (Arthuna inscr., verse 75).
  - 65 Rāj. p. 93.
  - 66 Śukranītisāra (ed. Cal. p. 163). II. 273.
  - 67 RC. II. 40.
- 68 Sukranītisāra (ed. Cal) IV. 2. 9. anāpadi na kurvīta tīrtha-devakaragrahat.
  - 69 Prabandhachintāmaņi (Tr. Tawney), p. 84.
  - 70 IA. XXXI. 483.
  - 71 RT. VII. 1008.
  - 72 IB. III, p. 21, line 30.
- 73 Sen B. C.; Historical Aspects of the Inscriptions of Bengal, p. 552; IC. VII. 311.
- 74 EI. IX. 305; IA. XVIII. 17 (Rahan, V.S. 1166); EI. IV. 106 and 109 (Kamauli V.S. 1174 and 1176); EI. VIII. 156. (Benares 1190); EI. IV. 114, 117, 120 and 124 (Kamauli V.S. 1197, 1211, 1221 and 1230).
  - 75 IA. XVIII. 137, 139, 140 and 143 (BAS, V.S. 1233, 1234 and

- 1236); El. IV. 129 (Kamauli, V.S. 1233); El. XXIV. 295 (Lucknow Museum, V.S. 1237).
  - 76 IA. XVIII, 142 and 143 (BAS, V.S. 1236).
  - 77 IA, XIV, 103 (Basahi V.S. 1161).
- 78 Gokara—EI. XIII. 219 (Gagaha, V.S. 1199); VIII. 158 (Benares, V.S. 1203) and IV. 120 (Kamauli, V.S. 1221).
- *Pravaņikara*—IA. XVIII. 131; El. II. 263; IV. 97ff; V. 49; VII. 98-190, IX. 305, XVIII. 224 and XIX. 293.
- Cf. Pratisiddhāya—IA. XIX. 164 mentioned in a Chaulukya inser, dated 1040 A.D.
- Chandravati grant V.S. 1148 (El. IX. 305); ibid. V.S. 1150, and 1156 (El. XIV. 195 and 199); Basahi V.S. 1161 (IA. XIV. 103) Kamauli, V.S. 1162 (El. II. 360); Badera V.S. 1164 (JUPHS, XIV 72); Rahan, V.S. 1166 (IA. XVIII. 17); Kamauli, V.S. 1174 (EI. IV. 106); V.S. 1176 (El. IV. 109); Benares V.S. 1181 (JASB, LVI, Pt. I. 113-18), BAS, V.S. 1182 (JASB, XXVII, 241ff); Maner V.S. 1183 (JBORS, II. 446) Itaunja, V.S. 1186 (El. XIII, 297): Set Mahet V.S. 1186 (El. XI. 24); Benares, V.S. 1187 (El. VIII. 154); Kamauli V.S. 1190 (El. IV. 112); Benares V.S. 1190 (El. VIII. 156) and V.S. 1196 (EI. II. 363) Kamauli V.S. 1197 (EI. IV. 114); Raighat pl. V.S. 1197 (EI, XXVI, 273); Benares V.S. 1190 (EI, VIII, 156) and V.S. 1196 (El. II. 363); Kamauli V.S. 1198 (El. IV. 113); Gagaha V.S. 1199 (EI. XIII. 219); Machnlisahr, V.S. 1201 (EI. V. 116); Lar. V.S. 1202 (EI, VII.100); Benares V.S. 1203 (EI, VIII, 158); 1207 (ibid, 159) Kamauli V.S. 1211 (El. IV. 117) and 1221 (El. IV. 120); BAS. V.S. 1221 (Ef. IV. 120); BAS, V.S. 1225 (IA. XV. 7-13).
  - 80 AR. pp. 365-367.
  - 81 Sukranitisāra (ed. Cal.), IV. 2. 127.
- 82 IA. XVIII. 12 (BAS, grant dated V.S. 1154/1097 A.D. lines 16-17).
  - 83 El. XXI. 93 and 96 (Jubbulpur inscr. dated K.S. 918/1167 A.D.).
  - 84 El. XI. 47.
  - 85 Bhavnagar Prakrit and Sanskrit Inscriptions, p. 158.
  - 86 RT. VIII. 1953.
  - 87 Ibid. VII. 110.
  - 88 Ibid. VII. 122.
  - 89 Ibid, VII. 203.
  - 90 1bid. VII. 214.
  - 91 Ibid. VII. 1088.
  - 92 Ibid. 1102.
  - 93 Ibid. 1107.
  - 94 Ibid, VII. 1225.
  - 95 Ibid. VII. 1227.
  - 96 Ibid. VIII. 492.

# 244 SOCIO-ECONOMIC HISTORY OF NORTHERN INDIA

- 97 Ibid. VIII. 565-568.
- 98 Ibid. VIII. 1428.
- 99 Ibid. VIII. 2224.
- 100 Ibid. VIII. 2226.
- 101 Ibid. VIII. 2229.
- 102 Nītivākyāmritam (p. 82) Ch. XXI.
- 103 Sukranītisāra (ed. Cal.), IV. 2. 11.
- 104 Ibid. (ed. Cal.) IV. 7. 25-27.

### CHAPTER XII

# Daily life of the People

Insecurity characterised the daily life of the people of Northern India in the eleventh and twelfth centuries A.D. sacking of cities like Mathura, Kanaui, Somanatha and Banaras by the Turko-Afghan invaders left a trail of misery behind for a pretty long time. The insane craze for glory which actuated the princes to undertake frequent expeditions added to the misery of the people. Sacking of cities was very common. We cite here only a few examples. Paramāra Bhoja's general Kulachandra sacked Anahilapātaka. Someśvara I of Kalvāna avenged the invasion of the Chaulukya kingdom by plundering Dhara, Ujjain and Mandu in the middle of the eleventh century A.D. Anantavarman Chodaganga devastated and burnt the fortified town of Aramva.2 Yasah Karna sacked Champaran3 in North Bihar. In Kashmir several times rebels burnt and pillaged cities and villages.4 The result of one of these outbreaks of fire is vividly described by Kalhana. city (of Srinagara) which was reduced to a heap of earth", writes Kalhana, "there remained visible and aloft only the single great Buddha (statue) which, blackened by smoke and without its abode, resembled a burned tree." The people crossed at that time the bridges over the streams holding their noses, on account of the stench produced by the decomposing corpses which had swollen in the water. The ground which was white everywhere owing to its being covered with the fragments of skulls from fleshless human skeletons, seemed to observe, as it were, "the custom of skull-carrying ascetics".5 Fire consumed the granaries. Famine appeared in its wake. "The famishing people, who could scarcely walk, and whose tall bodies were emaciated and darkened by the sun-heat. appeared like scorched (wooden) posts." 6

The soldiers marching through villages of their own kingdom were legally entitled to free service of villagers and also supplies of food and fodder unless of course the village has been specially granted exemption from *chāṭa-bhaṭa*. An invading army seldom respected the private ownership of the crops standing in the fields of the country through which the general led an expedition. Life and property lay at the mercy of conquerors, exacting officers of one's kingdom and also of the unsocial elements in the community.

### Cities:

Capital towns, according to the Samarāngaṇasūtradhāra' must have access to water and possess forts, temples and houses of merchants. The Agni Purāṇa and the Sukranītisāra' prescribe that towns should be situated where there is plenty of water. This is why we find that in Kashmir, Gujarat, Bengal, Bihar and in the Uttar Pradesh, the well-known towns, like Srinagara, Barahamula, Sankarapura, Anhilwara, Broach, Cambay, Dholka, Kotivarsa, Tamralipta, Pundravardhana, Patna, Monghyr, Bhagalpur, Kanauj, Mathura, and Banaras were all situated on the sea or river.

The cities were not only centres of administration but also of trade and commerce. They were military bases as well. Some of them lost their importance for political reasons. Manyakheta, which received much praise as a city from the Arab chroniclers like Al Mas'ūdī (943 A.D.) and Ibn Haukal (968-976 A.D.), was sacked by Taila II in 972 A. D. Sultān Mahmūd destroyed beautiful cities like Bairat in Kashmir, Thaneswar in Uttar Pradesh and Narain near modern Jaipur. In Bengal, Somapura, which was once a famous seat of learning, was burnt down by the Vangāla army. These cities never again rose to prominence.

A number of new towns arose during the period under review. In Kashmir, Varāhamūla, Bhuṭṭapura and Ratnapura were founded respectively by a king, a minister and a queen. The other new cities in Northern India were Champāpura and Chandpur in the Chamba valley and Garhwal districts, Ajayameru in Rajputana, Asawal or Ahmedabad, Karṇāvatī to

or Karanbel, Kakkaredikā¹6 or modern Kakreri in Rewah, Prahādanapura¹7 or Palanpur (54 miles south of Mt. Abu in Gujarat). Tummāna in Madhya Pradesh, Rāmāvatī, Skandanagara and Lakhanauti,¹8 in Bengal. Amongst these, Varāhamūla¹9. Ratnapura, Rāmāvatī and Laksmaṇāvatī all stood on the banks of famous rivers like the Vitastā, and the Gangā. Feudal chiefs selected places like Tummāna. Kakkaredikā as their capital. Varāhamūla and Asawal were important centres of trade.

These towns were administered by Government officials who are mentioned in early *smṛti* works like Manu<sup>20</sup> and later works like *Sukranītisāra*<sup>21</sup>. The highest official was called the city-prefect.<sup>22</sup> The town prefects were helped by a committee, as in ancient India.<sup>23</sup> It appears from inscriptions that the members of the *Paṇchakula* or the committee of five in Siyadoni, Srimal and Satyapura<sup>24</sup> in Rajputana and Jhansi areas in the tenth and twelfth centuries A.D. All these non-officials were appointed by the kings or respective feudatory chiefs.<sup>25</sup> The Vaillabhattasvamin temple-inscription of Gwalior informs us that amongst the administrators of the city of Gopagiri (i.e., Gwalior) were merchants like Vavviyaka and Ichchhuvāka.<sup>26</sup>

The Nadol inscription dated V.S. 1198/c. 1141 A.D. informs us that the town of Dhalopa<sup>27</sup> had a very good and efficient police system. The town was divided into eight wards and each ward used to send two Brāhmaṇa representatives. These sixteen aldermen were provided with arms by the feudatory chief Rāyapāla. They promised solemnly that they would try to find out by means of *Chaukadika* (pañchāyat) system whatever was lost on the way. Brāhmaṇas too were required to help the representatives of the wards in finding out the lost property.

It seems that the main function of the city prefects and the committees of towns in Rajputana, Kashmir and Ajaigadh in the kingdom of the Chandellas, was mainly to detect thieves, recover stolen goods and perform such other types of police

duties. Hariṣeṇa in his  $B_Thatkath\bar{a}koṣa$ , compiled in the tenth century A.D. describes the police functions of Talara or the city prefect. The term Talara has been explained by Hemachandra as the city-superintendent of police in the  $Desin\bar{a}mam\bar{a}l\bar{a}.^{29}$  One of the stories of Hariṣeṇa relates how the Mayor of the town himself concealed a thief. In Rajputana and Gujarat, the permission of the  $Pa\bar{n}chakula$  was necessary for purchase of lands. They helped in the financing of temples.<sup>30</sup>

Popularly elected municipal bodies looking after the sanitation, transport, water-supply etc. were absent in our period. It was the duty of the government to look after these functions. We find, however, that the authorities of Srinagara did not make any arrangement to remove the decomposed corpses lying in the river during a famine, which followed the great conflagration<sup>31</sup> in 1123 A.D.

Every town was provided with temples, tanks wells, markets and other amenities of life.<sup>32</sup> And almost all the towns were surrounded with walls or ditches. Muslim writers have testified to the massive ramparts and adequate protective arrangements for all important towns in Northern India like, Bhatia, Bhimnagar, Mathura, Kanauj, Anhilwara etc.<sup>33</sup> A massive wall was erected by King Kumārapāla of Gujarat round Ānandapura<sup>34</sup> (modern Vadnagar) before 1151 A.D. "Deep foundations", the Vadnagar inscription describes. "great extent, ornamental projections, looking like monkeys' heads in the copings of bricks, circular in shape, the stonehead is white with stucco."

Within these enclosed cities lived all classes of people, the men of the three upper castes, physicians, merchants, artisans, tailors, musicians, fishermen, washermen, and other manual labourers. In view of the direct evidences of Lakṣmī-dhara³⁵, the Gāhadavāla Prime Minister, and the authors of Sukranītisāra and Samarānganasūtradhāra³⁶ we cannot agree with Profs. Habib and Yusuf Hussain that the Indian workers lived outside the cities in the period before the advent of the Turko-Afghans.³¹ Dancers and musicians, who were

looked down upon by legists, had a place in the city. Both Laksmīdhara and Bhoja tell us that they had a particular share in the festival of the raising of the flagstaff of Indra<sup>38</sup>, which was carried according to the dictates of the king. In almost all important cities, even in the famous city of Pataliputra, a seat of learning.<sup>39</sup> lived prostitutes.<sup>40</sup> Chānd Baradavī tells us that when one entered Kanaui, one could see the gambling house, dance of prostitutes and rich markets full of dealers in gold, jewelleries and clothes.41 however a conventional description and it can be fairly compared with the observations of Yuan Chwang on the same city. Rāmāvatī in North Bengal and Ānandapura in Northern Gujarat though separated by more than a thousand miles, resembled each other in the twelfth century A.D. Compare for example, the description of Anandapura as given in the Vadnagar Praśasti<sup>42</sup> with that of Rāmāvatī as depicted in Rāmacharita. The former Prasasti states: "loud noise of the Vedas (recited) by crowds of untired Brāhmins defends him. because the smoke of the fires, (blazing up) with uninterrupted oblations-Kali does not roam here because, the blows from the tips of the flags (raised) on numerous temples of the gods, lame him. The city's streets resplendent with the rays of jewels in the various ornaments of Brāhmins' wives taking their walks and made noisy by auspicious sounds of uninterrupted songs." Sandhyākaranandī describes Rāmāvatī as a city "which rivalled Amaravatī, which was resonant with the music of tabor of many varieties that was (specially) practised in Varendri, which was peopled by learned men devoted to truth and which was without any obstacles.... which was the home of pious souls, having no talk about any unfair transaction or litigation, which city, through its great figures known in history, assured security to all, and which had a series of lofty temples of gods....a city of rows of palaces with plenty of gold therein, and therefore, made it appear like the peak of the Meru."43 A similar description is given of Pravarapura in the Vikramānkadevacharita. 44 A

vivid description of the town of Ajmer has been given in the *Pṛthvīrūjavijaya*.<sup>45</sup> The residents of cities used camphor and musk.<sup>46</sup> They lived in houses which had more than two storeys. Sometimes clock-towers<sup>47</sup> were erected.

Unlike the modern cities of India, mediaeval Indian cities had adequate pasture lands and a considerable proportion of agricultural population. A side light on city-life is thrown by Medhātithi while explaining Manu VII. 13. In citing examples of orders issued by the King he states: "Today the city should observe a holiday—there is a marriage in the minister's house—all men should be present there." This shows how the subjects had to regulate their business in accordance with the whims and caprices of the King. The city which Medhātithī has in view must have rather a small population. The minister would have found it impossible to move about if fifty thousand or so of the townsmen had turned up at his house.

# Village life:

Villages were shore or less self-contained. Daily necessaries of life could be procured in big villages. The Nagari plates<sup>49</sup> of Anangabhīma III of Orissa, dated 1230 A.D. reveal that in the villages Pürnagrāma and Jayanagaragrāma in the Sailo-visaya lived a number of merchants such as a perfumer, a worker or dealer in conchshells, a splitter of wood, a goldsmith and a worker in bell-metal. There were three sellers of betel leaves, one florist, one manufacturer of raw sugar, at least one milkman, two weavers, one oilman, two potters, one washerman, a few craftsmen and three fishermen. As the persons mentioned in this inscription may be taken to be above thirty years of age, their names will show what types of name were given to simple village-folks in Orissa towards the end of the twelfth century. These were Vapuli, Nārāyana, Dāmodara, Mādhava, Chitra, Soma, Valhū, Keśava, Mahādeva. Narasimha, Siva. Mahānanda. Irandu. Mantu, Dhīru, Gobhī, Nāgu, Jagai, Gānu, Śūnya, Arjuna,

Visu, Rāju, Vāsu, and Padma. The preponderance of names synonymous with Visu is worth noticing.

The male folk of the villages were simple. Poets like Yogesvara and Subhānka tell us that the male liberties of the village, without the sophisticated manners of the citydwellers, on seeing a woman, ascend the tree-tops, jump across the well, show valour by overturning the bullock-cart and try to attract the lady by singing in a low voice.50 Sometimes in the month of Chaitra, they would try to kiss the women while they were asleep in the open.<sup>31</sup> The prayer of a rich farmer was that the local officer may be without any greed, cattle in plenty may purify the house, that cultivation may be fruitful and the housewife may be untiring in their entertainment of guests. 32 A lively picture of the happy peasant-proprietor has been drawn by Sarana, the Court Poet of King Laksmanasena, in these words:53 "Here the ladies are walking fast; their eyes are as red as the setting sun; as they are moving rapidly the scarf is falling down again and again and they are picking it up every time. They are traversing the path as quickly as possible because those peasants who have gone out to the fields in the morning will return to their homes shortly. And yet in such a condition they are busy in counting on fingers the money on the sale proceeds and also the amount spent in making purchases in the market." This poem leaves no doubt in the mind of readers about the total absence of purdah but not of veils amongst the women belonging to the agricultural classes.

### Amusements and Drama:

Dramatic performances were extremely popular during the period under survey. Dramas were staged before the kings, aristocrats as well as the common peaple. The themes were mostly drawn from epics and *Purāṇas*, as for example, Rāma. Nala or the fight between Indra and Kṛṣṇa for the Pārijāta, the celestial flower. Some of them were drawn from court life, as for example, the marriages of Karṇa, the King of Guia-

rat, Arjunavarman, the Paramara King, and Visaladeva, the Chāhamāna King, in the Karnasundarī, Pārijātamañjarī and Lalitavigraharājanātaka respectively. Others depict undesiraable characters like rogues in Latakamelaka of Kavirāja Śańkhadhara and robbers like Rauhineva in Prabuddharauhineva. Besides these, there were also allegorical plays like the Prabodhachandrodaya and the Moharājaparājaya.54 The dramatists hailed from different parts of Northern India for example. Kşemendra and Bilhana from Kashmir, Vīsaladeva and Somadeva from Rajputana, Rāmachandra and Yasahpāla, the authors of Nalavilāsa, Nirbhayabhīma and Moharājaparājaya from Gujarat area; Vatsarāja, Madana Bālasarasvatī, Krsnamiśra from Mid-India and Umapatidhara author of Pārijātaharana from Bengal and Kavirāja Sankhadhara from the Uttar Pradesh. Some amongst these authors were kings like Vīsaladeva, the Chāhamāna King of Sakambharī and feudatories like Vastupāla of Rajputana.

The venue of dramatic performances was either the palaces of kings<sup>55</sup> or temples. We do not find any special principle for building an auditorium either in ancient or in mediæval India. But it is likely that like other stages, the theatre was rectangular, "the stage being at the end of a short side". Inscriptions show that dramas were staged in some Hindu and Jaina temples. The Jalor inscriptions states that in V.S. 1268/c. 1210 A.D. in a central hall of a Jaina temple dramatic performances were held under the direction of Rāmachandrāchārya. The Anavada inscription, on the other hand, records that when the gifts made by various persons, merchants, and ship-owners were found insufficient for the expenses of the worship and theatricals in honour of Kṛṣṇa, the citizens of Prahlādanapura voluntarily agreed to pay taxes on the necessaries of life.

Sometimes dramatic performances were arranged in the open air. Kalhaṇa<sup>60</sup> hints at such an arrangement. "Thereupon when the king (Harṣa) was about to move (in that direction) his force dispersed in all directions, just like people

caught by a downpour while watching a theatrical performance."

In these performances there were both male and female participants. In contrast to pre-Restoration England where boys were trained to represent women, <sup>61</sup> the parts of women were played by women actresses in India from the time of the composition of Bharata's Nāṭyaśāstra. <sup>62</sup> It is also interesting to record that in ancient and early mediæval India, sometimes women played the ròle of male actors. <sup>63</sup> Of course, usually some of these actresses were wives of the actors.

Though the actors and actresses, as a community, were looked down upon in ancient India and the period under survey, they were honoured by kings.<sup>64</sup> Lakṣmīdhara, quoting Brahma Purāṇa, tells us that on the occasion of festivals in honour of deities, the king was to witness dramatic performances on every third day. To the ordinary actors, the king was required to give money according to their ability. The director of the play was to be honoured with betelnuts and flowers.<sup>65</sup>

The theatre was thus patronised by the kings and common people. A story of the king attending the shows along with commoners is recorded by Merutunga. Siddharāja, the Chaulukya King of Gujarat, while witnessing a drama in the Karnameru palace\*, found to his great surprise that an ordinary merchant, dealing in check-peas, placed his hands on the royal shoulder and offered betels to the King.

However, the common people shared the joys in such theatrical performances. In the *Triṣaṣṭiśalākāpuruṣacharita*, Hemachandra writes of the town and village folk laughing on seeing "fat men, men with projecting teeth, lame men, hunchbacks, flatnosed men, men with dishevelled hair, bald men, one-eyed men, and other deformed men; by ash-coloured men; by men with buttock bells, by musicians of the arm-pit

<sup>\*</sup> Prahandhachintāmaņi (Translation of Tawney, p. 106, and Singhi Jain Series, p. 84). Tawney refers to Karnameru as a temple and Hazari Prasad Dwivedi as a palace.

and the nose, by dancers of the ear and brow, by imitators of other people". Thus, unlike the English drama of the Restoration period, the Indian drama was neither localized in the capital nor did it cater to the taste only of the Court and the fashionable people of towns.

# Dancing and Musical Instruments:

In course of dramtic performances a spectator could see plenty of dancing and near songs accompanied by musical instruments. Dancers thronged also in the temple courtyard and the palace of the king. 67 Kings were patrons of music. Someśvara, the Chālukya King, was himself an eminent authority on music. 68 and such was his fondness for it that he recommended that the subject of debate between two logicians should be vocal or instrumental music or dancing. 69 Kalaśa developed a taste for choral songs (upāṅgagīta) for the first time in Kashmir. 70 The instruments, which we find mentioned during our period, were also known in much earlier periods, e.g., lute, drums, flutes, trumpets, gongs, cymbals, kettledrums, tabors 71 etc.

### Games:

Most of the indoor and outdoor games have a long history. In the eleventh and twelfth centuries A.D., adults and children took part in games, which can be traced in the Kāmasūtra of Vātsyāyana and Daśakumāracharita of Daṇḍin.

Duels, wrestling, fighting with a sham stick, polo and something like hockey were played by sturdy young boys. Both in Kashmir and in Mid-India duels were encouraged by the kings.<sup>72</sup> But while in Kashmir, the combatants' duel was encouraged by the King Uchchala specially on monthly reception days and other festivals like Indra-festivals, King Someśvara Chālukya allowed it only on Saturdays and when the contestants refused to settle the quarrel without any fight. In both the places the kings are known to have given rewards to the victors. The victorious wrestlers were also

rewarded with dress, ornaments, vehicles, or horses.<sup>73</sup> Wrestling is as old as Pāṇini (Aṣṭādhyā)ī, 3.3.36) and early Buddhist literature.<sup>74</sup> The game of polo, which was previously unknown, was popular in the Chaulukya kingdom.<sup>75</sup> The editor of Mānasollāsa informs us that the Polo game differed from the Afghan and Mughal Polo in "having two sets of goals" and "the ball is made of Pāribhadra tree".<sup>76</sup> Hockey seems to have cropped up in Gujarat slightly earlier to our period.<sup>77</sup> If we are to believe in the information given by Abhayatilaka Gaṇi, the commentator of Hemachandra's Dvyāśrava, the game was familiar in the Mathura region. He tells us that Śrīkṛṣṇa played this game<sup>78</sup> in his early life.

Another popular outdoor game for grown-up boys and girls was playing with a ball. The participants of *charchari* dance were exclusively ladies. On this occasion the richly attired ladies sprinkled one another with water. It was of course distinct from water-sport, which was known in India from a very early period. The swings or *dolāvilāsa*, specially during the spring season, afforded good opportunity to the young men and women to express their sentiment of love. Hemachandra gives a graphic description of the lovers. Songs enlivened this amusement. Some of the young beautiful ladies, swung up and down, and their anklets rang in unison. 82

Among indoor games, the most ancient and yet extremely popular in the period under survey, were dice and chess. And Dr. U. N. Ghoshal, on the basis of Moharājaparājayā And, refers to five varieties of gambling for different sections of the community, like kings, millionaires, merchants and even small boys. Though the smrtis prohibited gambling as early as Manu yet people played it. The law-givers themselves permitted the play with dice on the occasion of the worship of Lakshmī on the first day of the bright-half of the month of Kārttika. However, it is necessary to mention here that of all contemporary kings, Kumārapāla made earnest, efforts to stop gambling with dice.

Besides dice, boys and girls played ancient games like hide

and seek, odd and even, 89 which are also played in modern India.

Amusements exclusively for women were playing with dolls and sometimes with strings attached to them. 60 Karkaraka, which meant throwing of pebbles in the air 61 and Navālava, a sort of religious spring festival, during which women not giving out their husbands' names were beaten by other married ladies with creepers of Palāśa. 92

A detailed account of other expensive and royal amusements and pastimes occurs in the Mānasollāsa. Sri G. K. Shrigondekar, the editor of the Mānasollāsa, has given a lucid and long description of the sport with elephants, birudānka or riding a buffalo with a burning torch even during the day, cock-fight (tāmrachūdavinoda), amusements of quails (lāvakavinoda), ram-fight (meşayuddha), buffalo-fight (mahişavinoda), pigeon-flight (pärāvatavinoda), amusements with dogs (sārameyavinoda), hawking (śyenavinoda), angling (matsyavinoda), hunting (mṛgaya) and hunting leopards (vyāghrajā). As many as twenty-one kinds of hunting are described in the Mānasollāsa. All the above-mentioned sports are also mentioned in other literary compositions. The fights of birds, elephants, cocks, rams, buffaloes, quails93, pigeonflights?4, hunting, hawking and angling95 are referred to in other earlier works like the Astādhyāyī, Mrcchakatika or Trisastiśalākāpurusacharita and Hammiramahākāvya.

Thus most of the games of early mediæval India were practised as early as the time of Pāṇini and Vātsyāyana. Some of the ancient institutions like Samājas³6, Sannivesa³7. chariot race, which became obsolete by the time of Aśoka or early centuries of the Christian era were not revived. Moreover, some of the amusements like Viśakhadikā, Aśokattaṁśikā, Puṣpavācayika, Damanabhañjika etc. which by the fourth century A.D. had begun to be counted as festivals, continued to please the people even during the eleventh and twelfth centuries A.D. Only Polo and hockey may be said to be the legacy of the eleventh century to modern India.

## Food and Drink:

Wheat is known to have been cultivated in India as early as the age of Vājasaneyī-Samhitā (XVIII, 12). But it was not and even today is not used in many parts of India. In 851 A.D. Sulaiman noted that "rice is the most common food of the Indians who eat no wheat".98 Dr Ghoshal has quoted the authority of the Vaijayanti to show that wheat was treated as food fit for Mlechchhas.99 But it may be pointed out that Yādavaprakāśa, the author of Vaijayantī, was born near Kanchipuram and that the South is still reluctant to take wheat. So far as North India is concerned Laksmidhara's frequent references to the consumption of godhūma on festive occasions leave no doubt about the popularity of wheat in the Uttar Pradesh in the twelfth century. He recommends the use of wheat preparations on the Janmāstamī<sup>100</sup>, on the ninth day of the bright-half of the month of Bhādra<sup>101</sup> and many other occasions. Wheat was offered to the Jaina deity in Rajputana. 102 Hemachandra refers to the use of wheat flower in the preparation of sweets. 103 The use of Karotī which is explained by the commentator as powdered wheat baked in fire occurs in the Rāmacharita.<sup>104</sup> The word Roti seems to have been derived from Karoti. The Bhavaprakāśa uses the word Rotika itself.

All over Northern India, we find that the most popular articles of diet were rice, fruits, vegetables and milk. Ibn Masah of the tenth century records that the people of Gujarat believed that the use of rice and cow's milk as the only items of food which prolong longevity, prevents the onset of old age and gives good complexion.<sup>105</sup> Rice was also the principal food of the people of Kashmir and the Uttar Pradesh. Śrīharsa refers to the serving of rice "unbroken, entire and crisp, with vapour playing over it," on the occasion of feeding the guests in the marriage ceremony of Nala and Damayanti.<sup>106</sup> The Prākṛta Paingala tells us that a fortunate housewife serves hot rice on green plantain leaf with cow's ghee, fish and Nālitā vegetable to her husband daily. In Gujarat the varied types

of dishes were prepared from rice mixed with sesamum and milk, curds, and spices. Hemachandra also notes that *Pāruallo* or rice parched and flattened was a favourite dish. Milk rice was one of the favourite meals of the people of the U. P. and Bengal. In Orissa, rice cooked with curd and *ghee* was offered to the gods. 110

It is rather strange that though milk and its various preparations were popular in India. Bhavadeva prohibited its use in expiatory ceremonies.<sup>111</sup>

Amongst the different kinds of pulse used by North Indians, particularly Kashmir, Uttar Pradesh and Gujarat were kulattha, chana, masūra, mudga or phaseolus mungo.<sup>112</sup>

# Forbidden Vegetarian Food:

Laksmidhara and Chandesvara, quoting earlier authorities, have given a long list of forbidden food. 113 Among these the most important are onions and garlic, rice with meat (palāndu), vārtāku, bottle gourd (alābu), a fungus which looks like an umbrella-shaped flower (kavaka), vajraphalī reed plant (nala or kalambikā) cocoanut (nālikera), śvetavrintāka or śvetavārtāku, a species of kadamba, kumbhānda or kumbhālu, kuchunda or kuvrinda, parārika or a kind of palāndu, nālikāśāka, rice boiled with tila or sesamum (kṛṣara), powdered wheat boiled with ghee and boiled milk (sañyāva), mungo pulses cooked in sesamum oil (śaskulī), powdered wheat or barley (saktū) mixed The juice of sugarcane was also forbidden. with curd.114 The taking of masūra pulses was allowed only in times of These restrictions apply mostly to a microscopic minority in the community, namely, the orthodox Brāhmanas. We find that barley was used in Kashmir<sup>116</sup> and Rajputana.<sup>117</sup> In spite of the śāstric injunctions, the people of Kashmir used onions, which was even offered to the gurus<sup>118</sup> or preceptors. Bengalees felt no hesitation in using cocoanuts.119

### Fish:

With regard to fish, we find that it was eaten practically all over Northern India. In Bengal, Bhatta Bhavadeva, Jīmū-

tavāhana, Śrīnāthāchārya and others allowed the eating of fish on some festive (parvan) days. But they prohibit the use of those fishes which have ugly forms or have heads like snakes, which live in holes and are without any spine. 120 Sarvananda informs us that the people of Vangala like dried fish.<sup>121</sup> Almost a similar attitude to the taking of fish is found in Laksmidhara's Niyatakālakānda. He quotes Manu V. 16 and Yājñavalkya 1. 177-178 and states that even a Brāhmana may offer pāthīna and rohita, and all other fishes which had spines and rājīva and simhatunda.112 Kavirāja Sankhadhara, who composed the Latakamelaka under Govindachandra Gāhadavāla, tells us that Madanamañjarī, daughter of Dantūra, swallowed a fish bone. It was only by conversations and actions of the quack-doctor Jantuketu that the bone could be dislodged. Thus the statements of Laksmidhara and the author of Latakamelaka show that the people of the Uttar Pradesh were not vegetarians. In Kashmir as well as in the kingdom of Someśvara, the author of Mānasollāsa, fish was served to the people.<sup>124</sup> The Kashmiris considered fish-broth as a tonic.125 Only in contemporary Gujarati literature we do not find any mention of fish as an article of food, because the Jaina element was exceptionally strong there.

### Meat :

Laksmīdhara and Chandesvara allow the Brāhmaņas as well as other members of the community to take meat, provided they are offered beforehand to the gods and manes. It was also permissible for a sick person to take meat. 126 The tenor of the writing of Laksmīdhara shows that meat was normally taken on all the days excepting some special occasions like the full moon day of Āśvina, when it is distinctly stated that the food should be without any meat. 127 In Bengal, the flesh of deer, goat, hare, godha, porcupine and tortoise could be eaten, but not snails, crabs, fowls, cranes, ducks, datyāha birds, camels, boars, cows etc. 128 In Kashmir

not only fowl, ram and goats, but also domesticated pigs were taken by the people.<sup>129</sup> In Mid-India it seems from Mānasollāsa that the meat of boar, deer, hare, sheep, goat, birds, fish, tortoises, crabs and even rats were taken by kings.<sup>130</sup> An inscription of Bhoja records that Brāhmaṇas took meat.<sup>131</sup> Different preparations of meat and rice and other grains, are mentioned by Kalhaṇa and Lakṣmādhara.<sup>132</sup> Hemachandra refers to roasted meat or something like shik-kabāb taken by his countrymen.<sup>133</sup> The preparations of meat are also referred to in the Naiṣadhacharita and inscriptions.<sup>134</sup>

In this connection it would be interesting to record that there is a good deal of agreement between Lakṣmīdhara and Alberuni regarding the list of animals, whose flesh was not permitted to be taken, as for example, cows, horses, mules, asses, camels, elephants, tame poultry, crows, parrots and nightingales.<sup>135</sup>

It is curious to note that while *Mānasollāsa* states that the king may take flesh of rats, such a thing is distinctly forbidden by Laksmīdhara.<sup>136</sup> In Kashmir too mice were taken by common people.<sup>137</sup>

As compared to ancient times we find that during the eleventh century A.D., the digest-makers like Lakşmīdhara did not like that big bulls should be sacrificed for a distinguished guest. Referring to Vasiṣṭha's rule that a big bull (mahokṣa) was to be killed in order to entertain an honourable guest, Lakṣmīdhara explains that such a custom was prevalent in olden days.<sup>138</sup>

Tradition records that beef was taken by the artisans of Madhyadeśa<sup>130</sup> or Mid-India. This might have been the custom in some previous age, but not in the eleventh and twelfth centuries A.D.

### Wine:

The knowledge of preparation of drinks like paisti from cereals, gaudī from guda or dried-up juice of sugarcane, mādhvi from madhu or honey, medaka from rice, āsava from

treacle, madhu from grapes etc. was passed on from olden days to the people of the twelfth century A.D.<sup>140</sup> Amongst these intoxicant drinks possibly the most popular were those prepared from molasses and rice.

With regard to the attitude of the law-givers to the drinking of wine, it must be said that at no period of Indian history it was favoured. During our period Bhatta Bhavadeva of Bengal disapproved of its use by all classes of people. Probably knowing this attitude, the Muslim travellers like Sulaiman have praised the abstention of Indians from wine. But in Chapter XV we shall show that wine was rather extensively used by some classes of men and women.

An account of the articles of food in the eleventh and twelfth centuries A.D. reveal some startling changes which have taken place in course of time. The most important of these is the almost total abstention from meat and fish by the Brāhmaṇas of Upper India. We notice that contemporary literature of Bengal does not mention either the cultivation or taking of pulses. It will not be safe to draw any conclusion from this negative evidence. Masūra and māṣa pulses were not favoured by the Brāhmaṇas of the Uttar Pradesh in normal times. While the drinks prepared from the juice of sugarcane was disallowed in the Uttar Pradesh, it was in use in Bengal in the period under survey.

The poorer section of the community had to remain contented with barley, rice and vegetables. In Kashmir, barley was looked upon as a cereal for poorer people. Kalhana tells us that in his worst days, Harşa was given barley. 143 Elsewhere the above-mentioned chronicler informs us that when the priests of the shrine of Bhūteśvara (Siva) wished to demonstrate their poverty before the King Avantivarman, they offered to the deity only "a wild-growing vegetable of bitter taste called utpalaśāka". 144 That rice, vegetable plants or śāka and āmalaka and priyāla fruits formed the simplest diet in Mid-India is also evident from the food taken by the Saiva ascetic Prabodhasiva in the tenth century A.D. 145 The

Yaśastilakachampū tells us of the following course of food taken by the miser Kiliñjaka: stale boiled rice which was full of husk and gravel, rotten beans, drops of rancid atasī oil, overburnt brinjals, gruel mixed with plenty of mustard, and a beverage having some alkaline fluid with a taste like that of salted water. This poor fare may be contrasted with the menu for the richer people which consisted of rice, white and clear like the glances of beautiful women, curries charming as the graceful movements of dancing girls, curds well-shaped and hard like the breasts of a savoury woman; milk sweet as the glances of one's beloved; sugared preparations of milk-rice, and water perfumed with camphor, delicious sweets as well as rice boiled along with milk and sugar. 147

## Alms-houses:

The wide-spread poverty of the people probably inspired richer classes to endow a large number of alms-houses. Most of these alms-houses were attached to temples148 and were meant to cater to the needs of pilgrims and wandering bands These sattras or anna-sattras were started not of scholars. only by kings like Yaksapāla at Gaya.149 Vallabhadeva of Assam in Hapyacha-mandala,150 Chāhamāna Prithvīrāja I151 Chaulukya Jayasimha<sup>152</sup> near Sahasralinga tank at Patan, Vatsarāja<sup>153</sup> of Lāṭa, Govindarāja<sup>154</sup> of Valabhī in Saurāstra and Javasimha of Kashmir, 155 feudatories like Thakkura Lūnapāsaka at Salakhanapura<sup>156</sup> in Gujarat, Mahāmandaleśvara Vaijalladeva, a feudatory under the Chaulukya kings Ajavapāla and Bhīma II, in Khandohaka,137 Māndalika Brahmadeva under the Kalachuri King Prithvideva II of Ratanpur, at Kumarakota,158 minister Gangadhara who served King Ratnadeva II at Nārāyaṇapura,159 which is thirty miles north-east of Kharod Bilaspur, a Kāyastha named Kanaka<sup>160</sup> in Kashmir in the reign of Sussala and the Brāhmaņa Prahāsa at Siyamba<sup>161</sup> in Bengal. Most of these alms-houses were endowed with villages162 and free meals were given to the poor people, the blind, the old, the infirm and travellers.163 Some

times the donors like the Chaulukya kings Siddharāja and Bhīma II, Mahāmāṇdalika Vaijalladeva and Govindarāja of Saurāṣṭra specifically stated that they were meant for the feeding of the Brāhmaṇas only. Vaijalladeva sometime before 1173 A.D. laid down the condition that fifty Brāhmaṇas would be fed, but they must not have been fed previously<sup>164</sup> and so possibly alms were received by only those Brāhmaṇas who had just arrived to settle themselves in the villages. The inscription of Govindarāja categorically states that the alms-houses were not only meant for beggars, travellers and Brāhmaṇas but also for scholars and students who could stay in the hall of charity, <sup>165</sup> which was endowed with four plots of land.

## Inns:

A perusal of the writings of Abu Zeid of Siraj reveals that inns were built on the highways for the accommodation of travellers. In order that these travellers might buy necessary articles themselves, shops were opened close to the inns. That foreign writer also notes that in order that the travellers might satisfy their sexual urge, the persons who set up inns also settled public-women in them.<sup>166</sup>

While the foundation of alms-houses was an act of charity, the *dharmaśāstras* laid down that hospitality was a duty. Yājñavalkya I. 121 and Parāśara 1. 38 directed that it was the duty of the householder to maintain dependants, show hospitality, observe śrāddha and worship the five daily sacrifices. These authorities have also been quoted with approval by Laksmīdhara and Chandeśvara.<sup>167</sup>

North Indians of the eleventh and twelfth centuries A.D were more large-hearted than people of previous ages. Sātā-tapa<sup>168</sup> denied hospitality and even 'verbal courtesy' to those heretics who followed non-Vedic religion, who neglected duties of the stages of life or ásrama, who paraded the glories of their own religion without practising it, vicious men, who paraded their dialectical powers by arguing against

the *Vedas*, and who practised false humility like cranes. But Laksmīdhara while commenting upon these verses of Śātātapa, says that the above-mentioned classes of persons may be denied all the rites of hospitality, but not food (annadānamātram tu na nisiddhayate). 169

# Poverty:

In a feudal society peasants and artisans are usually condemned to lead a life of poverty. Material wealth is normally monopolised by the aristocracy, which consists of three-tierslanded. official and mercantile. There are some indications to show that the masses of North India during the period under survey were not in very affluent circumstances. Their needs were very few, they did not aspire for luxuries; they earned enough only for two square meals a day, one or two pieces of cloth to hide their nakedness and some kind of shelter over their head. Contemporary literature shows that even these were not available to many. A poem of Dhendhanapāda<sup>170</sup> purports to say that his house stood on a solitary hillock, in which there was no rice in the earthen pot and every one was hungry. Like the family of frogs the number of his children increased ever and anon. A poem of Vara describes how a poor housewife with her emaciated body and torn clothes feels perplexed at the clamour of her children for food and she prays that one mana measure of rice may be sufficient for them for one hundred days. 171 The lack of house room and additions to the family are referred to in another poem thus: "Within the house is the kitchen. there the mortor, there too the crockery, there the children. there his own study. He has put up witht all that, but what can we say of the condition of the wretched householder when his wife, who today or tomorrow will present him with a new addition to his family, must spend there her time of labour". 172 Still another poem quoted by Śrīdharadāsa (in 1206 A.D.) depicts the pitiable dearth of clothes. It runs

<sup>\* 1</sup> Māṇa is equivalent to 320 tolās or 131 ounces.

as follows: "Even when I saw my children suffering from hunger, their body emaciated like dead body, or when I saw the old and worn out water-jar leaking. I did not feel so much sorrow as when I saw my wife smiling pitiably and making a request to a female neighbour for the loan of a needle to mend her torn cloth and the latter turned angry" 173 Poets must have used hyperboles in describing the poverty of the people in these poems, but there was certainly a substratum of truth behind the poetic exaggeration.

# Fatalism and Astrology:

It is no wonder that the poor living under such wretched conditions and the rich perpetually haunted by the fear of bureaucratic oppression or invasion, sack of cities and looting of property would turn pathetically to astrology.

Laksmidhara quotes several passages <sup>174</sup> from the Rāmā-yaṇa, Vyāsa, Matsya Purāna and Manusmṛiti to prove that fatalism is the creed of cowards and sluggards. The author of the Sukranītisāra also praises men who depend on their own endeavours rather than rely on fate. <sup>175</sup> Such a belief in human effort was preached earlier by Medhātithi in the ninth century A.D. In explaining Manu VII. 205 he writes: "Thus destiny being 'incomprehensible' it is not necessary to devote much attention to it. It is human activity which we can think over and then do what has to be done."

But these exhortations fell mostly on deaf ears in the troubled years of the eleventh and twelfth centuries A.D. Even Laksmidhara and the author of the Sukranītisāra had to admit the influence of fate (daiva). Both of them believed that success or failure in one's life was the result of one's actions in the previous births.<sup>176</sup> Once this is recognised, fatalism cannot but steal in. Even a learned historian like Kalhana ascribes many events in Kashmir to fate or destiny.<sup>177</sup>

All sections of the community believed in good and bad omens and in lucky and unlucky stars. Kshemendra in the

ninth canto of his Kalāvilāsa draws attention of the scholarly world to the various forms of roguery practised by the astrologers. But the response from the literary public must have been poor. The Samudratilaka, a book on omens, was begun by Durlabharāja in 1160 A.D. and finished by his son Jagaddeva. Jagaddeva also composed a work on dreams entitled the Svapnachintāmani. Probably the nadir of intelligence and commonsense was reached when the twelfth century writer Narapati claimed in his book, Narapatija-yacharyā Svarodaya that with the help of diagrams the results of wars and adventures could be forecast. 178

Astrologers enjoyed an enviable position in courts. Dr. D. C. Sircar has referred to the recommendation of smṛitis and nītišāstras on appointment of astrologers by kings.<sup>179</sup> As in Arab and western countries, <sup>180</sup> so the Gāhaḍavālas <sup>181</sup> maintained astrologers in their courts. King Govindachandra on the occasion of his ceremonial bath on the akṣaya-tṛitīyā day at Monghyr, granted a village to a Brāhmaṇa astrologer named Śrīdhara <sup>182</sup> in V.S. 1202/1146 A.D. Other astrologers were also donees of lands granted by kings of Somavainsī, Bhañja <sup>183</sup> and Kalachuri <sup>184</sup> dynasties. Sometimes these astrologers acted as witnesses to Chāhamāna grants <sup>185</sup> and helped the Chaulukya King <sup>186</sup> in expeditions.

Belief in astrology exerted a baneful influence on all cases of people. Kings and generals did not go out on an expedition when it was politically expedient to do so, but only at the time declared as auspicious by the astrologer. Their repeated defeats did not teach them that astrological forecasts were incorrect and as such untrustworthy for future guidance. The masses reconciled themselves to the condition under which they were living on the ground that their sufferings were ordained by the stars or were the results of the actions of their previous births. This fatalistic belief made many of them complacent and deprived them of initiative and energetic perseverance.

#### REFERENCES TO CHAPTER XII

#### (Daily life of the People)

- 1 DHNI, VOL. II, p. 950.
- 2 JAHRS. VIII, p. 40ff.
- 3 EI II, p. 11, verse 14.
- 4 RT. VII. 1325, VIII. 734. 1127, 1169-1185.
- 5 Ibid., VIII, 1184, 1210-1211.
- 6 Ibid., VIII. 1212.
- 7 SS. Ch. XVIII. 1-6.
- 8 Agni Purana, Ch. 239. 24-28 and Sukranitisāra (ed. Cal.) J. 213-214.
- 9 Centres of administration--Anhilwara (BG. I. p. 511-512), Vanthali (IA. XVIII. 110), Lattalur (IA. XIX. 164), Tekkali (EI. XXI. 132), Mt. Abu, Varanasi-Katak as capital of the Somavańsi kings, Khajuraho, Chadobha (DHNI. II. 832) Dhavalapuri and Partabgarh as seats of Chāhamāna kings (DHNI. II. 1058-59) Naddūla or Nādol (DHNI. II. 1104fl), Javalipur or Jalor (DHNI. II. 1124fl), Vagada or Dungarpur (DHNI. II. 1206ff).

Trade and commerce—Broach, Cambay, Cheul, Somanatha Supara (BG. I. p. 513ff), Sripatha or modern Biana (EI. 111. 48), Ambadapura in Berar (EI. XXI. 127), Chadobha or modern Dubkund (DHNI II. 832), Asawal or Ahmedabad (HIED. 1. 87-88), Tribeni in Bengal.

Military bases—Kachh (BG. 1. p. 517ff), Kalanjar (DHNI, II. 665), Gopagiri or Gopādri or modern Gwalior (DHNI, II. 678, 823), Kol in Gujarat (HIED II. 222), Charaṇādri or Chunar, Monghyr and Patna during Pāla regime.

- 10 El. XXI. 98, verse 2; HB, I. p. 199.
- 11 RT. VIII. 2431 and 2440.
- 12 Ancient Geography, pp. 162. 408.
- 13 Prthvīrājavijava, canto V.
- 14 BG. I, p. 513.
- 15 El. XXI. 96.
- 16 DHNI II, p. 795 (Rewah grant).
- 17 Introduction p. V. of Parthaparakramavyayoga (GOS).
- 18 De, N. L. Geographical Dictionary of Ancient and Mediaeval India, p. 113.
  - 19 Stein in RT. II. p. 483 (Appendices).
  - 20 Manu VII. 121.
  - 21 Sukranītisāra (ed. Cal.) I. 374.
  - 22 AR. pp. 181-182.
  - 23 El. VIII. 82 (Nasik inscription), El. XV. 150 (Damodarpur pl).
- 24 El. I. 169-170 (Siyadoni inscr.); El. XI. 56 (Bhinmal inscr.), Ibid XI. 58 (Sanchor inscr.). Cf. earlier references to Pañchakula in Hund (El. XXII 97), and Chapa (IA, XII, 113-114) inscriptions.

- 25 Cn nature and functions of *Pañchakula vide* Majumdar A. K. *History of the Chaulukvas of Gujarat*, pp. 236-242. His conclusions are that these were associated with and appointed by the provincial government, sometimes were placed in charge of cities and were appointed to supervise construction of a temple or seize property of the deceased.
  - 26 El. I. 154ff, lines 2-5.
- 27 El. XI, pp. 37-41 Dhalopa is four miles to the south-west of Nādol (DHNI, II, 1112).
  - 28 El. l. pp. 333-334 verse 13.
  - 29 JUPHS XIX. (1946), pp. 79-80.
- 30 Veraval inser. of Arjunavarman (IA. XI. 241), Anavada inser. (PO. III. 25 and IA. 1912, pp. 20-21), inser. of Bhīma II (IA. XVIII. 110); Porbandar inser. of Vīsaladeva (Po. II. 225).
  - 31 RT. VIII. 1210.
- 32 Rāj. pp. 42-51ff; SS. ch. X. 14-15, RC. III. 9, 30, 41-42; Śukranītisāra (ed. Sarkar) I. 431-433; Prithvīrājavijaya, canto V: EI. II. 238, XXIII. 250, and XXVIII, 140.
  - 33 HIED. II. pp. 34, 40, 44 and 46.
  - 34 El. I. 295 (Vadnagar-Prasasti-Verses 23-26).
  - 35 Rāj. 42. (dvijavaidya-vaņik-silpī-kūrakṣakastathā).
- 36 Sukranitisūra (ed. Cal.) II. 198-205; (ed. Sarkar, II, 397-411); SS. ch X. 89-102.
- 37 Introduction p. 54 to HIED II (ed. Aligarh); Husain, Y: Mediaeval Indian Culture pp. 133fl.
  - 38 SS. (Vol. I. p. 84) ch. XVII. verses 185, 191; Rāj. pp. 180-188.
  - 39 Kathāsaritsügara (Tr. Tawney) Vol. I, p. 79.
  - 40 Samayamāirikā (NS. Press) canto IV, verses 72-73.
- 41 Prthvīrāja-rāso, canto 61, verses 424-445 quoted in Trivedi: Chānd Baradāyī aur unkā kāvya. p. 93.
  - 42 EI, I. 295 (Vadnagar Prasasti, verses 21-22).
- 43 RC. III. verses 29-30 and 32 (ed. Majumdar, Basak and Banerji).
  - 44 Vikramānkadevacharita, canto XVIII.
  - 45 Prthvīrājavijaya canto V. (JRAS. 1913, p. 273).
  - 46 Pṛthvīrājavijaya (JRAS. 1913, p. 273); RC. III. 33-36.
  - 47 Prahandhachintāmaņi (Tr. Tawney) p. 29; ch. X. 14-16.
  - 48 Raj. p. 42; SS. ch. XIX. 58; Sukranītisāra (ed. Cal.) I. 212.
  - 49 EI. XXVIII, p. 244.
  - 50 Sadukti, II. 84. 2 (ed. Punjab Oriental Series No. XV. p. 123).
  - 51 1bid., II. 84, 4 (ed. Punjab Oriental Series No. XV. p. 124).
  - 52 Ibid., V. 38. 2 (p. 303).
  - 53 Sadukti V. 1. 5 (p. 278).
  - 54 Struggle for Empire, pp. 309-312.
  - 55 SS. ch. 15 verse 23 (Vol. I. p. 63).
  - 56 Renou: The Civilisation of Ancient India, p. 161.

- 57 EI. XI. 54-55 (Jalor inscr); IA. XLI. (1912), pp. 20-21 (Anavada inscr).
  - 58 EI. XI. 54f.
  - 59 IA. XLI. 20f.
  - 60 RT. VII. 1606.
  - 61 Trevelyan: English Social History, pp. 202, 259-260.
  - 62 RT. VII. 858.
- 63 Bharata's Nāṭyaśātsra 26. 5. 11-12. 166-167: Priyadarśikā canto 111. 7; Kuṭṭanīmatam (ed. T. N. Ray) verse 802.
  - 64 Cf. Kuttanimatam (ed. T. N. Ray) Verse 931
  - 65 Raj. pp. 180-181.
- 66 Trisastisalākā purusacharita, Vol. III, p. 239. See also Majumdar, A. K.: Chaulukyas of Gujarat, p. 354 for history of men with buttock-bells (āpana-ghantā).
- 67 RT. VII. 606. 928-931, 944, RC. III. 35-37, IB. III. 35 and 41; Plates of Viśvarūpasena and Keśavasena.
  - 68 Saingitaratnäkara, Introductory chapter I, verse 18.
  - 69 Mānasollāsa (GOS, Vol. 84, p. 187) Vimsati IV. 2. 74.
  - 70 RT. VII. 606.
- 71 Vaij. 114-141. 145-147; Abhidhānachintāmaņi 117. 6f; RT. VIII. 901-02, 1538 for drums, gongs and cymbals; Raj. pp. 16, 183; EI. II. 185 (Nagpur inscr. dated 1104-05 A. D. verse 30 for paṭaha jharjhara and kettledrum).
- 72 RT. VIII. 169-174; *Mānasollāsa* (GOS. Vol. 84, p. 225) Vifnśati IV. 5, 828-878 mentions as many as eight varieties.
- 73 Mānasollāsa (GOS Vol. 84, p. 229) Vinšati IV. 5. 879-996; Kathūsaritsūgara (ed. Penzer, Vol. 11) Bk. V. Ch. 25, p. 200.
- 74 PIHC. 1939 (Calcutta Session) p. 256, Arch. Survey Memoir, N. 55, pl. XXVI. No. 31.
- 75 Mānasollāsa (GOS Vol. 84, pp. 211-225) vimšati IV. 4, 661-827.
  - 76 Introduction, p. 35 of Mānasollāsa (GOS. Vol. 84).
- 77 DV. III. verse 10. VII. 10 and 44; Tṛṣaṣṭiśalāk@puruṣacharita, Vol. III, p. 68. A detailed account is given in Majumdar, A. K.: Chaulukyas of Gujarat. pp. 359-360.
  - 78 Commentary on DV. VII. 44.
- 79 Abhidhānachintāmaņi 277. 352-353; Vaij 171. 161-162. Cf. earlier references to the play with ball (kanduka) in Dašakumāracharita, Ucchvāsa 6 where the participants are ladies; Svapnavāsavadattam, ch. II.
  - 80 Kuṭṭanīmatam, verse 886: Vikramorvaýī, IV. 292-293.
- 81 Pavanadūtam (ed. Chakravarti) verses 33 and 38; Kumārapūla-charita, IV. 41-58; Cf. Handiqui; Yašastilakachampū and Indian Culture, p. 169 for watersports of youngmen in Sipra.
  - 82 Kumārapālacharita, Canto III., verses 19-26.
  - 83 RV. I. 41. 91. X. 34. 8 and 12; Satapatha Brāhmana, V. 4.

- 46, Taiitīrīya Sarhhitā, VIII. 16, Mbh. IV. 1. 24; Jātaka I. 151, 221, III, 61, 131, VI. 133, 137, 171 etc; Kāmasūtra, I. 3. 16; Vangīya Mahākośa v. akṣa, HB. I. 615; Sūtrakritānga I. 9. 17; Kuṭṭanīmatam, verse II, Kathāsaritsāgara (ed. Tawney) Vol. II, p. 225; RT. VIII. 1740, 2969; Sachau I. p. 183, JRAS, 1898, p. 129.
  - 84 Moharājaparājaya Act. IV. pp. 86-87.
  - 85 Struggle for Empire, pp. 489-490.
  - 86 Manu IX. 220.
  - 87 Niyata p. 422.
  - 88 Moharājaparājaya, p. 83.
- 89 Deśīnāmamāla I. 53, III. 30; Prabandhachintāmaņi (Tr. Tawney), p. 139 (td. Singhi Jain Series, p. 89); muṣṭidyūtam referred to in Deśīnāmamālā I. 7 (ambettī).
- 90 Abhidhānachintāmaņi 404-480; Vaij, 137. 11-14; Cf. Kumārasambhavam I. 19 and Kuṭṭanīmatam, verse 728.
  - 91 Trisastisalākā purusacharita, Vol. I, p. 150.
- 92 Trisasţiśalākāpuruşacharita, Vol. I, p. 158; Vol. III. 262; Deśīnāriamālā, IV. 21.
- 93 Mānasollāsa Viihšati IV. 10. verses 997-1276; Brhaspati XXVI. 1. (SBE. Vol. XXXIII); Trisastišalākā puruşacharita, Vol. III. p. 279.
- 94 Mānasollāsa IV. 11. verses 1277-1297; Mahāvīracharita, Verse 74.
- 95 Mānasollāsa, IV. 13. verses 1330-1725 referring to twenty-one kinds of hunting; Astādhyāyi V. 4. 126, IV. 4. 35. IV 3. 5; Hammīramahākāvya, IV. 38f.
- 96 Aṣṭādhyāyī III. 3. 99.; IV. 4. 43, Kāmasūtra I. 4. 31; Mbh. Adi. I. 82.
  - 97 Aṣṭādhyāyī. II. 2. 19.
  - 98 Ancient Accounts of India and China, p. 34.
  - 99 Struggle for Empire, p. 485.
  - 100 Niyata p. 395; Krityaratnākara, p. 257.
- 101 Niyata, p. 397; Krityaratnākara, p. 285.
- 102 EI. XI. 57.
- 103 Desināmamāla, VIII. 8.
- 104 RC. IV. 36 (ed. Majumdar, Basak, Banerji, p. 143).
- 105 Majumdar, A. K.: Op. cit., p. 354.
- 106A. Prākrita Paingala, p. 403, lines 1-3 (ed. B. I.)
- 106 Naisadhacharita, Canto XIV. 68.
- 107 Deśināmamālā, I. 88 for Sesamum (unhia), II. 14 (kamkkasāro).
  - 107 Desīnāmamālā, VI. 44.
- 109 Naisadhacharita, Canto XVI. 70; Niyata, pp. 435, 444 etc.; KV. p. 410.
  - 110 JOHRS. Vol. I, p. 301 (Lingaraja Temple inscr).
    - 111 Prāyaśchitta-prakarana (VRS. ed.), p. 67.

- 112 EI. I 271. ff (Cintra Prasasti verses 52 and 57 mention mudga); Kuṭṭanīmatam verse 228 mentions kulattha, chana and masūra: Niyata, p. 427 mentions masūra: Narmamālā I, 124 mentions mudga: Mānasollāsa Vimsati III. 13. 1358-59 mention chanaka, māṣa, masūra and mudga.
  - 113 Niyata, pp. 275-284; Grihastharatnākara, pp. 352-367.
  - 114 Niyata, pp. 279-283.
  - 115 Ibid. p. 292.
  - 116 RT. VII. 1621 and VII. 2586.
  - 117 El. X. 50; IA. IV. 268.
  - 118 RT. VIII. 143; Samayamātrikā, canto II. 26.
  - 119 RC. III. 19.
  - 120 HB. I. pp. 611-612.
  - 121 Sāhitya Pariṣat Patrikā, 1326 BS. pp. 86 f. n., 103.
- 122 Niyata, pp. 310-311; Gāhaḍavāla inser, in El. VIII, 154; XL 24; and XXVI, 73.
  - 123 Latakamelaka, Canto I. pp. 9-12 (KM)
- 124 Mönasollāsa Vimšati, III. 13, 1525-1536: Nīlamata Purūņa (ed. De Vreese) verses 508-514; RT. V. 119. VII. 522 and VIII. 139 Samayamātrikā, II. 25, 71-74.
  - 125 RT. VII. 522.
- 126 Niyata, pp. 311-17; Grihastharatnākara, pp. 380-384; SP. verses 633.
  - 127 Niyata, p. 412.
- 128 HB. I. p. 612 referring to Prūyaschittaprakaraņa: Vāngālīr Itihāsa, pp. 539-540.
  - 129 RT. V. 119, VII. 1149.
- 130 Mānasollāsa Viihšati III. 13. 1420-1547 (Deer in verse 1516, tortoise, verse 1537, crabs verse 1540, mice living in fields near rivers, verse 1543, birds verse 1522, boar verse 1523, fish verses 1525-1536, boar verse 1523).
  - 131 IHQ. VIII. 311.
  - 132 Niyata, pp. 398. 441, 446 etc.
- 133 Deśīnāmamālā, VII. 44. and VIII. 39 referring to Vaualiam and Susanthia.
- 134 Naisadhacharita XVI. 81-82. Cf. inscriptional references in IA. VI. 53, XII. 201 (Banavasa grant), EI. XVIII. 320ff (Betma pl).
  - 135 Sachau, II. 151; Niyata, pp. 304-308.
  - 136 Niyata, p. 303.
  - 137 RT. VIII. 139.
  - 138 Nivata, p. 328 commenting on Vasistha 4. 8.
  - 139 Sukranītisāra (ed. Cal.) IV. 5. 49.
- 140 Deśināmamālā, III. 41, 45; VIII. 4, I. 46, II. 2, IV. 4. V. 34, VI. 35, 41, 50, 75, and VIII. 4; Niyata, pp. 331-333; Grihastharatnākara, pp. 393-395; Prāyaśchittaprakarna p. 40; Ray, J. C.: Ancient Indian Life, pp. 75-83.

- 141 Prāyaśchittaprakarana, p. 40ff.
- 142 Ancient Accounts of India and China, p. 33.
- 143 RT. VII. 1621.
- 144 RT. V. 49.
- 145 MASI. 1932 on Haihayas of Tripuri and their Monuments p.
- 119, verse 11.
  - 146 Yaśastilakachampū (ed. N. S. Press) Book III, p. 404.
  - 147 Ibid., Book III. pp. 401-402.
  - 148 IA. VI. 201. 203; El. XXI. 99 and XXVI, 263.
- 149 IA. XVI. 65.
- 150 EI. V. 181-188 (Assam pl. dated 1185 A.D. verses 13-14).
- 151 DHNI, II, 1070.
- 152 IA. IV. 267.
- 153 El. II. 202.
- 154 El. II. 226 (Vaghli inscr. verse 31 lines 4-5).
- 155 RT, VIII. 3320.
- 156 IA. VI. 201-03.
- 157 IA. XVIII. 83, lines 18-21.
- 158 El. XXVI. 263 (Ratanpur inscr. dated KS. 915/1163-64 A.D. verse 38).
  - 159 Ibid. XXI. 164 (Kharod inscr. dated KS 933/1181 A.D).
  - 160 RT. VIII. 570-571.
  - 161 El. XIII. 292, verse 26.
- 162 The alms-houses started by Lūnapāsaka and Vaijalladeva in the kingdom of the Chaulukyas, Māṇḍalika Brahmadeva under Turhmāna Kalachuris, Kings Vallabhadeva and Govindarāja of Valabhī.
  - 163 El. II. 226.
  - 164 IA. XVIII. 83, lines 18-19.
  - 165 El. II. 227.
  - 166 Ancient Accounts of India and China (ed. Renaudot), p. 87.
  - 167 Niyata, p. 218; Grihastharatnākara, p. 309.
- 168 Niyata, p. 193 and Grihastharatnākara, p. 295. The verses attributed to Sātātapa by Lakşmīdhara are not found in printed text.
  - 169 Niyata, pp. 193-194.
  - 170 Bauddha Gāna O'Dohā and Charyāgīti Padāvalī, Pada No. 33.
  - 171 Sadukti (p. 310) V. 49. 4.
  - 172 Keith: History of Sanskrit Literature, p. 235.
  - 173 Sadukti (p. 309) V. 48-3.
  - 184 Rājadharmakāņda in Krityakalpataru, p. 140.
- 175 Sukranītisūra (ed. Cal). I. 46 and 49 (ed. Sarkar I. 95-96, and 105).
- 176 Rāj. p. 141.; Śukranītisūra (ed. Cal) I. 47 and 49 (ed. Sarkar, I. 97-104).
- 177 RT. VII. 37, 67, 803, 1140-1141 and VIII. 220, 1276-1278, 1401, 2279-2280.

Speaking of omens, Marco Polo (c. 1293 A.D. observed re:

Lar. (Gujarat) "To every day of the week they assign an augury of this sort. Suppose that there is some purchase in hand, he who proposes to buy, when he gets up in the morning takes note of his own shadow in the sun, which he says ought to be on that day of such and such a length for the day he completes his purchase; if not, he will on no account do so, but waits till his shadow corresponds with that prescribed.... Moreover, if in going out, he hears any one sneeze, if it seems to him a good omen he will go on, but if the reverse he will sit down on the spot where he is, so long as he thinks that he ought to tarry before going on again."

(Quoted in Sastri: Foreign Notices, pp. 176-177).

- 178 Struggle for Empire, pp. 330 and 786.
- 179 IHQ, XXVIII, pp. 346-347.
- 180 Nizāmī wrote in 1155 A.D. that it was necessary for the court of Arab kings to maintain an astrologer because enterprises of kings" will not succeed unless undertaken at seasons adjudged propitious by sagacious astrologers" (Haskins: The Ranaissance of the Twelfth Century, p. 54; Hitti: History of the Arabs, p. 318).
  - 181 El. II. 362, XI. 24 XIV. 194, XXIV. 294. XXVI. 73, 271 etc.
  - 182 EI. VII 100 (Lar pl. dated 1146 A.D., line 25).
  - 183 FI. XVIII. 299, XIX. 44 and IHQ. XX. (1944) p. 247.
  - 184 EI. XIX. 212 and XXII. 159ff and 156.
  - 185 IA, XIX. 218.; El. XI. 37.
  - 186 El. II. 438 and 440.

#### CHAPTER XIII

#### Festivals.

A perusal of the description of festivals in contemporary digests shows that North India in the eleventh and twelfth centuries was pervaded with festivals. The *nibandhakāras* of this period prescribed dancing, singing in accompaniment of musical instruments sumptuous feeling of the Brāhmaṇas, friends and relatives and going out in procession, as obligatory performances in many of these festivals. This is in striking contrast to the tendency of later digest-makers to enjoin fasting on all occasions.

Laksmīdhara, the Prime Minister of King Govindachandra Gāhadavāla, has left for us a graphic account of a number of such festivals in his monumental work, the Krityakalpataru. The cycle of festivals began with the advent of the month of Chaitra, which was regarded then as the beginning of the year. According to him, the world was created on the first day of the bright half of Chaitra and so a festival was there to commemorate it. On this occasion, Brahmā the creator, Mahesa and other gods, demigods, serpents. Yaksas, the seven seas, the varsas and also the land of Bhāratavarsa were worshipped. The  $p\bar{u}i\bar{a}$  was marked by homa, sacrifices, gifts and the wearing of new clothes and ornaments.1 Invitations were extended to the Brahmanas, friends and re-The worship of Bharatavarsa furnishes us with another instance of the fundamental unity of India. On the 6th day of the bright fortnight of Chaitra, Skanda was worshipped2 because he was made the commander of the forces of Devas on that day. Skanda was worshipped with lamps, ornaments, clothes and a live cock. Laksmidhara and Chandesvara clearly state that the live cock was to be given to the god for play and not for sacrifice. The performance of

this rite was meant for securing the immunity of children from diseases. The 6th and 7th days of the bright fortnight of Chaitra were holy to the Sun. Alberuni observes that the 6th day is a day of fasting and the correctness of his observation can be corroborated from the Krityaratnūkara.3 On the 7th day the Brāhmanas were given curds and pāvasa. The eating of rice was forbidden on this day which was also known as Anodanasaptami. It is interesting to observe here that in the printed edition of Hemadri's Chaturvargachintamani, the date for the Anodanasaptanii is given as 7th Vaiśākha Śukla.4 Such a festival in Vaiśākha has not been mentioned either by Jīmūtavāhana or by Laksmīdhara. On the 8th day in eastern India, people used to take eight buds of the Asoka flower as it was believed to have the virtue of freeing one from sorrows. The Aśokāstamī festival has not been referred to by Laksmidhara although it has been mentioned by Hemādri.<sup>6</sup> Another festival which took place on the 11th Chaitra Sukla was observed in honour of Rukmīnī,7 Alberuni8 and his pupil Gardi9 speak of a different festival on the 11th Chaitra though neither of them mentions whether it was observed on the dark or bright fortnight. However, on the occasion of the festival called Hindoli-Chaitra, Vāsudeva was swung in temples. The more interesting part of the festival was that the devotees of Vasudeva also used to swing in their houses. Gardīzī further informs us that on this day, women used to decorate themselves and asked for gifts from their husbands. The twelfth and thirteenth days of the bright fortnight of the month were marked by merriment on the occasion of the worship of kāma or God of Love. The celebration began on the twelfth day with fasts in honour of Visnu. In the afternoon Kāmadeva was worshipped with big leaves of trees. As the Madanadvādašī fell on the same day, the devotees placed a jar and covered it with rice, fruits, sugarcane plants, white clothes and gold according to one's means.10 At night Kāmadeva was worshipped with cold water. Laksmidhara and Chandesvara prescribe that wife of the devotee should bathe herself with this water before sun-rise on the 13th day.<sup>11</sup> The worship also continued on the 14th day and the festival was known as Madana or Käma-mahotsava.<sup>12</sup> This was a famous festival and it was observed with dancing, songs, and merry-making all over India from the time of Vātsyāyana at least.

Prof. Jogesh Chandra Ray<sup>13</sup> has shown different dates for observance of the Dol festival in different periods commemorating the celebration of the New Year. in Bhādra, Srāvaṇa and also Mārgaśīrṣa. In ancient times the Dol was celebrated in honour of the Sun, then in honour of Viṣṇu and finally, it has been ascribed to Kṛṣṇa. Prof. Ray concluded that "Dol Yātrā was confounded with spring festival and red coloured powder and water added to complete the picture."

There are considerable differences between mediaeval and modern India on the date and manner of the observance of the Holi festival. In modern India Holi is observed on the full moon day of Phalguna,14 and is marked by various forms of amusements. But during the period under review neither the form of celebration nor the date was the same. Something like, but certainly not identical with the Holi, a festival called Kāmamahotsava was observed between the twelfth day of the bright half and the full moon day in the month of Chaitra. Laksmīdhara, Jīmūtavāhana and Chandeśvara inform us that the twelfth and thirteenth days of Chaitra Sukla were sacred to Hari.15 In these digests we do not find any mention of bon-fire or spraying of colour, each of which is quite an event in modern Holi. Of course, the Bengal school began associating Holaka with the spring festival from the time of Jīmūtavāhana. But he does not state categorically the date and whether it was observed by people of Northern and Western India.16 Without giving any details, Hemachandra refers to Phaggu in his Desīnāmamālā17 and Alberuni<sup>18</sup> to Bahand. Thus it is evident that till the time of Chandesvara there was no association of Holi with the spraying of coloured water and dust which we find in modern days.

It may be suggested here that the modern form of *Holi* was taking shape sometime before the sixteenth century. Gopālabhaṭṭa tells us that in continuation of the swing festival of Kṛṣṇa amidst songs and dances, fragrants should be thrown at Kṛṣṇa first and then at the Vaiṣṇavas on the twelfth day of the bright half of Chaitra. It is interesting to observe that the above-mentioned writer speaks of a *Vasantotsava*<sup>20</sup> on the full moon day of Phālguna, a date which is identical with that of modern *Holi*.

Alberuni states that on the full moon day of the month of Chaitra a festival is held called the Bahand (Vasanta?). This festival used to be celebrated by women when they "put on ornaments and demand presents from their husbands".21 Lakşmidhara on the basis of the Brahma Purāna, states that an image of demon may be made either of earth or grass. On that occasion, one should decorate oneself with clothes and beautiful ornaments, eat meat and barley, drink and use varied weapons, and umbrellas and have clean shaves.22 Further, it appears from a perusal of the digests of Northern India that the festival was associated with Visnu, because the day began with worship of Visnu.23 But in the Gujarat area. it was a Saiva festival. Abhayatilaka Gani in his commentary on Dvyāśraya<sup>24</sup> states that the Dolā or Dola festival (14th day of bright half of Chaitra) was observed by all the four classes and on that occasion Sambhu and Gauri were placed on a swing. There used to be a big gathering at the Somanātha temple. A perusal of the prologue of Dūtāngada shows that King Kumārapāla was present on the occasion of the festival at the famous temple of Somanatha. festivals took place in other Saiva temples. A record of V. S. 1264/c. 1207 A.D. states that the feudatory King Jagamalla assigned a sum for the festival at two Saiva temples in the town of Talajha.25 Dramas used to be staged also on that day. We learn from the Dhar-prasasti of Arjunavarman<sup>26</sup> that the drama Pārijātamañjarī of Madana was staged during the Chaitra-parva, also called Vasantotsava.

On the same day of 14th Chaitra Sukla another festival known as Damanakachaturdasī was held.<sup>27</sup> This festival appears to have been observed in Bengal, Mid-India and Rajputana. Jīmūtavāhana directed that Ananga is to be worshipped with flowers. But Hemādri states that the leaves of the Damana tree are to be offered to Siva, Viṣṇu and Madana.<sup>28</sup> Inscriptions of the reigns of Paramāra Udayāditya<sup>29</sup> and of Queen Udalladevī dated V. S. 1294/c. 1237 A.D.<sup>30</sup> refer to the observance of the festival on that day. The day was sacred, and we find that the above-mentioned second inscription relates to the erection of temple of Vindhyeśvara on the occasion. Though the donor of the temple, Queen Udalladevī was born in Kanauj, it is noteworthy that Lakṣmīdhara does not mention this festival.

The dark half of the month of Chaitra was also full of festivities. Both Laksmidhara and Chandesvara<sup>31</sup> refer to a festival of the Jhallamallas or aboriginal tribes held between the first and fourth days of the dark half of Chaitra. This festivity has not been cited by any other nibandhakāra, not even by Hemādri. From the second and the fourth day. however, the followers of the Brahmanical religion performed a Mahotsava with songs. On this occasion a serpent made of grass, was to be tied to a wood. This imaginary serpent was made to dance in villages and towns. On the third or fourth day it was torn to pieces. Those pieces were kept in every house, and worshipped secretly throughout the year.32 On the fifth of the dark-half of the month Indians believed that the Earth<sup>33</sup> had menses like a woman. At present the Bengalees observe a similar festival known as Ambubāchī in the month of Asadha. Digging or ploughing of earth is strictly forbidden on these three days. Both the festivals seem to be a prelude to the sowing ceremony. According to Laksmidhara women made stone images of Earth and anointed them for three days in the dark-half of Chaitra. They avoided flowers, ornaments and frankincense on these days. And then on the 8th Chaitra, the Brahmanas bathed the images and

worshipped them. Musical instruments were played.<sup>34</sup> The devotees having bathed and decorated themselves tilled the land with ploughs and sowed seeds from a golden dish. When the seeds sprouted, the devotee ate them along with friends amidst songs and dances.<sup>35</sup> It appears then that on that same date the Brāhmaṇas controlled speech and abstained from reading the Vedas..<sup>36</sup> The image of the Earth goddess was thrown out of the windows by women-worshippers in the afternoon.

Two other strange festivals were held in the month of Chaitra. On the 14th of the dark fortnight of Chaitra,<sup>37</sup> Sankara was propitiated. People kept themselves awake throughout the night with the object of protecting the children from goblins and saw the dance of harlots. Fish meat and plenty of rice and liquor were to be offered at the roots and holes of trees, grazing grounds, deserted houses, junctions of roads, terraces, rivers, temples and cremation grounds. On the day of Amāvāsyā, every one was asked to give plenty of food to dogs, after propitiating the Manes with Śrāddha.

Alberuni and Gardīzī<sup>38</sup> mention that on the 2nd and 22nd days of the month of Chaitra, Agdus (?) and *Chaitra-Chashati* (?) holidays were observed. The former was in commemoration of a victory of the Kashmiri King Muttai over the Turks. This festival was observed by the Kashmiris alone. No such festival has been mentioned in any other book.

# Vaiśākha (April-May):

There were fewer festivals in the months of Vaisākha and Jaistha in comparison with Chaitra. The month began with the worship of the fairy Irā.<sup>39</sup> Clothes and food were distributed to the wife, children, friends and servants. The water with which the fairy had been worshipped was drunk along with the food. On this occasion too, they had songs and dramatic performances. The third day of the bright fortnight of Vaisākha was holy to Vāsudeva. According to the

Brahma Purāṇa quoted by Lakṣmīdhara and Chandeśvara.40 Visnu was to be offered barley, and Śamkara, Gangā and the Himalayas were to be worshipped. Jīmūtavāhana and Chandesvara quote the authority of Visnu, Bhavisya, Devi Purānas. Yama and Visnudharmottara in describing this festival41 A fast in honour of Vasudeva was undertaken on the 2nd of the fortnight, water and sweets were offered to the deities. As the manes were offered water and tila, the day was also known as Aksayatritīvā. Even the Jaina writer Hemachandra refers to the practice of offering oblations on this date in his Trisastiśalākāpurusacharita.42 Lūnapāsaka, a feudatory ruler of the modern Bhilsa region, under the Chaulukya King Ajayapāla, granted a village to Siva at Udayapura in Gwalior on the Aksayatritīyā day. 43 We find that on the same day grants of villages were made to the Brāhmanas by the Gahagavala Kings Chandradeva44 and Govindachandro.45

Alberuni and Gardizi refer to the celebration of Gaur-t-r (Gauri-tritīvā?) on the third Vaiśākha46 It was observed by the ladies. On the occasion of the worship of Gauri they fasted, washed and dressed themselves gaily. They also lighted lamps before the image of Gauri and swayed on the swings. The information supplied by these foreign writers is correct to a large extent. The details of the worship, including fasting can also be found in Hemādri's Chaturvargachintāmani<sup>47</sup> But Hemādri puts the date Gaurīvrata on the third day of the bright half of Chaitra. He also does not mention the swing as a part of the festival. As the Gaurivrata is not mentioned by the digest-makers of our period, it is quite likely that it was not in vogue in Northern India during the period under survey. Alberuni might have confused the date with the fourth day of the bright half of the month of Jyaistha.

The 7th Vaiśākha Sukla was notable for the worship of the river Ganges. \*\* Sarkarāsaptamī\*\* and the birth of the Buddha. \*\* The Sarkarāsaptamī was celebrated in honour of

the Sun. On the same day an image of the Buddha was to be made and the temples were to be decorated. The monks were to be given books, meals and dress and the poor people were given flowers, clothes and rice. The worship was to continue for three days amidst dances and dramas. It is noteworthy that the Hindus accepted the Buddha in their pantheon but they celebrated his birthday on a wrong date on the 7th day of the bright half instead of the full moon day of Vaisākha. Tila was offered on the Vaisākha Pūrņimā day to Vāsudeva, Dharmarāja and the manes. Lamps were burnt with Tila oil<sup>51</sup> Jīmūtavāhana quoting Viṣṇudharmottara recommends that cooked rice, water, sweets and vegetables are to be offered to the people on that particular day.

Alberuni and Gardīzī<sup>52</sup> describe a strange ceremony which took place on the 10th Vaiśākha Śukla. The King invited the Brāhmanas. They went to the open spaces and lit up fires for sacrifices in "sixteen different spots and in four different groups." The fire raged till the day of the full moon. This festival is not referred to in any digest.

## Jyaistha (May-June):

The first day of the bright fortnight of the month of Jyaistha began, according to Alberuni and Gardīzī,53 with "throwing the first fruits of all seeds into the water in order to gain thereby a favourable prognostic." This custom is also unknown to the Indian digest-makers. The fourth of the bright fortnight was a holiday on account of the date of birth of Uma. Uma was worshipped by women for the sake of increase of fortune. It was celebrated amidst songs and dances and rewards were given<sup>54</sup> eighth day was notable for the birth of Sukla Devi, who killed the King of demons.55 According to Bhoja and Jīmūtavāhana,56 the 10th day was known as Daśaharā. On this occasion the Ganges descended to the earth. Those who took their bath and gave away gifts became free from sins. But Laksmidhara and Chandesvara57 put the date of the

descent of the Ganges as the 7th Vaisākha Sukla. It seems that the Bengal and Malwa schools observed the festival on the 10th Jyaiṣtha Sukla and the rest of Northern India on the 7th Vaisākha Sukla in mediaeval times. This is also hinted at by Chandesvara<sup>58</sup> At present, however, the whole of India celebrates the descent of Gangā on the 10th day of the bright half of Jyaiṣṭha.<sup>59</sup>

The twelfth day was known as Matsyadvādasī or Rāghavadvādasī. Jyaistha Pūrņimā, according to Alberuni and Gardīzī was observed by women. These foreign writers do not give particulars of the festival known as Rūpa-pañch (?) or Rūpa-mañch (?). It seems that the day was the Sāvitrīvrata day. According to Bhoja, the author of Rūjamārtanda and Chandeśvara the performance of this vow saved women from widowhood. Chandeśvara gives further details of the worship. A jar filled with rice, fruits, sugarcane plant was to be provided. A copper-plate was to be placed on the jar and on the plate was erected the images of Brahmā and Sāvitrī. Food and clothes were to be given to honest Brāhmana couples. In modern times the Sāvitrīvrata is also observed on the same day.

In Orissa, however, Purusottama's image was taken round the streets on the same day. The festival was known as Mahājyaiṣṭhī festival, which has been described in Brahma, Skanda and Agni Purāṇas.<sup>65</sup> It is also mentioned in Naiṣa-dhacharita<sup>66</sup> of Śrīharṣa. This festival has not been mentioned in contemporary nibandhas. Bhoja in his Rāja-mārtaṇḍa<sup>67</sup> also tells us that the Mahājyaiṣṭhī festival was resticted to the area of Purusottama or Puri.

### Āsādha (June-July):

The eighth day of the bright half of the month of  $\bar{A}$ sadha was auspicious for the worship of Ganesa or Vinayaka.  $M\bar{a}$ sa pulses or barley used to be taken as food on this day. The festival was celebrated with vocal and instrumental

music.<sup>68</sup> Modern calendars do not show any such ceremony. No other festival was held in the dark half of  $\bar{A}$ ,  $\bar{a}$ ,  $\bar{a}$  dha excepting, as according to  $K\bar{a}$  laviveka, the worship of Siva on the Chaturda $\bar{a}$ ,  $\bar{a}$ .

In the bright half of Asadha there were many festivities. On the 7th day, when Bhāskara (Sun) was worshipped, people took food and drink ceremoniously.70 On the 9th day there was fasting and the golden image of Durga Indrani was worshipped. The devotees were asked to give meals to the unmarried girls, women and Brāhmanas<sup>71</sup> according to one's capacity. This ceremony was also held on the 9th of the Mārgasīrşa.72 On the occasion of Visnu going to sleep, the devotees fasted and kept themselves awake during the night of the 11th day, worshipped him on the 12th and arranged for music and dance<sup>73</sup> on the 13th. Laksmīdhara and Chandesvara prescribe that the actors (rangajivino natādayah) are to be given money according to one's capacity.74 There was again another fasting on the full moon day. Alberuni mentions this fasting day as holy to Vasudeva.75

Another festival took place in the month of Āṣāḍha. On the occasion of the Dakṣināyaṇa, the clouds were to be worshipped. The Brāhmaṇas were to be offered milk, barley powder, camphor, sugar, fruits and vegetables. Alberuni tells us that throughout the month of Āṣāḍha alms were given. Householders began using new vessels on this day. Chaṇḍeśvara, on the authority of Bhaviṣya Purāṇa, prescribes restraint on food in all the nights of this month. A festival known as Bhāuaṇ, in honour of Gaurī in this month, is mentioned only by Hemachandra in his Deśīnamanālā. Hemādri does not mention such a festival. But this festival continued in Gujarat till the time of Forbes. Ut is quite possible that the festival mentioned by Hemachandra is identical with the Ubhayanavanā mentioned by Chaṇḍeśvara and Hemādri was which we have already discussed.

### Śrāvaņa (July-August):

Lakṣmīdhara mentions only one important festival in the month of Śrāvaṇa. The day on which the asterism, Rohiṇī and Śravaṇa, occurred, Viṣṇu was to be worshipped. There was to be play and partaking of meals along with relatives. If girls desired good husbands, they were to participate in the tournaments in water. The twelfth of the bright half of the month of Śrāvaṇa was known as Buddhadvādaśī. This day is not mentioned either by Jīmūtavāhana or Lakṣmīdhara. However, a golden image of the Buddha was to be worshipped and then offered to a Brāhmaṇa. It was a day of fasting. Modern Bengali calendar puts the date of Buddhadvādasī on the full moon day of Jyaiṣṭha.

Alberuni describes the full moon day of Śrāvaṇa as holy to Somanatha. Banquets were given to Brānmaṇas on this day. The Hemachandra states that on the fourteenth day of the bright half of Śrāvaṇa the Voralli festival is held. The How or in whose honour it was held, is not known to us. The Nadol plate dated V.S. 1218/1161 A.D. states that on the above-mentioned day the Chāhamāna feudatory chieftain Alhaṇadeva made gifts to the Brāhmaṇas and Gurus. The King worshipped Sun and Iśāna (Śiva) on the occasion. It is difficult to agree with Dr. Asoke Majumdar that it was purely a Śaiva festival.

### Bhādra (August-September):

Both the halves of the month of Bhādra were important for varied and colourful festivals. On the first day of the dark-half began the Karāra (?) festival which continued for seven days. On According to Alberuni and Gardīzī people used to adorn and feed children and to play with various animals. On the eighth day falls the aniversary of the birth of Kṛṣṇa\* Alberuni and Gardīzī as well as Chandeśvara and

<sup>\*</sup> Sri Aiyangar in his Intro. p. 47 to Vol. III of Krityakalpataru wrongly puts the month of Srāvaņa.

Jīmūtavāhana describe the exact position of the planets on this day. The moon stands in her fourth station. Rohinī. Two Muslim scholars designate the festival as Gunalahid<sup>91</sup> (?). Alberuni, however, observes that besides the constellation of stars, the day must be the 8th of the dark-half. He further comments "such a day does not occur in every year, but only in certain ones of a large number of years".92 But while these foreign writers state that it is a day of fasting, Laksmidhara observes that it is permissible to take fruits and milk.93 Both Bhoia and Jimūtavāhana94 call the day of the birth of Krsna as Javanti. Fasting is to be undertaken only when the Rohini star appears on the astami day. The former<sup>95</sup> clearly states that fasts are never to be observed in the Rohini naksatra. Laksmidhara gives some details of the festival. Devotees used to keep awake throughout the night and witness singing and dancing. Next day, on the ninth, the womenfolk dressed in red robes and decked with flowers and ornaments were to bring the images of Krsna, Devakī and Yośoda to the bank of a river. After they had taken their bath, women used to enter their residence and take barley, sugarcane etc.

On the ninth day of the dark-half of Bhadra the procession of the car of Durgā started.96 The festival as described by Chandesvara appears to have been observed solely by the King. The car was to be decorated with clothes, flag bearing lion's emblem, white umbrellas, feathers of peacocks, bells and other things. The Brahmanas were fed on the previous day. On the ninth day Durgā was placed on the car. Animals were sacrificed and brave persons were to throw their swords towards the east. They also danced before the deity with other weapons. Then began the worship of the deity by the Vedic Brāhmanas in the palace of the King amidst sound of conches. The citizens gathered at the eastern gate. Then the car was taken out and the king worshipped Durgā for one day each in the south, west and northern directions. The Sudras were allowed to worship the goddess but they were

forbidden to get on the car. The maid-servants of the royal household, however, surrounded the deity on the car. The festival continued till the fourteenth day and during these six days special arrangements were made for songs and dances. The car returned to its original place on the thirteenth.

The days of the dark-half of the month of Bhadra were and are known as pitripaksa. These days were sacred to the Manes and were to be celebrated with a real Śrāddha<sup>99</sup> especially on the amāvasvā. Alberuni and Gardīzī refer to the Pitripaksa. 100 Hemachandra calls the same period as Mahālavakkho.101 Abhayatilaka Gani in his commentary on Dvyāśraya states that during the period a man has to live like a Brahmacharin and abstain from betels, tooth brush, oils for anointing body or cooking, women, medicine and food offered by others. 102 The Kashmiris on this day deposited the bones of the dead, who had died during the year, in the sacred Gangā lake. 103 The days sacred to the Manes were observed in Raiputana even in the time of Tod. 104 It is interesting to note here that the day was regarded as an auspicious one as on this very day in V. S. 1232/1175 A.D. the Jātakarman ceremony of prince Harischandra, son of King Jayachchandra Gāhadavāla, took place.105

In the bright-half of Bhādra occurred a number of festivals. The ladies fasted and worshipped Siva or his wife on the fourth day. They also offered gur, salt and pūpa to their father-in-law and mother-in-law. This day is known as Haritālikā, sacred to Pārvatī, according to Bhoja's Rājamārtanḍa. The worship of serpents on the 5th Srāvaṇa sukla and the 5th Bhādra sukla freed one from fear of snakes. The ceremony was observed amidst songs and dances. The day was also known as Rṣipañchamī. On the sixth and seventh days, when Kṛṣṇa won fame, the devotees were to distribute alms to servants, friends, and wives. On the sixth day. The same writer informs us that on the 6th day, the Sun has to be worshipped and Pāyasa and gur are to be taken.

Alberuni and Gardīzī also regarded the day as sacred to the Sun. People observed a fast on the previous day, that is, the fifth. Details of the ceremony have been given by these foreign writers. "They ancient the solar rays, and in particular the rays which enter through the windows, with various kinds of balsmic ointment and place upon them odoriferous plants and flowers".111 It is interesting to observe here that Jīmūtavāhana and Laksmīdhara do not mention this date as sacred to the Sun. It may be suggested that the Sun worship was prevalent in Mithila only and not in Eastern U.P. and Bengal on this date. The eighth day of the bright-half of the month was notable for several festivities. According to Chandesvara, if men and specially womenfolk observe fasting and worship Śańkara and Ganeśa with grass, date palms, cocoanut and other fruits on that date, known as Durvāstamī the worshipper would be rewarded with learning, progeny and wealth. 112 This Durvāstamī festival is probably identical with that of Dhurvagriha (?) or Daruphar (?) of Alberuni and Gardīzī which was also observed on the eighth day "when the moolight has reached half of its development." On the occasion, the devotees "wash themselves and eat well-growing grain-fruit so that their children may be healthy. The women celebrate this festival when they are pregnant and desire to have children". 113 Gardīzī however, tells us 114 that the devotees ate grapes but did not give these to their sons. It was on this day that the Gahadayala King Jayachchandra performed the Nāmakarana ceremony of his son Harischandra at Banaras and granted two villages to Mahāpandita Hrisīkeśaśarman 113

From ancient times right upto the seventeenth century, one of the political festivals was the *Indradhvajochchrāya* or raising of the flagstaff of Indra. We learn from the Ādiparva of the *Mahābhārata* that Indra presented a banner (*dhvaja*) to King Uparichara of the Chedi country. The King displayed the pole in a public festival. Indra was pleased and pledged that the King who celebrated such a festival would

be free from all calamities and be assured of victories. The belief persisted in the days of the Gahadavala King Govindachandra. Laksmidhara, quoting the Devi Purana, says that the performance of the ceremony with proper rituals, destroys all threats to kingdom and ensure constant victory. The country also never suffers from paucity of rain. 116 prescribed for raising the staff, according to Varāhamihira's Brihatsamhitā117 was the eighth of the light-half of Phadra. Laksmidhara, quoting the Devi Purana, gives the above-mentioned date as well as the day in which the constellation-Śravana occurs in the bright-half of Āśvina.118 hana119 discusses the date in detail and fixes it with reference to the constellation. The staff was to be raised when the moon was in Śravana naksatra and the visarjana was to take place at the end of Bharani nakṣatra. 120 Bhoja also recommends the same dates. It was the duty and privilege of the king to raise the flag-staff with due pomp. A graphic description has been given by Bhoja in Rūjamārtanda. 121 King himself with his priests had to guard the flag-staff throughout the night on the day it was raised. Greatest care was taken while cutting down the wood meant for the pole and transporting it. It was not to become a roosting place for inauspicious birds like crows and pigeons. It was believed that various misfortunes like the death of the king and queen and famine fall on the people, if any part of the staff suffered breakage or even scratches appeared on it. Young men brought the pole to the king's palace, trumpets were blown and the flag was raised amidst the chanting of Vedic hymns by Brāhmanas, the blowing of conches by the association of prostitutes, 122 (veśyāsamgha), presence of soldiers, courtiers and prominent citizens of the country. Songs and dances heightened the festivity. The streets were adorned with flags and auspicious plantain and sugarcane plants. Literature shows that the raising of the Flag Staff was the signal for commencement of popular festivities. This ceremony, however, is not included by Nīlakantha, the celebrated commenta-

tor of the Mahābhārata, apparently because India had lost her independence in his time. It was, hence, meaningless for a subject nation to celebrate a political festival.

From the digests we learn that in the eleventh and twelfth centuries, the festival was observed in all parts of Northern India. Hemachandra in his Dvyāśraya<sup>123</sup> mentions the Indrapūiā. He and his commentator Abhayatilaka Gani state that the festival lasted from the eighth day of the bright-half to the full moon-day of the month of Asvin. It is interesting to observe here that the purpose of the festival as stated by these writers is different from the views of the above-mentioned nibandhakāras. In Guiarat area it was held in order to get a good harvest of paddy. In Kashmir the day was named as Indradvādasī. The Nīlamata Purāna<sup>124</sup> mentions the Mahādvādašī festival which falls on the twelfth day of the bright-fortnight of the month of Bhadra. Probably Mahadvadasī and Indradvādasī were identical. The Rajataranginī gives an interesting account of festivities on the occasion. Kashmiri kings used to distribute presents on that day to the victors in the tournaments, which were held in the royal palace. 125 Many lost their lives and limbs on this occasion. "Soldiers of noble race" observes Kalhana, "who had left their homes, as if in exultation, were carried away mutilated from the palace court by their relatives.... The women, when their hubsands returned (alive) after going to the royal palace, thought that they had gained a day but otherwise never felt safe", 126

The rest of the bright-half of Bhādra marked the observation of the following festivals. On the ninth day, Janārdana, Durgā, Ganeśa (Dhanada), Varuna and the trees (Vanaspati) were worshipped with wheat.<sup>127</sup> On the tenth day the Brāhmanas were offered fruits and vegetables.<sup>128</sup> Women were to be decorated with ornaments and dress. One acquired great merit by bathing at the confluence of the Vitastä and the Sindhu (Indus) rivers on this day. The occasion was also utilised in the honouring of dancers and actors. The twelfth

day or Vijayadvādašī was sacred to Janārdana.<sup>129</sup> If one made a gift of rice, gur, kṣīra, ghee, clothes, water, gold and silver, elephants, horses, chariots, conveyances, umbrellas or shoes on that day, the gods were pleased. One could also hear the recital of the Purāṇas and Vedas. Drinking, dancing and recital of music went on throughout the day.<sup>130</sup> In Gujarat the 10th day was the occasion for Neddaria festival.<sup>131</sup> On the previous day, that is, the eleventh, there was a fasting in honour of Kṛṣṇa and a bath, at Prayāga or other confluences of rivers, on the twelfth was considered to be meritorious.<sup>132</sup>

Another festival called *Gaihat* (or *Kabhat*?) took place on the 6th of Bhādra, when people gave food to prisoners. This festival has been mentioned by Alberuni and Gardīzī.<sup>133</sup>

## Aśvin or Aśvāyuja (September-October):

The month of Asvin was probably the busiest month so far as Hindu rituals and ceremonies were concerned. third, fourth and fifth of the dark-half took place the worship of Varuna and Vasudhā or Earth. The sixth day was observed by some as the birth-day of Skanda. Laksmīdhara advised that the sons should be dressed and given ornaments on this day.<sup>134</sup> During the worship of Vaisnavī on the eighth day one was advised particularly to bathe and decorate oneself with good clothes and ornaments. Instrumental music and dances were arranged and water sports continued for such a long time that the vermillion marks were almost obliterated. Wheat and gur were to be taken on the occasion. Alberuni also mentions an almost similar festivity called Aśoka or Ahoi on the 23rd Aśväyuja when "the moon stands in the seventh station. Punarvasu. It is a day of merriment and of wrangling". 136 Chandesvara quoting the Bhavisya Purāna recommends the holding of the car festival of Durgā on the 9th day.137 The image of Durgā was to be made of gold. The devotees remained awake throughout the night and on the tenth day a feast took place.

FFSTIVALS 291

The celebrations during the bright-half of the month began with the worship of Sati on the fourth day. It was a festival for women,138 who, being dressed in clothes and adorned in Kumkuma, paid respects to sister, mother and husband. Horses and elephants were adored 139 from the fourth to the ninth day. The eighth day was holy to Bhadrakāli who destroyed the sacrifices of Daksa. On this occasion one was to wear white clothes and ornaments, eat fruits and rice and drink wine. The Brähmanas were to be given meat and other things. Keeping awake in the night, one was to worship different kinds of offensive and defensive weapons and musical instruments in the temple of Durgā. A strange ceremony was the cutting off of an imitation cock and goat made of wood.140 The worship of Durgā continued till the tenth day. While Jīmūtavāhana and Laksmīdhara prescribe a twoday festival, only on the ninth and tenth, Bhoja and Chandesvara recommended the worship from the seventh to the tenth day of the bright half of Sukla. The days on which Durgā's worship in the month of Āśvin were held were known in Hemachandra's Dvyāśraya<sup>141</sup> as Navāha which his commentator Abhyatilaka Gani calls Navarātra. These names of the festive days are probably identical with Mahānavamī of Alberuni and Gardīzī. 142 On the occasion animals were sacrificed. Alberuni makes the additional observation that in case of failure to get kids to sacrifice, the devotees "will sometimes pounce upon whomsoever he meets and kill him". On the tenth day was celebrated in Eastern India a Saturnalian festival called Savoratsava which has been described by Jīmūtavāhana<sup>143</sup> and Chandeśvara.<sup>144</sup>

The four succeeding days, eleventh to the fourteenth, were sacred to Viṣṇu. 143 The eleventh day was a day of fasting. On the twelfth day, also called Padmanābhadvādašīvrata day according to Chaṇḍeśvara, the golden image of Padmanābha was worshipped. The full moon day, however, was important for a number of festivities. On that day, according to Lakṣmīdhara, 147 people dressed themselves in festive attires,

cleaned up and decorated the roads as also the areas surrounding their houses. People were asked not to take their meals during the day. Women, old men, children and ignorant people were to be fed on the occasion. Brāhmaṇas were to be given vegetarian dishes. The full moon night was more colourful. Songs and dances were arranged. Different types of sports were held before the fire. Bhoja and Jīmūtavāhana recommend the observance of Kojāgarapūrnimā on the full moon night in Āśvin. People, keeping awake throughout the night, used to worship Laksmā and Indra.\*

Two other festivals were held on the first and second days following the full moon in Asvin. The first one is known as the gift of Kāntāradīpa<sup>150</sup> celebrated on the night following the full moon in the month of Asvin. It has been described by Laksmidhara and Chandesvara. Kāntāra means a jungle or desolate area. 151 the ritual was meant for "relief of disembodied spirits (preta) and the manes (pitarah) and earning thereby benedictions and divine blessings." The celebrations began with the lighting of a lamp with a prayer addressed to Dharma as Visnu and Siva. The lamp was to be lighted throughout the month of Karttika. A rough wooden image of the sun of the height of a man was installed at a distance from the house of a celebrant. A lamp made of four with big wicks and filled with clarified butter was to be placed on the head of the image. Before the image, geometrical figures (Mandala) were drawn. Eight vessels full of water were to be placed one for each quarter and angle of the Mandala and a homa was to be performed. Cows, lands, gold, silver, clothes, fruits, barley, rice, house, chariots, beds were pres-

<sup>\*</sup> Cf. Account of the festival in Srīnāthāchārya Chūdāmaṇi's Krityatattvārṇava fols. 71B-72B when dice was played and friends and relatives had to be gratified with pressed rice (chipiṭaka) and preparations of cocoanuts (HB I 607). These descriptions do not occur in Kālaviveka. It is interesting to observe here that the ceremonies tally with the observance of modern Lakṣmīpūjā on the Kojāgarapūrṇimā night in Bengal, but not in Bihar.

cribed as gifts to the Brāhmaṇas on the occasion. The Brāhmaṇas were also offered lamps. But these lamps were not taken away by them. They were to be placed in the hollows of trees, desolate houses, Buddhist temples, cremation grounds. caves, banks of rivers and in the houses of poor people. The more interesting part of the ritual was that the celebrant was first to please the women folk with gifts of ornaments and then to light the lamps. On the second day following Āśvin Pūrnimā or on Uttara Pratipada was celebrated in the Uttar Pradesh and Mithila a Saturnalia known as *Udakasevā Mahotsava*. A description of this festival is discussed in Chapter XV.

Laksmidhara mentions a harvest festival<sup>154</sup> in the month of Āśvin, but the date is not definitely fixed. On the occasion of the reaping of the new harvest, one was to go to the fields amidst the play of musical instruments and dances. A fire was to be lit up, a *homa* performed and a feast arranged with the newly harvested rice.

Another festival of political significance was the Nīrājana or waving of lights before horses and elephants. It finds prominence in Brihatsamhita. The Yuktikalpataru states that a big ornamental arch of some holy tree was to be erected in the north-east of the capital. 156 Round the necks of horses threads smeared with saffron paste were to be tied. The Yuktikalpataru does not prescribe any particular date for the festival but the Rajamartanda gives the date as the eighth day of Asvin Sukla. 157 Kautilya, however, prescribes that on the ninth day of Asvin or at the beginning and close of invasions or when diseases break out, the Nīrājana festival was to be held. Chandesvara prescribes the festival on the second day of the bright half of Asvin and recommends its continuance for seven days.<sup>158</sup> No horse was to be struck with a whip or addressed harshly during the period. The people were enjoined to blow conches, beat drums and sing songs before the elephants and horses. Alberuni notes that on the 15th Asvin, when the moon stands in Revati, a festival known as Puhai (?) takes place, when the people "wrangle with each other and play with animals".<sup>159</sup> The date tallies with one of the dates given by Bhoja in his Yuktikalpataru.<sup>160</sup> But Alberuni's information that the day was holy to Vāsudeva, because "his uncle Kamsa had ordered him into his presence for the purpose of wrangling" cannot be corroborated by any contemporary native evidence.

Possibly in Gujarat area alone, a festival called *Poalao* was held in this month when the husband ate a cake from the hands of his wife.<sup>161</sup>

#### Kārtika (October-November):

During the dark night or amavasva in the month of Kartika was observed the Divali festival. Laksmidhara and Chandesvara quoting the Brahma Purāna, inform us that on that day was observed the Sukhasupukā. 162 Bhoja in his Rājamārtanda<sup>163</sup> calls it as Sukharātri. People did not take their meals in the morning. Laksmī was worshipped in the dusk and lamps were lit up on the trees, in temples, roads, cremation-grounds, river banks, tops of hills and also in the houses. Gifts and food were offered to the Brahmanas and to the hungry people. People on that occasion, wore new clothes and ornaments and feted womenfolk, learned men and friends. Laksmi is said to be getting up from sleep on this night and Jīmūtavāhana, like Bhoja, calls it Sukharātri.164 therefore. The occasion was celebrated by lighting lamps in houses and relatives were shown respect with offerings of flowers. Chandesvara further informs us that the people of Gauda or Eastern India worshipped Kuvera in the dusk of the Sukharātri day. A perusal of the Dvyāsraya<sup>165</sup> also shows that the amāvasyā of the month of Kartika was known as Dipotsava. And Hemachandra further tells us that the fortnight which followed the Asvin Pūrņimā was known as Dīpotsava-pakṣa or fortnight of the illumination festival. Abhayatilaka, the commentator, explains Dīpotsava as Dīpālikā. Hemachandra in his Desīnāmamālā<sup>166</sup> has shown that the words Dīvālī and Dīpā-

likā are equivalents of the Desi word jakkharatti. Sukharātri, Sukhasuptikā, dīpotsava, Dīpālikā and jakkharatti were synonymous terms. The Dīvālī is an old festival, which is mentioned in the Puranas like Nilamata, Skanda, Varaha and Bhavisva and quoted by Hemādri, Nāgānanda and Kāmasūtra of Vātsyāyana.167 Description of the festival is found in non-Brāhmaņical literature too. Somadeva in his Yaśastilakachampū composed in 959 A.D. describes how the houses were whitewashed and adorned with white flags and rows of lights. He also speaks of "the women excited by gambling, the gaiety of courtesans and the sweet notes of music."168 Alberuni states that, "the first Kartika or new moon's day when the sun marches in Libra, is called Dīvālī. Then people bathe, dress festively, make presents to each other of betel leaves and areca-nuts; they ride to the temples to give alms and play merrily with each other till noon. In the night they light a number of lamps in every place so that the air is perfectly clear. The cause of this festival is that Laksmi, the wife of Vāsudeva, once a year liberates Bali, the son of Vīrochana. therefore the festival is called Baliraiya, i.e. the principality of Bali". 169 Gardīzī 170 also makes a similar statement. The Bali Pūjā, however, did not occur in the Dīvālī night. It was held on the day following the dark night or umāvasyā of the month of Kārtika. Jimūtavāhana, Laksmidhara and Chandeśvara unanimously state that the festival celebrated on Pratipada after Kārtika amāvasyā was Balirājyaprayartinī. 171 In the morning of this day people took part in gambling. The win or loss in the gamble portended good or bad fortune for the year. 172 The festival is called Dyūta-Pratipada in Krtyatattvārnava. Songs, both vocal and instrumental, are prescribed. People dressed themselves gaily and fed their friends. The night was spent with equal mirth and gaiety. Friends, relatives and Brāhmanas were honoured with gifts of new clothes. Further, the husband and wife put on festive clothes, ornaments and garlands and spent the night in a room, lit up with lamps and scented with sandal-wood and other perfumes. The bed was

decorated and strewn with flowers. This festival was current also on the same day in Gujarat area. Hemachandra in his  $Dvy\bar{a}\dot{s}raya^{173}$  calls it as  $Bali-mah\bar{u}$ , which has been explained by his commentator Abhayatilaka Gaṇi as  $Balir\bar{a}jyadina$ .

On the second day of the bright half of the month of Kārtika, the sisters fed their brothers, who in their turn, gave ornaments, clothes etc., to their sisters. This ceremoney is called *Bhrātridvitīvā* by Bhoja<sup>174</sup> and Jīmūtavāhana and *Yamadvitīyā* by Chaņdeśvara.<sup>176</sup> As Lakṣmīdhara does not mention this, it is very likely that it was not observed in Eastern U.P. in the eleventh century. This festival, however, was current in Mahārāṣṭṛa and South India, because Hemādri<sup>177</sup> mentions it.

The other days of the bright-half of Kartika like the fourth, eighth, ninth or eleventh were also festive days. The eighth day was a Sakta festival in Bengal in honour of the universal mother, now called Jagaddhātrīpūjā. 178 Alberuni points out that on the eleventh of the bright-half, people observe Deotthini in commemoration of the waking up of Vasudeva. It was a day of fasting and people "soil themselves with dung of cows, and break fasting (on the twelfth) by feeding upon a mixture of Cow's milk, urine and dung."179 The correctness of his description is corroborated by the account of the abovementioned festival in Rājamārtanda of Bhoja, Niyatakālakānda and the Krityaratnākara. 180 It was a great festival. People fasted and in the night the Vedas and Purānas were recited. Songs and dances came as a welcome relief. The Vaisnavas spoke on the life and activities of Vasudeva. People offered vegetables and a variety of fruits to the deity. On the twelfth day, at day-break Vasudeva was bathed with ghee, oil, and honey. Other rituals then followed. 182 An inscription of a Chaulukya feudatory ruler named Vaijalladeva dated 1173 A.D. shows that this chief fasted on the occasion. 183 That this fasting was observed in Gujarat can be testified to by the statement of Hemachandra. 184 The fourteenth day or the full moon day was an important one for a number of reasons. First, after a bath, the women-folk worshipped the fruit-bearing

trees. It was a peculiar custom that the offerings were given to the mother of one child first and then to the bird. The ceremony came to a close with the feeding of friends, relatives and servants. Chandesvara calls the festival, the worship of Ekānga. Secondly, Vāsudeva and other deities were worshipped on this day. Lamps were lit on the occasion and people offered varieties of food to the Brāhmaṇas and deities. They themselves, however, had nothing but milk. Lakṣmīdhara recommends that during the five days commencing from the ekādaśī or the eleventh day, one should neither kill nor cause injury to animals.

The fourteenth day of the bright-half of the month of Kārtika was notable for the Kaumudīmahotsava, which was held in honour of Siva. In modern Bihar the Sonepur fair commences on this day. However, Laksmidhara, quoting the Skanda Purāna, tells us that the King took the lead in organising the festival.<sup>187</sup> Songs and dances went on. Dramas were staged. Every house had to be whitewashed or cleaned and banners flaunted at prominent places. The streets were strewn with flowers. At night the streets and houses were illuminated with lamps, fed with adequate quantity of ghee or oil. It is necessary to state here that the festival must have been fairly popular even in North-western India. Alberuni states that when the full moon is perfect they give banquets. 188 That the festival was popular in the Narmada region during the period under survey, can be proved by an inscription of the feudatory ruler Vaijalladeva dated VS 1231/1173 A.D. 168 Here, of course, the ruler worshipped both Siva and Visnu on the occasion.

# Mārgašīrṣa (Agrahāyana) (November-December):

According to Laksmidhara, the new year began on the *Pratipada* day of the month of Mārgaśīrṣa in the Krita era. On this day Kāśmīra is said to have been built. The occasion was commemorated by songs and gifts of new clothes and ornaments.<sup>100</sup> Alberuni<sup>131</sup> however puts the date of the beginning of Krita era on the third Vaiśākha.

All other festive days of this month were in the bright-half. Alberuni and Gardīzī inform us that on the third day of the month, women observed a festival called Guvāna-bātrij (?) sacred to Gauri. 192 They assembled in the houses of rich men to worship the silver-image of Gaurī and played with one another. This festival is however not mentioned in any contemporary digest. It is however referred to by the fourteenth century nibandhakāra Chandeśvara 193 and the South Indian Hemādri. 194 From their accounts it is evident that the day on which Gauri was worshipped must have been in the bright-half -a fact not mentioned by Alberuni. On the seventh day of the bright-half Mitrasaptamī was celebrated in honour of the Sun called Mitra. 195 The devotees fasted on the sixth day, taking only fruits and spent the night amidst songs. The festival continued on the seventh and eighth days. During these two days they took a preparation of powdered rice and honey. The eleventh and twelfth days were sacred to Vasudeva. The eleventh was a day of fast and the actual worship came on the twelfth. This festival is mentioned by the Bengali and Maithila writers but not by Laksmidhara. On the fourteenth day or on the full moon-day, the Himapūjā was celebrated.197 Alberuni and Gardīzī rightly observe that it was a festival of women. 198 Laksmīdhara also says the same thing. He further tells us that animals were sacrificed. Cows were to be given salt during the whole of the day. The mother, father's sister and daughters were shown respect. Along with the worship of Hima or dews, the Sthananaga was worshipped with flowers, perfumes and fruits. When prayers were offered to \$yamadevi, the event was signalised by sharing delicacies friends, relatives and servants, and one had to hear songs. As on the occasion of the Udakasevāmahotsava so also on this day, they drank wine. Witnessing the dance of harlots is also prescribed. 199 The Bengal school recommends the observance of the Pāṣāṇa-Chaturdaśī and eating of cakes on the full-moon night.200

### Pausa (December-January):

Laksmīdhara mentions two festivals falling in the dark-half of the month of Pausa. On the eighth day people took vegetables  $(\hat{sa}ka)^{201}$  Alberuni mentions this festival as Sakartam when they took turnips. The eleventh and the twelfth days were holy to Nārāvaṇa. Chaṇdeśvara calls it Kūrmadvādaśī which was observed in the Suklapakṣa or the bright half.

Several festivals were held also in the bright half of Pausa. On the sixth day a fast according to Alberuni was observed in honour of the Sun<sup>205</sup> The same writer informs us that a festival called Ashtaka was held on the eighth day when Brahmanas were offered "dishes prepared from the plant Atriplex hortensis". 206 Chandesvara, 207 quoting Bhavisya Purāna also says that the day was holy to Mahādeva. Brāhmanas were specially fed on the occasion. It was a Saiva festival. After the Brāhmanas, Saivas and Pāsupatas had been fed, Mahadeva was placed on a car. The Pāśupatas danced along the road through which the car was taken. On the full moon day as many as five deities viz. Visnu, Puramdara, Soma, Pusa and Brhaspati were worshipped208 On this occasion, too, people put on gala dress, used new clothes and ate delicious and wholesome dishes prepared with ghee, as well as pāvasa.

## Māgha (January-February):

The eighth, twelfth and the fourteenth days of the dark-half of Māgha were days of festival. It is interesting to observe here that meat was offered to the Manes on the eighth day.<sup>209</sup> The twelfth day was held sacred because Yama dedicated the *tila* seed and it shot forth from the body of Viṣṇu. So the temples were lit up with the *tila* oil and the people offered and took *tila* on that day.<sup>210</sup> Kalhaṇa tells us of its observance in Kāshmīr.<sup>211</sup> The fourteenth day was also important for a Śakta festival, called *Raṭantīchaturdasī*, sacred to Kālī.<sup>212</sup>

Coming to the bright half, the third day was holy to Gauri. Alberuni and Gardizi give a vivid description of the It was known as Gaur-t-r or Mahatri (Māghafestival 213 tritīvā?). On this occasion women only and not men. observed a fast. The women-folk used to worship Gaurī in the house of the most important personality in the area and offered costly dresses, pleasant perfumes and nice dishes. Then the women put 108 jugs full of water at each meetingplace and "after the water has become cool, they wash with it four times at the four quarters of that night".214 day, that is on the fourth, they gave banquets, received guests, and made presents "to the nearest relatives of their husbands." A perusal of the Krtvaratnākara confirms the statements of the foreign writers Alberuni and Gardīzī. Chandeśvara calls the festival as Rasakalvānīvratan;.215 But unlike Alberuni he does not state that the women took their bath in the four quarters of the night in the water of 108 jugs. Laksmidhara too mentions the festival as being observed by women specially. But he puts the date of the festival only on the fourth day of the bright fortnight of the month of Māgha.216 According to Chandesvara the fourth and fifth days called Varachaturthi and Srīpañchami were holy to Vināvaka.217 Jīmūtavāhana also recommends the worship of Ganesa (Vināyaka) on the fourth day only. He also states that it was a day of fast, and the women worshipped the Guru and King on the occasion.218 These days were also sacred to Śrī according to Bhoja,219 Jīmūtavāhana Chandesvara. The seventh day according to all the contemporary digest-makers were sacred to the Sun named Pusā.220 Though it was a day of fast yet people had Jīmūtavāhana quoting Matsva Purāna tells us that as the sun is associated with car the day is called Rathasaptamī.<sup>221</sup> The Rathasaptamī is mentioned in inscriptions of the Rastrakūtas<sup>222</sup> and Kalachuris of Ratanpur.<sup>223</sup> The eleventh and twelfth days were sacred to the Vaisnavas. The former was a day of fast and on the latter day the golden

image of Varāha was worshipped. The digests of mediaeval North India do not mention any festival on the full moonday. It was a day for offering tila to the manes.<sup>225</sup> But Alberuni states that this day called Chamaha (?), Indians lighted lamps "on all high places".<sup>226</sup> This festival is not mentioned by Gardīzī.

Alberuni and Gardīzī mention two other festivals in the month of Māgha. In a festival called *Mansartaku* observed on the twenty-third, people treated guests to meat and large black peas.<sup>227</sup> On the twentyninth "when there is only a remainder of 3 day-minutes, i.e.l. hour, all the Hindus enter the water and duck under in it seven times".<sup>228</sup>

### Phālguna (February-March):

Beginning from the eighth all the days of the dark-half of the month of Phalguna witnessed some kind of celebration. On the anniversary of the birth of Sītā on the eighth of the dark-half of the month of Phalguna, the Brahmanas, friends and relatives were offered cakes.229 Songs were arranged during the ninth, tenth and eleventh days of the above mentioned fortnight. People fasted on the thirteenth day and on the fourteenth worshipped Sankara.230 They kept awake the whole night and witnessed songs and dances and heard stories relating to Siva. As Sankara preached ahimsā so the Puranas advised that the animals were to be decorated. This day has been rightly called Sivarātri by Alberuni and Gardīzī.201 The Sivarātri was a popular festival. In Kāshmīr it was an important festival.232 Kāśhmīr kings made presents on the occasion. Inscriptions of Rajputana and Madhya Pradesh also refer to it, but the month is stated to be in Māgha.233

In the bright-half, on the eighth day in commemoration of the creation of the earth by Keśava, the houses were illuminated with countless lamps. And the next day was spent in the midst of songs and dances and a grand feast.<sup>234</sup> In Kāśhmīr, according to the Nīlamata Purāṇa<sup>235</sup> and the Rāja-

tarangini,236 the festival on the eighth day was called Mahīmāna, when lamps were lit up on the snow in honour of the gods and Pitris and next day, a feast was given in which wine was also served. The eleventh and twelfth days were sacred to Visnu and called Nrisinghadvādašī by Chandeśvara.237 Govindadvādašī by Jīmūtavāhana.238 and Āmalakaekādašī by Hemachandra.229 Bhoja in his Rājamārtanda240 tells us that the day is sacred to Hari. Hemachandra mentions another summer festival, called Dola in the Dvyāśraya<sup>241</sup> on the full moon-day of Phälguna. On this occasion, according to the commentator Abhayatilaka Gani, children were kept securely in a room and were provided with sugarcandy and rice. But Bhoia informs us that on this date the carfestival of Skanda took place and coloured perfumes were thrown on the occasion.242 Alberuni and Gardīzī call the festival on this day as Odad and Dhola (i.e. Dola) when "they make fire on places lower than those on which they make it on the festival Camaha, and they throw the fire out of the village".243 But Gardīzī informs us that this festival was observed by women only.

Besides these, Laksmidhara recommends that people should worship teachers, Agni, Brāhmanas and the Creator on their own birth-day. Presents were to be given to the Brāhmanas of course.<sup>244</sup>

# Conclusion:

A perusal of the innumerable festivals mentioned by foreign writers like Alberuni and Gardīzī, the digest-makers like Jīmūtavāhana, Laksmīdhara. Bhoja, Śrīnāthāchārya and Chaṇḍeśvara and litterateurs like Kalhaṇa and Hemachandra and also the contemporary inscriptions, show that the busy months were Chaitra, Bhādra, Āsvin, Kārttika, Māgha and Phālguna. Most of the festivals synchronised with the harvesting of the autumn, winter and summer crops. Lesser number of holidays fell in the months of Jyaiṣṭha, Śrāvaṇa, Mārgaśīrṣa and Pauṣa. When there was a riot of Aśoka and Damanaka

FESTIVALS 303

flowers the God of Love was worshipped, and the swing festivals of Vāsudeva, Siva and Gaurī, were held (vide Aśokāstamī, Damanakachaturdasi and Swing festivals). The Dhar Prasasti calls the festival on the full moon-day of Chaitra as Vasantotsava. When the fields lay full of the ripe corn it was a signal for holding the famous Durgāpūjā, Kojāgara Pūrņimā, the Kaumudimahotsava. Kāntāradīpadāna Dīvālī. and the intimate connection of the rice-plants with The religious life of the Indian is described in the Brahma Purāna which has been quoted by Laksmidhara and Chandesvara.245

The observance of these festivities served many purposes. On the occasion of the full moon days of Asvin and Kartika. the houses were whitewashed and there was a regular cleanup. And on the occasion of the Kāntāradīpadāna, Sukhasutikā. Kaunsudīmahotsava and the commemoration of creation of the earth on the eighth phalguna Śūkla, lamps were lit up in all places. At present illumination is made only once a year on the Dīvalī night. Another purpose of festivals was to bring together all classes of people and make them join the celebrations. The car festivals served it excellently. Durgā's ratha was taken out on the ninth day of the dark fortnights of Bhadra and Asvin. There were also processions of the cars of Mahadeva on the eighth of the brighthalf of Pausa, of the Sun on the seventh of the bright-half of Magha and of Purusottama at Puri on the full moon day of Jyaistha. If the car-festivals were optional, the attendance of all the citizens were obligatory on the days of the celebration of the Kaumudīmahotsava, on the full moon day in the month of Kartika and the raising of Staff of Indra either in Bhādra or Āśvin.

Most of the festivals were celebrated by the young and old, men and women of all classes and creeds. Alberuni's comment that "most of the Hindu festivals are celebrated by women and children.<sup>246</sup>" has to be accepted with reservation.

There was no dead uniformity in the observance of festivals in different parts of Northern India. For example, the full moon

day of Asvin has been described as the Kojagarapūrnimā by Jīmūtavāhana.247 Laksmi and Indra were worshipped in Bengal on that day. Chandesvara clearly informs us that the practice of keeping awake at night was a Gauda custom.248 But, according to Laksmidhara, the fire god, Rudra (Siva) Surabhi, Varuna, Vināvaka and Revanta were worshipped in the full moon day of Asvin. People abstained from food in the morning and spent the day in cleaning the streets and houses, and in songs and dances.249 What was known as Kaumudimahotsava, sacred to Rudra, was held, according to Laksmidhara, on the full moon night of Kartika.250 Further, the Daśahara festival was current only in Bengal and Malwa on the tenth day of the bright fortnight of Jyaistha.251 Laksmīdhara also does not mention the custom of lighting lamps up in the skies (ākāśapradīpadāna) and especially on sixth, seventh or the amāvasyā in the month of Kārtika, which is prescribed by Bhoja and Jīmūtavāhana.<sup>252</sup> The Bhātridyitīyā was observed in Eastern India and Malwa. It is mentioned by Bhoja in his Rājamārtanda and Jīmūtavāhana in Kālaviveka and not by Laksmidhara. The Varachaturthi and Śripańchami are mentioned by Bhoja, Jīmūtavāhana and Chandesvara but omitted by Laksmidhara. Further Hemachandra in his Desināmamālā refers to certain peculiar festivals which were not in vogue anywhere in North India except Guirata-Mahārāstra area in the twelfth century A.D. They are Chorali, Cchapanti, 253 Navālava, Paunam, 254 Poālao, 255 Bhāuam, 256 Layam 257 and Vahumas.258 Kamalabai Deshpande259 has discussed the peculiarity of these festivals. The celebration of Chorali was obsered by men, possibly by bachelors, who by worshipping Hara or Siva got the type of bride they desired. "With the sprouts of vilva (aegle marmelos) you have worshipped Hara in sacred festival of Chorali in such a way that she will come to you. as if compelled by the arrows of cupid".260 On the occasion of Navalaya, the married woman, while swinging did not give out the name of her husband. "That young bride is (said to be) observing the vow of Navalaya, who if at the

FESTIVALS 305

time of the game of a swing, is being asked the name of her husband, does not give it out and consequently is being beaten by her friends with palāśa twigs". In the month of Āśvin, the husband ate a cake from the hands of his wife on the occasion of Poālao. Unlike other law-givers and digest-makers, Hemachandra refers to the festival of Gaurī in the month of Āṣāḍha known as Bhāuam. The festivity of newly married couple, each calling the other by name was called Layam. Deshpande informs us that this custom is followed in modern Maharāṣṭra and Karnāṭaka. In other parts of India, however, it is forbidden to utter the name of one's husband.

A comparison of the festivals held during the period under review and modern India shows that the dates of some festivals have changed and new festivals have been introduced. The modern Indrotthapana festival is observed in Northern India and Mithila on the 12th Bhadra Sukla (Rudradhara: Varsakrityam, ed. R. C. Jha, Vol I. p. 71). But we have already seen that the flag of Indra was raised at different times in mediaeval Northern India. Again the car festival of Jagannātha starts in modern Eastern India on the second day of the bright-half of Asadha (Bengal calendar and Varsakrityam Vol. I. p. 71). But in the twelfth century A.D. it was observed on the full moon day of the month of Jyaistha. gard to the swing festival of Krsna, Alberuni and Gardīzī observe that it was held on the eleventh day of the bright fortnight of Chaitra. This festival is not mentioned by any contemporary digest-maker of India. There cannot be any doubt that it was performed in mediaeval India. The Haribhaktivilāsa, composed in the sixteenth century A.D., quoting Garuda Purāna, states that in the midst of songs and dances, Krsna or Hari should be placed on a swing, with his face towards the south on the 11th Chaitra Sukla (Haribhaktivilāsa, ch. 13, verse 99). Gopālabhatta further tells us that in Puri, the Dola festival is observed on the Pratipada or the full moon day of the month of Phalguna or on the asterism

uttara Phalguni entering the second stage (Haribhaktivilāsa, ch. 14, verse 103).

With regard to the Vaisnava festivals, it may be pointed out here that, though the Gopi legend had been fully established by the end of our period, some of the festivities connected with the cult did not attain much popularity by the twelfth century A.D. Neither the digest-makers of our period nor the foreign Muslim writers refer to such festivals as the Rāsa-pūrnimā, and the dola or Holi in the months of Kärttika and Phalguna respectively. It seems that these ceremonies became more popular from the thirteenth century A.D., because Śūlapāni flourishing in the latter-half of the fourteenth century, wrote books liks Dolayātrāviyeka and Rāsayātrāviveka.262 The procession of the car of Krsna and Purusottama did not exist even towards the end of the twelfth The Haribhaktivilāsa tells of a car festival of Krsna on the bright fortnight of Karttika, the day of Prabodhinī Ekādaśī.263 Such a procession is not depicted by the contemporary writers of the twelfth century A.D. The Snanayātrā of Jagannātha is also not mentioned in any book of the period under survey. Further, a fast and a festival connected with the Rāmanavamī was unknown at least till the first-half of the twelfth century A.D. In modern times it is observed on the ninth day of the bright fortnight of the month of Chaitra.264 It must have been developed sometime in the latter-half of the twelfth century A.D., This festival is mentioned in the Chaturvargachintāmani<sup>265</sup> and the Haribhaktivilāsa.266

The following festive days of modern India were also not observed in the period under review. What we call now as Vasanta-pañchamī day or the Sarasvatīpūjā, which is celebrated on the fifth day of the bright-half of Māgha,267 is conspicuous by its absence in mediaeval digests. The above-mentioned date, according to Bhoja and Jīmūtavāhana was sacred to Śrī or Lakṣmī and not to Sarasvatī.268 Again, in Eastern India Śyāmā or Kālī is worshipped on the Sukha-

FESTIVALS 307

rātri day.269 But this goddess was not worshipped on the above-mentioned date in the eleventh-twelfth centuries A.D., It was Laksmī and neither Śyāmā nor Kālī who was propitiated in his days of Jīmūtavāhana, Lasmīdhara and Chandes-The Chinat, holy to the Sun, observed now-a-days in Bihar and also called Pratihārīsasthī on the sixth day of the bright half of Kārttika 270 was not known to the North Indians up to the twelfth century. Even Chandesvara of the fourteenth century A.D. Mithila does not speak of this particular date. During the period under review, the Sun was specially worshipped on the sixth and seventh day of the bright fortnight of the month of Chaitra,271 fifth of Bhadra,272 sixth of Pauşa<sup>273</sup> and seventh day of Māgha-Śukla.<sup>274</sup> Similarly the worship of learning by the Kavasthas of Bihar and the Uttar Pradesh observed on the Bhrātridvitīyā day275 was unknown to mediaeval India.

On the other hand, some festivals of the eleventh and twelfth centuries A.D. are not now observed in Northern India. Ganesa is no longer worshipped on the eighth day of the dark-half of the month of Asadha.276 Now-a-days, the Ganeśa-chaturthi is observed on the fourth day of the brighthalf of Bhadra.277 But this date, however, was held sacred to śiva and Vighneśa or Ganeśa, by Jīmūtavāhana and Chandeśvara.278 Jīmūtavāhana's recommendation that the day was sacred to Siva or Parvati is identical with the observation of Bhoja. But Bhoja in his Rājamārtanda calls the day Haritālikā, sacred to Pārvatī alone.279 All the ceremonies connected with Kantaradipadana and the raising of the Flag Staff of Indra have fallen into disuse. The saturnalian festivals like the Udakasevämahotsava and the Savarotsava have also fortunately become obsolete.

The most remarkable feature of the life of the Hindus in the eleventh and twelfth centuries A.D. is that at least 128 days out of 365 days in the year were spent in celebrating one festival or another in which gifts had to be made to the Brāhmaņas and feasts had to be arranged for friends and

relatives. The larger the number of feasts, the greater was the income of the priestly class whose privilege it was to prescribe newer and newer festivals. Most of these festivals were celebrated with singing, dancing and merry-making. Living in the midst of perpetual fear and insecurity the people could find considerable relief in these celebrations from their nervous tension no doubt, but too many of them tended to generate a sense of frivolity in younger persons. When a festival distracted the attention of the people every third day, it was extremely difficult to ensure sustained interest in the more serious pursuits.

#### REFERENCES TO CHAPTER XIII

### (Festivals)

- 1 Nivata, pp. 377-382; Krityaratnākara, pp. 103-108.
- 2 Niyata., pp. 382-383; Krityaratnākara p. 119. But according to modern Bengal calendar, the Kārttikeyavrata is held on the last day of Kārttika.
  - 3 Sachau II. 176; Krityaratnākaro pp. 121-123.
  - 4 CC. Vol. II pt. I, Ch. 11. pp. 702-705.
- 5 Rājamārtaņļa (ABORI Vol. 36 p. 333); KV p. 422; Krityaratnākara pp. 126-127.
  - 6 CC. Vol. II. pt. 1, Vratakhanda Ch. XII pp. 862-863.
  - 7 Niyata, pp. 383-384; Krityaratnākara p. 128.
  - 8 Sachau II. 178.
  - 9 Gardīzī MS p. 216 A
- 10 CC. Vol. II pt. 2, Vratakhaṇḍa, Ch. 19, pp. 1194-98; Kritya-ratnākara, pp. 135-136.
  - 11 Niyata. p. 384; Krityaratnākara p. 128.
- 12 KV p. 470, CC. Vol. II. pt. 2, pp. 21-24; Krityaratnākara pp. 137-39.
- 13 Modern Review, March 1926 pp. 282-297—article on "The Origin of the Holi festival."
- 14 Gupte: Hindu Holidays and Ceremonials pp. 87-91; Varşakrityam Vol, I. p. 146.
  - 15 Niyata. p. 384; KV P. 470; Krityaratnākara pp. 137-139.
  - 16 Dāyabhāga (ed. Chandicharan Smritibhusana) p. 61, verse 32.
  - 17 Desīnāmamālā VI. 82.
  - 18 Sachau II, 178.

- 19 Gapālabhaţţa: Haribhaktivilāsa Ch. 14, verse 100
- 20 1bid, Ch. 14 verse 87, Cf. Varsakrityam Vol. I. p. 148 recommends observance of Vasantotsava on the first day of the dark half of the month of Chaitra.
  - 21 Sachau II. 178-179.
  - 22 Niyata, pp. 384-385; Krityaratnākara pp. 138-139.
  - 23 Niyata. pp. 384; Krityaratnakara p. 138.
  - 24 Commentary to DV V. 141.
  - 25 IA, XI. 338.
  - 26 El. VIII. 96.
  - 27 KV p. 469.
- 28 CC. Vol. II pt. I, Vratakhanda Ch. XXI pp. 453-55; Smriti-kaustubha p. 19.
  - 29 EI. XXIII. 134.
  - 30 Ibid XXIII. 188.
  - 31 Niyata. p. 443; Krityaratnākara p. 532.
  - 32 Niyata, p. 385; Krityaratnūkara p. 139.
  - 33 Niyata, pp. 443-446; Krityaratnākara p. 532-535.
  - 34 Niyata. p. 444.
  - 35 Niyata, p. 444; Krityaratnākara pp. 533-534.
  - 36 Nivata. p. 446.
  - 37 Niyata p. 446; Krityaratnākara pp. 535-536.
- 38 Sachau II. 178-179, Gardīzī Ms. p. 216 A. While Alberuni states 'Chaitra-Chashati; Gardīzī writes Chir-Jast.'
  - 39 Niyata, pp. 386-87 Krityaratnākara pp. 150-151.
  - 40 Niyata, p. 387; Krityaratnākara p. 154.
  - 41 KV pp. 408-409; Krityaratnākara pp. 155-157.
  - 42 Trīsastīsalākāpurusacharita (Tr.), Vol I. p. 181.
  - 43 DHNI II. 999 (Udaipur S. I. dated v. s. 1229/1173).
  - 44 EI. XIV. 198 (Chandravati grant, dated v. s. 1156/1100 A.D.)
  - 45 DHNI 1. 516, 523 and 526.
  - 46 Sachau II. 179; Gardizī Ms. p. 216.
  - 47 CC. Vol. II pt. I, Vratakhanda Ch. 7, pp. 450-452.
  - 48 Niyata, p. 387, Krityaratnākara p. 159.
  - 49 Krityaratnākara pp. 157-159.
  - 50 Niyata, p. 388; Krityaratnākara pp. 159-160.
  - 51 Niyata, p. 388-389; KV p. 409; Krityaratnākara pp. 163-164.
  - 52 Sachau II. 179; Gardizī Ms. p. 216.
  - 53 Ibid.
  - 54 Niyata, pp. 389-390; Krityaratnākara p. 186
  - 55 Niyata, p. 390; Krityaratnākara p. 190.
- 56 KV pp. 400-403, Rājamūrtaņda (ABORI Vol. 36. 335-336); Krityaratnākara pp. 187-88.
  - 57 Niyata p. 387; Kruyaratnākara p. 159.
  - 58 Krityaratnākara pp. 187-88.
- 59 Gupte: Hindu Holidays and Ceremonials p. 32; Varşakrityam, Vol. I. p. 170.

- 60 Krityaratnākara pp. 190-191.
- 61 Sachau II writes as Rūpa-pañcha and Gardīzī Ms. p. 216 as Rūpa-mañch.
- 62 Rājamārtanda (ABORI, Vol. 36, 335); Krityaratnākara pp. 192-95; CC II. pt. II Vratakhanda Ch. XXI pp. 272-274. Chandesvara quotes Rājamārtanda in Krityaratnākara p. 192.
  - 63 Krityaratnākara p. 195.
  - 64 Gupte: Hindu Holidays and Ceremonials p. 238.
- 65 Brahma Purāṇa Ch. 65. 58; Skanda Purāṇa, Utkalakhaṇda 32. 9; Agni Purāṇa Ch. 121. 63.
  - 66 Naisadhacharita, Canto XV. 89.
- 67 Rājamārtaņda (ABORI, Vol. 36 p. 334; Jyatsthī tu Purusottama in verse 245).
  - 68 Niyata, p. 390, Krityaratnākara p. 198.
  - 69 KV p. 471.
  - 70 Niyata, pp. 390-391, Krityaratnākara p. 199.
  - 71 Krityaratnakara pp. 203-204.
  - 72 Ibid pp. 445-446.
- 73 Niyata, pp. 391, KV pp. 175-185; Krityaratnākara pp. 204-205; Rājamārtanda (ABORI Vol. 36 p. 314); Sachau II. 176.
- 74 Niyata, pp. 391-393; Krityaratnākara pp. 204-305. Chandes-vara quotes Brahma and Varāha Purāņas in pp. 204-205 and Vāmana Purāņa in pp. 206-207.
  - 75 Sachau II. 176.
  - 76 Niyata, pp. 393-94; Kritvaratnākara p. 218.
  - 77 Sachau II 179; Gardīzī Ms. p. 217 A.
  - 78 Krityaratnakara p. 203.
  - 79 Desīnāmamālā VI. 103.
  - 80 Forbes: Rās Mālā II. 319.
  - 81 Krityaratnākara pp. 203-204.
  - 82 CC. Vol II, pt. 1, Vratakhanda, Ch. 13 p. 925.
  - 83 Niyata, P. 395, Krityaratnākara p. 251.
  - 84 Krityaratnākara pp. 247-248; CC. Vol. II. pt. I. pp. 1037-1038.
  - 85 Krityaratnākara p. 247.
  - 86 Sachau II. pp. 176 and 179.
  - 87 Desīnāmamalā VII, 81.
  - 88 EI. IX. 65-66.
  - 89 Majumdar, A. K.; Chaulukyas of Gujrat, p. 308.
  - 90 Sachau II. 181; Gardīzi Ms. p. 218A.
  - 91 Ibid
  - 92 Sachau II, 177 and Cf. p. 176.
  - 93 Niyata. pp. 395-396; Krityaratnākara p. 257.
  - 94 KV. 297.
- 95 Rājamārtaņda (ABORI Vol XXXVI pp. 320 and 322) verses 113-114 and 139. Some of tht passages quoted by Bhoja are identical to Kālaviveka of Jīmūtavāhana, pp. 493-494.

- 96 Krityaratnākara pp. 259-264.
- 97 Ibid p. 261.
- 98 Ibid p. 262.
- 99 Niyata, p. 396; Krityaratnākara p. 257.
- 100 Sachau II. 180, Gardīzī Ms. p. 217B.
- 101 Deśināmamālā VI, 127,
- 102 Majumdar, A. K.: Op. Cit. p. 308.
- 103 RT VIII 1007-1008. Stein identifies the lake as below the glaciers
- of Mt. Haramukuta.
  - 104 Tod: Annals and Antiquities of Rajasthan (ed. Crooke), Vol.
- Ch. XXI p. 548.
  - 105 Kamauli pl. (DHNI I. 537).
  - 106 KV p. 411.
  - 107 ABORI Vol. XXXVI 323.
  - 108 Niyata, pp. 396-97, KV pp. 413-14; Krityaratnākara p. 272.
  - 109 Niyata, p. 397; Krityaratnākara p. 277.
  - 110 Krityaratnākara pp. 278-279.
- 111 Sachau II. p. 177.
- 112 Kritvartnākara pp. 283-285.
- 113 Sachau II. pp. 180-181.
- 114 Gardīzī Ms. p. 217B.
- 115 Benares College grant dated v.s. 1232/1175 A.D. (DHNI 1. 537).
- 116 Rāj. pp. 189-190.
- 177 Brihatsamhitā (Tr. N. C. Iyer) Ch. 43 pp. 23-26.
- 118 Nivata, p. 188; Krityaratnākara p. 285.
- 119 KV. pp. 294-99.
- 120 Ibid p. 294.
- 121 Rājamārtanda (ABORI Vol. XXXVI pp. 323-327).
- 122 Rāj. pp. 186.
- 123 DV. III. 8, Cf. also Trisastišalākā puruņacharita (Eng. Tr.) I. 343.
- 124 Nīlamata Purāna (ed. De Vreese) verses 738, 774-75 vide also De Vreese's comment in page 63 on verse 738.
  - 125 RT. VIII. 170, 182 and 495
- 126 Ibid VIII 172, 174.
- 127 Niyata p. 397; Krityaratnākara p. 285.
- 128 Niyata, pp. 401-402; Kritvaratnōkara p. 286.
- 129 Niyata, pp. 397-401, KV pp. 414, 459-63; Krityaratnākara pp. 287-291.
- 130 Niyata, p. 399.
- 131 Desīnāmamālā IV. 45.
- 132 Niyata, p. 398.
- 133 Sachau II. 180; Gardīzī Ms. p. 217B.
- 134 Niyata, p. 404; Krityaratnākara p. 309.
- 135 Niyata, p. 404; Krityaratnākara pp. 309-310.
- 136 Sachau II. 180. But Gardīzi Ms. p. 217A puts the date as

sixteenth and calls it as Asoka or Aboi festival.

- 137 Krityaratnākara pp. 314-315. Chandesvara also calls it as Navamirathavratam.
  - 138 Nivata. pp. 404-406, Krityaratnākara p. 348.
  - 139 Niyata, pp. 406-407, Krityaratnākara p. 347.
- 140 Niyata, pp. 407-408, Krityaratnākara p. 350.
- 141 DV III. 6.
- 142 Sachau II. 179-190: "On the 8th Aśvāyuja when the moon stands in the nineteenth station, Mūlā, begins the sucking of the sugarcane. It is a festival holy to Mahānavamī, tht sister of Mahādeva."; Gardīzī MS. p. 217A.
  - 143 KV. p. 514.
  - 144 Kritvaratnākara p. 362.
  - 145 Niyata p. 410; Krityaratnākara pp. 373-375.
  - 146 Krityaratnākara pp. 373-375.
  - 147 Nivata, pp. 411-412; Krityaratnūkara pp. 376-377.
  - 148 Niyata. p. 411.
- 149 Rājamārtanda (ABORI Vol. XXXVI. 328); KV p. 403. Observed also in Mithila (Vide Rudradhara's Varsakrityam 1. p. 99).
  - 150 Niyata, pp. 452-456; Krityaratnākara pp. 379, 382-386.
  - 151 Kritvaratnākara p. 386,
  - 152 Nivata. p. 456.
  - 153 Niyata. p. 412-421, Krityaratnākara pp. 386-395.
- 154 Niyata, pp. 405-406, Krityaratnākara pp. 303-304.
- 155 Brihatsamhitā Ch. 34.
- 156 Yuktikalapataru (Cal. ed.) pp. 178-179.
- 157 Rājamārtaņda (ABORI, Vol. XXXVI p. 328) verse 188.
- 158 Krityaratnākara pp. 333-334.
- 159 Sachau II. 180.
- 160 Yuktikalpataru p. 177.
- 161 Dešīnāmamālā VI. 81.
- 162 Niyata, pp. 421-523, Kritaratnākara pp. 410-411.
- 163 ABORI XXXVI p. 329.
- 164 KV. pp. 403-404.
- 165 DV. II. verse 106.
- 166 Deśināmamālā III. 43.
- 167 Nīlamata Purāṇa (Lahore ed.) p. 42 verses 405-415, (ed. De Vreese, verses 398-406) Skanda Purāṇa, Vaiṣṇavakhaṇḍa Ch. 9ff; Padma Purāṇa, Uttarakhaṇḍa Ch. 122; CC. Kālanirṇayakhaṇḍa pp. 914-915; Nāgānanda Act IV; Vātsyāyana 1.4.43.
- 168 Yasastilakachampū (NS. Press pp. 597-99) Book III. verses 462-466.
  - 169 Sachau II. 182.
  - 170 Gardīzī Ms. p. 219A.
- 171 KV pp. 406-407; Krityatattvärnava (HB I. 607).
- 172 Niyata p. 422, Krityaratnākara p. 411.

- 173 DV III. 32.
- 174 ABORI Vol. XXXVI p. 329.
- 175 KV pp. 405-406.
- 176 Krityaratnākara p. 413.
- 177 CC Vol. II, pt. 1 pp. 382-386.
- 178 Srīnāthāchārya in Krityatattvārņava and Brihaspati Rāyamukuţa in Smritiratnahūra quoted in IHQ. XXVII p. 257.
  - 179 Sachau II. 177.
- 180 ABORI Vol. XXXVI p. 315, Niyata p. 427, Kiityaratnākara pp. 420 and 427.
  - 181 Krityaratnākara p. 427.
  - 182 Niyata, pp. 426-429, Kritvaratnākara pp. 420-422 and 426-427.
  - 183 IA. XVIII p. 83.
  - 184 DV III verse 35.
  - 185 Niyata pp. 425-426, Krityaratnäkara pp. 413-414
  - 186 Niyata pp. 429-431, Krityaratnākora pp. 423-425.
  - 187 Rāj. pp. 182-183.
- 188 Sachau II. 182.
- 189 IA. XVIII. p. 83.
- 190 Niyata p. 431, Krityaratnūkara p. 452.
- 191 Sachau II. 186.
- 192 Sachau II. 182, Gardīzī Ms. p. 219A.
- 193 Krityaratnākara pp. 452-453.
- 194 CC. Vol. II pt. I. pp. 402-405.
- 195 Niyata p. 432, Krityaratnakara pp. 460-461.
- 196 KV p. 466 as Vijayadvādašī, Krityaratnākara pp. 461-466 as Ubhayadvādašī and Matsyadvādašī.
- 197 Niyata pp. 433-434, Krityaratnākara pp. 471-472.
- 198 Sachav II. 183, Gardīzī Ms. p. 219A.
- 199 Niyata p. 434.
- 200 KV. p. 470.
- 201 Niyata p. 434, Kritvaratnākara pp. 478-479.
- 202 Sachau II. 183.
- 203 Niyata p. 434
- 204 Krityaratnākara pp. 482-484.
- 205 Sachau II. 17.
- 206 Ibid II. 183; Gardīzī Ms. p. 219B.
- 207 Krityaratnākara pp. 480-482.
- 208 Niyata pp. 434-435. Krityaratnākara pp. 484-486.
- 209 Niyata p. 435, Krityaratnākara p. 495.
- 210 Niyata pp. 435-436, Chandesvara in Krityaratnākara pp. 495-96 calls it as Tiladvādašī.
- 211 RT. V. 395.
- 212 IHQ. XXVII. 256.
- 213 Sachau II. 177 and 183; Gardīzī Ms. p. 219B.
- 214 Sachau II. 183.

- 215 Krityaratnākara pp. 498-500.
- 216 Niyata pp. 437-438; also in Krityaratnākara p. 503.
- 217 Krityaratnäkara p. 504.
- 218 KV. p. 411.
- 219 ABORI XXXVI p. 331.
- 220 Niyata p. 438; Bhoja in Rājamārtanda (ABORI. XXXVI. p. 332) names it as Māghasaptami; Chandeśvara in Krityaratnākara p. 509 as Jayantīsaptamī; Jimūtavāhana in Kālaviveka pp. 415-419 as Jayantī and Rathasaptamī.
- 221 KV. p. 418.
- 222 IA. XI. 112, Inser. dated S. 675/753 A.D.
- 223 IA. XVII p. 140, inscr. dated KS 896/1145 A.D.
- 224 KV. p. 433; Krityaratnākara pp. 510-512.
- 225 Niyata pp. 438-439, Krityaratnākara pp. 512-513.
- 226 Sachau II. 183.
- 227 Sachau II. 183. But Gardīzī Ms p. 220A puts the date as 27th and calls the festival as Mansutak and Hamātān.
  - 228 Sachau II. 183.
  - 229 Nivata p. 439; Kyityaratnākara p. 518.
- 230 Niyata p. 440, Krityaratnākara pp. 520-521.
- 231 Sachau II. 184: Gardīzī Ms. p. 220A. Both Alberuni and Gardīzī wrongly put the day as the day following the full-moon day in the month of *Phālguna*.
- 232 RT, I. 166, VIII 70 and 111
- 233 Sevadi SI, daed VS 1172/1115 A.D. (EI, XI, 31-32); Kiradu SI dated Vs. 1209/1153 A.D. (EI, XI, 45) and Chandrehe inscr. dated KS 724/c. 972 A.D. (EI, XXI, 150).
  - 234 Nivata pp. 441-442, Krityaratnākara pp. 526-528.
  - 235 Nilamata Purāna verses 515-517 (ed. de Vreese)
  - 236 RT VIII 2072.
  - 237 Krityaratnākara pp. 528-529.
  - 238 KV p. 468.
  - 239 DV XX. 5.
  - 240 ABORI, Vol. XXXVI p. 333.
  - 241 DV V. 141 Cf. Majumdar. A. K. Chaulukyas of Gujarat p. 306.
  - 242 ABORI XXXVI p. 332, verses 227-228.
  - 243 Sachau II pp. 183-184; Gardīzī Ms. p. 220A.
  - 244 Niyata p. 447; Krityaratnākara p. 540.
  - 245 Niyata pp. 405-406, Krityaratnākara pp. 303-304.
  - 246 Sachau II. 178.
  - 247 KV p. 403.
  - 248 Kritvaratnākara p. 379.
  - 249 Niyata pp. 411-412.
  - 250 Raj, pp. 182-183.
  - 251 KV. pp. 400-403; Rājamārtanda (ABORI, XXXVI p. 335).
  - 252 KV. p. 325. In his Röjamārtaņļa, Bhoja (ABORI XXXVI

- p. 330) recommends the giving of lamps to skies throughout the month of Kärttika.
- 253 Deśināmamālā III. 25.
- 254 Ibid III. 25.
- 255 Ibid VII. 16.
- 256 Ibid VI. 103.
- 257 Ibid VII. 16.
- 258 Ibid VII 46.
- 259 ABORI XXXVI (1945) pp. 340-351.
- 260 Desināmamālā III. 19.
- 261 Ibid IV. 21.
- 262 Hazra, R. C.: Puranic Records on Hindu Rites and Customs pp. 322, 325 and 326.
- 263 Haribhaktivilāsa Ch. 16, verses 176-198.
- 264 Rudradhara: Varşakrityam Vol. I. pp. 151-159.
- 265 CC. Vol. pt. I Vratakhanda Ch. 13 pp. 941-946.
- 266 Haribhaktivilāsa Ch. 14 verses 60ff.
- 267 Varsakritvam Vol. I. p. 133.
- 268 KV 412, ABORI Vol. XXXVI p. 331.
- 269 Varşakrityam Vol. I p. 107 and Bengali calendars.
- 270 Ibid I. p. 115.
- 271 Sachau II 176, Krityaratnākara p. 121
- 272 Sachau II. 177.
- 273 Ibid. II. 177.
- 274 Niyata p. 438, KV pp. 418-419; Krityaratnākara p. 509.
- 275 Varşakrityam I, p. 112.
- 276 Niyata, p. 390, Krityaratnākara p. 198.
- 277 Gupte: Hindu Holidays and Ceremonials pp. 54, 55 and 67.
- 278 KV pp. 411-412, Krityaratnākara p. 271.
- 279 ABORI Vol. XXXVI p. 323, verses 141-144.

### CHAPTER XIV.

## Tirthas or Places of Pilgrimage.

Tirthas played an important role in the cultural and economic life of mediaeval India. These were situated usually in the most beautiful places like hilltops, mountains, forests, confluence of rivers, or in the vicinity of the sea, waterfalls and hot water springs. Such places were conducive to meditation and as such attracted those who had a yearning for spiritual life. While ascetics and anchorites practised religious austerities in the Tirthas, pious householders considered it incumbent upon them to pay a visit to some of these important holy places at least once in their life.

Congregation of men and women speaking different languages and dialects, wearing separate sectarian marks, donning various types of dresses and observing different manners and customs in these Tirthas widened the outlook of the pilgrims. ironed out differences, served as a solvent for all exclusiveness and narrow parochialism and helped every one to feel the essential unity in Indian culture. The Barhaspatya Arthaśāstra1 in stating the length and breadth of India in terms of Tīrthas says that from Badarikā to Rāmeśvara is a distance of 1000 Yojanas and from Dvārakā as far as Purusottama and the Śālagrāma is a matter of 700 Yojanas. Exchange ideas and learned discussions among the scholarly people. coming from different parts of the country, helped to diffuse news about the latest writings by poets, scholars and philoso-The urge for the construction of magnificent temples and for the installation of beautiful images in these holy places educated public taste and promoted artistic activities. Many of the important places of pilgrimage, seats of learning that they were, became notable centres of trade and industry too. While the masses were eager to bring back to their homes some memento of their visit to a tirtha, artists and artisans combined to produce different types of art objects at different places. Some industries thus became localised in many of the *tīrthas*. New *tīrthas* came into existence with the rise of new sects and cults, while it was not unusual for an old *tīrtha* to fall into oblivion on account of political or economic reasons.

One of the oldest accounts of tirthas is to be found in the Vanaparva of the Mahabhārata. The Purāṇas recount the names and glories of many of the tīrthas, but it is difficult to date definitely different portions of even the same Purāṇa. We are fortunate, however, in having not only reference to tīrthas in contemporary inscriptions, but also more or less elaborate accounts in works which are known to have been written in the eleventh and twelfth centuries A.D., From a critical study of these sources we may learn which of the tīrthas were prominent in this period and also which had fallen into comparative obscurity or which had not yet attained celebrity.

The Charkhari plate of Devavarmadeva dated V.S. 1108/ 1051 A.D. states that the Chandella King Devavarmadeva. grandson of Vidyādharadeva bathed at Kotitīrtha on a full moon day, worshipped Śūlapāṇi (Śiva) and granted a village on the bank of the river Yamunā to a Brāhmana.2 Kane shows that there are as many as ten Kotitirthas, but there is only one on the bank of the Ymauna near Mathura, referred to in the Varāha Purāna.4 In 1055 A.D. we find Jayasimha of Dhara granting a village for the support of the Brāhmanas of the holy Amareśvara.<sup>5</sup> There are three tīrthas. bearing the name Amaresvara, but as the Mandhata plate of Jayasinha, which contains the above information has been found near the river Narmada, this particular Amaresvara must be on the opposite side of Omkarnatha on the southern bank of Narmada. Laksmidhara writing in the early years of the twelfth century has failed to notice either Amaresvara or Ekāmra, now known as Bhuvanesvara. The Brāhmeśvara temple inscription of the 18th regnal year of King Uddyotake-

sarī (c. 1055-1080 A.D.) refers to the erection of the "cloudtouching temple with four Caruśālā temples" at Siddhatīrtha in Ekāmra.6 This is a positive evidence of the claim of Bhuvanesvara for recognition as a holy place in the middle of the eleventh century. An inscription of the Chālukya King Vikramāditya VI of the year 1082 A.D. makes a specific reference to the holy places of Vārānasī, Kuruksetra, Arghyatīrtha, Prayāga and Gayā. Though Arghyatīrtha has been mentioned also in the Goharwa plate of the Kalachuri King Karna, dated 1047 A.D., as a place on the bank of the Ganges, yet it cannot be properly identified. The four other tirthas are well-known for their sanctity. The Chandravati plate shows the importance of Ayodhyā as a tīrtha in 1093 A.D. The Gāhadavāla King Chandradeva states in this inscription that he bathed at the Svarga-dvaratirtha at the sin-effacing confluence of Sarayū and Ghargharā rivers at Ayodhya, also called Uttara-Kośala on the occasion of the solar eclipse in the month of Āśvina, 23rd October, 1093 A.D.<sup>7</sup> Madanapāla in an inscription dated 1107 A.D.8 and Javachchandra in his Benares copper plate grant of 1175 A.D. claim that Chandradeva protected the holy places of Kāśī, Kuśika, Uttarakośala and Indrasthāna. Kuśika may be identified with Kuśapura or Kuśabhavanapura (modern Sultanpur on the Gomati in Oudh) the capital of Kusa, son of Rāmachandra. Indrasthana can not be the same as Indraprastha or Delhi and it has not yet been possible to identify it correctly. This shows how some of the tirthus have fallen into oblivion in course of time. Protection of holy places became a special necessity in this age on account of occasional raids by Turks and also by gangs of robbers who infested the roads. Somesvara in his Kirtikaumudi<sup>10</sup> describing the pilgrimage of Vastupāla states that bands of marauders were more numerous than peaceful travellers.

Another important *tirtha* mentioned in an inscription dated Saka 1059/1137-38 A.D. is Purusottama. The Bihar poet Gangādhara, hailing from Govindapura, in the Nawadah subdivision of the Gaya district, states that his father Manoratha

visited Purī. This information is highly important in view of the fact that the Vanaparva of the  $Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}rata$ ,  $D\bar{a}nas\bar{a}gara$  of Vallālasena and the  $T\bar{i}riha$ -vivechanakānda of Lakṣmī-dhara do not mention Purī, Puruṣottama or Jagannātha at all. We can now state with confidence that though Purī had not attained an all-India celebrity in the 5th Century A.D., yet in the beginning of the twelfth century, it had begun to attract pilgrims from distant Bihar. This evidence is corroborated by the  $K\bar{a}laviveka$  of Jīmūtavāhana, where it is stated that the full moon day in the month of Jyaiṣṭhya at Puruṣottama is especially meritorious.  $^{12}$ 

The Jabbalpore stone-inscription dated 1174 A.D. informs us that Vimalasiva, a saiva teacher enjoying the patronage of the Kalachuri Kings, performed religious rites at Prabhāsa, Gokarna, Gayā and other tīrthas. The Cintra Prasusti of the reign of Sārangadeva dated 1287 A.D. gives an account of the pilgrimage of a saiva ascetic, Tripurāntaka, who worshipped siva at Kedāra, and at the confluence of Gangā and Yamunā at Prayāga, circumambulated srīparvata and saw God Mallinātha, bathed in the waters of rivers Revā and Godāvarī, visited Tryambaka, reached Rāmesvara, and then visiting Prabhāsa returned by way of Devapattana. 14

It is interesting to note in this connection that the Siva Purāņa<sup>15</sup> gives a list of the twelve Jyotirlingas as follows:—(1) Somanātha (2) Mallikārjuna on Śrīśaila hill (3) Mahākāla at Ujiaini (4) Omkāra at Amareśvara (5) Kedāra (6) Bhīmaśamkara in Dākinī (northwest of Poona) (7) Viśveśvara at Kāśī (8) Tryambaka at Gautamītata near Nasik (9) Vaidyanātha at Chitabhumi which is probably identical with Deoghar-Baidyanathadham in the Santhal Parganas (10) Nāgeśa at Dārūkavana which may be near Dvārakā or Ayodhyā in the former Hyderabad State (11) Rāmeśvara and (12) Ghrisneśa at Śivālaya in the village Elura near Daulatābād. Taking Prabhāsa to be identical with Somanatha, only the first, second, fifth, eighth, and the eleventh Jyotirlingas in the above list were visited by the ascetic Tripurantaka. It is surprising to

find that Viśveśvara at Kāsī was omitted from his itinerary. Laksmīdhara mentions Visvesvara as one of the 220 Lingas of Vārānasī16 and also included Ujjainī and Kedāra. He is absolutely silent about Somanātha, Śrīsaila, Bhīmaśamkara, Tryambaka, Vaidyanātha, Rāmeśvara and Ghrisneśa. Vallālasena in his Dānasāgara mentions Śrīparvata, Avantikā which may be identical with Ujiain, Oinkāra, Kedāra, Vārānasī and Rāmeśvara but is silent about other seven Jyotirlingas. Bārhaspatya Arthaśāstra mentions eight famous Śivaksetras, but none of the twelve Jyotirlingas except the name of Avimukta occurs in the list. In the Kedarakhanda of the Skanda Purāṇa<sup>17</sup> we find the following:--(1) Oiakāra and (2) Mahākāla on the bank of Narmadā, (3) Visvesara at Kāśi, (4) Laliteśvara at Pravāga. (5) Trvambaka at Brahmāchala (6) Bhadreśvara at Kālī, (7) Drāksārāmeśvara at Gangā Sāgara-Sangama, (7) Somesvara in Saurāstra, (9) Sarveśvara at Vindhyāchala, (10) Sikhareśvara at Śriśaila, (11) Allalanātha at Kantipur and (12) Simhanātha in Simhala. In view of all these we may conclude that there is no positive proof of recognition of the twelve Jyotirlingas before the thirteenth century.

Amongst the literary sources of information on the *tīrthus* of this period. Alberuni mentions Multan, <sup>18</sup> Thāneśvar, temple of Śāradā in Kashmir, <sup>19</sup> Banaras, <sup>20</sup> Puṣkar, Mathura<sup>21</sup> and Kashmir, <sup>22</sup> The *Kathāsaritsāgara* of Somadeva, who flourished in the eleventh century mentions Badari, <sup>23</sup> Durgā dwelling in the Vindhya hills, <sup>24</sup> Puṣkara, <sup>25</sup> Prayāga, <sup>26</sup> Banaras <sup>27</sup> and Chitrakūṭa. <sup>28</sup>

The most important work on the *tīrthas*, however, is the eighth volume of the *Krityakalpataru* entitled the *Tīrthavivechanakāndam* by Bhatta Laksmīdhara, the Chief Minister for Peace and War of the Gāhadavāla King Govindachandra. The writer was the son of Bhatta Hridayadhara, who also held the office of Mahā-sandhi-vigrahika. Laksmīdhara began the compilation of this work in the early part of the reign of Govindachandra, probably in 1110 A.D. according to K. V. Rangaswami Aiyangar.<sup>29</sup> Kane assigns the work to the period bet-

ween 1110 and 1130 A.D. Laksmīdhara describes here at great length the sanctity of Vārāṇasī. Out of 260 pages, 135 are devoted to the description of nearly 340 shrines of Vārāṇasī alone. The holiness of Prayāga is described in 17 pages, of Gayā in 12 pages, of Mathurā in 9 pages, of Kurukṣetra in 5 pages, of Puṣkara and Śrīkara in 4 pages each, of Ujjainī, Hardwar, Śālagrāma, Stutasvāmi, Dvārakā and Kedāra in 3 pages each, of Kokāmukha, Prithūdaka, Mandāra and Lohārgala in two pages each. Naimiṣa gets one page. The rest of the 24 pages describe 57 other tīrthas. The book ends with a 7-page account of the Mahāpathayātrā or "the great road" to death.

It is worthy of note that Laksmīdhara omits some of the most important *tīrthas* like Purusottama, Ekāmra or Bhuvanesvara, Koṇāraka, Kānchī, Chidambaram, Śrīrangam, Rāmeśvara, Kanyākumārī and Jalandhara.

We get some idea of the important tirthas from the Kālaviveka of Jīmūtavāhana, who flourished in Bengal in the first half of the twelfth century A.D.. In the introduction to the Bibliotheca Indica edition of Kālaviveka, it is stated that as Jimütavāhana mentions certain astronomical observations of 1013 and 1015 of the Saka era without referring to any authority and simply saying "Dristam" or seen, he may be presumed to have seen these himself. In that case he must have written this work in c. 1091-1093 A.D. He writes that a dip at Puskara in the month of Magha, on the eighth day of the dark half of the month of Magha at Gaya, in the month of Pauşa on the same tithi in Narmada, on the fourteenth day of Chaitra at Sālagrāma, at Puņdarika on the tenth day of the bright half of the month, at Prabhasa and Taijasa on the succeeding amāvasyā or new moon day, in the Yamunā on the Krsna Chaturdasi in the month of Magha, in Beas on the thirteenth day of the bright half of the month of Pausa, on the same tithi in the months of Magha and Pausa in the Chandrabhāgā, frees a person from all sins.31 Writing about the full moon day, he states that it is highly meritorious to observe it

at Prayaga in the month of Magha, at Naimisaranya in Phalguna, at Śālagrāma in Chaitra, at Gangādvāra in Vaiśākha, at Purusottama in Jyaistha, at Kanakhala in Āṣāḍha, at Kedāra in Srāvana, at Badarī in Bhādra, at Kubjāgra (north of Hrisīkeśa) in Aśvin, at Puskara in Kārttika, at Kubia in Agrahāyana and at Ayodhyā in Pausa.32 Kubjaka is referred to in the Brhannāradīva Purāna33 and Garuda Purāna34 as the seat of the sanctuary of Śridhara Hari. But it cannot be identified with certainty. Nundolal De mentions Kubja as a tributary of Narmada. One peculiar feature of this list is that no southern holy place has been mentioned here. Another remarkable thing is that of the 12 places as many as five namely, Gangādvāra or Hardwara, Kanakhala, Kedāra, Badarī and Kubjagra are situated in the Himalayan region. These holy places draw a large number of pilgrims even on the occasions mentioned by Jīmūtavāhana.

The Dānasāgara, composed in 1169 A.D. and ascribed to Vallālasena but probably written by his preceptor Aniruddha, devotes a section to Punyadeśah or holy places where gifts are specially efficacious.35 The sanctity of 27 rivers is mentioned in prose without quoting any authority. Of these 15 are mentioned also by Laksmidhara. These are Narmada, Yamuna, Gangā, Gangāsāgarasangama, Mahā Gangā, Saraswatī, Kauśikī, Sarayū, Godāvarī, Vipasā, Satadru, Chandrabhāgā, Vitastā, Kāverī and Varunā. Other rivers namely Sona-Jyotirasyāsaŭgama, Irāvati, Tāptī. Śūlatāpī, and Venvā have been omitted by Laksmidhara, though their sanctity has been mentioned in the Agni Purāna, other Paurānic works and Dānasāgara. As many as ninetyfive places are mentioned as specially suitable for making gifts and performing Śrāddha. Of these 27 have also been mentioned by Laksmidhara. These are: (1) Asvatirtha (probably at the confluence of Ganges and Kālīnadī near Kanauj, though there are two other places of the same name, one on the Godāvarī and the other on the Narmadā), (2) Angāravāhika (not identified), (3) Avantikā, (4) Amarakantaka (a mountain in the Bilaspur district of Madhya Pradesh), (5) Agastyāśrama

(six places of this name are mentioned by Kane and eight places by De), (6) Uttaramānasa (in Kasmira), (7) Olikāra (32 miles north-west of Khandwa), (8) Kanakhal (near Hardwar), (9) Kālikāśrama, (10) Kālodaka (in Kasmira or on Mt. Haramukuta at 1300 feet above sea level), (11) Kuruksetra (Thaneswar), (12) Kedāra (on the Himalayas), (13) Gayā, (14) Govardhana (near Mathura), (15) Nīla (mountain near Hardwara). (16) Naimisāraņya (45 miles from Lucknow), (17) Piņdāraka (in Khambhalia Mahal of Kathiawar), (18)Pravāga. Prabhasa (Verwal, Somanatha), (20) Prithūdaka (modern Pehoa in the Karnal district on the southern bank of Sarasvatī), (21) Phalgutīrtha (Gayā), (22) Vārāņasī, (23) Visnupāda (Gayā), (24) Badari (Badrinārāyana), (25) Bhrigutunga (there are five tirthas bearing this name), (26) Raivataka (Junagadh), (27) Harischandra (under Vārānasī).

The remaining 68 tirthas not mentioned by Laksmidhara deserve special mention as indicating places, which considered sufficiently important by Vallalasena, who relied mostly on the authority of the Matsya Purana. As many as 35 of these, however, have now fallen into such obscurity that learned scholars like Kane or Nundo Lal De have not been able to identify them. These are (1) Attahāsa (it is highly improbable that this place is the same as the Sakta Pītha near Lābhpur in the Birbhum district of West Bengal, because Vallalasena was hostile to the Tantrik cult. The tirtha of the same name which has been described in the Matsva Purāna<sup>36</sup> is sacred to the Pitrs cannot be identified) (2) Angabhūta. (3) Kapilodaka, (4) Kadalirwa, (5) Kārā, (6) Kuchajāgra, (7) Ghantesvara, (8) Deläpura, (9) Pitritirtha, (10) Pampatīrtha, (11) Pātāla, (12) Priyamelaka, (13) Vasisthatīrtha, (14) Visuka, (15) Vaināyaka, (16) Vilvaka, (17) Bhadrakāleśvara, Mahānada, (19) Mahālaya, (20) Mahāsalā, (21) Mahārudra, (22) Mahālinga, (23) Matsyanadī, (24) Satarudra. (25) Satāhva,

- (26) Sivadhara, (27) Sankhodhara, (28) Śrīparņa, (29) Srīpati,
- (30) Sugandhā, (31) Svargamārgapradeśa. (32) Sahasrākṣa,
- (33) Hutāśana (34) Hiraņyākṣa, and (35) Harīta.

Amongst some of the well-known tirthas omitted by Lakṣmidhara but included by Vallālasena are Rāmeśvara, Kāyāvarohana, where Nakulī or Lakulī, the founder of the Pāśupata sect flourished in the second century A.D. and identified with Karvan, 15 miles south of Baroda, rivers Gharghara and Daśārṇa, Varāhaparvata in Kashmir, Indrakīla beyond Gandhamādana in the Himalayas and Śākambharī or Sambhar Lake, which is also referred to in an inscription of Vigraharāja dated 973-974 A.D. One of the tīrthas, sacred to the Pitṛs mentioned in the Dānasāgara is Mahābodhi. As it is the same as the famous Bodhi tree at Bodh Gayā, it is an evidence of rapprochement between the Hindus and the Buddhists.

Another contemporary source of information regarding the *tūrthās* is the *Mānoṣollāsa*, written by Someśvara Chālukya of Kalyāṇa. It mentions Jāhnavī, Yamunā, Narmadā, Tāptī, Gautamī or Godāvarī, Sarasvatī, Bañjarā, Bhīmarathī or Bhīmā, Kṛṣṇā, Vṛihannadī (Mahānadī), Malāpahāriṇī (Malaprabha) as the sacred rivers. The other *tīrthas* mentioned in it are Puṣkara, Śuklatīrtha, situated at a distance of ten miles north-east of Broach, Prabhāsa, Kedāra, Prayāga and Vārāṇasī.<sup>37</sup>

Hemachandra, the famous Jaina scholar of the twelfth century mentions the following *tīrthas* in his *Dvyāśrayakāvya:* Somanātha and Śańkhodhara in Sorath.<sup>38</sup> Śuklatīrtha on the Narmadā near Broach.<sup>36</sup> Ujjainī on the bank of Śiprā "where there are Devasthānas and places of pilgrimage called after the Rṣis<sup>40</sup>" and Mount Abu, which contained the hermitage of the sage Vaśiṣṭha, river Mandākinī, the temples of Achaleśvara Mahādeva, Riṣabhadeva, Sindhuka and other Devīs.<sup>41</sup>

The Bārhaspatya Arthaśāstra, edited by Thomas, gives the names of eight tīrthas each of the Vaiṣṇava, Śaiva and Śākta sects (III. 119-126). It also mentions Kumāra sacred to Kārttikeya, Sahya to Gaṇeśa, Raivataka to Teacher and Pāriyātra, western part of Vindhya, sacred to Kṣetrapāla. As this work mentions the Yādavas of Devagiri, it cannot be earlier than the twelfth century. Thomas, however, thinks

that the *tīrtha* section<sup>42</sup> may be an interpolation. division of the Hindus into these sects was well-marked in the twelfth century and separate Puranas had been compiled for different sects by that time. There is, therefore, no valid reason to suspect that the tirtha portion was added later. The Vaisnava tīrthas are Badarikā, Sālagrāma, Purusottama, Dvārakā, Vilvāchala, Ananta, Siniha and Śrīrangam, these Laksmīdhara mentions Badarikā, Śālagrāma and Dvārakā only. We have already referred to the inscriptional evidence of the sanctity of Purusottama in 1137 A.D., Vilvāchala cannot be identified. Ananta may be Anantatīrtha under Mathura, referred to in the Varaha Purana43 or Anantaśayana Padmanābha in Trivandrum described in the Padma Purāna.44 Simha may be identified with Simhāchalam, 6 miles to the north-west of Visakhapattam. Śrīranga is the well-known firtha on the Käveri, 2 miles to the north of Trichinopoly. The Bhagavata Purana,45 Matsya Purana46 and Padma Purāna<sup>47</sup> mention it as a holy place. Of the eight Saiva tīrthas, Avimukta and Gangādvāra only are well-known. The other six tīrthas, namely Śivaksctra, Rāmayamunā, Śivasarasvatī, Mavya, Śārdula, and Gajaksetra cannot be identified. The very fact that the Jyotirlingas of the Siva Purāna are not mentioned here supports the view that this section is not an interpolation. The Śākta tīrthas are Oghghīnajāla, Pūrņā, Kāma, Kolla, Śrīśaila, Kāñchī and Mahendra, Of these, the first cannot be identified. Pūrna is the same as Pūrnapitha or Purnasaila of the Kālikā Purāna (64.43) and Purnagiri of Sādhanamālā (p. 453). Dr. D. C. Sircar suggests that it may be the same as the shrine of Bhavani at Tuljapur to the south of Osmanabad in the Bijapur region.48 Kanyā is Kāmākhyā. Kolhapur where there is the famous temple of Mahālakşmī.<sup>49</sup> Śrīśaila is Śrīparvata in the Karnal District, 50 miles from the Krsnā station, which has got Mollikārjuna as the Mahādeva and Mādhavī as the Devī. Kāñchī is Conjeeveram in the Chingleput District of Madras. Mahendra is the famous hill of the Ganjam district. Barhaspatya Arthasastra mentions

probably as the eighth tirtha, the Vindhya, as the eternal abode of Durgā Bhadrakālī.

A manuscript entitled Pramāṇapallava by Narasimha and found in Mithila gives an account of several tīrthas. This work was compiled later than Lakṣmīdhara, as it quotes from his Krityakalpataru, but it is quoted by Chanḍeśvara who flourished between 1300 and 1370 A.D. Pramāṇapallava, therefore, could not have been composed later than the thirteenth and earlier than the twelfth century A.D.. The tīrthas discussed in this work are: Prayāga, Gaṇgā, Kāśī, Gayā, Puruṣottama, Koṇārka, Narmadā, Mathurā, Yamunā, Dvādaśavana, Puṣkara, Tāpī, Dhāreśvara, Ayodhyā, Dvārakā, and Godāvarī. Dvādasavana is in the Mathurā region. Dhāreśvara may be identified with the Dhārātīrtha referred to in Matsya Purāṇa³¹ on the north bank of the Narmadā. The other places are well-known.

Besides these we get some stray references to some firthas in some inscriptions and poetical or historical works of this period. Thus the image-inscription of Nāyaka Pratāpadhavala, found at Tilothu in the Shahabad district of Bihar, records the Mahānāyaka's pilgrimage to the Tutrahi falls in the company of his sons, Satrughna, Vīradhavala and Sāhasadhavala; his brother's wife Sulhi and of other members of his family like Tribhuvanadhavala Sonali, Laksmaditya and Padmaditya. Five female slaves also accompanied this party. These must have been great favourites; otherwise their names (Ladumā, Nayakāmā, Alhi, Puttrikī and Ekalī) would not have been inscribed on the stone image.<sup>52</sup> Other inscriptions of Pratapadhavala bearing dates corresponding to 1158 and 1169 A.D. have been found in Shahabad district. Tutrahi or Tilothu has fallen into oblivion as a place of pilgrimage now. An inscription of 1164 A.D. records the visit of a Kāyastha pilgrim to Visālapura in the former Jaipur state in Rajputana.53 Another inscription of 1167 A.D. bears testimony to the visit of certain pilgrims to Ramgadh in Kotah, Rajputana.<sup>54</sup> The Sihawa stone-inscription of Karnarāja dated

1192 A.D. shows that Karṇarāja, ruler of a portion of Raipur district in Madhya Pradesh, erected five magnificent buildings in the *tīrtha* Devahrada for the installation of images of five deities. This Devahrada cannot be either Gaṇḍakī as described in the *Varāha Purāṇa*<sup>55</sup> or under Kṛṣṇa-Veṇva referred to in the Vanaparva. The Paldi inscription of Guhila Arisimha dated 1116 A.D. Trefers to Bhṛigukachacha or Broach as a place of pilgrimage, especially for the Nakulīśa Pāśupata sect.

An interesting reference to a place of pilgrimage in Varendrī, now in East Pakistan, occurs in the Rāmacharita of Sandhyākaranandī.58 Speaking of Varendri, it states: "which, with the glorious streams of Ganga and Karatoya flowing on either side, was the holiest place and which was pure and spotless on account of its having the great place of pilgrimage (or landing ghāta) called Apunarbhava in its very heart".59 It is not possible now to identify this place. Another Bengal tirtha, now completely forgotten, has been referred to by Krsnamiśra in his Prabodhachandrodaya, written in the reign of the Chandella King Kirttivarman, towards the end of the eleventh century. This is Chakratīrtha on the bank of Bhagirathi in Radha, where Viveka is described as practising austerities (Act IV). In Act II of this allegorical drama, we find Śraddhā, one of the associates of Visnubhakti with her daughter Santi as working at Purusottama. Naisadhacharita of Śrīharsa, son of Śrī Hīra, who probably flourished under Vijayachandra and Jayachchandra of Kanauj in the latter half of the twelfth century,60 refers to Vrindavana dense with fragrant flower and to Govardhana, where from "all serpents are banished by the strutting of flocks of peacocks".61 Kāśī has been described as "Siva's boat of piety for traversing the span of worldly existence". 62 Another verse refers to the śrāddha ceremony at Gaya.

Kalhana in his Rājatarangiņī refers to three tīrthas of all-India fame outside Kashmir. These are Vārāṇasī, 64 Prayāga 65 and Gayā. 66 He records with evident pride that Kashmir "which Keśava and Isāna adorn as Chakrabhrit and Vijayeśa as well as in other (forms), there is not a space as large as a grain of sesamum without a tīrtha".67 This seems to be an echo of the Vanaparva68 which states that the whole country of Kashmir is holy. Lak-mīdhara recognised this claim by quoting the Anuśāsanaparva in his Tīrthavivechana-kanda.69 It is stated here that a man taking his bath in Satadru. Chandrabhāgā. Vitastā and Ormimālini becomes a sage-like person and when a man of character bathes or worships the river Sindhu and the great rivers into which fall the smaller rivers of the Kashmir region, he goes to heaven. Alberuni heard of Hindus visiting Kashmir.70

Laksmīdhara<sup>71</sup> and Vallālasena<sup>72</sup> refer to Kālodaka and Uttaramānasa in Kashmir as places of great sanctity. Vallālasena considers these places as eminently suited for śrāddha and making gifts. Kālodaka is referred to in the Anuśāsanaparva,73 Visnudharmasūtra,74 the Kashmirian Purāna, Nīlamata, written probably in the sixth century A.D. and Rājatarangini.75 It is now known as Mudkol and is situated at an altitude of about 13.000 ft. Thousands of pilgrims visit it even today in the month of Bhadra on their way to Uttaramānasa or Gangā lake, which lies at a short distance high alpine valley at the foot of the east above it. The glaciers of the 17.000 feet high Haramukuta containing Kālodaka is called Nandīksetra in the Nīlamata.76 It is related here that Nandi performed in the Kalodaka a great penance, whereupon siva granted him the boon of taking his permanent abode here by his side. Stein remarks: "The inner portion of the lake, showing a deep blue colour, is supposed to mark the residence of Kala or Siva; the outer portion of a light green colour that of Nandin. Siva is worshipped here under the name of Nandīśa." Kalhana refers to Nandīksetra where the Siva lingas were known as Vijayeśvara and Jyestheśa.77 The latter is also referred to as Jyestharudra<sup>78</sup> which was a svayambhūh or natural stone. Close to this temple lies the tīrtha of Bhūteśvara which was very famous in Kalhana's

time.<sup>79</sup> The Nandīkṣetra may be identified with the Nandīkūṭa which is mentioned along with Kālodaka and Uttaramānasa in the *Anuśāsanaparva* and quoted by Lakṣmīdhara.<sup>80</sup> Kalhaṇa refers to Uttaramānasa,<sup>81</sup> which is identified by Stein with the sacred Gaṅgā lake situated below the eastern glaciets of Mount Haramokh, and is now popularly known as Gangabal. This is to be distinguished from the more famous Mānasa-sarovar, which is embedded in the Himalayas at a height of 14,950 ft. and is situated between Kailāśa on the north and Gurla Māndhātā on the south. Uttaramānasa is regarded as a sacred *tīrīha* by Lakṣmīdhara<sup>82</sup> and Vallāla-sena.<sup>83</sup>

Another popular tirtha in Kashmir in the twelfth century was Gangodbheda, which is referred to by Kalhana in the following words: "There the goddess Sarasvatī herself is seen in the form of a swan in a lake (situated) on the summit of the Bhedagiri which is sanctified by the Gangodbheda."84 This tīrtha is referred in the Sārngadharapadahati,85 compiled in the fourteenth century and also in Vanaparva, 86 Matsya Purāna,87 Padma Purāna88 and Agni Purāna.89 It is situated at a height of 7,800 ft. It was also famous towards the end of the sixteenth century, when Abul Fazl recorded that in spite of such an altitude snow did not fall there. This was probably due to the fact that there is a large hot-water spring, the water from which forms a tank of warm water, which prevents it from freezing. Though the place was so famous in ancient and mediaeval India, yet it has now fallen into "complete oblivion," according to M. A. Stein. Ascribing two causes for this oblivion, he writes, "possibly the early season prescribed for the pilgrimage, the end of Chaitra, and the subsequent hardship of the journey may have caused the worshippers to fall off to transfer their attention to substitute tirthas more conveniently accessible, such as the Bheda Devi of Hal-Moghulpur".90

Kalhana mentions the river Vitastā with great reverence.<sup>91</sup> This river was regarded as a holy one by Lakṣmīdhara<sup>92</sup> and

Vallālasena. The Vanaparva, Kūrma Purāṇa and Vāmana Purāṇa described the holiness of Vitastā. Kalhaṇa also speaks of the sanctity of the confluence of Vitastā with Sindhu, where many temples existed. The Nīlamata Purāṇa testifies to the sanctity of this place and identifies the Sindhu with Gangā and the Vitastā with the Yamunā.

The most famous place of pilgrimage in Kashmir in the eleventh century was śaradatirtha, which is mentioned along with the famous tuthas like Śripati, Raivataka and Bhadrakăleśvara in the Matsya Purăna.98 Alberuni certainly did not visit Kashmir but he heard of the fame of \$\bar{a}\text{rada} and wrote: "In Inner Kashmir, about two or three days' journey from the capital in the direction towards the mountains of Bolor, there is a weapon idol called Sarada which is much venerated and frequented by pilgrims."99 Kalhana refers to it as a well-known place of pilgrimage.100 Abūl Fazl in his Aīn-i-Akbarī101 records that the temple of \$\bar{a}\tanda, dedicated to Durga begins to shake on the eighth day of the bright-half of every month and produces the most extraordinary effect. Such a famous place, however, fell into such obscurity that in 1892 Stein had to discover it with some difficulty. He writes: politically disturbed condition of the upper Kisan-Gangā valley during the later Mughal and Pathan rule has had much to do with the neglect into which the shrine of \$\bar{a}\text{rada} had fallen..... According to the traditions of the Gotheng Purohitas it was only since the establishment of the Dogra rule and the suppression of the Bomba troubles, that the route to Sardi became once more open for regular pilgrim-visits."102 The vicissitudes of fortune of Śāradā show how political troubles affect the places of pilgrimage.

The most famous *tīrtha* of Kashmir in modern time is Amareśvara or Amarnātha situated in a cave, formed by a huge fissure on the south side of a snowy peak, 17,300 ft. high. But Kalhaṇa refers to it only once<sup>103</sup> and the *Nīlamata Purāṇa* devotes only one śloka<sup>101</sup> to Amareśa. It is not described in any of the Purāṇas. Amareśvara, which Lakṣmīdhara

has described  $^{105}$  from the  $V\bar{a}mana~Pur\bar{a}na$  is another Amareśvara on mount Niṣadha. In the sixteenth century, the Kashmirian Amareśvara became famous. Abūt Fazl  $^{106}$  relates that the image of  $\bar{A}$ mareśvara increases in size for 15 days from New Moon and decreases with the waning moon.

Another famous *tīrtha* referred to by Kalhaņa is Pāpasūdana<sup>107</sup> where Siva is worshipped under the name of Kabaṭeśvara. The story of the construction of a tank at Kapaṭeśvara by King Bhoja of Malwa is related in *Rājataraṅgiṇī*. The fame of this place had reached Alberuni, who states, <sup>109</sup> that the people of Kashmir say that pieces of wood sent by Mahādeva appear annually in "a pond called Kudaishahr to the left of the source of Vitastā, in the middle of the month of Vaisākha." Stein ascertained that Kudaishahr is a clerical error for Kapaṭeśvara. The place continued to enjoy celebrity towards the end of the sixteenth century when Abūl Fazl related that there was a deep spring in the village Katihar in which "when its water decreases, an image of Mahādeva in sandal-wood appears." <sup>110</sup>

The other Kashmirian tīrthas mentioned by Kalhana, but not referred to by any Purana excepting the Kashmiri Nilamata, nor described by any Non-Kashmiri Hindu writer are Chakra-Nandikeśyara and Tripureśyara, 112 Mahāpadma, 113 dhara,111 Mahādeva,115 the Sodara spring at Bhüteśa Sureśvara. 114 Jayavana,117 Vijayeśvara118 Tirtha,116 the Taksaka spring at and Mayagrāma.118 The famous Martanda temple120 built by Lalitāditva continues to attract pilgrims from all parts of India, but it was not probably recognised as a holy place of all-India fame in the eleventh and twelfth centuries. The Nīlamata<sup>121</sup> is the only Purāna to mention it.

Ksemagupta (950-958 A.D.), the husband of the notorious Queen Diddā, is described by Kalhana as proceeding to Varāhaksetra for breathing his last. <sup>122</sup> According to the Nīlamata<sup>123</sup> and Haracharitachintāmaņi of Jayadratha, <sup>124</sup> Varāhaksetra enjoyed great sanctity from early times. The

Varāha Purāṇa,<sup>125</sup> however, records that the places sacred to the incarnation of the Great Boar are Kokāmukha, Badarī and Lohārgala.

Kokāmukha is referred to in an inscription as holy place in the "Himabachchhikhara" in the time of Budha Gupta<sup>126</sup> (477-495 A.D.). The Varāha Purāna refers to twenty sacred objects of visit at Kokāmukha. Laksmīdhara guotes Varāha Purana to show that there is no holy place in the earth equal to Kokämukha.127 He also cites a verse from the Vanaparva (82.158) which states that if a person observing the vow of celibacy touches the image of Kokāmukha, he remembers the events of his previous births. Nundolal De and Kane<sup>128</sup> identify Kokāmukha with the Varāhaksetra at Nathpur below Triveni formed by the junction of the three rivers Tambar, Aruna and Suna Kuśī in the western portion of the Purnea district. Dr. D. C. Sircar, however, states: "The only Kauśikī that can be associated with a Ksetra of Varāha as well as a river called Kokā is the Kausikī, modern Kušī. The ancient Kokāmukha tīrtha or Varāhaksetra is situated on the bank of the Sun-Kosi in Nepal and is popularly Barahchhatra."129

Badarī or Badarikāsrama is referred to as a hely place by Jīmūtavāhana, Somadeva, Laksmidhara and the author of Bārhaspatya Arthaśāstra. Laksmīdhara in his Tīrthavivechanakānda<sup>130</sup> quotes verses from the Vārāha Purāna to show the sanctity of the Brahmakuuda only in Badarikāśrama. As he does not refer to Agnisatyapāda, Indraloka, Pañchaśikhā, Chatuhsrotah, Vedadhārā, Dvādasādityakuṇḍa, Lokapāla. Sthalakunḍa, Meruvara, Mānasadbheda, Pañchasarah, Somābhiṣekha, Somagiri, Urvaśīkuṇḍa and other sites mentioned in the extant Varāha Purāṇa, it may legitimately be doubted whether these places had become famous in the first quarter of the twelfth century A.D.

The third place sacred to Varāha is Lohārgala. Noundolaf De conjectures that it may be the same as Lohāghāṭ in Kumaun, three miles to the north of Champawat, on the river Lohā.<sup>131</sup> The Varāha Purāṇa, however, definitely states that the

Mlechchha Kings support or resort to it. 132 Dr. D. C. Sircar quotes Ch. 151 of the Varāha Purāņa to show that the holy spots belonging to Lohärgala-tīrtha are in the land of the Mlechchhas in the Himalayas. 133 The Kumaun valley or any part of the Himalayan region did not fall into the hands of the Turks before the early part of the twelfth century when Laksmidhara quoted 12 verses from Ch. 151 of this work. There are very important variations in readings between him and the printed editions of the Purana. While the printed editions state that Lohārgala is thirty Yojanas from Siddhavata, Laksmīdhara reads the word as "Sindhostate". The verse quoted by Laksmidhara may be thus translated: - "Having walked along the Sindhu through the Mlechchha land for 30 Yojanas, I stopped in the Himalayas and there has been made my residence called Lohargala. That supremely secret place extends over five yojanas. It is very difficult to reach it and it is invincible. It is surrounded by Dhavanas (streams?). There I live occupying the northern side. Nobody knows of the image of mine placed there (Kechinna janate tatra svamārtim mama samsthitām). For 24 Dvādašīs (that is, one year at every twelfth day of the new-moon and waningmoon) offering, not without meat, has to be made for the purification of all desires. There is placed a horse decorated with all jewels, white like the petals of lily and endowed with Śańkha and Chakra. There is the bow called Saruga, also rosary and Kamandalu. There in that secret place of mine called Lohargala, good and holy seat, ornament and should be offered."134 These verses reveal that when this portion of Varāha Purāna was written Lohārgala had fallen into the hands of the Mechchhas and out of fear for them the image had to be kept very secretly at a rather inaccessible place. A yojana usually means 9 miles, but sometimes it is used for a distance of 24 miles and occasionally, 8 Krośas or 18 miles. Lohārgala was probably situated at a distance of 30 yojanas or 270 to 540 miles either from the river Sindhu or from the sea in the Himavanta. Stein has shown how

many tīrthas in the insecure or inaccessible places were shifted in course of time to safer and easily accessible areas. In the case of Lohārgala too, it is probable that the original site, thirty yojanas from the bank of Sindhu was shifted to a place situated at a distance of thirty yojanas from the Siddhavaṭa which, however, cannot be identified now. In any case, it is clear that Lakṣmīdhara did not omit even a tīrtha, which had fallen into the hands of the Mlechchhas. This is remarkable especially in view of the fact that many of the later treatises on tīrtha omit sacred places which had come under the control of the Mlechchhas.

The invasion of Sultan Mahmud dealt a severe blow to some of the holy places of pilgrimage like Multan, Nagarkot, Mathura, Kanaui, Thanesvara and Somanātha, The Padma, 135 Brahma<sup>136</sup> and Bhavisya<sup>137</sup> Purānas describe the sanctity of Multan or Mülasthänapura, where Samba, son of Krsna was cured of his leprosy by bathing in the Súrvakunda. In 641 A.D. Hiuen Tsang saw in the temple of Multan the golden image of the Sun. An Arab geographer in his "Hudūd ul Alam", written in 982-983 A.D. states that "Multan ie big city and inside it is a big image place for pilgrimage".136 to this go Alberuni states that the Hindus bathe in the pond "if they are not prevented"139 and that "they used to visit Multan before its idol-temple was destroyed."140 In another place he quotes the Kashmirian commentator, Utpala to show that Multan was originally called Kāśyapapura, then Hainsapura, then Bagapura, then Sāmbapura and then Mūlasthāna.<sup>141</sup> None of these names. however, occur in the list of holy places except Mathurā given by Laksmidhara the author of Bārhaspatya Arthaśāstra, Jīmūtavāhana, Somadeva or in Mānasollāsa, Devībhāgavata or any other book written or compiled within two centuries of Sultan Mahmud's invasion. The seizure of the places by the Turks, the obstacles hinted at by Alberuni were responsible for such omission.

Nagarkot was a celebrated place of pilgrimage in the seventh century A.D., when Hiuen Tsang found men from every part of India coming to the shrine of Bhima Devi "to pay their vows and seek prosperity thereby."142 Al 'Utbī states that the chiefs of the country and rich devotees used to present to the image rich treasures, which, being accumulated for ages, "had attained such an amount that the backs of camels would not carry it nor vessels contain it, nor writers' hands record it nor the imagination of an arithmetician conccive it".143 All these were carried away. Laksmidhara, Jīmūtavāhana or Brihaspati do not mention either Nagarkot or its neighbouring town of Jvālāmukhi as a holy place. The omission may be due to the disturbed political condition of the area in the eleventh and twelfth centuries. Towards the close of the sixteenth century, however, Abul Fazl regarded it as one of the four holy Sakta Pithas, where stood the shrine of Mahāmāyā, which attracted pilgrims from distant With the stabilization of political condition, the Hindus might have revived the practice of going there on pilgrimage.

The Vaisnava tīrtha of Mathurā was devastated by Sultān Mahmüd. Hiuen Tsang found only five Deva temples in Mathura, but in the beginning of the eleventh century, there were more than two thousand temples. Mathura, according to Al 'Utbī, was surrounded by stone walls with two gates opening upon the river Yamunā flowing under the city. The beauty and grandeur of the city may be realised from the description of this historian who was a contemporary Sultan Mahmud. He writes: "On both sides of the city, there were a thousand houses, to which idol temples were attached, all strengthened from top to bottom by rivets of iron, and all made of masonry work; and opposite to them were other buildings supported on broad wooden villars to give them strength. In the middle of the city there was a temple larger and firmer than the rest which can neither be described nor painted". There were five golden images, each five yards high, and two hundred silver images. "The Sultan gaveorders that all the temples should be burnt with naphtha and fire and levelled with the ground". The city continued to be pillaged for twenty days. Even such a terrible carnage did not deter pious people from visiting or residing in and around Mathurā. Alberuni, writing a few years after the invasion, found it "crowded with Brāhmaṇas". 146

The sanctity of Mathurā is described in the Padma<sup>147</sup> and Varāha<sup>148</sup> Purāṇas. Kālidāsa compares the beauty of Vrindāvana with that of Chaitraratha, garden of Kubera.<sup>149</sup> But the Bārhaspatya Arthaśāstra does not include Mathurā amongst the Mahā-kṣetras, sacred to the Vaiṣṇavas, nor does Jīmūtavāhana in his Kālaviveka cite it as an eminent place of pilgrimage. Vallālasena in his Dānasāgara does not refer to Mathurā, but he regards Govardhana, situated at a distance of 13 miles from Mathurā, as a place suitable for making ceremonial gifts. Śrīharṣa in his Naiṣadhacharita, written in the latter half of the twelfth century, refers to Mathurā, Kāliyahrada, Vṛindāvana and Govardhana.<sup>130</sup>

Laksmīdhara, compiling the Tirthavivechanakānda of Krityakalpataru at the beginning of the twelfth century, gives a detailed account of Mathura and its environment, mainly from the Varāha Purāna (ch. 152). Dr. Farquhar was totally ignorant of the existence of Laksmidhara's work and, therefore, wrongly stated that the Mathurā-māhātınya section of Varāha Purāna was interpolated by Sanātana Gosvāmī, a follower of Śrī Chaitanya. 151 Dr. R. C. Hazra had not also the advantage of consulting the Tirthavivechanakanda of Laksmidhara and, therefore, pronounced this section of the Varāha Purāna as having been written later than the fifteenth century. 152 Apart from the other references to the holy sites in the Mathurā region. Laksmidhara's quotations of eight pages from the Varāha Purāna (pp. 186-193), leave no doubt about the recognition of these tirthas at the beginning of the twelfth century A.D.

Laksmīdhara quotes verses on the twenty-seven holy places in the Mathurā region from the Varāha Purāṇa. Of these.

only six, namely, Yamuna, Vrindavana, Bhandiraka, Radhakunda, Govardhana and Kaliyahrada continue to be recognised and venerated by devotees up to the present day. The verse containing a description of Rādhäkunda<sup>153</sup> is found in all the old manuscripts from which the book has been edited, but in the comparatively recent manuscript copied in 1638 A.D. and preserved in the Junior Bhonsle Maharaia's library Nagpur, the first line along with the proceeding three lines have been crossed out in red ink. This might have been done by some modern scholar, who was probably under the impression that Rādhākunda could not have been so old as this. The twenty-one other places mentioned by Laksmidhara are Asikunda. Nandanavana, Yamalarjunakunda, Arkasthala. Kanaka Vīrasthala. Prakirtana. Somakunda, Pindaraka, Jamvīra, Champaka, Karmāvarohana, Vitānaka, Ballabhaka, Usābhañianaka. Vinduprabhā, Astamana, Tāmraprabha, Vatsaprakrīrnaka, Saptasamudraka and Nandīguhā. Not a single one of these places is mentioned in the modern Guide Books on Mathurā like the Vrajadarpana and Mathurā-o-Vrindāvanadarpana by Brajamohan Das Babaji. These have fallen into oblivion and new places and shrines have come into prominence. In the first half of the sixteenth century Rupa Gosvāmī compiled a book called Mathurāmāhātmyam, which the sanctity of 68 places in the Mathura region is But amongst these only two other places, besides described. the well-known six mentioned by Laksmidhara are common to both these writers. These are Asikunda and Vatsakrīranaka which may be the same as Laksmidhara's Vatsa Prakrīrana. Laksmidhara does not mention the twelve Vanas or forests of Mathurā, though the current Varāha Purāna described these forests. 51 Srī Rūpa quotes verses from the Adivarāha to describe the holiness of Madhuvana, Tälavana, Kumudavana, Kāmyayana, Vahulayana, Bhadrayana, Khadirayana, Mahāvana, Lohajanghavana, Vilvavana, Bhandiravana and Vrindavana. He also cites the authority of the Mathurakhanda of the Skanda Purāna regarding Tālavana, Kāmyavana, Vahula-

vana and Vrindavana. The twelve Vanas, however, must be older than the 13th century because Narasiinha in Pramānapallava refers to them. Bhaktiratnākara, a work of the Bengal school of Vaisnavism of the eighteenth century. quotes verses from the Padma Purāna regarding the location of the twelve Vanas—seven on the western and five on the eastern bank of the Yamunā and also from the Skanda Purana corroborating the statement. 155 In speaking of Vrindavana. Laksmidhara quotes a verse from the Varāha Purāna stating that "I (Varāha) will in future play there with cows and cowherds." Another notable omission by Laksmidhara Viśrāmaghāta, which is at present the holiest place in Mathurā. The presiding deity of Vrindavana according to the Narasimha Purāna<sup>156</sup> was Gopāla and that of Mathurā Svayambhūva, but at present it is Govinda and Dvārakādhīśa respectively.

Another place which was very severely affected by Sultān Mahmūd was Kanauj, whose importance was not only political but also religious. According to the *Mahābhārata*, <sup>157</sup> Rāma established the image of Vāmana at Kānyakubja on the bank of Kālinadī, which falls into the Ganges. The *Matsya Purāṇa*<sup>158</sup> described it as a place sacred to the Devī who is called here Gaurī. Al'Utbī says that there were at the time of Sultān Mahmūd's invasion nearly ten thousand temples and these were believed to have been founded two or three thousand years ago. <sup>159</sup> All these were broken to pieces. Kanauj does not seem to have recovered its importance as a place of pilgrimage again.

Thanesvara, which was also sacked by Sultan Mahmud, is the same as the holy Kuruksetra, which is called Dharmaksetra at the very beginning of the Gitā. The principal image was carried to Ghaznī for defilement and all others were destroyed. But this did not deter pilgrims from visiting the place. Vikramāditya VI in an inscription of 1082 A.D. refers to the holy places of Banaras. Kuruksetra (Arghyatīrtha), Prayāga and Gayā. 160 Lakṣmūdhara quotes several verses from the Vanaparva (81.1-6, 190-204) in support of the holiness of the place He also

cites the authority of the Vāmana Purāṇa. 161 Vallālasena quotes the authority of Matsya Purāṇa to show that Kurukṣetra is specially suitable for making gifts. 162

The notorious sacking of Somanatha also could not produce any adverse effect on the streams of pilgrims visiting Prabhāsa and its contiguous Somanātha. Ibn Asir (born 1160 A.D.) states that on the occasion of lunar eclipse a hundred thousand persons used to congregate here. The number of pilgrims was so large on ordinary days also that three hundred persons were employed in shaving the heads and beards of the pilgrims. One thousand Brāhmanas used to attend to the worship of the Image. 163 The Dvvāśraya Kāvya of Hemachandra refers to the pilgrimage to Somanātha. 164 An inscription shows that two temples were built at Somanātha in 1216 A.D. 165 Laksmīdhara, however, does not refer to Somanātha as a place of pilgrimage. Jīmūtavāhana and Vallālasena refer to Prabhāsa but not to Somanātha.

Sultan Mahmud himself did not come to Banaras, but his general, Ahmad Nīyāltigīn attacked it in 1033 A.D. 163 impact of the attack must have been extremely negligible, because Baihaki writes: "The army could only remain there from morning to mid-day prayer, because of the peril. The markets of the drapers, perfumers, and jewellers were plundered but it was impossible to do more".167 Alberuni states that the Hindu Sciences "retired far away from those parts of the country conquered by us and have fled to places which our hands have not yet reached, to Kashmir, Benaras and other places". 168 He further informs us that Benaras attracted anchorites in thousands from all parts of India. Laksmidhara assigns the first and the most prominent place amongst tirthas to Varanasi, to the description of which 122 pages are devoted out of 264 pages of Tirthavivechanakānda. He quotes verses extensively from the Linga Purāņa and some verses from the Matsya, Brahma and Skanda Purānas. He has given an exhaustive account of the shrines, ponds, wells and places which were regarded as holy at the beginning of the eleventh century. He mentions169 the

temple of Keśava situated at the confluence of the Varanā and the Ganga, where successive Gahadavala kings like Chandradeva. Madanapāla, Govindachandra and Jayachchandra are known from inscriptions to have made numerous gifts. Narasima Purāna quoted by Laksmīdhara<sup>170</sup> also states that the chief Visnu image of Vārānasi is Kesava. There must have several temples of Kesava in the eleventh century, heen because the Chandravatī grant of 1100 A.D. refers to the image of the God Adi-Keśava before whom Chandradeva made a gift of a thousand cows, gold and other valuables equal to his Javachchandra records in his Kamauli grant dated 1173 A.D. the gift of several villages after bathing in the Ganges at Vārānasī in the presence of the God Ādi-Keśava<sup>172</sup> Other inscriptions refer to the Siva Lingas like Vedesvara, Aghoresvara, Krittivāsesvara. Indreśvara. Ońskāreśvara. Pañchaukara. Laudeśvara, Trilochaneśvara and Svapneśvara at Benaras. All these Lingas excepting the last four are also mentioned by Laksmidhara. Dr. Altekar in his History of Benaras<sup>173</sup> points out that "scores of land-grants made by the Gahadavala princes in the presence of different gods of Banaras have been discovered so far, but curiously enough, only one is seen being made in the presence, and after the worship, of Viśvanāth".174 K. V. Rangaswami Aiyangar in his Introduction to the Tirthavivechanakāndam<sup>175</sup> states that Visvesvara is mentioned twice by Laksmīdhara, but the reference in page 27 is merely to Siva or Avimuktesvara respectively, as "Lord of the Universe" and the second reference in page 93 is to "an ordinary Līnga, like hundreds of others, whose darśana confers a lower benefit than mukti". The Linga Purāna, which is quoted by Laksmidhara.176 gives the pre-eminence to the Svayambhū-linga of Avimukteśvara which had revealed itself by piercing its way through the ground. Vāchaspatimiśra in the fifteenth century identified this Avimukteśvara with Viśvanātha (Tīrthachintāmaņi p. 360) but Nārāyana Bhatta in 1560 and Mitramisra (c. 1620 A.D.) did not accept the view because the Padma Purāṇa. Kāśīkhanda and the Brahmavaivarta Purāņa distinguish between the two. In the twelfth century Viśvanatha or Viśveśvara, however, must have been a prominent Linga, and not just as one of the ordinary ones as Aiyangar tries to make out, because it is mentioned in a contemporary Gāhadavāla inscription and also in the Kūrma Purāṇa (I. 30. 2) as one of the six prominent deities of Banaras. According to the Kūrma Purāṇa, the most important deity of Banaras is the Pañchāyatana Linga, and next to it are Krittivāseśvara. Madhyameśvara, Viśveśvara, Onkāra and Kaparddīśvara.

Dr. Altekar further points out that "among the numerous grants made at Banaras by the Gāhaḍavālas, none so far has been made after a bath at the Daśāśvamedha or the Pañchagangā ghāṭ or in the presence of Bindumādhava or Kālabhairava or Durgā". Lakṣmīdhara, however, refers to the sanctity of the Daśāśvamedha ghāṭ of the Gangā on the authority of Vāmana Purāṇa. He also mentions the shrine of Durgā near the Bhairaveśvara Linga (p. 85). The chief consort of Siva, however, at Värānasī, according to Lakṣmīdhara, was Visālākṣī and not Annapūrṇā. There is a reference to Indramādhava in an inscription of 1114-15 A.D.<sup>179</sup>

The Bangavan plate of Govindachandra and his queen Gosaladevī of the year 1150-51 A.D. states that the queen having bathed in the Ganges near the temple of the Sun-god Lolārka in the presence of that deity gave a village to a Brāhmaṇa, who had come from Pāṭaliputra. Lolārka is also mentioned as a prominent deity by Lakṣmīdhara. The contemporary inscriptions refer also to the Kapālamochanaghaṭṭa in 1122, 1127 and 1141 A.D. Lolārka is also described in glowing terms in the Naiṣadhacharita. In 1194 A.D. Qutb-ud-dīn Aibak attacked Banaras and destroyed about one thousand temples. Logaranas is also described in glowing terms in the Naiṣadhacharita.

Laksmīdhara assigns the second important place amongst the holy tīrthas to Prayāga. He quotes verses from Chapters 104 to 109 of the Matsya Purāņa to illustrate the sanctity of the place and its sub-tīrthas. 186 He concludes the section

with the quotation of a verse from the Mahābhārata. sub-tirthas mentioned by Laksmidhara are: (1) Kambala-Aśvatara, (2) Pratisthāna, (3) Hamsapratāpana, (4) Urvašīpulina, (5) Kotitīrtha, (6) Bhogayatī, (7) Daśāśyamedhaka, (8) Mānasa, (9) Rinamochana, (10) Agnitīrtha, (11) Dharmarājatīrtha, (12) Bānaraka or Bāsaraka which according to Kane<sup>187</sup> is a misreading for Anaraka, (13) Nirujaka, (14) Karūtīrtha, (15) Agnikunda and (16) Siddhi-Ksetra. notable omission in this list is the famous Aksaya-vata, where according to the Agni<sup>188</sup> and Kūrma<sup>180</sup> Purānas, the surest way to the highest heaven. The Aksaya-vata is referred to in the Jabbalpur inscription of Yasah Karna dated 1122 A.D. in the following words, "Gangeyadeva, fond of residing at the foot of the holy big tree of Prayaga found salvation (i.e. committed suicide) there together with his 100 wives". 190

The next important tirtha described by Laksmidhara Gayā. The twenty holy sites in this place, according to him, are (1) Aksayavata, (2) Udyanta Parvata, (3) Kanakhala, (4) Kausikī, (5) Kausikī-hrada, (6) Gavāsira, (7) Gridhravata, (8) Champakavana, (9) Dharmapristha, (10) Dhenuka, (11) Pānduviśalya tīrtha, (12) Phalgutīrtha, (13) Brahmatīrtha, (14) Brahmasara, (15) Brahmāranya, (16) Bharatāśrama, (17) Mānasasara, (18) Mārtandapādamūla, (19) Mundapristha Yonidvāra. The sacred hills of Rāmasilā and Pretasilā described in the Gayamahatmya section of the Vāyu Purāna (ch. III) are not mentioned by Laksmīdhara. The Anuśūsanaparva of the Mahābhārata, however, mentions Asmapristha, which is identified by Kanc<sup>191</sup> as the Pretasilā. Nārāyana Bhatta in in his Tristhalisetu (1560 A.D.) lays the greatest emphasis on the performance of Srāddha at Rāmasilā and Pretasilā; but the silence of Laksmidhara probably indicates that these places had not risen to such importance in his time. K. V. Rangaswami Aiyangar observes that when Laksmīdhara "omits to mention rules now in vogue it is not unsafe to presume that either they came into effect after his time or that he rejected

their validity and declined to notice them in a work of autho-The same, however, cannot be said of the omission of names of some sites in a particular place, as we have seen from inscriptional evidence that the Aksavavata of Pravaga was definitely an important place, though it has been omitted by Laksmīdhara. A similar omission is noticeable in the case of Gaya. He notices Gayasira, which extends from Kraunchapāda up to the Phalgutirtha and includes Visnupāda but he does specifically mention Visnupada. Aiyangar<sup>193</sup> indeed expresses surprise over the silence of Laksmidhara regarding the name of Gadadhara, who is now regarded as the presiding deity of Gaya. He points out that from his quotation from Vāmana Purāna 'Gopati'184 and from the Narasimha Purāna 'Janārdana' appear to be the principal deities of Gayā. 193 But in page 253 Laksmīdhara has quoted the Narasimha Purāna again to show that Gadadhara is the presiding deity. The Vāyu Purāna mentions Bodhidruma Asvattha as a sacred tree at which Śrāddha has to be performed. This indicates the rapprochement between Hinduism and Buddhism in an age later than that of Laksmidhara, who is silent over the Bodhi tree. An inscription of the reign of Nayapāla engraved about 1040 A.D. states that prince Yaksapāla dug up the famous Uttaramānasa lake. 196 Dr. Barua concludes from this that Uttaramānasa did not exist before 1040 A.D. 197 But had there been no lake of that name before 1040 A.D., it could not have been referred to as "famous" in the inscription of the time of Nayapāla.

Vārāṇasī, Prayāga and Gayā were considered the three most important places of pilgrimage and these were referred to as "Tristhali" by Nārāyaṇa Bhaṭṭa. Vāchaspatimiśra attached equal importance to Puruṣottama. In his Tīrthachintāmaṇi, he quotes as many as 122 pages from the Brahma Purāṇa to describe the sanctity of Purī or Puruṣottama. On the authority of this Purāṇa he states that during one week commencing from the tenth day of the bright-half of the month of Jyaiṣṭha as many as 52 rīrthas and 32 holy rivers

assemble at Puruşottama. The present temple of Puruşottama was erected, according to the Mādalā-Pañji, by Anangabhīma III, the grandson of Choḍagaṅga in 1198 A.D. 198 But we have already pointed out that Puruṣottama, according to the inscription of Gaṅgādhara, attracted pilgrims from the interior of the district of Gayā early in the twelfth century. 199

Most of the *tīrthas* were sacred to particular sects, while a few like Vārāṇasī, Gayā and Prayāga were venerated by all. The only *tīrtha* sacred to Brahmā in the twelfth century was Puṣkara. We have already shown that the concept of the twelfth Jyotirlingas probably had not been known in the eleventh or twelfth century A.D. Lakṣmīdhara gives a long list of the following 67 Vaiṣṇava *tīrthas* with the names of their presiding deities from the *Narasimha Purāṇa*:

Place		Identification of the Place	Presiding Deity
1	Kokāmukha	either in the Purnca district or in Nepal.	Varāha
2	Mandāra	Banka subdivision, 30 miles to the south of Bhagalpur in Bihar	Madhusūdana
3	Kapiladvīpa	not identified	Ananta
4	Prabhāsa	near Somanātha	Ravinandana
5	Ŗişabha	may be Palni hills in Madura	Mahāviṣṇu
6	Dvārakā	near Okhā in Kathiwar	Bhūpati
7	Pāṇḍisahya	not identified	Deveśa
8	Vasutuṅga	not identified	Jagatpati
9	Matsyodapāna	not identified	Vaikuņţha
10	Mahendra	Ganjam district	Nṛipāntakam
11	Bhallivana	not identified	Mahāyogin
12	Chitraküţa	65 miles south-west of Prayaga	Narādhīpam
13	Naimișa	45 miles north-west of Lucknow	/ Pītavāsa
14	Niskramaņa	not identified	Hari
15	Śālagrāma	near the source of the Gandak on the border of Nepal & Ti	-
16	Gandhamādana	the mountain on which Badri- nath is situated	Achintya
17	Kubjāmraka	at or near Hardwar	Hṛṣīkeśa
18	Gangādvāra	Hardwar	Payodhara
19	Toşalaka	Dhauli in the Puri district	Garuḍadhvaja

Place		Identification of the Place	Presiding Deity
20	Nägasähvaya	Hastināpur, 22 miles north-east of Meerut	Govinda
21	Vrindāvana	Uttar Pradesh	Gopāla
22	Mathurā	Uttar Pradesh	Svayambhūva
23	Kedāra	Tehri Garhwal	Mādhava
24	Vārāņasī	Banaras	Keśava
25	Pușkara	near Ajmer	Puşkarākhya
26	Dhrişadvatī	not identified	Dhrisadhvaja
27	Trinavinduvana	not identified	Vīram
28	Sindhusāgara	not identified	Anoka
29	Kuśīvatta	not identified	Mahāvāhu
30	Taijasavana	west of Kurukşetra	Amrita
31	Viśākhayūpa	near Kurukșetra	Viśvesa
32	Mahāvana	near Mathurā	Narasimha
33	Lohārgala	30 Yojanas from Sindhu	Ripuhara
34	Devaśālā	not identified	Trivikrama
35	Kuśavana	not identified	Purușottama
36	Kubjāka	not identified	Vāmana
37	Vitastā	Kasmira	Vidyādhara
38	Varāha	not identified	Dharaṇīdhara
39	Devadāruvana	near Badrinātha	Guhya
40	Kāverī	may be Śrīrangain	Nāgaśāyin
41	Prayãga	Allahabad	Yogamürti
42	Payoşņyī	Purna river in Berar	Sundara
43	Kumāratīrtha	not identified	Kaumāra
44	Lauhitya	Bramhaputra	Hayaśīrṣa
45	Ujjainī	Modern Ujjain	Vikrama
46	Vidyallinga- sphota	not identified	Chaturbhuja
47	Tuṅgabhadrā		Harihara
48	Kuruksetra		Viśvarūpa
49	Manikunda	not identified	Halāyudha
50	Ayodhyā		Lokanātha
51		Vidarbha	Rukminīpati
52	Bhāṇḍīra	near Mathurā	Vāsudeva
53	Chakratīrtha (8 places)	not identified	Sudarśana
54	Vișnupāda	not identified	Ādya
55	Sūkara	Soron between Bareli and Mathurā	Sūkara
<b>5</b> 6	Mānasatīrtha	in the Himalayas	Brahmeśa

	Place	Identification of the Place	Presiding Deity
57	Daņḍaka		Śyāmala
58	Trikūţa	near Nasik	Nāgamoksa
59	Merukūţa	not identified	Bhāskara
60	Puşpabhadra	northern slope of the Himalayas	Viraja
61	Chamikahara	not identified	Vala
62	Vipāśā		Yaśaskara
63	Māhişmatī	in Nemad district of Madhya Pradesh	Hutāśana
64	Kşīrābdha	not identified	Padmanābha
65	Vimala	not identified	Sanātana
<b>6</b> 6	Śivanadī	not identified	Śivakara
67	Gayā		Gadādhara

This list is important for more than one reason. First, it shows that the Vaisnava shrines were spread throughout the length and breadth of India in the twelfth century. Secondly, the list omits the name of Purusottama. Though the Narasinha Purāṇa says that it is giving a list of 68 places, yet in Lakṣmīdhara's quotation we actually find 67 places. Was the 68th place Purusottama, which has been purposely dropped by Lakṣmīdhara? Thirdly, names like Kṛṣṇa, Mādhava, Devakīnandana, Nandanandana, Yaśodānandana, Gopinātha, Madanamohana etc. do not occur amongst the names of deities. The worship of Viṣṇu under these names had not become popular at the time of the composition of the 15th chapter of the Narasimha Purāṇa. Lastly, 22 out of 67 places mentioned in the list have fallen into such obscurity that they cannot be identified.

Lakṣmīdhara cites<sup>201</sup> the authority of the *Matsya Purāṇa* to show that only four places are sacred to Gauri, namely Viśālākṣī at Vārāṇasī, Lalitā at Prayāga, Maṅgalā at Gayā, and Siṁhikā at Kritaśaucha (not identified). He mentions Kāmarūpa indeed but is silent over the name of any Devī in this country. The 13th chapter of the *Matsya Purāṇa* which is current at present, gives, however, a long list of places holy to the Devī. It is not improbable that this list

has been added to the Matsya Purāṇa long after Lakṣmī-dhara. Dr. D. C. Sircar has shown that the text of the thirteenth chapter of the Matsya Purāṇa "has been quoted in the description of the various manifestations of Bhadrakar-nikā in the Revākhaṇḍa sub-section of the Avantyakhaṇḍa in the Skanda Purāṇa (which in its present form is not earlier than the twelfth century) as well as in the enumeration of the different names of the goddess Sāvitrī, the wife of Brahman, in the Sṛṣṭkhaṇḍa section (ch. 17) of the Padma Purāṇa. The same text is also quoted in the Devībhāgavata (VII. ch. 30) which, unlike the Matsya, Skanda and Padma Purāṇas, refer to the holy places associated in this work with the different manifestations of the mother-goddess and of her consort".202

The seventh Patala of the Hevajra Tantra, which is ascribed to the seventh or eighth century A.D., mentions only four Pithas, namely Jalandhara, Oddiyana in the Swat Valley, Pūrņagiri and Kāmarūpa. The Rudrayāmala, which is considered to have been composed earlier than 1052 A.D. mentions ten Pithas as follows:-Kämarūpa, Jālandhara. Pūrnagiri, Oddiyāna, Vārānasī, Jvalantī, Māyāvatī or Hardwar, Madhupurī or Mathurā, Ayodhyā and Kāñchī. An Upa-Purāna named Mahābhāgavata, which is not to be confused with the Srimad Bhagavata, praises (chapters 11-12, 76-78) Kāmarupa which is called the best of the 51 Mahāpīthas created by the fall of Sati's limbs. This Upa-Purana, according to Dr. R. C. Hazra 203 cannot be dated later than the twelfth century. Dr. D. C. Sircar's work on the Śakta Pīthas was published in December, 1948 and as such could not contain any reference to the Mahābhāgavata. Having carefully compared the Pauranic list of Pithas with that contained in the later Tantras mentioned by Dr. Sircar, we notice the omission of the following in the list given in the Devī Bhāgavata: (1) Hingula, (2) Suganghā (in Barisal), (3) Kashmir, (4) Jvālāmukhī, (5) Jālandara (6) Virajāksetra at Jajpur in Orissa, (7) Gandakī, (8) Bahula, (9) Ujjainī. (10) Chattala, (11) Tripūrā, (12) Trisrotā, (13) Yugādya, (14) Kālipītha or Kālighāta, (15) Prayāga, (16) Jayanti, (17) Kirīta, (18) Manikarnikā, (19) Kanyāśrama, (20) Kurukṣetra, (21) Rāmagiri, (22) Suchi, (23) Pañchasāgara, (24) Karotoyātaṭa (in the district of Bogra), (25) Śriparvata, (26) Vibhāsa (Tamluk in the Midnapore district), (27) Bhairavaparbata, (28) Janasthāna (near Nasik), (29) Ratnāvalī, (30) Mitnilā. (31) Nalahāṭi in the district of Murshidabad, West Bengal, (32) Vakreśvara in the district of Birbhum, (33) Yasora—modern Jessore in East Pakistan (34) Aṭṭahāsa in the district of Birbhum, (35) Nandipura, (36) Lankā, (37) Virāṭa.

Laksmidhara does not include any of the following sites considered as Pithas in later times: (1) Acchhoda in Kashmir, (2) Agastyāśrama near Nasik, (3) Ambara in Jaipur, Rajputana, (4) Ambikā at Kālnā, District Burdwan, (5) Aśvaprada, District Gauhați, (6) Attahāsa (Lābhpur), District Birbhum, (7) Bahula (near Katwa) district Burdwan, (8) Bālidāngā (Hoogly district), (9) Bālipura, (10) Bhadreśvara, (11) Chattala (12) Ekāmra, (13) Ilāpur, (14) Ghātsilā (Midnapore district), (15) Hinglāja, (16) Jālandhara, (17) Jvālāmukhī, (18) Kālighāţa (Calcutta), (19) Kāmagiri, (20) Kāñchī, (21) Kānyakubja (22) Kirīta, (23) Ksīragrāma (near Katwa, Burdwan), (24) Matanga near Gayā, (25) Mehara in Tippera District, (26) Mithilā, (28) Nandīpura (27) Nalahāti (Sainthia, district Birbhum), (29) Nīlāchala (Puri), (30) Pundravardhana (Bogra), (31) Rājagriha (Rajgir, Patna). (32) Tāmralipta (Tamluk). (33) Tārā (Tārāpur, Birbhum), (34) Tripurā. (35) Ujānī (Kogrām, Burdwan), (36) Vaidvanātha (modern Deoghar-Baidyanāthdham, Santhal Parganas), (37) Vakeśvara (38) Yasora. Laksmīdhara does not also refer to Tārāchandī at Sasaram (Shahabad) where an inscription of Pratapadhavala dated 1169 A.D. mentions the name of the goddess Tarachandī.

Two inferences can be drawn from such omissions. First, many of the Śākta Pīṭhas had not come into existence in the early part of the twelfth century when Lakṣmīdhara wrote

Krityakalpataru. Secondly, even the few Pīṭhas which existed in his time were purposely ignored by him probably because he did not like to encourage the Tāntric cult. In this connection it may be noted that many of the Śākta Pīṭhas are located in Assam, Bengal and Bihar, which became the centres of Tāntricism in the later middle ages.

Assam and Bengal had very few ancient orthodox type of holy sites up to the twelfth century. In Bengal the only famous *tīrtha* was Gai·gāsāgara or Kapilāśrama.

It is difficult to imagine now the hardship which the pilgrims had to undergo in the eleventh and twelfth centuries A.D. Lakṣmīdhara does not consider it obligatory for a pilgrim to walk on foot. Very few people could afford to engage conveyances. Some of the places of pilgrimage had no road and the pilgrims, always moving in large bands, had to improvise some kind of path by cutting down the jungle or remove the various obstacles. Bandits often lay in ambush to relieve the pilgrims of all their belongings. A special tax was levied on pilgrims by some of the princes. Many bold and enterprising people sought an outlet for their energy in going out on pilgrimage, rather than engage themselves in constructive work for ameliorating the social and economic condition of their fellow beings.

### REFERENCES TO CHAPTER XIV

(Tirthas or Places of Pilgrimage.)

- 1 Bārhaspatya Arthasāstra III. 79-80.
- 2 EI. XX. 127.
- 3 Kane, HDS IV. p. 770.
- 4 Varāha Purāna Ch. 152. 62, 154. 29.
- 5 EI. III. 46-50.
- 6 JRASB (Letters) XIII. No. 2 (1947) p. 70.
- 7 EI. XIV. 194.
- 8 JUPHS. XIV (July 1941) p. 70.
- 9 IA. XVIII. 130; El. IX. 304 etc.
- 10 Kirttikaumudi IX. 2.

- 11 Fl. II. 330-42, verse 12 in Page 334.
- 12 KV (ed BI) p. 351.
- 13 El. XXV. 312-313.
- 14 El. I. 271-287, verses 23-33,
- 15 Siva Purāņa Ch. I. 14-33, IV. 1-18, 21-24.
- 16 Tirthavivechana, p. 93 (lingam vosveŝara nāma sarvadevaistæ vanditam).
  - 17 Skanda Purāna, Kedārakhanda Ch. 7. 31-33.
  - 18 Sachau I. 116.
  - 19 Ibid. I. 117.
  - 20 Ibid. II. 146.
  - 21 Ibid. II. 147.
  - 22 Ibid. II. 148,
  - 23 Kathāsaritsāgara (ed. Tawney) Vol. I. p. 31.
  - 24 Ibid. 1. 384, 533,
  - 25 Ibid. 1. 418.
  - 26 Ibid, II, 195.
  - 27 Ibid, II. 234, 293.
  - 28 Ibid. II. 335.
  - 29 Introduction p. XVIII to Tirthavivechana.
  - 30 Kane: HDS f. p. 196, IV. p. X.
  - 31 KV. p. 323.
  - 32 Ibid. p. 357.
  - 33 Brihannűradíya Purüna II. 60. 25.
  - 34 Garuda Purāņa, I. 81. 10.
  - 35 Dānasāgara, pp. 35-40.
  - 36 Matsya Purāņa, Ch. 22. 68.
- 37 Mānasollāsa (G. O. S. No. 28, p. 13) Vinisati I. Ch. 18. (Tīrthasnāna adhyāya).
  - 38 JA. IV. 76.
  - 39 Ibid. IV. 112.
  - 40 Ibid, p. 266.
  - 41 Ibid. p. 268.
  - 42 Bārhaspatya Arthaśāstra, III. 110-133.
  - 43 Varāha Purāna, 155. 1.
  - 44 Padma Purāņa, VI. 110. 8 and 280. 19.
  - 45 Bhāgavata Purāņa, X. 79. 14.
  - 46 Matsya Purāņa, 22. 44.
  - 47 Padma Purāņa, VI. 280. 19.
  - 48 JRASB (Letters) XIV. (1948) 14-15.
  - 49 Kane: HDS IV. 769.
  - 50 Descriptive Catalogue of Mss. in Mithila, Vol. I. p. 294.
  - 51 Matsva Purāņa, Ch. 190. 6.
  - 52 El. XX, 249 and PRAS, 1902-03, p. 20f.
  - 53 Ibid. XX. 48.
  - 54 Ibid. XX, p. 49.

- 55 Varāha Purāņa, 145. 71.
- 56 Mbh. Vanaparva, 85. 37.
- 57 EL XXX. Pt. I (Jan. 1953) p. 11.
- 58 RC III. 10.
- 59 Ibid. III. 10.
- 60 Struggle for Empire, p. 298.
- 61 Naisadhacharita (ed. Handiqui), XI, 107.
- 62 Ibid. XI. 114.
- 63 Ibid. XVII. 90.
- 64 RT III. 297, 320; VII. 646, 1007, 1010; VIII. 13.
- 65 Ibid. IV. 414, VII. 1477.
- 66 Ibid. VI. 254, VII. 1008, 1478.
- 67 Ibid. I. 38.
- 68 Mbh. Vanaparva, 130. 10.
- 69 Tirthavivechana, p. 245.
- 70 Sachau. II. 148.
- 71 Tirthavivechana, p. 248.
- 72 Dānasāgara, p. 37.
- 73 Mbh. Anusasanaparva, 26. 60.
- 74 Visnudharmasūtra (ed. Jolly), 85. 35.
- 75 RT. I. 36, 407.
- 76 Nilamata Purāņa, verses 1048-1119 (De Vreese).
- 77 RT. I. 113.
- 78 Ibid. IV. 190.
- 79 Ibid. I. 107, V. 55, VIII 2756.
- 80 Tirthavivechana, p. 248.
- 81 RT. III. 448.
- 82 Tirthavivechana, p. 248.
- 83 Dānasāgara, p. 37.
- 84 RT. I. 35.
- 85 SP. verse 628.
- 86 Mbh. Vanaparva, 84. 65.
- 87 Matsya Purāņa, 22. 25.
- 88 Padma Purāņa, I. 32. 39.
- 89 Agni Purāṇa, ch. 109. 18.
- 90 Stein in RT. Vol. II. p. 278.
- 91 RT. I. 28; IV. 301.
- 92 Tirthavivechana, p. 245.
- 93 Dānasāgara, p. 37.
- 94 Mbh. Vana 82. 88-90.
- 95 Kūrma Purāņa, II. 44.
- 96 Vāmana Purāna, 90. 7.
- 97 RT. V. 99-100, VI. 305, VII. 214, VIII 3149.
- 98 Matsya Purāņa, 22. 74.
- 99 Sachau I. 117.
- 100 RT. VIII 2556, 1706.

- 101 Ain-i-Akbari (ed. and Trans. Jarrett) II. p. 366.
- 102 Stein in RT. Vol. II. p. 288.
- 103 RT. I. 267.
- 104 Nīlamata Purāna, verse 1321 (ed. De Vreese).
- 105 Tirthavivechana, p. 236.
- 106 Aīn-i-Akbarī (ed. and Trans. Jarrett) II p. 360.
- 107 RT. I. 32.
- 108 Ibid. VII 190-193.
- 109 Sachau II. 181.
- 110 Aīn-i-Akbarī (ed. and Trans. Jarrett), 11. p. 358.
- 111 RT. VII. 258.
- 112 Ibid. VII. 956-57
- 113 Ibid. I. 30, IV. 593.
- 114 Ibid, V. 38.
- 115 Ibid. II. 410, 456.
- 116 Ibid. 1. 123, II. 109.
- 117 Ibid. II. 458.
- 118 Ibid. I. 38.
- 119 Ibid. VII. 126, VIII. 729.
- 120 Ibid. IV. 192, VII. 709.
- 121 Nilamata Purāņa, verses 103-105.
- 122 RT. VI. 186.
- 123 Nīlamata Purāņa, verse 1358 (ed. de Vreese).
- 124 Haracharitachintamani XII. 43.
- 125 Varāha Purāņa, 140. 4-5.
- 126 El. XV. 138-39.
- 127 Tirthavivechana, p. 213.
- 128 Kane: HDS IV. p. 768.
- 129 IHO, XXI. No.1 (1945) p. 59.
- 130 Tirthavivechana, pp 215-16.
- 131 De, N.: Geographical Dictionary of Ancient India, p. 115.
- 132 Varāha Purāna, 140. 5.
- 133 IHQ. XXI. p. 58.
- 134 Tirthavivechana, pp. 228-29.
- 135 Padma Purāņa, I. 13.
- 136 Brahma Purāņa, I. 40.
- 137 Bhavisya Purāņa, ch. 74.
- 138 PIHC, 1939 (Calcutta Session), p. 667.
- 139 Sachau II. 145.
- 140 Ibid. II. 148.
- 141 Ibid. I. 298.
- 142 Beal: Hinen Tsang, Vol. I. 113 note.
- 143 HIED II p. 34.
- 144 Ain-i-Akbari (ed. and Trans. Jarrett), Vol. II. pp. 312-14.
- 145 HIED II pp. 44-45
- 146 Sachau II. 147.

- 147 Padma Purāņa, Adi. ch. 29. 46-47.
- 148 Varāha Purāņa, ch. 152-78.
- 149 Raghuvaniśa VI. 50.
- 150 Naisadhacharita, XI. 106-7.
- 151 Farquhar, J. N.: An Outline of the Religious Literature of India, pp. 309-310.
  - 152 Hazra, R. C.: Studies in Puranic Records, p. 105.
- 153 Tirthavivechana, p. 190 Rādhākundeti vikhyātam tasmin kṣetre paramam mama/tatra snānam tu kurvvita ekarātroṣita naraḥ//
  - 154 Varāha Purāņa, ch. 153; ch. 161. 6-10.
  - 155 Quoted in Bhaktiratnākara, p. 185.
  - 156 Narasiniha Purāņa, ch. 65 quoted in Tirthavivechana, p. 252.
  - 157 Mbh. Anusasana 4, 17.
- 158 Matsya Purāņa, 13. 29.
- 159 Commentary by Hodivala in HIED II (Aligarh ed.), p. 46.
- 160 El. XVIII p. 179.
- 161 Vāmana Purāṇa, 52 quoted in Tirthavivechana, pp. 175-79.
- 162 Dānasāgara, p. 37.
- 163 Commentary by Hodivala in HIED II (Aligarh ed.), p. 474.
- 164 IA, IV. 76.
- 165 El. II. 437-446.
- 166 HIED, II. p. 122.
- 167 Ibid. p. 123.
- 168 Sachau I. 22.
- 169 Tirthavivechana, p. 44.
- 170 Ibid, p. 252.
- 171 El. XIV. pp. 197-200.
- 172 El. IV. 123-24.
- 173 Altekar, A. S. History of Benarcs, p. 29.
- 174 EI. VII. 159.
- 175 Introduction, p. LXXIII to Tirthavivechana.
- 176 Tirthavivechana, p. 41.
- 177 Altekar, Op. cit. p. 29.
- 178 Tirthavivechana, p. 237.
- 179 El. VIII p. 149.
- 180 El. V. 118.
- 181 Tirthavivechana, pp. 34, 118.
- 182 EI. IV. 97-133.
- 183 EI. VIII. 149-59.
- 184 Naisadhacharita, XI, 114, 116.
- 185 HIED II. 222.
- 186 Tirthavivechana, p. 136-153.
- 187 HDS IV. 615, note 399.
- 188 Agni Purāņa, III. 13.
- 189 Kūrma Purāņa, 1, 37, 8-9.
- 190 El. II. 4.

## 354 SOCIO-ECONOMIC: HISTORY OF NORTHERN INDIA.

- 191 Kane: HDS IV. 649.
- 192 Introduction, p. LXXXVI to Tirthavivechana.
- 193 Ibid.
- 194 Tirthavivechana, p. 172.
- 195 Ibid. p. 173.
- 196 IA. XV. 63ff.
- 197 Barua, B. M.: Gayā and Buddha Gayā, Vol. I. p. 62.
- 198 Sircar, D. C. in J. Or. Res., XVII pp. 209-215.
- 199 El. II. 330.
- 200 Tirthavivechana, pp. 251-253.
- 501 Ibid. p. 172.
- 202 JRASB XIV (Letters), no. 1 p. 25.
- 203 IHQ. 1952 p. 27.

### CHAPTER XV

### Standard of Morality

The standard of morality varies from age to age. It is, therefore, considered unscientific to judge or condemn the manners and customs of one age on the basis of the standard prevalent in an earlier or subsequent age. The digest-writers of the eleventh and twelfth centuries A.D. would have condemned as immoral the present-day practices of late marriages for women. their employment in services, trades and professions, the tendency to limit the family circle to husband, wife and children only to the exclusion of others, who were considered worthy of maintenance even under adverse circumstances, and above all, the family-planning devices. We, on our part, consider their system of giving the girls of the age of eight or ten in marriage to young men thrice the age of their spouse, or the permissibility of marrying a second or third wife while the first wife was alive as nothing but immoral. Some would go so far as to suggest that the very large number of Brahmanas and ascetics, who devoted the whole of their time to religious practices and meditation, were mere drones who did not engage themselves in any productive labour. apart from such changes in the angle of vision or in social and ethical perspective there are certain principles of ethical conduct which do not change much from country to country or from age to age. These may be called the immutable laws of morality. No one, for example, would suggest that theft, cheating, acceptance of bribe, oppression of the common people can be held up as moral conduct, nor would any one support the unbridled gratification of sexual passion. Keeping these points of view before our eyes, we may make an effort to evaluate the standard of morality as it prevailed in the eleventh and twelfth centuries A.D in Northern India. So far as the ideals of moral conduct were concerned. the commentators, *nibandhakāras* and the poets of the age held up before the peeple the highest code. They all taught the householder to lead a self-restrained and self-sacrificing life for the good of not only their family or country but the whole world, including the inanimate creation. Though such ideals inspired thousands of that age, yet the conduct of a whole people cannot be judged simply by such lofty teachings. We have to examine critically the conduct of kings, courtiers, officers, merchants and other classes of people and also the literary and artistic expressions of the age with a view to arriving at a correct appreciation of the moral standard, actually prevalent on the eve of the conquest of Northern India by the Turko-Afghans.

The Arthaśāstra of Kautilya prescribes an extremely arduous life for the King. Out of eight parts of the day, as many as six were to be devoted to the affairs of the state, while three out of eight parts of the night were also to be spent on the same. But the Sukranītisāra asks the King to devote only eleven out of thirty muhartas for similar purposes. The Bārhaspatya Arthaśāstra ordains that the King should transact the business of the state for 15 nādikās or 7½ muhūrtas only. The rest of his time was to be spent on bath, repast, amusements, playing with beloved ones, twilight worship, dancing, co-habitation and sleep. The Mānasollāsa gives us an insight into the actual mode of spending the life by the King. The only time when the King remained engaged in administrative work was while he was holding the Darbar. The elaborate description which Someśvara Chālukya has given about the seating arrangements in his Court shows that it had a more ceremonial than businesslike atmosphere. The ladies of the royal household took their seats by his side and were expected to cast their glance at him from time to time. Not only were all his relatives there, but also the superintendents of wrestling, dancing, bed-making, painting etc.4 He devotes as many as twenty sections to the various kinds of enjoyment which include elaborate descriptions of food, drinks,

beds, betels, garlands, ornaments, dress, women, etc. Fifteen other sections are devoted to the amusements such as witnessing the fights between wrestlers, cocks, rams, buffaloes, pigeons, dogs, hawks etc. Discussions on poetry, logic and the Sastras are included amongst amusements. A perusal of this work cannot but leave the impression that the kings in the twelfth century A. D. were steeped in luxury and devoted too much of their time to amusements. In an autocracy the king is the repository of all the powers of the state; and if he does not follow the Asokan ideal of being ready to hear the complaints of his people at all hours and at all places, power will slip away from his hand to his officers.

## Immoral Life of the kings:

To a modern man the kings as well as their court-poets. who composed the laudatory verses for copper-plates and inscriptions, appear to be shameless. They take pride not only in capturing the womenfolk of defeated countries but also in openly proclaiming before the world their dalliance with them. Thus the Bilhari inscription states that the Kalachuri King Yuvarājadeva "fulfilled the ardent wishes of the minds of the women of Gauda, who was a deer to sport on those pleasurehills the breasts of the damsels of Karnāta, (and) ornamented the foreheads of the women of Lata; who engaged in amorous dalliance with the women of Kashmira, (and) who was fond of the charming songs of the women of Kalinga".5 The inscription was written in the time of Yuvarāja II. This sort of brazenfaced proclamation of amorous frivolities is all the more surprising because it was being recorded during the reign of the grandson of Yuvarājadeva I. No other grandson has ever taken such a pride in such escapades of his grand-father. Räjasekhara states that Yuvarāja married the princesses of Magadha, Malwa, Pañchala, Avanti, Jalandhara and Kerala. But even in this extensive network of matrimonial relationships the women of Gauda. Karnāta, Kashmir and Gujarat have no place. Somadeva in his Yasastilakachampü records

with evident relish that King Maradatta of Rājapura in the Yaudheya country and Yasodhara, a King of Ujjainī enjoyed the company of women from Andhra, Chola, Valabhī, Kerala, Simhala, Karnāṭa, Surāṣṭra, Kamboja, Pallava and Ayodhyā.

The Prabandhachintāmaņi relates a story about Paramardin of Kuntala witnessing a dance by a naked woman—"when the door-keeper announced his (Jagaddeva's) arrival to Paramardin," writes Merutuṅga, "it happened that a low woman was dancing in his court, unclothed save for a flowered petticoat, and at that moment she was seized with shame and snatching up her upper garment, sat down where she was".<sup>8</sup> Dancing by public women not only in royal court but also in the houses of wealthy persons was so much in vogue that Medhātithi in giving examples of royal orders, states: "for so many days dancing girls shall be entertained by all wealthy men".<sup>9</sup>

Storics about the immoral life led by kings of Eastern India in the latter half of the twelfth century are recorded in books written at the beginning of the fourteenth century. Thus Merutunga states that Laksmanasena, having been blinded by passion, "contracted the disgraceful stain of association with a Matangi", which means a Chandala woman. Umapatidhara is said to have written to the King the following verse: "Alas! clinging to the hard-neck of a low-born woman and thereby broken, you have lost, unfortunately, all your state of merit".10 The same work also states that Jayachchandra of Vārāṇasī, took away the wife of a citizen, a lady named Suhava and made her the chief queen.11 We find another instance of a married lady going to live with another person though her husband was alive. This was Lavanaprasada's wife Madanarājñī, who went to pay a visit to her brother-in-law's house after the death of her sister, began to live with him as husband and wife. Viradhavala, her son by Lavanaprasada, had also gone there with her, and she bore her ne husband two other sons.<sup>12</sup>

Kalhana relates a number of stories regarding the licentious conduct of Kashmirian kings of this period. Thus he writes of the licentiousness of King Kalasa, who in his youth

had employed a number of low persons to procure for him the wives of others<sup>13</sup> and in his advanced years violated "some of his son's wives, just as (if they were those) of an enemy". The simile used here by the historian shows that it was customary for a victor to enjoy the wives of the vanquished. King Kalasa finally lost his life "by over-indulgence in sensual pleasures." His son Harsa (1089-1101) was even more sensual. "His father's wives," writes Kalhana, "who had brought him up on their arms, he took in his arms, kissed them, and continually deported himself with them." He is charged with the violation of the chastity of his sisters too. 15 Utkarsa and Bhikṣāchara were equally licentious. 16

Some of the kings of Gujarat also suffered from the same defect. Abhyatilaka Gani who completed his commentary of Hemachandra's *Dvyāsraya* in VS. 1312 says that Chāmunḍarāja (996-1010 A.D.) had loose morals.<sup>17</sup> The custom of keeping dancing girls in the royal court had such a strong hold on the mind of the people of this age that even a great scholar and religious preceptor like Hemachandra writes that his royal disciple Kumārapāla used to be surrounded by a few good dancing girls in his Court.<sup>18</sup> The *Kathāsarītsāgara* relates many stories of the depravities of the kings of this period.<sup>19</sup> The *Hammāra-mahākāvya* describes how Harirāja, the Chāhamāna king of Ajmer, squandered all his revenues on women and dancing girls. When the generals of Muhammad of Ghūr attacked his kingdom, he had no other option excepting sacrificing his life on the funeral pyre.<sup>20</sup>

# Drinking of wine:

In 851 A.D. Sulaiman found that the Indian princes did not drink wine. He observes "they drink no wine, nor admit vinegar, because it is made of wine and yet they abstain not therefrom a religious duty, but for another reason. They say that if a King is given to wine, he ought not to be deemed a King, for add they, as there are frequent wars with the meighbouring states, how should a drunkard manage the affairs

of his Kingdom"?<sup>21</sup> We notice however a change in the code of morality in this respect in the twelfth century A.D.. The author of the *Sukranītisāra* allows moderate drinking on the ground that it develops talent, sharpens intelligence, increases patience, and makes the mind steady.<sup>22</sup> Lakṣmīdhara prohibits the Brāhmaṇas from drinking spirituous liquors from gur or fermented rice or from honey but permits the kings and Vaisyas to drink these for vigour or during festivities.<sup>23</sup> The Maithila scholar-statesman Chandesvara makes a similar statement.<sup>24</sup> Mānasollāsa does not recommend winedrinking by the King himself, but on the occasion of marriage ceremonies he is to entertain the ladies of the harem with wine. Some of the queens used to take wine habitually; for example, Hemachandra says that Queen Mayanalladevi had to give up her drinking habit while Siddharāja was in her womb.<sup>24</sup>

It was customary to offer drinks on occasions like marriage festivities. We find in the Naisadhacharita that drinks were offered to the persons who accompanied the bride-groom to the bride's house.26 Some stories in the Kathāsaritsāgara suggest that merchants were in the habit of drinking wine.27 Kalhana informs us that the richer sections of the community used to drink delightful wine flavoured with flowers.28 Kashmirian Nīlamata Purāna permits the drinking of wine on festive occasions.29 Ksemendra mentions particularly the festival known as the Taksakavātrā, during which intoxicant liquors were on sale for three days, during all the twenty-four hours.30 Bilhana in his Chaurapañchāsikā depicts the heroine as madhupāna-raktam i.e. her face "glowing (ruddy) by drink" during sexual sport (verse 9). Kumārapāla is known to have made a heroic effort to prohibit not only drinking but also the manufacture of wine jars in Gujarat.31 It seems that the common people of Gujarat were habituated to drink and that the Jaina King thought it necessary to stop it for moral as well as economic reasons. This inference is supported by the writing of the Arab traveller Al-Mas'ūdī who visited Cambay in the middle of the tenth century A.D.

and observed that "Indians sometimes make girls drink in order to show their mirth so that the beholders may be inspired with gaiety by their merriment".<sup>32</sup>

Had not drinking been widely prevalent, anthologies like Saduktikarnāmrita\*\*. Šuktimuktāvalī\*\* Šāringadharapaddhati\*\* would not have included so many verses on the drinking clubs. Men as well as women are described as having taken part in drinking bouts. Ksemendra compares a lady with wine in her mouth to Rāhu devouring the moon.36 A poet describes how a lady having drunk too much of wine faltered on words like "priva" or 'dear' and 'mukha' or 'mouth', requested her companion to pour down wine into her mouth and when she was found constantly laughing and stumbling she was caught hold of by her friends. Another poem in Suktimuktāvalī says, "An intoxicated girl under the influence of wine is unable to bear the flower, unable to entice her husband by her breath, and the (love-lorn) newly married wife on seeing even the picture of her husband neither drinks, nor sets aside the wine glass." No poet of the present day India would dare to write such a poem as it is simply absurd to imagine newly-married girls taking wine. Śrīharsa draws a pen-picture of another drinking bout: as a result of drunkenness, the fillet is disturbed, the arranged hair is dishevelled, the anklets are producing much greater sound, and due to her frequent movements the necklace seems to be striking her breasts.38

#### Suicide:

Another characteristic feature of the eleventh and twelfth centuries appears to be the prevalence of the practice of committing suicide, specially amongst the ruling classes. Manu and other Dharmaśāstras condemn suicide in severest terms. The But the Mahābhārata permits one to end his life at a sacred place like Prithūdaka, the holy Himalayas and Prayāga. The Matsya and the Kūrma Purāṇas extolled the merits of sacrificing one's life in holy places. Lakṣmīdhara quotes exten-

sively from the *Matsya Purāna* and bestows praise upon those who commit suicide by burning themselves at Vārāṇasī or by drawing themselves in the confluence at Prayāga or by leaping from the hills at Amarkaṇṭaka into the Narmadā river to drown themselves.<sup>41</sup> Alberuni also refers to the banyan tree of Prayāga from which Brāhmaṇas and Kṣatriyas threw themselves into the Ganges.<sup>42</sup> He further informs us that persons intending to commit suicide sometimes "hire somebody to drown them in the Ganges keeping them under water till they are dead".<sup>43</sup>

No inscription prior to the eleventh century refers to the suicide of any monarch. But in the period under survey we find several epigraphic and literary records showing princes courting death wilfully. The earliest known case is that of Chaulukya Mūlarāja I, who according to Dvyāśrayakāvya, mounted the funeral pyre at Siddhapur on the banks of the river Sarasvatī<sup>14</sup> in 996 A.D. The next case is that of the Chandella King Dhanga, who having lived a most useful life for more than one hundred years, courted death at Prayaga while contemplating on Rudra sometime after 1002 A.D.45 About the same year the Shāhiya King Jayapāla sacrificed his life on the funeral pyre after his repeated defeats at the hands of Sultans of Gnazni<sup>46</sup> The Kalachuri King Gangeyadeva along with his one hundred wives committed suicide at Prayaga sometime between 1034 and 1041 A.D.47 In 1068 A.D. the Chaulukya King Someśvara I Ahavamalla sacrificed his life in the Tungabhadra after performing yogic rites.48 When the Pala ruler Ramapala heard of the death of his maternal uncle Mathana, who had helped him materially in recovering his kingdom from the Kaivarta rebels, he committed suicide in the Ganges near Monghyr about the year 1120 A.D. Merutunga tells us that when Jayachchandra found that his capital was besieged by the Turko-Afghans, he along with his son by Suhavadevi, for installing whom on the throne she had invited the invaders, drowned himself in the Ganges in 1194 A.D.49 According to one school of interpretation of a verse in Adbhūtasāgara, Vallālasena also committed suicide by drowning in the Ganges along with his queen. When suicide at a holy place had come into vogue so much, it is not surprising to find some Kashmir kings dying voluntarily in their own palace. Thus Ananta was stung to the quick by the insults hurled at him by his wife and committed suicide by thrusting a dagger into his anus. <sup>50</sup> Again in 1089 A.D. Utkarsa being dethroned by Harsa stabbed himself to death with a pair of scissors. <sup>51</sup>

In previous centuries a few religious fanatics sometimes courted death at some holy places. But even this was not sanctioned by the law-givers, who prescribed retirement to forest hermitage for men above the age of fifty. Handing over the burden of their family to their sons, such persons were advised to lead a quiet life devoted to meditation. Their objective was to subdue the passions and irradicate all desires so that they might be free from the bondage of *Karma* and attain liberation. The Purānas and the epics describe hundreds of cases in which an old king having bestowed the crown upon the son retired to the forest. It was, however, the characteristic feature of the eleventh and twelfth centuries for the kings to resort to death of their own accord.

Many of the kings like Jayapāla and Jayachchandra, Ananta and Utkarşa found life unbearable; others like Mūlarāja I, Dhanga and Gāngeyadeva perhaps thought that as they had got all that could be desired in this world, it was better to sacrifice their lives in a holy place so that their happiness might be assured in the after-world. While the former were guilty of escapism, the latter must be held responsible for holding-up a questionable ideal before their subjects.

How pernicious was the social effect of their example can be judged from a few instances of suicide on a mass scale. The Brahmanas of Kashmir suffered from a sense of frustration at the frequent rebellions which took place during the reign of Sussala and resorted to solemn fasts, which culminated in their throwing themselves on fire in every town.32 Merutunga relates that when Vīradhavala died, as many as one hundred and twenty of his followers elected to burn themselves of their own accord. The prevalence of the Sati as well as these instances go to show that life signified little in this age. These instances of suicide are supported by the wealth of information supplied by Mahmud Gardīzī in his Kitāb Zain-ul-Akbhār, composed in A.H. 440/1049 A.D. In this portion of the book, which remains even today unpublished, Gardīzī observes that "a group of people burn themselves in the following manner. They dig a well near a stream or river. A fire is lit up inside this hollow space and the person, desirous of committing suicide arrives there. This person smearing himself with frankincense, prostrates before the assembly of individuals, and throws himself into the fire. Fire is heaped on him again. After sometime he comes out of it and then rushes to the stream of water, where he remains for such a considerable period that he may die. If he does not die, he again goes inside the fire and coming out of it rushes to the water. He repeats this process till he breathes his last. People believe that if such an individual dies in the fire, lit up in the hollow space below the groundlevel, or in the stream or river he would go to paradise .... And some people hit their abdomen with stones till their stomachs burst out and as a result of the opening of intestines, they die.... And some people light fires in four different spots. When a person, seeking death comes there, he stretches his leg towards the head and keeps standing on the other leg in fire till it consumes him ... Some of them, throw away the flesh of the thighs and other joints cut off from their bodies, into the fire. While doing these, they recite something from their religious text and the assembled crowd encourage, speak highly of and bless them. They also pray that God, the Honourable and Exalted, may bestow upon those sacrificing personalities the same rank as gods... Some of them place their legs in the fire of cowdung cakes. They remain in that position till the fires consume them.. Some of them make an oven and the man (who is desirous of committing suicide) sits inside it. Fire is set and the man is burnt therein. People gathering round ask him whether the heaven has descended to him. He replies that it would come to him when he is consumed in the fire and dies... Some of them kill themselves by fasting. They do not even drink water. They are called Anishiyan (or Atishiyan?). people die on the twentieth day. Some continue to live till the thirtieth day.... To the followers of the Tarshuliyan there is a sacred place where the water of the Ganges flows. Here is a big tree, which covers a large area. Underneath the tree, they fix a tarsul, which is made of iron. It is fixed in a perpendicular position....Its upper part has three spires. And these spires are long, thick and sharp.... A man (desirous of committing suicide) reads a book under the tree and addresses the river Ganga by saying that either you become bigger (large-hearted?) or go back and seek the path of heaven, because you come from the midst of paradise and lead the people there .... Then the man fixes the perpendicular trident to his body. People remain standing. One amongst the assembled goes up the tree. And then he falls down on him (the person reclining on the spear). Soon the person is shattered to pieces and his body falls in the river. People, who remain standing at the spot, pray for the dead person. They say "he is gone to heaven" ..... There is another group of people whose custom is that every day they come to a place where the Ganga meets another river Chūn (or Hūn?). Everyone of this group carries a sharp weapon like sword, dagger etc. If one of these devotees wants to purify himself and seeks nearness to God, the Exalted, he proclaims his desires. The members of his community place garments, ornaments, golden necklaces and bangles and such precious things, on him. They hack that person to pieces with their weapons, they throw half of the body into the river Ganga and the other half into the Chun. They

say that these two rivers would take him to heaven ... A devotee of another community is followed by a huge crowd, which pray for the former. This person then bids adieu to the assemblage of people and sits in a deserted area such birds like vulture, eagle, falcon, hawk, phoenix etc., which prey upon other birds, hover round him. silently. These birds strike him with their beaks and tear off his turban. He heaves no sigh while these birds tear off all his flesh. And he dies in that way. After the birds have eaten all the flesh the members of the community of the deceased personage gather there and every one of them take a piece of the dry bone as a benediction. They burn the bone and keep the ash in their houses. They use these ashes at the time of treating sick persons. These are the introductions of the Hindus which I (the author Gardīzī) could discover." (Ms. Ouseley 240, Page Nos. 260B-262B). Some may construe it as heroic self-sacrifice, but the ennobling ideal of sacrificing one's life for promoting social welfare was hardly visible in any case of suicide in this age.

## Bribery and Corruption:

Bribery and corruption are symptoms of bad government. In mediaeval India ministers and other officials were regarded as traditionally open to corruption. Even the *Bhūgavata Purāna* exhorts the King to protect the subjects from the amātyas and thieves.<sup>54</sup> Ksemendra in his *Daśāvatāracharita* advises the King to remove from office such ministers, generals and priests as are guilty of acceptance of bribes. Otherwise there may be seething resentment amongst the subjects.<sup>55</sup> Laksmīdhara emphasises the need of keeping a strict watch on the ministers, as they might prove dangerous to the King as well as to the subjects. Kalhana records several cases of collection of large fortunes by ministers. For example, Bijja became richer than the King by taking recourse to unfair means.<sup>56</sup> Ānanda rose to high office by offering bribes to the King's councillors.<sup>57</sup> The *Sukranītisāra* suggests that

ministers should be selected not on account of their caste and family but because of their merit, character and efficiency in work.<sup>58</sup> He further suggests that they should be transferred from one department to another.<sup>59</sup> Such transfers would minimise risk of bribery and corruption.

If some of the ministers were corrupt, the lower officials like the tax-collectors and writers habitually oppressed the subjects for illegal gratifications. Laksmidhara, with hispractical experience of administration, exhorts the king to protect the subjects from the army, thieves, wicked persons and especially the Kayasthas. He also quotes the views of Manu and Yājñavalkya to that effect. The Mānasollāsa61 and the Rajanitiratnākara<sup>62</sup> also express similar views. Kalhana observes that the royal officers, called Kavasthas are plagues for the people and they are worse than the crabs and white ants. Ksemendra satirically writes that Rājaśrī, having been abducted by the Kāyasthas, sheds tears in the form of ink dropped from their pen.63 In his Narmamālā he recounts. their unscrupulousness, hypocritical attitude and their habit of taking bribe and for all these he calls them the "incarnation of the accountant of the daityas." From Kalhana we get plenty of evidence supporting these charges. Thus he relates how Chandramukha began his life with a single cowrie but having become the king's favourite, he accumulated crores of coins.64 Bhadreśvara, an official during the Samgrāmarāja, felt no scruples in plundering the treasures of temples.65 Merutunga also records the difficulty which was felt by the monarch in realising the revenue collected by the officials. Sajjana, was appointed as the Governor of Surastra by Siddharāja Jayasimha, but he misappropriated the revenues collected successively for three years.<sup>66</sup> The tyranny of petty officials must have made the life of the people miserable.

# Quacks in medical profession:

Another source of trouble and affliction in this period was the large number of quacks who played with the life of the

people. Great physicians like Chakrapanidatta, Sureśvara Vangasena<sup>67</sup> and Niśchalakara<sup>68</sup> lived in Bengal during the period. Works like Rasārnava and Rasādhyāya were also written in other parts of India in the eleventh and twelfth centuries. Mcrutunga relates how medical experiments were carried on by Vagbhata, the physician in the Court of Bhoja of Dhara. He "made use of all the unwholesome things mentioned in the medical treatises, and so produced diseases and then employed to check them the remedies and diets famous in Susruta and so cured them." In spite of the existence of a galaxy of famous physicians, the country as a whole suffered from a lack of properly qualified medical men. This is why we find that many quacks took up the medical profession. A Kashmir merchant in the reign of Kalasa acted as a physician to dvers and craftsmen. e9 Ksemendra in his Samayamātrikā presents a brilliant satire on a quack, who is given the epithet of "representative of Yama, the God of Death," and saluted as the destroyer of life of all. He was popular amongst those who were associated with prostitutes. The same writer hints at the fleecing of ministers lying ill for a long time by physicians. as he includes the latter as veritable gold mines for prostitutes. Hemachandra also holds up to ridicule the mercenary character of a physician, who is addressed by a friend as follows: "Your friend may be asking for your help in his sickness, but like a courtesan, if you are not paid, you do not even cast a glance at him".70 Other contemporary literary works like Kalāvilāsa. and Narmamālā of Ksemendra and Latakamelaka of Śankhadhara also refer to quacks and to the low professional etiquette prevailing amongst the physicians of this period. The existence of too many quacks probably led the author of the Sukranitisāra to lay down that none should be allowed to practice the medical profession without securing the special permission (license) of the King.71 This must have been a counsel of perfection, because in an age when the means of communication was ill-developed and the system of government was imperfectly organised it was impossible for the King

to keep an eye on all those who were practising as medical men throughout the country.

#### Merchants :

In this age the mercantile community in general, failed to live up to the high ideal set up by their predecessors. The merchants of India have received very high praise for their honest and straightforward dealings from foreign travellers. "Their good faith", writes Al Idrīsī, "honesty and fidelity to their engagement are well-known and they are so famous for these qualities that people flock to their country from every side."<sup>72</sup>

But the literary records of the eleventh and twelfth centuries depict the merchants as deceitful hypocrites. Kalhana's description of dishonest merchants, who having embezzled deposits "show themselves ever eager to listen to the (recital of sacred) texts,"73 cannot but recall to one's mind their modern counterparts, who spend large amounts on getting the holy name of Hari recited by women at Nabadvip and Vrindavana. The sober historian opines that "courtezans, the official, the clerk and the merchant are deceitful by nature." He further observes, "the merchant, who puts drops of sandal-ointment on forehead, eye-holes, ears and heart, takes one's life in a moment. just as a dangerous scorpion would, which is marked in six places."74 The merchants were also the bankers to the people. But declares Kalhana "the water which has been carried down to the ocean by the streams is received (back) from the clouds. but a thing deposited in a merchant's hands is never again recovered."75 Ksemendra corroborates the evidence of Kalhana when he writes that merchants become dumb when a person buys only a little quantity of goods and they turn deaf when one comes to recover the mortgaged property from them.76 Hemachandra, who was familiar with the mercantile community of Gujarat also states that a body free from a disease is as rare as a merchant free from deceitfulness.77 The Kathāsaritsāgara also tells stories about the dishonesty of

merchants.<sup>78</sup> The fall in the standard of morality of merchants reflects an all-round deterioration in the character of the people of the twelfth century A.D.

#### Prostitutes:

People of North India during the eleventh and twelfth centuries considered prostitution as a normal feature of social organisation. Vātsyāyana regards prostitution as old as human civilization. Every town in mediaeval India had a number of prostitutes. Sandhvākaranandī describes the gay city of Rāmāvatī as "full of joy" also on account of "the youthful heavenly courtezans who had great passion of love and who were dancing passionately while they were wearing their apparels." Vijayapura, the capital of Laksmanasena, according to Dhoyi, had a large number of beautiful courtezans. Ksemendra refers to the prostitutes of Mathurā and Śrāvasti in his Bodhisattvāvadānakalpalatā. The same writer gives a highly interesting list of persons whose visit was welcome to the prostitutes as they were like the veritable kalpa tree (fulfilling all the desires). These were persons like the only son of a rich man, a young man whose father is dead, an amatya or minister of a king, son of a merchant, physician who looks after ailing minister for a long time, son of a famous guru, passionate ascetic, irresponsible prince, village official, notable and rich musician, a merchant who is visiting a city for the first time, an erudite scholar and a hard drunkard. 80 The list shows that the clients of courtezans were drawn from all strata of society, not excluding an ascetic or an erudite scholar. Dāmodaragupta's Kuttanīmata<sup>81</sup> gives a pen picture of many of these types visiting the public women.

Prof. Gode has shown that the courtezans were experts in the art of painting.<sup>82</sup> Dāmodaragupta depicts them as proficient not only in painting but also in the Nāṭyaśāstra of Bharata, Kalūśāstra of Viśvakhila, Book of Music by Dantila, botany, tailoring, magic, instrumental music and the art of cooking.<sup>83</sup> He refers also to rather severe courses of training under teachers of dancing and lyre. Prof. Gode has also

referred to the Purusottamapuri plates of King Ramachandra of Devagiri dated \$aka 1232/1310 A.D. and has come to the conclusion that the status and importance of coutezans declined after the tenth century A.D. This is generally true. But Merutunga refers to a hetaera-poetess of Gujarat who pleased the Paramāra King Bhoja.85 He also tells us the story of Chauladevī or Chākuladevī of Pattana, a courtezan who remained faithful to her lover during his long absence.86 Kumārapāla's great-grandfather was born of this Chauladevi. It may be mentioned in this connection that in spite of his zeal for abolishing adultery, gambling, and slaughter of Kumārapāla did not seek to abolish prostitution. Mānasollāsa shows that courtezans continued to be invited to the assembly of poets and scholars convened by the King.87 From the Rājadharmakānda of Laksmīdhara, we learn that the old custom of requiring the corporation of courtezans to be present at the time of raising the flagstaff of Indra continued in the twelfth century.

#### Devadāsis:

Besides the regular prostitutes, there was another class of women called devadāsis, who were attached to the temples from very old times. They too, were highly proficient in music and dancing. Abu Zeid al Hasan, an Arab traveller who came to India in 867 A.D. writes about them: "In the Indies they have public women called Women of the idol, the origin of whose institution is such: when a woman has laid herself under a vow, that she may have children, if it happens that she brings forth a handsome daughter, she carries the child to the Bod, so they call the Idol they worship, and there leave her. When the girl has attained a proper age, she takes an apartment in this public place. and spreads a curtain before her door, and awaits the arrival of strangers as well Indians as men of other sects, to whom this debauchery is made lawful. She prostitutes herself at a certain rate, and delivers her gains into the hands of the Idol's priest, to beby him disposed of for the use and support of the temple". 88 Alberuni however, records that the income from prostitution in temples used to be taken by the kings for meeting the expenditure of the army. 89 The author of the Hudūd-ul-ālam, composed in 928-983 A.D., notes that a temple at Rāmiyān had 30 dancing girls, whose function was to dance round the image. 90 The number of devadāsīs seems to have increased much in the eleventh and twelfth centuries A.D. On the authority of Chau-ju-Kua, Dr. Ghoshal states that the four thousand temples of Gujarat alone contained more than twenty thousand dancing girls. 91

Contemporary inscriptions show that devadāsīs were associated with the temples of Pradyumneśvara, founded by Vijayasena, 92 of Brahmeśvara, founded by the dowager-queen Kolāvatī, the mother of the Somavanisī king Uddyotakesarī, 93 of Anantavāsudeva at Bhubaneśvara. 94 of Sobhāneśvara Siva temple founded by King Vaidyanatha at a place 30 miles south of Cuttack.<sup>95</sup> Not only in the temples founded by monarchs but also in those attached by ascetics, devadūsis were provided. Thus an ascetic named Isanasiva arranged for devadasis in the temple of Siva erected in the Badaun area in the U. P.96 Kalhana refers to the devadāsīs in the temples of Kashmir and Merutunga98 in the Kumäravihära in Somnäthapattana. The royal donors of temples and the poets who composed the inscriptions took delight in recounting the physical charms of these devadāsīs. Thus Purusottama Bhatta, the composer of the Brahmeśvara temple inscription dated the 18th year of Uddyotakesari, describes them as girls "whose limbs were adorned with ornaments set in gems and thus appearing as the everlasting but playful lightnings, and who were restless with the weight of loins and breasts and whose eyes were fickle and extended upto the ears and who looked lovely like the pupils of the eyes of men.

Alberuni refers to the opposition of the Brāhmaṇas to the institution of devadāsīs.<sup>99</sup> But two inscriptions of Jojālladeva. belonging to the Chāhamāna dynasty of Marwar, dated VS.

1147/1090 A.D. show how the kings took steps to overcome all opposition to this system. The two inscriptions record the royal orders to the effect that during the festivals of God Laksmanasvāmin and others the courtezans attached not only to that particular temple but also of other temples, "must also put on their ornaments and best garments and attend with their śūlanālas to celebrate" the festival of dancing singing. solemnly asks and instrumental music. Jojālladeva descendants and other princes to keep the festival all the gods going on in this manner. He further warns them that if any person, be he an ascetic, an old man or a learned scholar, attempt in future to abolish this practice, the reigning monarch must take steps to prevent him from doing so. According to Dr. D. R. Bhandarkar, the temples were located at Nādol. 100 The practice of maintaining devadāsīs in temples is at least as old as Kautilya;101 but there was no attempt before the eleventh century A.D. to pool together all the dancing girls attached to all the temples in a particular region with a view to adding to the attraction of each and every religious festival. The adoption of such a procedure bore the risk of transforming religious festivals into mere gay merrymakings, if not something worse.

That such a danger was not imaginary would be apparent from an account of four festivals, during which the people of all classes, young or old, male or female, were asked to give free expression to their pent-up sexual feelings. The first of these festivals is called *Udakasevā-Mahotsava* or *Udasevikā Utsava* by Laksmīdhara who gives an elaborate description of it in his *Kritvakalpataru-Nivatakālakānda*.<sup>102</sup> The festival is celebrated on the second day after the full moon day of Āśvina. Getting up from the bed early in the morning the people besmeared themselves with mud and moved from house to house like goblins and Rudra. They also brought together their friends and sprinkled mud on them. Men, women and children indiscriminately indulged in indecent pranks, casting to the four winds all sense of shame.\* Drinking wine was

a veritable part of the ceremony. The chief figure of the festival was a person who dressed himself as a Bhairava, besmeared his body with mud and other filthy things and roamed round the village on an ass. Danisels bedecked themselves with fine dress and ornaments and moved about in the streets dancing and singing obscene songs. Merrymakers took the guise of sweepers, cowherds, kings, barbers etc. and the young deported themselves as old people. Poems were recited by the more refined persons. Laksmidhara quotes the Skanda Purāṇa as one of the authorities prescribing this festival. In this Purāṇa Siva is made to justify such saturnalian festival before Pārvatī. † Laksmidhara further quotes the Brahma Purāṇa which states that if anybody refuses to participate in this festival out of pride, he will incur the wrath of ghosts and goblins.

The above-mentioned festival bears some similarity to the Sāvarotsava described by Jīmūtavāhana in his Kālaviveka.<sup>173</sup> In it too the people used to cover their bodies with leaves and besmear it with mud and other things in the fashion of the Savara men. It falls, however, on the Vijayā-daśamī day, that is about a week earlier than the date of Udakasevā-mahotsava festival. Dr. R. C. Majumdar and Dr. D. C. Sircar have drawn the attention of scholars to the fact that the two verses quoted by Jīmūtavāhana regarding the manner of its celebration also occur in the Kalikā-Purāṇa (61.21-22). Dr. R. C. Majumdar in the History of Bengal, Volume I. observes: "It is difficult to believe that the action hinted by the line 'bhaga-linga-krīyābhiś-cha kriḍayeyur-alam janāḥ' was actually practised by the people on this occasion." 104

<sup>\*</sup> Niyatakālakāndam (GOS ed. p. 412); Kamāgni janakairvākyaih strī purhlimgārtha desībhih/vāchā paisāchamācharam kirttayadbhih itastatah||

<sup>†</sup> Niyatakālakaṇḍam (GOS ed. p. 417). liṅgeṣu hridayam strīnām bhageṣu hridayayam nṛinām/bhaga liṅgāmkitam sarva, tadīdamjagadaṅgane||

Sircar cays that the two verses "prove that the programme of this festivity included topics on any songs about the sex organs and possibly also about sexual intercourse with requisite movements of the body and that its violation incurred Bhagavati's anger and curse."105 Chandesvara quotes the Devi Purana to show that if one does not talk or behave indecently he incurs the wrath of Bhagavati.166 The fear of incurring the displeasure of the goddesses must have acted as a sort of compulsion on the people to take part in it. But the festival appears to have been a little more restrained than Udakasevā-mahotsava festiva), because the Brihaddharma Purāna<sup>107</sup> which must have been written in mediaeval Bengal states that terms expressive of male and female organs of generation should not be uttered before others except, during the worship of the goddess in the month of Asvin and that "even then they should never pronounce them before their mothers daughters and before female disciples who have not yet been initiated to Śakti worship." But Laksmidhara quotes with approval the dictum of the Skanda Purāna, which says that the Udasevikā festival makes those shameless who are habituated to have been ashamed and that the daughter or son does not feel ashamed in the presence of mother, nor a grandson in the presence of the grandfather nor even one's wife of his maternal uncle and vice-versa.108

People used to be exceptionally gay also on the occasion of the Kaumudī-mahotsava, which was not so much a religious as political festival. It has been described in the Kritya-kalpataru-Rājadharmakāṇḍa, and not in the Niyatakālakāṇḍa by Lakṣmīdhara. On this occasion the houses and shops used to be decorated with flowers and flags and men and women also bedecked themselves with garlands and festive clothes. At night the streets and houses were illuminated with lights. Young men with women were expected to move about in all directions, singing, dancing, laughing and enjoying themselves. Divine

damsels were to dance to the tune of musical instruments.\* The festival was to be concluded with a grand feast for which a number of animals were to be killed so that the Brāhmaṇas might be fed to their hearts' content. If the *Udasevikā* festival and the *Śāvarotsava* made use of religious compulsion, this festival had at its back all the forces at the command of the State. It is stated that if a person fails to celebrate the festival according to the rites described above, the King must award him physical punishment. †

The fourth festival in which also there was a free outlet of the sex urges of the people was the Madanotsava, which used to be celebrated on the fourteenth day of the bright-half of the month of Chaitra. The festival has been referred to in the Kāmasātra of Vātsyāyana, Mālatīmādhava of Bhavabhūti, Kuttanīmata of Dāmodaragupta and Yasastilakachampū of Somadeva. The last writer, however, gives the thirteenth day of the full moon of Chaitra as the date for its celebration. Dāmodaragupta tells us that the festival was eagerly looked forward to by young people as it afforded them a golden opportunity for mixing freely with their beloved ones. 109 Laksmīdhara does not refer to any lewd associations of this festival.110 But Jīmūtavāhana expressly states that with songs and dances people should use indecent words.<sup>111</sup> Chandesvara also quotes the same verse.112 From this it may be concluded that in Eastern India alone the festival had some sexual significance. But two verses from the Rajamartanda attributed to Bhoja, show that in other parts of India also the festival was accompanied with sensual amusements.113

<sup>\*</sup>The two verses in (Rājadharmakāṇḍa) Krityakalpataru Vol. XI. p. 182 (GOS ed.) asking the young men and women and the Divyayositah to move about dancing do not occur in the Udaipur Ms., but are found in the A.S.B., I.O. and other Manuscripts.

<sup>†</sup> Rājadharmakāṇḍam (ed. GOS) p. 183—yo na kuryādīdam sarvam puravāsī narah kvachit/pātayettasya sāriram daṇḍam rājā mahā-yasāḥ|

The prominence given to such voluptuous practices in the festivals of this period was not an isolated phenomenon. was a symptom of the decadent society in which religious practices were vitiated by a frank and unabashed addiction to sex. The Tantrika form of worship, which had its origin in hoary antiquity, became very much popular both amongst the Hindus and the Buddhists in this period. Some of the Hindu Tantras prescribe sexual connection as a part of the religious ceremony. Decency would not allow any quotations from the Gändharva-tantra, Kāmāksyātantra, Mahāchīnāchārakrama, Nirvānatantra or Tantrasāra on the grossly sensual rites and revolting practices which have been prescribed as essential features of the Sakti worship. A recent work in Bengali, entitled Bānglār Bāul O' Bāul Gāna by Prof. Upendranath Bhattacharyya has brought together many such passages from medi-Dr. Benovtosh Bhattacharvva took rather aeval Tantras.114 an extremist view in denouncing the later Buddhist cults like Vajrayāna and Kālachakrayāna. But some of the charges levelled by him against these Buddhists appear to contain a substantial substratum of truth. Thus in course of explaining the contents of Praiñapäyaviniśchayasiddhi of Anangavaira. he writes, "in the fifth chapter the author says that without Prajñāpāramitā emancipation is impossible and Prajñāpāramitā resides in every woman and by enjoying any woman, whether of low origin or high, or whether mother, sister or other relatives, emancipation can be obtained. In such matters, according to this author, there is absolutely no restriction and without any fear, women may be enjoyed, provided he has been properly initiated by the Guru, for

Sambhogārthamīdam Sarvam traidhātu kāmaśeṣataḥ Nirmitam Vajranāthena sādhakānām hitāya cha....

It is no wonder that by practising this kind of religion the whole of Eastern India lost all vigour and the whole population became corrupted."<sup>115</sup> on the eve of the Turko-Afghan conquest.

#### Adultery:

Another symptom of moral laxity of this age is the lenient treatment accorded to women guilty of adultery. The punishment which the King according to Manu (VII. 371) was to award to a woman who had intercourse with a man of low caste was to get her devoured by dogs. The ninth century Arab traveller Sulaiman writes: "If any man in the Indies runs away with a woman, and abuses her body, they kill both him and the woman, unless it be proved that she was forced. then the man only is punished with death: but if the woman consented to the evil deed, they are punished with death, both the one and the other".115a But Vijñāneśvara, while interpreting Yājñavalkya's dictum (I. 72) that if a woman conceives in adulterous intercourse she should be abandoned, observes that, abandonment does not mean driving her out of the house but only not allowing her to participate in religious acts and inhibiting sexual intercourse with her. He is liberal enough to prescribe that such a guilty wife should be kept apart, guarded in a room and be given food and raiment of inferior quality. Whatever might have been the social effect of such a humane treatment, a modern man cannot but appreciate the generous interpretation of Vijñāneśvara.

# Moral Standard of the Poets:

The literature of this period also reflects the low moral standard of the people. Poets like Kālidāsa and Amaru describe freely the charms of amorous life indeed, but they seldom indulge in portrayal of the minutest details of co-habitation. Bilhana and Jayadeva, two great poets of this age, however, take special delight in such description. The theme of Chaurapañchāśikā of Bilhana is the lament of a lover who contracted a secret union with a King's daughter and having been captured and led to the execution ground, recalls the joys of his amours and according to Keith "the warmth of feelings undoubtedly degenerates into license." In the face of impending death the lover is made to say "I still remember her as

holding the reins in the Tāṇḍava dance of sexual sports, her face beautiful like the full moon, her limbs affected by intoxicated love, she of a slender build, bending on account of the burden of her large breasts and hips, and her (loose) band of hair waving sideways." It may be questioned whether the erotic sentiment does not give way to the fear of death; but the hero of Bilhana preferring death to the pang of separation from his beloved exclaims: "Even now I cannot, for a moment, live by any other way without the sex-enjoyment of that excellent girl. So brothers, death is the only remedy for silencing this craving. I request you, therefore, to speedily cut off (my head)": 116 Some people consider this work as allegorical and treat it as a religious poem.

Jayadeva's Gitagovinda is almost universally accepted now as of great mystic and spiritual significance, though its erotic tone is even more pronounced than that of the poem of Bilhana. The remarkable feature of Javadeva's work is that the poet describes details of sexual love throughout the book and especially in the twelfth canto without the least hesitation, "in a series of extremely brilliant and musical wordpictures." In a pre-Chaitanya commentary of the work, entitled Sarvāngasundarī and written by Nārāyanadāsa, which has been quoted in Manoramā composed in 1536 A.D., we find that the verses of Jayadeva are interpreted in the most secular way by quotations from erotic works like Nāgarasarvasva, written in about the eleventh century A.D. by the Buddhist writer Padmasrijnana and Smaradipika. Some of the specially secular poems of Jayadeva on subjects like ratārambha and viparitaratam have been quoted in Saduktikarnāmrita. Whatever might have been the feeling of a select coterie of mystics called Rasika regarding the Gitagovinda, it evoked erotic sentiment in the large body of the uninitiates among its admirers which the book had all over India.

Laksmanasena, the patron of Jayadeva and a host of other brilliant poets like Umäpatidhara, Śarana, Govardhanāchārya and

Dhoyi, is not ashamed of recalling his amorous sports with the women of Kalinga in his Madhainagar copper-plate. 117 He was himself a poet of no mean order. Eleven of his poems have been quoted in the Saduktikarnāmrta and most of them are erotic in character. Govardhanāchārya's Āryā Saptaśatī too is full of erotic verses.

#### Sculpture:

But we find evidence of the most unashamed expression of grossly sensual feelings in the sculptures of Khajuraho and in the Jagannatha temple of Puri. They are definitely vulgar and indecent. O. C. Gangoly in his brilliant paper on the 'Mithuna in Indian art'118 points out the dictum of the Agni-Purāna which requires that the doorway of shrines should be decorated with mithunas.119 Dr. Tarapada Bhattacharya in his Vāstuvidyā has shown that the injunction can be traced to the Brihatsamhita of Varahamihira. 120 But the term mithuna means a pair of lovers only, though Gangoly has translated it as "productive couples" in his edition of the Art of the Chandelas. It does not necessarily signify maithuna or sexual act. O. C. Gangoly has reproduced as many as 33 examples of mithuna depicted in sculptures and paintings from the 2nd. century B. C. to the 13th century A.D. Most of these portray a loving couple sitting or standing together. A few represent the lovers in embrace, or a lady sitting on the lap of the lover. Of all the representations of the couples, plate No. 17 of his citation, depicting a couple on the facade of the Durgā temple of Aihole of the sixth century A.D. borders on indecency. Gangoly is forced to concede that "the builder of the Aihole temple, however, did not go to the length of the Orissan artists in picturing his mithunas.... We may trace here the germs of the idea which are developed in excessive 'vulgarities' Orissa and Khajuraho". It may be pointed out in this connection that erotic sculptures are found in the Durgā temple and they may possibly be due to the Tantric influence. Dr. Niharranjan Ray points out that Sanchi and Amaravati knew of mithuna

subjects, Mathurā was more than conscious of it, and in the "Ellora scene of Siva and Pārvatī in rapturous yet self-forget-ful kissing embrace, the *mithuna* idea of this sādhanā finds a most creative expression". But he also notices that whereas in all these examples there was only sensuous suggestiveness, the sculptures of Puri and Konārak depict "sexual acts in the widest possible varieties of poses and attitudes known to the Kāmaśāstras."<sup>121</sup>

Chronologically speaking the Aihole temple couples are followed by the indecent sculptures in the Rājārānī temple of Bhuvaneśvara. This temple has been ascribed to the tenth century A.D. The Khajuraho sculptures come next in point of time. The Kandariya temple, the Chitragupta temple and a few other temples at Khajuraho present a large number of couples engaged in actual sexual act. They seem to have been carved with a view to illustrating the sexual postures described in books of erotics like Kāmasūtra and Nāgara-sarvasva. O. C. Gangoly in his recent description in The Art of the Chandelas observes "some of the panels on the Khajuraho temple appear to illustrate these physical postures not strictly called forth by the direction to depict mithunus as sacred symbols This may be a piece of misapprehension and unconscious piece of exaggeration or a wrong or misguided interpretation of the śilpa-śāstra."122

But he has ignored the working of the forces of Tantricism which were making themselves perceptible in the tenth and eleventh centuries A.D. Under some such influence Bhoja at the beginning of the eleventh century expanded the simple canon for depicting mithunas into an elaborate rule for presenting figures engaged in reproductive activity. In his Samarāngaṇasūtradhāra he writes:

Ratikrīdāparā nāryyo nāyakastu yādrichchhayā Kimchit pratanubhir gātraiḥ kāryaḥ suratalālasaḥ.123

It means that the woman should be depicted as fully engaged in sexual intercourse and the lover, on the other hand, if so

desired, may be shown as desirous of sex play with slightly slim bodies. Some such new canon must have inspired the sculptors of the Rajarani temple of Bhuvanesvara in the tenth century as well as the Kandariya temple at Khajuraho in the eleventh and the Jagannatha temple at Puri in the twelfth century A.D. Some other pieces of sculptures belonging to the same period were engraved under similar influence in Bihar. The door-frame discovered at Belwa, district Saran (c. 1000 A.D.) and kept in the Patna Museum, has got two representations of mithungs in embrace. There is another set of two representations of "man-woman" in embrace in a relief on a door frame lying among the ruins of the temples of Siva and Laksminarayana at Bhagawanpur in the district of Darbhanga. According to an inscription inscribed on the pedestal of Laksminārāyana, the image belonged to Malladeva, son of Nanyadeva and younger brother of Sangadeva who ruled from 1134 A.D. to 1148 A.D. 121

O. C. Gangoly suggests that as the canons of Silpaśāstras advise the selection of such sites for temples as have been sanctified by the love of couples, human or animal, and as such sites were not available, "the artists sought to remedy the defect by picturing on the shrines the effigies of loving couples."125 Dr. Tarapada Bhattacharya also quotes a passage from the Samarānganasūtradhāra (VIII. 43) stating that the site selected should be "mithunānām ratipradah". 126 But it must be conceded that none of these texts make it imperative to go to the length which the artists of Khajuraho and Puri did go. The Pāndās of the Puri temple say that the sculptures have been placed with a view to protecting the temple-building from thunder. Such an explanation must have been improvised in the Tantrik-ridden Orissa of the twelfth century A.D. The beautiful and much more ancient pagodas of Mahābalipuram have absolutely no mithuna figure and yet they have not been struck by thunder. Rothenstein rightly observes: "An element of wantonness does undoubtedly assert itself in art, and we must envisage certain aspects of

mediaeval carving as a part of Tantric attitude which was characteristic of Indian religious philosophy between the tenth and twelfth centuries." The indecent sculptures of Khajuraho and Puri are all the more objectionable because they have been engraved on the holy temples. These temples were visited not only by the old people in their senile decay but also by thousands of young men and women whose passions could be easily stirred by such scenes. The disastrous consequences of a total absence of control over sexual exuberance as depicted in these temples have been seen again and again in human history.

Marco Polo (C. 1293 A.D.) describes the naked ascetics of Gujarat who ate nothing but a little rice and milk and lived upto an age of 150 or 200 years. He says that those who were inured to the temptations of the flesh were allowed to enter their order and that when a novice sought admission, they had him properly put to the test by getting the temple girls to try their blandishments on him. But all the same there were many sensual men who wriggled into the fold for the tune of an easy life. Some of these ascetics must have been caricatured in the erotic scenes depicted on the Bhuvanesvara, Puri and Konarak temples in which "the male figure is that of an ascetic with a head shaved all over and a ring of beads in one hand".127

We are not oblivious of the fact that there were hundreds and thousands of people in Northern India in the eleventh and twelfth centuries, who were inspired by the ideals of compassion, liberality, truth, purity, gentleness, peace, bliss, saintliness and self-control as preached in the *Dharmaśāstras*. But they worked for their individual salvation without making any earnest effort to improve the moral tone of their contemporary society. In the eleventh and twelfth centuries A.D., we do not find any dynamic personality like Sankara or Rāmānuja, who lived at Kanchipura and settled at Srirangam, in Southern India.

#### REFERENCES TO CHAPTER XV

#### (Standard of Morality)

- 1 Kautilya I. 19.
- 2 Sukranîtisāra (ed. Cal.) I. 276-285.
- 3 Bārhaspatva Arthasāstra I. 59-60.
- 4 Mānasallāsa, (GOS, Vol 84, pp. 104-105) vimsati 3, 11.
- 5 DHNI, II, p. 760.
- 6 Viddhaśālabhañjikā Act. IV.
- 7 Yaśastilakachampū pp. 21-22, 33.
- 8 Prabandhachintāmaņi (Tr. Tawney) p. 186.
- 9 Manu VII, 13 (Tr. Jha)
- 10 Prabandhachintāmaņi (Tawney) p. 181.
- 11 Ibid. pp. 183-185.
- 12 Ibid. p. 154 (ed. Singhi Jain Series, p. 98).
- 13 RT. VII. 304-306.
- 14 Ibid, VII, 684
- 15 Ibid, VII. 1147-1148.
- 16 Ibid. VII. 850, 889.
- 17 Commentary on DV. VII. 30.
- 18 1bid. VI. 38.
- 19 Kathāsaritsāgara (Tr. Tawney) 1. 289, 11. 490.
- 20 Hammīramahākāvya IA. VIII 1879 p. 62.
- 21 Ancient Accounts of India and China by two Mahommedan travellers who went to these parts in the Ninth Century (translated into English by Renaudot, London 1733) p. 33.
  - 22 Śukranītisāra (ed. Cal.) I. 116-117.
  - 23 Niyata. p. 331.
  - 24 Grihastharatnākara p. 394.
  - 25 DV, I. 13.
  - 26 Naișadhacharita, Canto XVI. 99.
  - 27 Kathāsaritsāgara story LIV. 170 ff.
  - 28 RT. VIII. 1866-67.
  - 29 Nilamata Purāņa (ed. De Vreese) verses 450-53.
  - 30 Samayamātrikā II. 88.
  - 31 Majumdar, A. K.: Chaulukyas of Gujarat, pp. 344, 355.
- 32 Nainar: Arab Geographers' Knowledge of Southern India, p. 102.
  - 33 Sadukti. p. 148 (edition of R. Sharma and H. D. Sharma).
  - 34 Suktimuktāvalī, pp. 266-67.
  - 35 Sp. verses 3647-3653
  - 36 Ibid. p. 543 and also Suktimuktāvalī, p. 267.
  - 37 Ibid. p. 544 and p. 266.
  - 38 Suktimuktāvalī, p. 266.
  - 39 Manu V. 89; Parāśara IV. 1-2.
  - 40 HDS. II. p. 925.

- 41 Tirthavivechana., pp. 21, 138-139, 142-146, 200.
- 42 Sachau II. 170-171.
- 43 Ibid. 11. p. 170.
- 44 DV. VI. verses 100-107, IA. IV. 111. A different version is given in the Prabandhachintāmaņi (Tr. Tawney, p. 29).
  - 45 EL L 14.
  - 46 DHNI. I. p. 87.
  - 47 El. II. 4, XII. 211, XXI. 94; JASB. XXI. 116.
  - 48 EC II, SK, 136.
- 49 Prabandhachintāmaņi (Tr. Tawney, pp. 185-186), (Tr. Dvivedi, pp. 140-141).
  - RT. VII. 441-447. 50
  - 51 1bid. VII. 852.
  - 52 Ibid, VIII. 658.
  - 53 Prabandhachintāmaņi (Tr. Tawney p. 167).
  - 54 Bhāgavata 4, 14, 17.
  - 55 Daśūvatāracharita (KM) canto X. 14.
  - 56 RT. VII 547, 555.
  - 57 Ibid. VII. 993-995.
  - 58 Sukranītisāra II. 54-55 (ed. Cal.).
  - 59 Ibid. 11. 107-115.
  - 60 Rāj, p. 83.
  - 61 Mānasollāsa (GOS. Vol. 28, p. 42) Viņišati II. 155-156.
  - 62 Rājanītiratnākara, p. 54,
  - 63 Kalāvilāsa, verse 7.
  - 64 RT. VII. 112.
  - 65 Ibid. VII. 43.
  - 66 Prahandhachintāmanī (Tawney) p. 96.
  - 67 IC. III. 156-59, HB I 316-318, Struggle for Empire, p. 328.
  - 68 IHQ. XXIII 127-134.
  - 69 RT. VII 282.
- 70 Trişaşţisalākāpuruşacharita I. i. V. 533; also Majumdar, A. K.: Chaulukyas of Gujarat, p. 339.
  - 71 Śukranītisāra I 304 (p. 73).

  - 72 HIED Vol. I. p. 88. Cf. also Marco Polo (ed. Yule, p. 313)
  - 73 RT. VIII 706-710.
  - 74 Ibid. VIII 131, 133.
  - 75 Ibid. VIII 128.
  - 76 Samayamātrikā V. 53-58.
  - 77 Trişaşţisalākāpuruşacharita cited by A. K. Majumdar, p. 339.
  - 78 Kathāsaritsāgara II. p. 3.
  - 79 RC. III. 37.
  - 80 Samayamātrikā V. 63-67.
  - 81 Kuttanimatam (ed. Ray, T. N.) verses 392-403.
  - 82 ABORI 1941, pp. 25-34.
  - 83 Kuttanīmatam, verses 123-125 and also 346 and 355.

- 84 ABORI XXII p. 35.
- 85 Prabandhachintāmaņi (Tawney), p. 67.
- 86 Ibid. Ch. IV p. 93.
- 87 Mānasollāsa II p. 155.
- 88 Ancient Accounts of India and China (1733 ed), Op. cit p. 88.
- 89 Sachau II 157.
- 90 PIHC 1939, p. 667.
- 91 The Struggle for Empire, pp. 495-96.
- 92 El. I. p. 310 verse 30.
- 93 JRASB XIII (1947), p. 71.
- 94 El. VI. pp. 198-205, verse 25.
- 95 JBORS 1931, Vol. XVII p. 124.
- 96 EI, I. pp. 61-66, verse 17.
- 97 RT. VII 858.
- 98 Prabandhachintāmaņi (Singhi Jain), p. 108.
- 99 Sachau II 57.
- 100 El. XI, p. 26. 101 Kautilya II. 23.
- 102 Krityakalpataru Niyatakālakāndam, pp. 411-419.
- 103 KV. (Bib. Ind. ed). p. 514.
- 104 HB, I. 606,
- 105 JRASB (1948), XIV, i, pp. 105-106.
- 106 Krityaratnākara, p. 362.
- 107 Brihaddharma Purāna III. 6, 81-83,
- 108 Nityatakālakāndam, p. 418.
- 109 Kuttanimatam (ed. Tridibnath Rav), p. 194 verse 892
- 110 Niyatakālakāndam pp. 384-85.
- 111 Kālaviveka, p. 470.
- 112 Krityaratnākara, p. 138.
- 113 Verses 237 and 238 quoted in ABORI 1936 p.p 333-334.
- 114 Bānglār Bāul O' Bāul Gāna, pp. 456-461, 465-468.
- 115 Proceedings of 3rd Oriental conf., Madras 1925 pp. 139-140.
- 115a Ancient Accounts of India and China, Op. cit. p. 34.
- 116 Chaurapañchāśikā (S. N. Tadpatrikar's ed. and translation), verse nos 7 and 49.
  - 117 JASB (New Series) V. p. 473.
  - 118 Rūpam, April-July, 1925 pp. 54-61.
  - 119 Agni Purāna, ch. 105. 30 (Bib. Ind. ed.)
  - 120 Bhattacharya, Tarapada: Vāstuvidyā, p. 230.
  - 121 The Struggle for Empire, p. 653.
  - 122 The Art of the Chandelas, p. 30.
  - 123 Samarāngaņa-sūtradhāra, XXXIV 33-34.
  - 124 PIHC. Patna Session, 1946 p. 179.
  - 125 Rūpam, April-July, 1925 p. 61.
  - 126 Rūpam, January 1926 p. 23.
  - 127 Bhattacharya, Tarapada: Vāstuvidyā, p. 233.

### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- Original Sources (Literary Texts and Translations):
- Agni Purāṇa—Edited by Panchanan Tarkaratna, pub: Vangavasi Press, Calcutta.
- Aparārka—Commentary on Yājñavalkya-smriti (Ananda-srama Sanskrit Series).
- Āpastamba-dharmasūtra—with commentary of Haradatta, edited by Halasyanathasastri, Kumbakonam.
- Āpastamba-grihyasūtra—with commentary of Sudarśanāchārya, pub: Mysore Government Central Library Series.
- Arisimha—Sukritasamkīrtana, JASS. Bhavnagar, 1917.
- Āśvalāyana-grihyasūtra—with commentary of Nārāyaṇa, pub: NSP or Nirnayasagar Press, Bombay, 1894.
- Āśvalāyana-śrautasūtra—Pub: BI, 1879.
- Bānabhaṭṭa—Harşacharita, Trans. by E. B. Cowell and F. W. Thomas, London.
- Bārhaspatya Arthaśāstra-Edited by F. W. Thomas, Lahore, 1921.
- Baudhāyana-dharmasūtra—Pub: Anandasrama Sanskrit Series.
- Bhāgavata—Edited by Dhananjaya Das, Pub: Chakravartti and Chatterjee, B. S. 1345.
- Bhaktiratnākara-by Narahari Chakravarti.
- Bharata—Nāṭyaśāstra, with commentary of Abhinava-gupta, GOS or Gaekwad's Oriental Series, Baroda, Vol. Nos. 36 and 68.
- Bhavadevabhatta—Prāyaśchitta-prakaraņa, edited by Girisa Chandra Vedantatirtha, pub: VRS or Varendra Research Society, Rajshahi, 1927.
- Bhavişya-Purāņa—Published by Kşemarāja Śrikrsnadāsa, Venkatesvara Press, Bombay.
- Bhoja—Rājamārtaṇḍa (Kane in ABORI or Annals of the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute Poona (Vol. XXXVI).

Samarāngaņasūtradhāra, edited by T. Ganapati Shastri (GOS. VOL. Nos. XXV and XXXII).

Yuktikalpataru, edited by Isvarachandra Sastri, pub: Calcutta Oriental Series, Calcutta 1917.

Bilhaṇa—Chaurapañchāśikā, edited by S. N. Tadpatrikara, pub: Oriental Book Agency, 1946.

Karnasundari, edited by Durgaprasad and K. P. Parab, pub: NSP. 1888.

Vikramānkadevacharita, edited by G. Bühler, pub: BSS or Bombay Sanskrit (and Prakrit) Series, Bombay (and Poona).

- Brahmavaivarta Purāṇa—Edited by Jivananda Vidyasagara, Calcutta, 1888.
- Brihaddharma Purāṇa—Edited by Mm. Haraprasad Shestri, pub. BI or Bibliotheca Indica. Calcutta, 1897.
- Brihannāradīya Purāņa—Edited by Panchanan Tarkaratna, Pub: Vangavasi Press, Calcutta, B. S. 1316.
- Brihaspati-smriti—Trans. Jolly, Pub: SBE or Sacred Books of the East, Oxford, 1889.
- Chānd Bardāī—Prithvīrāja-rāso, edited by M. V. Pandia and Shamsundar Das, Banaras, 1913.
- Chandeśvara—Grihastharatnākara, pub: BI. Krityaratnākara, Pub: BI, 1925 and edited by Kamalakṛṣṇa Smrititirtha.

Rājanītiratnākara, eddited by K. P. Jayaswal, pub: Bihar and Orissa Research Society, Patna, 1936. Vivādaratnākara, pub: BI.

- Dāmodaragupta—Kuṭṭanīmata, edited and translated into Bengali by Tridib Nath Ray, Pub: Vasumati, B. S. 1360.
- Devalasmriti—Pub: ASS or Anandasrama Sanskrit Series, Poona; Trans. by M. N. Ray in JBORS, 1927.
- Devannabhatta—Smritichandrikā, edited by L. Srinivasacharya and pub: Government of Mysore, Mysore: edited by J. R. Gharpure, Bombay, 1918.
- Dhoyī—Pavanadūta, edited by Chintaharan Chakravarti, pub; Sanskrit Sahitya Parisat, Calcutta, 1926.

- Gautama-dharmasūtra—with the commentary of Haradatta, pub: ASS, 1910.
- Gopālabhaţţa—Haribhaktivilāsa, with commentary of Jīva Goswami, pub: Ramnarayana Vidyaratna, Murshidabad, B. S. 1289.
- Govardhana—Āryāsaptaśatī, pub: NSP, 1895.
- Halayudha—Abhidhanaratnamala, edited by Th. Aufrecht.
- Harşa—Priyadarśikā, edited by V. D. Gadre, Bombay 1884.
- Hemachandra—Abhidhānachintāmaņi, edited by Hargovinddas Bechardas and Muni Jinavijayji, Bhavnagar, 1914. 1919.
  - Desīnāmamālā, edited by R. Pischel, second edition by P. V. Ramanujasvami, pub: BORI or Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, Poona, 1938.
  - Dvyāśrayakāvya, edited by A. V. Kathvate, pub: BSS, Vol. Nos. 69 and 76.
  - Prākrit Dvyāśraya or Kumārapālacharita (cantos XXI-XXVIII) Second edition revised by P. L. Vaidya BORI, Poona. 1936; extracts published in IA.IV. 72 ff. Laghvarhannītiśāstra, Ahmedabad, 1906.
  - Trişaşţiśalākāpuruşacharita, Translation by Johnson (GOS. Vol. nos. LI, LXXVII, CVIII and CXXV).
- Hemādri—Chaturvarga-chintāmani, Vol. II. (Vratakhaṇḍa)
  Part I, edited by Pt. Bharatachandra Siromani
  Pub: BI; Part II. edited by Pt. Yajnesvara Bhattacharya and Pt. Kamakhyanatha Tarkaratna, pub:
  BI. Calcutta 1878-1879.
- Īśānaśivagurudeva Misra—Īśānaśivagurudeva-paddhati, edited by T. Ganapati Sastri in 4 volumes (Trivandrum 1920-1925).
- Jayadeva—Gītagovinda, edited by H. Mukhopadhyaya.
- Jayadratha—Haracharitachintāmaņi (KM or Kavyamala, NSP, Vol. No. 61).
- Jayānaka.—*Prithvīrājavijaya*, with commentary by Jonarāja, edited by S. K. Belvalkar, pub. BI; Extracts in JRAS, 1913, pp. 259 ff.

Jayasimhasūri—Hammīramadamardana, edited by C. D. Dalal (GOS, Vol. No. X).

Jalhaṇa—Śuktimuktāvalī, edited by E. Krishnamacharya, pub. GOS. Vol. No. 82).

Mugdhopadeśa, Pub. KM. Vol. No. VIII.

Jīmūtavāhana—Dāyabhāga, Trans. by H. T. Colebrooke, Calcutta 1910; Bengali trans. by Chandicharan Smritibhusana, second edition, Calcutta B. S. 1316.

Kālaviveka, edited by Madhusudana Smritiratna and Pramathanatha Tarkabhusana, Pub: BI, 1905.

Vyavahāramātrikā, edited by Asutosh Mookerjee MASB or Memoirs of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Calcutta.

Jonarāja—Dvitīya-rājatarangiņī, edited by P. Peterson, Pub. BSS, 1896.

Kalhaṇa—Rājataraṅgiṇī, English translation with an introduction, commentary and appendices by M. A. Stein, Westminster, 1900.

Kamalākarabhatta.—Sūdrakamalākara, pub. NSP. 4th edition.

Kāmandaka-Nītisāra, edited by R. Mitra, Pub. BI, 1884.

Kātyāyanasmriti—Translation by P. V. Kane.

Kautilya—Arthaśāstra, original text and translation by R. Shamasastri.

Kṛṣṇamiśra—Prabodhachandrodaya, pub. NSP, Bombay, 1904. Kṣemendra—Darpadalana, pub. KM. VI.

Daśāvatāracharita, edited by Durgaprasad and K. P. Parab, KM. 26.

Deśopadeśa and Narmamālā, edited by M. Kaul, Poona, 1923.

Kalāvilāsa, pub. KM. I.

Samayamātrikā pub: KM X

Bodhisattvāvadānakalpalatā, translation in Bengali by Sarachchandra Das, pub: Vangiya Sahitya Parisat, BS. 1319-1322.

Nītikalpataru, edited by V. P. Mahajan, pub: BORI, Poona, 1936.

- Ksīrasvāmin—Nāmalimgānušāsana, edited by K. G. Oka, Poona, 1913.
- Kūrma Purāṇa—edited by Panchanan Tarkaratna, pub. Vangavasi Press, second edition, Calcutta BS. 1332.
- Lakṣmīdhara—Krityakalpataru, edited with an introduction by K. V. Rangaswami Aiyangar, pub. GOS.

  Brahmachārikāṇḍa, Vol. I. (GOS. No. CVI), 1948.

  Dānakāṇḍa, Vol. V. (GOS. No. XCII), 1941.

  Grihasthakāṇḍa, Vol. II. (GOS. No. CI.), 1944.

  Niyatakālakāṇḍa, Vol. III. (GOS. No. CXI), 1950.

  Rājadharmakāṇḍa, Vol. XI. (GOS. No. C), 1944.

  \$uddhikāṇḍa, Vol. X. (GOS. No. CXII), 1950.

  Tīrthavivechanakāṇḍa, Vol. VIII. (GOS. No.

Vratakānda, Vol. IX. (GOS, No. CXXX), 1953.

- Lekhāpaddhati—edited by C. D. Dalal and G. K. Shrigon dekar pub: GOS, No. XIX, 1925.
- Mahābhārata—with commentary of Nīlakantha.
- Mankha—Śrīkanthacharita, edited by Durgaprasad and K. P. Parab.
- Manusmṛiti—with Kullūkabhaṭṭa's commentary, pub. NSP. with Medhātithi's commentary, edited by Ganganatha Jha, pub: Asiatic Society of Bengal, Calcutta 1932.
- Matsya Purāṇa—ASS publication, 1907; Vangavasi Press, BS. 1316.
- Medinīkośa.—Calcutta, 1884.

XCVIII), 1942.

- Merutunga—Prabandhachintāmaņi, translation in English by C. H. Tawney, pub. BI; Hindi edition edited by Muni Jinavijaya and trans. by H. P. Dwivedi (pub. Singhi Jain Series No. 1, 1933).
- Mitramiśra—Vīramitrodaya, sections entitled Rājanītiprakāśa and Sanskāraprakāśa, pub: Chowkhamba Sanskrit Series.
- Nāradasmṛiti—edited by Jolly (SBE. XXXIII, part I).
- Nayachandra Sūri—Hammīramahākāvya, edited by N. J. Kirtane; Extract in IA. VIII. pp. 55-73.

Nīlakantha—Dānamayūkha, pub. Chowkhamba Sanskrit Series.

Nīlamata Purāṇa—edited by De. Vreese; edited in Lahore, 1924 (Leiden, 1936).

Nītiprakāśikā—edited by Oppert, Madras 1882.

Padmagupta—Navasāhasānkacharita, edited by V. S. Islampurkar, pub: BSS, 1895.

Padma Purāna—ASS, 1893.

Pāṇini-Aṣṭādhyāyī, pub: NSP, 1929.

Prabhāchandra—Prabhāvakacharita, edited by H. M. Sharma.

Also pub: Singhi Jain Series, No. X, Ahmedabad, 1940.

Prahlādanadeva—Pārthaparākramavyāyoga, pub: GOS. Vol. IV.

Prithvīrājavijaya—JRAS, 1913, pp. 259 ff.

Purātanaprabandhasamgraha—edited by Jinavijaya Muni, pub: Singhi Jain Series, No. 2, Calcutta 1936.

Puruşottama—Trikāṇḍaśesa, edited with a commentary by C. A. Seelakkhandha, Bombay, 1916.

Rāmāyaṇa—Translation in English by M. N. Dutt, Calcutta 1892-1894; edition of Madras Law Journal Office,. 1933.

Rudradhara-Varsakrityam, edited by Ramachandra Jha.

Sādhanamālā—edited by B. Bhattacharyya (GOS. Vol. Nos. 26 and 41), 1925 and 1928.

Sandhyākaranandī—Rāmacharita, edited by R. C. Majumdar, R. G. Basak and N. G. Banerji, Rajshahi, 1939.

Sankhadhara Kavirāja—Laṭakamelaka, edited by Durgaprasad and K. P Parab, pub: NSP (KM No. 20). 1923.

Sārngadhara-paddhati—edited by P. Peterson, pub: BSS. No. XXXVII.

Śatānanda-Bhāsvatī.

Śātātapa-smriti— ASS Publication.

Siva Purāņa—edited by Panchanan Tarkaratna, pub: Vangabasi Press.

Skanda Purāņa—Venkatesvara Press publication.

- Smṛiti-sandarbhaḥ—In three volumes, pub: Gurumandal Granthamālā, Calcutta, 1952.
- Soḍḍhala—Udayasundarīkathā, edited by C. D. Dalal and E. Krishnamacharya (GOS. Vol. No. XI).
- Somadeva—Nītivākyāmrita, pub: Manikchand Granthamālā Series No. 22.
  - Yaśastilaka, edited by Pt. Sivadatta, pub. NSP.
- Somadeva—Kathāsaritsāgara, translation in English by C. H. Tawney; edited by Penzer as the Ocean of Story in ten volumes.
- Somaprabhāchārya—Kumārapālapratibodha, edited by Munis Jinavijayaji, (GOS. Vol. No. XIV).
- Someśvara—Kīrtikaumudī, edited by A. V. Kathvate, pub: BSS. No. XXV.
- Someśvara—Mānasollāsa (Abhilaşitārthachintāmaņi), edited by G. K. Shrigondekar (GOS. Vol. Nos. 28 and 84)
- Srīdhara—Smrityarthasāra, edited by Ranganatha Sastri-Vaidya; ASS publication.
- Srīdharadāsa—Saduktikarņāmrita, edited by R. Sharma, with introduction by Dr. Har Dutt Sharma and P. S. Sharma, pub: Motilal Banarsi Dass, Punjab Oriental Series, No. XV. Lahore 1933.
- Srīharşa—Naişadhacharita, translated into English by K. K. Handiqui, pub: Motilal Banarsidass, Lahore, 1934.
- Sukra—Sukranītisāra, edited by Jivananda Vidyasagara Translated into English by B. K.Sarkar, pub: Panini Office, Allahabad 1914.
- Udayaprabhasūri—śukritakīrtikallolinī, edited by C. D. Dalal, (GOS. Vol. No. X)
- Vāchaspati Miśra—Tīrthachintāmaņi, edited by Kamalakṛṣṇa Smrititirtha, pub: BI, 1912.
- Vaikhānasa-smārta-sūtra—edited with English translation by Dr. Caland.
- Vallālasena—Dānasāgara, edited by Bhabatosh Bhattacharyya, in three fasciculus, pub: BI. No. 274, 1953-55.
- Varāha Purāņa—BI. publication.

- Varāhamihira—Brihatsamhitā, translated into English by S. Sastri and M. Ramakṛṣṇa Bhat, Bangalore, 1947 and also translated by N. C. Iyer, Madura, 1884
- Vātsyāyana-Kāmasūtra, pub: Chowkhamba Sanskrit Series.
- Vijñāneśvara-Mitākşarā, pub: NSP. 1926
- Vişnudharmottara—Venkatesvara Press Publication.
- Viśvarūpa—Commentary on Yājñavalkya, edited by T. Ganapati Sastri, Trivandrum Sanskrit Series, Vol. No. LXXIV, 1912.
- Yādavaprakāśa—Vaijayantī, edited by G. Oppert, Madras.
- Yājñavalkyasmriti-edited by J. R. Gharpure, Bombay, 1936.
- Yaśaschandra—Mudritakumudachandra, pub: Jaina Yasovijaya Granthamālā Series No. VIII, Banaras, 1906.
- Yasahpāla—Moharājaparājaya, edited by Muni Chaturvijaya (GOS. Vol. No. IX)
  - (ii) Literary Texts and Translations of Persian and Arabic Works.
- Āīn-i-Akbarī of Abū'l Fazl—English translation (Vol. II) by H. S. Jarrett, pub, BI, Calcutta.
- Hudūd-ul-Ālam—India as described by an unknown Geographer of the 10th century, published in Proceedings of the Indian History Congress, Calcutta Session, 1939.
- Kitāb-ul-Hind of Al-Bīrūnī—English translation (Alberuni's India) by E. C. Sachau, two volumes in one, pub: Trubner Oriental Series, London, 1914.
- Kitāb Zain-ul-Akhbār of Abu Said Hayy bin ad-Dahhak b. Mahmūd Girdīzi (c. 440 A. H.)—Photostat copy of Ms. Ouseley MS. 240 from Bodelian library, Oxford, page Nos. 213B-220B, 246B-262B. This manuscript was copied in 1196 A. H.
- Tabaqūt-i-Nāsirī of Minhāj-ud-dīn b. Sirāju-ud-dīn—English translation by B. De, pub: BI, Calcutta 1913-1940.
- Ta'rīkh-i-Firishta of Muhammad Qāsim Firishta.—English translation by Lt. Col. J. Briggs, Reprint, Calcutta 1908.

- Ta'rīkh-i-Yamīnī of Al-'Utbī—English Translation by J. Reynolds.
- Elliot, H. M. and Dowson, John—History of India as told by its own Historians, Volumes I-III utilised.

  Volume II reprinted with introduction by M. Habib, commentary by S. H. Hodivala and supplement by K. A. Nizami, pub: Cosmopolitan publishers, Aligarh, 1952.
- Hodivala, S. H.—Studies in Indo Muslim History, Bombay, 1939.
- Renaudot, E.—Ancient Accounts of India and China by two Mohammedan Travellers, London 1733. (The travellers were Sulaiman and Abu Zeid).

### (iii) Tibetan

Tāranātha—English Translation (History of Buddhism in India) by U. N. Ghoshal and N. Dutt published in IHQ. Volumes III, IV, V-VIII, X. XXVII and XXVIII. Extracts translated into English and published by W. L. Heeley in IA. IV. 101 ff.

# (iv) Inscriptions.

- Bhandarkar, D. R.—List of Inscriptions of Northern India, Appendix to El. XIX, XXIII.
- Fleet, J. F.—Gupta Inscriptions (or Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum, Vol. III).
- Maitreya, A. K.—Gaudalekhamālā, Rajshahi, B. S. 1319.
- Majumdar, N. G.—Inscriptions of Bengal. Vol. III.
- Sircar, D. C.—Select Inscriptions, Vol. I, Calcutta University, 1942.
- Also A Collection of Prakrit and Sanskrit Incriptions, published by the Bhavnagar Archaeological Department, Annual Report of the Archaeological Survey of India, Annual Report of the Rajputana Museum, Epigraphia Indica, Indian Historical Quarterly, Indian Antiquary, Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, JRASB, JBORS, JBRS, JUPHS, JAHS, J. Orissa Historical Research Society, JBBRAS, Memoirs of

the Archaeological Survey of India, Progress Report of the Archaeological Survey of India, Western Circle.

### (v) Coins.

- Altekar, A. S.—The Relative Prices of Metals and Coins in Ancient India (JNSI. Vol. II. 1940).
- Chakrabortty S. K.—Currency Problems of Ancient India (JDL, Vol. XXX, 1938) pp. 37-70.
- Cunningham, A.—Coins of Mediaeval India, London 1894.
- Mirashi, V. V.—The Coins of the Kalachuris (JNSI. Vol. III. Pt. I. 1941).
- Reu, B. N.—The Coins of Marwar.
- Singhal, C. R.—Bibliography of Indian Coins, Pt. I, Bombay, 1950.
- Smith, V. A.—Indian Antiquary, Vol. XXXVII (1908) pp. 114-148.
- Stein, M. A.—Notes on the Monetary System in Ancient Kashmir (Numismatic Chronicle, Vol. XIX, 1899).
  - The Term Dinnara and the Monetary System of Kashmir (Rājatarangiņī, Vol. II. Appendices Note H. pp. 308-328).

#### B. Modern Works

Altekar, A, S.—History of Banaras, 1937.

State and Government in Ancient India, Banaras, edition 1949.

The Rashtrakūtas and their Times, Oriental Book Agency, Poona, 1934.

- Arnold T. W.—I he Preaching of Islam, London 1913.
- Banerji, R. D.—Bāṅglār Itihāsa in Bengali, second edition.

The Haihayas of Tripurī and their Monuments (MASI. Vol. 23), Calcutta 1931.

- Barua, B. M −Gayā and Bodh·Gayā.
- Beal, S.—Buddhist Records of the Western World, Translation from Chinese of Hiuen Tsang.
- Bhandarkar, R. G.—Complete Works of R. G. Bhandarkar.

- Bhattacharyya, T. P.—Vāstuvidyā, Patna 1946.
- Bose, A. N.-Social and Rural Economy of Northern India.
- Brown, P.—Indian Architecture (Buddhist and Hindu).

  Cambridge Mediaeval History, Vol. I.
- Chakravarti, P. C.—The Art of War in Ancient India, University of Dacca, 1941.
- Coomaraswamy, A. K.—History of Indian and Indonesian Art, London 1927.
- Cunningham, A.—Ancient Geography of India, second edition, Calcutta 1924.
- Dasgupta, S. N. and De, S. K.—A History of Sanskrit Literature, (Classical Period) Vol. I. Calcutta 1947.
- Davy and White-Institutes of Timour, Oxford, 1783.
- De, N. L.—Geographical Dictionary of Ancient and Mediaeval India.
- Dikshitar, V. R. R.—War in Ancient India (second edition) pub: Macmillan & Co. Ltd. 1948.
- Farquhar, J. N.—An Outline of the Religious Literature of India, Oxford, 1920.
- Forbes, K.—Rās Mālā, edited by H. G. Rawlinson, 1924.
- Gangoly, O. C.—The Art of the Chandelas (Rupa & Co.) Calcutta 1957.
- Ganguly, D. C—History of the Paramāra Dynasty, Dacca 1933.
- Ghoshal, U. N.—Contributions to the History of the Hindu Revenue System, Calcutta 1929.

  The Agrarian System in Ancient India.
- Ghurye, G. S.—Caste and Class in India, Pub: Philosophical Library, New York, 1950,
- Gupte, B. A.—Hindu Holidays and Ceremonials, pub: Thacker Spink & Co., Calcutta 1916.
- Habibullah, A. B. M.—Foundation of the Muslim Rule in India.
- Haig, W.—The Cambridge History of India, Vol. III.
- Hallam—View of the State of Europe during the Middle Ages, Vol. 1,

Handiqui, K. K — Yaśastilaka and Indian Culture, pub: Jivaraja Granthamālā Series No. 2, Sholapur 1949.

Haskins—The Renaisance of the Twelfth Century.

Hazra, R. C.—Studies in the Puranic Records of Hindu Rites and Customs, pub: University of Dacca, 1940.

Cherefeddin Ali—History of Timur Bec, Volume II, London. 1723.

Hitti, P. K .- History of the Arabs.

Hussain, Y.—Mcdiaeval Indian Culture.

Indraji, Bhagabanlal-Bombay Gazetteer, Vol. I

Jha, G. N—Comparative Notes on Manu in three volumes pub: Cal. University, 1924-1929

Manu Smṛti with text and translation of commentary of Medhātithi.

Kane, P. V—History of Dharmaśāstra in four volumes.

Keith, A. B-History of Sanskrit Literature.

Keay, F. E-Ancient Indian Education, Oxford Univ. Press 1918.

Kramrisch, S-Pāla and Sena Sculptures, Calcutta 1929.

Krishnamachariar, M—History of Classical Sanskrit Literature.

Majumdar, A. K—The Chaulukyas of Gujarat, (Bharatiya Vidya Series, IV).

Majumdar, B. B. and Mitra, K. N—Vidyāpati (in Bengali and Hindi) pub: Sarat Kumar Mitra, Calcutta.

Majumdar, R. C—Corporate Life in Ancient India (second edition) Calcutta 1922.

-Edited History of Bengal, Vol I.

Majumdar, R. C. and Altekar, A. S—The Vākāṭaka Gupta Age (A New History of the Indian People, Vol. VI)

Majumdar, R. C. and Madhavananda—Great Women of India pub: Rama Krishna Mission,

Majumdar, R. C. and Pusalker—The Age of Imperial Kanauj, (Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan Series).

The Classical Age, (Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan Series).

The Struggle for Empire (Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan. Series, Bombay, 1957).

- McCrindle—Ancient India as described by Megasthenes.
- Mitter, D. N.—The Position of Women in Ancient India.
- Mitra, R. C.—The Decline of Buddhism in India, Visvabharati, 1954.
- Mookerji, R. K.—Ancient Indian Education (second edition)

  Macmillan & Co. London, 1951.

  Local Government in Ancient India.
- Munshi, K. M.—The Glory that was Gurjaradeśa. Part III.
- Nainar, S. M. H.—Arab Geographers' Knowledge of Southern India, Madras, 1942.
- Ojha, G. H.—History of Rajputana.
- Pran Nath—A Study in the Economic Condition of Ancient India, London 1929.
- Prasad, I.—History of Mediaeval India (second edition).
- Ray, H. C.—Dynastic History of Northern India in two volumes.
- Ray, J. C.—Ancient Indian life, Calcutta, 1948.
- Ray, Niharranjan-Bāngālīr Itihāsa.
- Ray, P. C.—History of Hindu Chemistry from the earliest times to the middle of the 16th century A. D., Calcutta, 1905. This book includes extracts from the Sanskrit texts like Rasaratnākara, Rasarrakāśasudhākara, Rasaratnasamuchchaya,
- Raychaudhuri, H. C.—Political History of Ancient India (6th edition).
- Renou, L-The Civilization of Ancient India, translated from the French by P. Spratt. Pub: Susil Gupta, Calcutta 1954.
- Reu, B. N.—Glories of Marwar and the Glorious Rathors, Pub: Jodhpur Archaeological Department, 1943.
- Sankalia, H. D.—Studies in the Historical and Cultural Geography and Ethnography of Gujrat, Poona, 1949.

  The University of Nalanda.
- Sankrityayana, Rahul—*Tibbat Me Bauddhadharma* (in Hindi, reprint from Hindusthani, pt. 1V. No. 1, 1934).

Sastri, Haraprasad—Hājār Bachharer Purāṇa Bāṅglā Bhāṣāy Bauddha Gāna O Dohā, Pub: Bangiya Sahitya Parisat, Calcutta, B. S. 1323.

Schoff, W. H-Periplus of the Erythraean Sea.

Sen, B. C.—Some Historical Aspects of the inscriptions of Bengal, Calcutta, 1942.

Sen, Sukumar—Charyāgīti-Padāvalī, Pub: Burdwan Sahitya Sabha, Burdwan 1956.

Prāchīn Bāṅglā O Bāṅgāli, Visvavidya Samgraha Series of Visvabharati, No. 12.

Sircar, D. C.—The Sākta Pīṭhas (published in JRASB, Letters, XIV. No. 1, 1948).

Tacitus—History, Vol. II.

Thompson and Johnson—An Introduction to Mediaeval Europe,

Titus-Indian Islam.

Tod, J-Annals and Antiquities of Rajasthan, edited by W. Crooke, Oxford 1920.

Trevelyan, G. M.—English Social History, Pub: Longmans, Green & Co., May 1948.

Trivedi, B. B.—Chānd Baradāyī Aur Unkā Kāvya (in Hindi).

Vaidya, C. V.-History of Mediaeval Hindu India.

Vidyabhusana, S. C.—History of Indian Logic,

History of Mediaeval School of Indian Logic.

Vogel, J. Ph.—Antiquities of Chamba State, Pt. I,

Wilson-An Essay on the Hindu History of Cashmir.

Wilson, I. H.—Glossary of Judicial and Revenue Terms, 1885 Yule, Henry—The Book of Ser Marco Polo, revised by Henry Cordier, London 1920.

# C. Catalogue of Manuscripts.

A Catalogue of Palm-leaf and Selected paper Manuscripts belonging to the Darbar Library, Nepal, edited by H. P. Sastri, 1905.

Descriptive Catalogue of Manuscripts in Mithila, Vol. I. edited by K. P. Jayaswal and A. Banerji-Sastri.

Catalogue of Sanskrit Mss. in the Library of the India Office.

#### Journals and Periodicals

Annals of the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute: Asiatic Researches; Bhāratīya Vidyā; Bhāratakaumudī; Historical Miscellany, edited by Dr. K. K. Datta, Patna College Archaeological and Historical Society: Indian Culture; Indian Historical Quarterly; Journal of the Andhra Historical Research Society; Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal; Journal of the Bihar and Orissa Research Society; Journal of the Bihar Research Society; Journal of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society; Journal of the Gangānāth Jha Research Institute, Allahabad; Journal of the Orissa Historical Research Society; Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Bengal, (Letters); Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland; Journal of the University of Bombay; Journal of the U.P. Historical Society; Patna University Journal; Poona Orientalist: Rūpam; Vangīya Sāhitya Parisat Patrikā; Our Heritage, Calcutta: Hindusthan Standard (Magazine Section), Calcutta Newspaper; Spark (weekly paper), Patna.

# INDEX

A	Alhanadeva (Chahamana), 232, 284
Abduction and its results, 132 'Abdulla, 128	Alliances of Hindus against Turks, 69
Abhayākaragupta, 155	Almshouses, 262-263
Abhayatilaka Gani, 277, 296	Altekar, A. S., 10, 25, 87, 139,
Abhimanyu, 15	216, 217, 220
Aboriginal tribes, 113	Alum, 202
Abu Zeid, 20, 208, 263, 371	Al-Idrisi, 369
Actors, 253	Al-Mas'ūdī, 360
position, 78	Amareśvara, 317, 319
Adhavāpas, 176	Ambaşthas, 104
Adhīśvara, 23	Amīr Khusrav, 131
Adityadarsana, 150	Amusements, 256, 373-376,
Adultery, 378	of Kings, 356-357
Agni Purāņa, 6, 15, 29, 43, 63,	Änanda, 102
112, 188, 199, 282, 380	Anandabhatta, 108
Agrahāra, 91, 156	Änandapäla (Sähiya), 69 and ele-
Agrawala, V. S. 217	phants. 52
Agricultural labour, wages, 184	Anandapura, 248
Agulmaka army, 12	Anangavajra, 377
Ähavamalla, 16	Ananta (Lohara), 90, 137, 363
Ahmad Niyāltigīn, 215	Anantavarman (Chodaganga), 2,
Aidadeva, 27	14
Air force. 53	Anantavāsudeva, 93
Aiyaka, 44	Anga, 2, 3
Akara-adhīśvara, 24	chiefs, 19
Akṣaya-tritīyā, 266	swords, 58
Alamkāra, 159	Anhilwāra, 246
Alberūni, 79, 107, 145, 150, 159,	Aniruddha, 80
163, 210, 225, 275, 276, 277,	Antyajas, 79, 107, 109, 110, 111,
279, 280, 281, 282, 283, 284,	112
285, 286, 287, 290, 291, 293,	Anu-sāmanta, 23
295, 296, 298, 300, 301, 305,	Apararka, 78, 145, 166, 179, 207.
320, 362, 372.	210, 211, 235
on Brāhmana fanaticism, 128	on degrading professions, 78
on Brāhmana traders, 87	Aparā-vidyā, 145
on castes. 79	Apprentices, 146, 186
on Indian ships, 54	Aprahata land, 175
on womens' right to pro-	Arab horses, 50
perty, 136	Arab invaders, fear of, 82

Aribala, 46 Arisimha (poet), 236 Ariuna, 4 Arms, 198 and Brahmanas, 85 Army chiefs got villages, 43 Army of feudal lords, 12 Army, its strength, 55 according to Sukra, 56 types of, 12 Arnorāja (Chāhamāna), 127 Arthaśāstra, 146, 151, 356. Artificial forests, 183 Artists, their position, 146 Ärväsaptasatī, 380 Āsamati, 32 Asawal, 246 Assessment of land revenue, 174. 175 Askari, S. H., on Sūfī saints, 128 Astrologers, 61, 63, 266, 273 their position, 78 Astrology, 151 paneful influence, 266 Atavi-bala, 46 Atiša Dīpankara, 155, 161 Autumn, best time for expedition. 60 Avantivarman, 31 Ayodnya, 318 Avurveda as a silpa, 146

#### B

Badarī, 320 Badhatau, 111, 112 Bakhtyār Khaljī, 163 Bālāditya, 164 Balipūjā, 295 Banaras, 3, 320 Swords, 58 Banerji, R. D., 217 Bankers, 78 Banking, 220-226 Barbosa, 197 316. Barhaspatya Arthaśāstra, 356 Barley, 178, 258, 292 Battle array, 64 Seards of soldiers, 49 Bedias, 113 Beef-eating, 130 Begging by pupils, 165 Betel, chewing, 49 leaves, 180, 295 Bhāga, 230 Bhagalpore, 3 Bhandarkar, D. R. 373 Bhata, 171 Bhatarka. 9 Bhatta Bhavadeva, 80, 85, 89, 92, 173, 258, 261 his learning, 151 Bhatta Brāhmanas (94 Bhatta school, 151 Bhattacharyya, B., 377 Bhattacharyya, Tarapada, 380. 382 Bhattacharyya, U. N., 377 Bhaumakaras, 10 Bhikṣāchāra, 18, 33 Bhīma I (Chaulukya), 5, 46 Bhīma II (Chaulukya), 233 Bhīma Kaivarta, 1, 45, 111 Bhīmasamkara, 320 Bhinmal Brāhmaņa, 82 Bhoga, 230 Bhoja (Paramāra), 4, 36 Bheja, 292, 294, 296, 302, 304, 307, 371 Bhojadeva on air force, 53 Bhojavarman (Chandella), 102 Bhratribhatta, 83 Bhrātridvitīya not observed in U. P., 296. Bhrita soldiers, 44 Bhuvanesvara, 382, 383 Bilhana, 252, 360, 378

Billon coins, 216

### INDEX

Boats, 54	Champaran, 245
classified, 250	Chaṇḍāla, 111
making of, 204	Chandellas, 1, 28
Bodhisattviivadānakalpalatā, 370	Chandesvara, 16, 23, 26, 186, 263,
Bodikas, 217	275, 276, 280, 281, 284, 285,
Bonus, 185	290, 292, 293, 294, 295, 296,
Books, 166	298, 299, 304, 359, 375, 376
Boots, 204	on deposits, 223
Booty, 57	on legal status of feudatories.
Brahmadeva, 262	23
Brahma-kşatriya, 83	Chandidasa, 151
Brahmanas, 79-94	Chandradeva, 3, 280, 318
as <i>Sāmanta</i> , 91	Chandra Gupta II, 8
as soldiers, 43	Chandra Kings, 18
instances of wickedness, 84	Chandrarāja, 69
of regions held inferior, 93	Chandrayana, 131
their wealth, 92	Charchari, 255
Brahma Purāņa, 38, 374	Chariot, 53, 290, 292
Brihaddharma Purōṇa, 108, 375	making, 209
Brihaspati, 2	Chau Ju-Kua, 372
Brihat-samhitā, 380	Chauladevī, 371
Bronze industry, 209	Chaurapañchāšikā, 378
Budha Gupta, 8	Chhat, 307
Buddhaśrī, 154	Chāṭa, 171
Buddhaśrīmitra, 164	Chinese pilgrims to Gaya, 162
Bulls, in army, 50	Chinese silk, 194
Sacrificed, 260	Chisti, 123
	Chitābhūmi, 319
C	Chitor, 4
	Chitrakuta, 320
Capital punishment for Brahmanas,	Chowdhury, T. P., 177
88	Chuḍdā, 139
Car festival, in Puri, 303	Cities, 246
of Durgā, 285, 290	City life, 249
of Durga, Mahādeva and	Civil liberty, absence of 34
Sun, 303	Civil officers as feudatories. 10
of Jagannātha, 305	Clocks and watches, 147
of Kṛṣṇa, 306	Cocoanuts, 258
Caste system and soldiers, 49	Confederacy, 4
Cause of the rise of feudalism, 5	of Rajput princes, 69
Cavalry force, 31	Conscription, absence of, 44
its importance, 50	Convict labour, 190
Chakradasta, 147	Copper industry, 201
Chakrapānidatta, 192, 203, 368	Ceronation and Samantas, 20
Chakravarti, Chintaharan, 111	Cost of production, 230
Chakravarti, S. N., 216, 217	Cotton, 179
Chamba, 4	Courses of study, 149

Courtezans, 373
corporation of, 371
Cowrie, 219
Craftsmen, position of, 78, 146
Crops destroyed by army, 171
Crucibles, 198
Cultivators, 177
Cunningham, A., 216

Dacoits in Vanga, 205
Dalhana, 207

Dalla, 11 Dākinī, 319 Dāmaras, 30-32 and marriage, 18 their salary, 23 Damdupat, 222 Dāmodaragupta, 161, 224 Dānasāgara, 140 Dances, 297, 301 Dancing, 254, 285, 289, 290, 293, 371, 373 girls, 235, 358, 359, 373 of harlots, 298 Dandopanata, 7 Dantila, 370 Darbhapani, 89 Darpadalana, 163 Datukavana, 319 Dasapura feudal chiefs, 8 Dasaratha, 81 Datian, 129 Demand for labour, 184 Devadāsīs, 371-373 383 Devakhadga, 10 Devala, 78 on agriculture, 86 on classes of Brāhmanas, 83 on profession of Vaisyas, 96

on classes of Brāhmaṇas, 83 on profession of Vaisyas, 90 on Suddhi, 131-133

Devala Brāhmaṇa, 77

Devaṇṇabhaṭṭa, 184

Devapāla, 89

Devaraksita, 12, 13

Devavarman, 16 Devikota, 153 Desopanata, 7 Destruction of crops by armies, Dhanga, 100, 362, 363 Dhanurveda, 146 its branches, 147 Dharasena, 9 Dharmapāla, 10 Dharmasvāmin, 154, 164 Dhendhanapāda, 264 Dhovi, 140, 370, 380 Dhruva, 11 Dhyanabhadra, 165 Diddā, 15 Diliwals, 216 Dinnara, 218, 222 Dinner ceremony and feudalism. Dīpankara Srījāāna, 161, 162 Divakarachandra, 155 Divyoka, 111 Doab, 3 Domba, 111, 112 Dramas, 251, 277, 297 Dramatists, 252 Drammas, 215, 216, 220, 224 Dreams, 62 Dress, 194-195 Drinks, 260 Drona of land, 175 Dubkund, 4 Dukūla, 193 Durgā, 228 temple, 380 Duties of slaves, 187 Dvūta-pratipada, 295

### E

Education, criteria of, 163 Effects of Mahmūd's invasions, 125 Eighteen vidyas, 147 Ekādašī, 66 Ekāmra, 317, 318

	INDEX 407
Elephants, 51-52	Guṇalahid (?), 285
Emergency taxation, 239	Haritalikā, 286
Empire of Karna, 5	held only in Gujarat-Maha-
Enamelling, 147	rastra, 304
Escheat, 234	Hindoli-chaitra, 275
Evils of war, 68	Holi, 276-277
Excise duty, 233	Indradhvajochchhrāya or
Excommunication, 211	Indrotthā pana, 287-289, 305
Exemption from taxes, 87	Jagaddhātripūjā, 296
Expedition, suitable time, 60	Kāmamahotsava, 276
Export of leather, 204	Kantāradīpadāna, 292, 307
Expulsion, 155	Karāra (?), 284
LApulsion, 155	Kaumudimahotsava, 297, 304
F	375, 376
Famine, 170	Kojāgarapūrņimā, 292
and taxation, 238	Kūrmadvādasī. 299
Fasting, 275, 280, 283, 287, 29	
298, 299, 300, 301, 304	vance, 304-305
Fatalism, 63, 265	Layam, 305
Female slaves, 187	Madanadvādašī, 275-276
Festivals, 274-315	Mahājyaisthī, 282
Akşayatritiya, 280	Mahīmāna, 302
and harvesting, 302-303, ar	
illumination, 294, 297, 303	Matsyadvādašī, 282
Anodanasaptamī, 275	Navālava, 304
Asoka, 290	Neodariā, 290
Aśokāṣṭamī, 275	Nirājana, 293
Bahand, 276, 277	Non-existence in 12th Cen-
Bhāuam, 283, 305	tury. 306-307
Bniātridvitīyā, 296, 304	Nrisinghadvādašī, 302
car of Durgā 285-286, 290	of Jhaliamallas, 278
chorali, 304	on commencement of New
commemoration of victor	y Year, 274
of Muttai, 279	Padmanā bhadvādašī, 291
comparison between med	
acval and modern, 305-30	7 Poalao, 294, 305
Dakṣināyaṇa, 283	Rasakalyānīvrata, 300
Damanaka chaturdasī, 278	Rațantichaturdasi, 299
Dašaharā, 281-282, 304	Rathasaptamī, 300
Dīpotsava or Dipālikā, 294	- Rsipañchamī, 286
295	Sacred to Bhadrakāli, 291
Divālī, 294-296	Gaurī, 298, 300
Dola, 276, 305	Hari, 276
Durvästamī, 287	Kṛṣṇa, 286
Gaihaț 290	Mahādeva, 299
Gauriyrata, 280	Rukmiņi, 275
Govinda-dvāda&ī, 302	Somanātha, 284

Vāsudeva, 279, 283 294, 298 Viṣṇu, 291 Sākārtam, 299 Sarkarāsaptamī, 280 Sāvarotsava, 291, 307 Sivarātri, 301 Srīpanchamī, 300, 304	Fire-arms, 59-60 Fish, 258-259 Food, of soldiers, 68 for poor people, 261-262 forbidden vegetarian, 258 Forced labour, 188, 189 Foreign relations of feudatories, 13
Sukhasuptikā or Sukharātri, 294	Forest army, 46 Fruits, 178
Ubhayanavamī, 283	G
Udakasevāmahotsava, 293.	G
307	Gadhaiya coin, 218
Varachaturthī, 300, 304	Gadyāna coin, 232
Vasantotsava, 277	Gāhaḍavālas, 2, 28 Gambling, 295
Vijayadvādašī, 290 Voralli, 284	Games, 254
waking up of Vāsudeva, 296	Gāmis of Brāhmanas, 80
worship of the Buddha, 281,	Gändharva-veda, 146
284	Gauesa, 282
Durgā, 283	Gaņeśa-chaturthī, 307
Earth, 278-279	Gaṅgādhara, 81, 173, 262
Ekānaṅgā, 297	Gangāsāgara-sangama, 320
Ganges, 280	Gängeyadeva, 3, 215, 362, 363
Irā, 279	Gangoly, O. C., 208, 380
Kāma, 275	381, 382
Sati. 291	Ganguly, D. C., 126 Ganjshakar, 129
Skanda 274	Gardizi, 275, 279, 280, 281, 282,
Sthänanäga, 298 Sun, 275, 283, 299, 307	284, 286, 287, 290, 295, 298,
Varuna and	300, 301, 302, 305
Vasudhā, 290	on suicide, 364-366
Feudal anarchy, 33	Gargachandra, 18, 29, 33
Feudal disintegration, 1	Gauda, army, 55
Feudalism, 1-41	Kāyastha, 100, 104, 105,
and hereditary army, 42	soldiers, 48-49
European and Indian, 33-34	students, 165
in Assam, 9	Gautamītaţa, 319
its disservices, 28-29	Gayā, 3, 318, 319 Vihāra, 153
Origin, 5 Feudatories	Gayawals, 81
and foreign relations 13	Ghoshal, U. N., 87, 96, 136, 140,
causts of increase in num-	152. 230, 255, 372
ber, 13	on exemption from taxes, 87
Causes of increase in power	on improvement of position
18	of women, 136
of Gurjara-Pratīhāras, 10	on Rājputs, 96

Ghrisnesa, 319 Harirāja, 359 Ghūrī, 67 Harischandra, 286, 287 Ghurye, G. S., 94 Harisimhadeva, 23 Gītagovinda, 379 Harivarman, 81, 173 Glass industry, 203 Harşa (Lohara), 28, 31, 32, 47, Gode, P. K., 195, 370 52, 89, 90, 173, 359 on soap-making, 207 Harşavardhana, 10 Gokara, 237 Harvests and expeditions, 61 Gokarna, 319 Harvesting time, 171 Golakimatha, 158 Hemachandra, 130, 152, 253, 257, Gold, 202 258, 260, 276, 280, 283, 284, 286, 291, 294, 296, 302, 304, Currency, 215 Goldsmith, position of, 78 305, 359, 368 Gopal, M. H., 230 on deceitful merchants, 369 Gopal III, 27 on Indrapüjā, 289 Gopalabhatta, 277 Hemādri, 275, 278, 280, 295, 298 Gopas, 8 Hemp cloth, 194 Govardhana, 85 Hereditary ministers, 17 Govardhanāchārya, 379 Hereditary nature of military Govinda III, 2 office, 9 Govindachandra, 2, 4, 17, 266, Hereditary offices, 16 280, 288 profession, 184 Govindapāla, 3 Hīna-sāmama, 23 Govindarāja of Saurāstra, 262 Hindu confederacy, 66 Grains, 178 Hindu women captured by Turks, Grammar, 151 132 Grant of land with right to levy Hired soldiers, 47 taxes, 19 Holidays for labourers, 185 Grazing ground, 180 Horses, their paucity, 51 Great kings of the period, 4 their quality, 50-51 Guhilas, 1 Hridayasiva, 157 Guild levies, 45 Hudūd-ui-alam, 372 Guilds, 183 Hūnas, 114 Organisation of, 209 their invasion, 8 Gujrati soldiers' dress, 49 Hundies, 223 Gulmībhūta army, 12 Hunger-strike, 90 Guravamiśra, 44 Hussain, Y., on Hindu influence Gurjara-Partīhāra empire, 1 on Taziyās, 131

#### Н

Habib, M. Prof. 43, 115 Hāḍi, 111, 112 Halāyudha, 80, 150 Hammīramahākāvya, 359 Hammīravarman, 131 Haribhaktivilāsa, 305 Ibn Asir, 125
Ideal Brāhmaṇas, 79
Illumination of streets and houses, 375
Immoral life of kings, 357
Inauspicious signs, 62

Ì

Kaimvāsa, 67

Increase in demand for labour, Kaivartas, 111 Kalachuri (s), 1 184 Indian swords, 198 Kaiā (s), 147 Indra III, 2 Kalaśa (Lohara), 84, 90 lnns, 263-264 Kalāvilāsa. 109 Insurance of workmen, 185 Kalhana, 31, 130, 289, 299, 358, Interest, 222 359, 360, 366 Inter-marriage amongst feudatoadmitting fate, 265 on devadāsīs, 372 ries, 18 Invasions of Turks, 127 on dishonest merchants, 369 Iron beams, 197 on Kavasthas, 367 Irrigation, 172 Kāmasūtra, 381 Isānašiva, 372 Kanaka, 262 Išānavarman, 8 Kanakasrī, 154 Ismāili sect, 130 Kanaui, 215, 245 Kandarpa, 156 J Kane, P. V., 42, 86, 110, 221, 228, 317, 320 Jagaddala, 153 on segregation of unclean Jagaddhara, 17 Brāhmanas, 78 Jagamalla, 277 on untouchables, 110 Janārdana Gauda, 160 Janmāstanii. 257 Kāntāra-dīpadāna, 303 Jātavarman, 18 Käntipur, 320 Jayachchandra (Gāhadavāla), 17, Kapardaka, 217 94, 358 Kapardaka-Purāna, 219 Jayadeva, 379 Karanas, 103 Jayanāga, 10 Karmakāras, 186 Javánaka, 130 Karna (Kalachuri), 2, 4, 5, 14, 34, Jayapāla, (Sāhiya) 69, 362 215, 318 Jayapáladeva (Kamrup), 161 and subordinate kings, 20 Jayasimha (Chaulukya), 14, 262 Karnasāgara, 173 Jayyaka, 31 Karotī, 257 Jetavana convent, 154 Kārsāpaņa, 217 Jewellers, 209 Kathāsaritsāgara, 320, 359, 360, Jimūtavāhana, 136, 259, 275, 276, 278, 280, 281, 285, 287, 288, Kaumudi-mahotsava, 303, 375-376 292, 294, 295, 296, 300, 304, Kautilya, 6, 69, 293, 373 307, 319, 374, 376 Kāyasthas, 97-105 Jinavallabha, 161 as soldiers, 44 Joialladeva, 372 their learning 100, 102, 104 Ivotirisvara, 193 Kedāra, 319, 320 Ivotiriingas, 319-320 Khajuraho, 140, 381, 382 sculptures, 209 K Khariboli, 129 Kāhana, 217 Khila land, 175

Khusrav Malik, 127

King 126	* 1
King, 228 and common folk, 34	Lakşmirikara, 161
Kīrakaumudī, 318	Lalitāditya, 25, 30
Kojūgara-pūrņimā, 304	Land and social status, 42
Kolāvatī queen, 372	Land revenue, 174, 175, 228-230
Konārak, 381, 383	Latakamelaka, 259, 368
Kormādevī, 139	Lavaņaprasāda, 13, 22, 358
Kosopanata, 7	Lavasena, 126
Kotālipādā, 81	Lekhüpaddhati, 187
Kotititha, 317	Lending of goods on interest, 225
Krsna III, 2	Liquor, 221
Krsnamiśra, 252	Loan transactions, 224
Kşatra Biāhmaṇas, 77	Loanable funds, 221, 222
Kşatriyas, 95-96	Lohapuddhati, 147
Kşema, 137	Lūņapāsaka, 262, 280
Ksemendra, 152, 266, 360, 361, 366	**
on Kāyasthas, 367	M
on merchants, 369	Madamara (DEL) o
on prostitutes, 270	Madanapāla (Pāla), 3
on quacks, 368	Madanapāla (Gāhaḍavāla), 17,
Kukkutasiddhi, 164	318
Kulajis, 80	Madanapālašarmaņ, 17
Kumāradevī, 154	Madanotsava, 376
Kumārapāla (Chaulukya), 14, 29,	Maga Brāhmanas, 81
277, 360, 371	Magadha rice, 179
Kurukşetra, 318	swords, 59
Kusīda, 225-226	Mahādvādašī, 289
Kūṭayuddha, 65	Mahākāla, 320
Kuttanimaia, 370, 376	Mahākumāras, 25
Kuvara-vihāra, 156	Mahallaka, 81
Ruvara-vinara, 150	Mahāmaṇḍaleśvara, 21, 22
•	Mahāmāṇḍalika, 17
Labour, 183	Mahana, 2, 13, 19
Labour-tax, 188-189	Mahārājā, 22
Lāhinī, 173	Mahāsāmanta, 21, 22
Lahore, 66	Mahāsāmantādhipati, 21
Laksmanasena, 379	Mahūšivagupta, 80
Laksmanasvāmin, 373	Mahīpāla I (Pāla) 69, 89
Laksmīdhara, 61, 66, 84, 95, 135,	Mahmūd's (Sultān) invasion, effects, 125
145, 149, 150, 170, 176, 178.	
188, 204, 210, 224, 226, 228,	Majumdar, A. K., 127, 128, 188, 268, 284
236, 249, 253, 257, 258, 259, 260	
263, 264, 265, 274, 277, 278,	Majumdar, R. C., 107, 111, 136,
284, 285, 288, 290, 291, 292,	
294, 297, 298, 299, 304, 317,	on improved position of women, 136
320, 360, 361, 366, 367, 374,	on kaivartas, 111
375, 376	
212, 210	Mālatīmādhava, 376

Malayasimha, 28, 29, 174	Military service, 27
Malladatta, 11	Milk preparations, 258
Malladeva, 151	Ministers as feudatories, 17
Māņa measure, 264	Mirashı, V. V., 215
Mānasollāsa, 1, 12, 259, 260, 356,	Mirrors, 203
360, 367, 371	Mithila, 2, 159
Mandala system, 69	Mithuna, depiction of, 380 383
Mandala theory, 45	Mitra, 7
Mandalāahīśa, 22	troops, 45
Mandalapati, 10	Mitrayogi. 162
Mandu, 245	Mixed castes, 79
Manoramā, 379	Monasteries, 153, 154
Manoratha, 81	Monghyr, 3
Manu, 6, 265, 367	Mookerji, Radhakumud, 207
on adultery, 378	Mortgage, 221
on Brāhmaņas taking fish, 259	Mother, 135
Marco Polo, 193, 383,	Mt. Abu, 159
on omens, 272-273	Muditabhadra, 164
Mathana, 362	Muhammad Ali, 128
Mathas, 156	Multan, 320
Māthur Kāyasthas, 102-103	Muni, 85
Mathura, 215, 245, 320	Muñja, 4. 83
iron industry, 197	Murgaba, 129
Mattamayūra clan, 157	Musical instruments, 254, 370.
Maukharis, 8	373
Maula army, 42	
Maurya administration, 8	Mutual jealousy, 69
Mayanalladevi, 138	N
Meat, 259-260	N
offered to Manes, 299	Nādol, 4
taken by Brāhmaņas, 291	Nägara-Brāhmaņa, 83, 86, 114
Medhātithi, 6, 7, 12, 57, 149, 171,	
	Nāgara-sarvasva, 379
185, 231, 265, 358	Nāgeša, 319
on booty, 57	Naigama Kāyastha, 103
on circle, 12	Nāīkidevī, 138
on conquest, 6	Nālandā, 147, 153
on expedition. 60	rebuilding of, 164-165
on firearms, 59	Nālika(s) 59, 60
Medical leave, 185	Nāna, 102
Merchants, 369	Nānyadeva, 2, 29
Mercury, 203, 204	Naraina, 68
Merutunga, 155, 173, 238, 358,	Narapatijayacharyā
362, 364, 367, 368, 371	Svarodaya, 266
on devadāsīs, 372	Nāṭyaśāstra, 370
on position of queens, 138	Nauvimāna. 207
Metallic industries, 192	Navāha, 291
Metallurgy, 147	Navānna festival, 170

Navy, 53 Nīlamata Purāṇa, 360 Nīrājana ceremony, 63 Nischalakara, 368 Niska, 220 Nūruddīn, 128 Nyāṇa, 151 Nyāṇakandalī, 100, 151

0

Octroi. 233
Odantapuri, 153
Offensive weapons, 58
Officers endowed with land, 7
Omission of names of overlords, 9
Onions, 258
Origin of feudalism, 5-9
Ornaments, 208-209
Overlords' name not mentioned, 26

#### P

Paddy, 179 Pag-Sam-Jon-Zang, 164 Pālas, 3 Palapāla, 3 Pamba sar, 173 Pana, 220 Pañchadasi, 132 Pañchamahāśabda, 22, 24, 25 Panditavihāra, 153 Paramāras, 28 Paramardi, 17 Paramardīdeva, 153 Parā Vidyā, 145 Parivrājaka Mahārājas, 9 Pataka of land, 176 Pāṭaliputra as a centre of learning, 159 Patna, 3 Patti, 50, 55 Paucity of good horses, 51 Periplus, 193

Phullahari monastery, 153

Physicians, 78, 368 Pilgrimage of slave girls, 187 Pilgrimage-tax, 236 Pithi, 27 Pitripakso 286 Poalao, 294 Poets and moral standard, 378-380 Police functions, 239 Polo, 256 Poverty of the people, 264-265 Prabhākara school, 151 Prabhäsa, 319 Prahāsa, 161 Prākrita-paingala, 257 Prayaga, 318, 319 Priests' position, 78 Primary education, 149 Prithvideva I, 26 Prithvideva II, 17 Prithvirāja 1, 262 Prithvīrāja III, 4, 69 a victim to stratagem, 65 causes of defeat, 66-67 cavalry force, 55 Private warfare by feudal lords. Proportion of infantry to cavalrv, 50 Prostitutes, 370-371 as nuns, 156 participation in festivals, 288 Provisions for invading army, 170 Pulses, 177, 258 Punishment to slaves, 188 Purāņa coin, 175, 217 Purandara, 157 Purdah, 141-142 Puri, 319, 381, 382, 383 Purohitas, 84, 85 Pürnapäla, 173 Purasottama, 318 Puskara, 320 Q

Queens, 137

288.

R

Raddādevī, 138 Rahamānas, 130 Rahul Sankrityayana, 161 Rājā. 23 Rājadharma-kāṇḍa, 371, 376 Rājamārtaṇḍa, 282, 286, 293, 376

Rājataranginī, 289, 302 Raiputs and Ksatriyas, 96f. Rajendra Chola, 2 Rājyapāla, 4, 27 Rāka, 44 Rămachandra of Devagiri, 371 Rămacharita, 257 Rāmanavamī, 306 Rāmānuja, 383 Rāmapāla, 1, 19, 53, 362 Rămāvatī, 370 Rãmdeo, 130 Rāmeśvara, 319, 320 Ramiyan, 372 Rāṇaka, 11, 21, 22, 28, 211, 235 Rank, in court, 16 in royal court, 21 of feudatories, 21 Rasūdhyūya, 368 Rasārņava, 147, 192, 368 Rāstrakūta feudatories, 10 Rate of interest, 224, 225 Rats as eatables, 220 Ratnakīrti, 154 Ratnaraksita, 164

Ray, Jogesh Chandra, 276
Ray, Niharranjan, 104, 380
Recruitment of hired soldiers,
47

Relatives as feudatories, 15 Repair of roads, 190 Rice, 177, 257, 290, 292,

298.

302, 383 preparations of, 258

Risky trades, 225 Road cess, 234

Rāuta, 17, 21, 28

Ray, H. C., 234

Roads, condition of, 231 Rsi, 83 Rudramāna, 173 Rudrapāla, 137 Rudrasiva, 172 Rūpaka, 220, 232 Rūpa-paūch (?), 282

S

Sabaras, 113 Sabarīpāda, 195 Saduktikarnāmītia, 361, 379, 380 Sähī. 131 Saijana, 14 Sākadvipī Brāhmaņas, 81-82 Sakara-rūjūs, 24 Saksenā Kāvasthas, 103 Sākvasrī, 162 Salakhanavarman, 28 Sales tax, 234 Salhana, 18, 32 Sālina Brāhmanas, 80 Sallaksanavarman, 28 Salt. 197 Samāchāradeva, 10 Samadhi, 129 Sāmalavarman, 81 Samantas, as enemies, 15 their functions, 70 Sämantasena, 83 Samurānganasūtradhāra, 381, 382 Samgrāmarāja, 32 Samgrāmapāla, 32 Samrāt 23-24 Samudra Gupta, 8 Samudratilaka, 266 Sandhyākaranandī, 1, 130, 370 Sankuka, 130 Säntipäda. 195 Sāntiparva, 6 Sarana, 251, 379 Sarayūpāri Brāhmaņas, 81 Sarkar, J. N. 67 Sārngadhara-paddhati, 361 Sarvananda, 80, 259

INL	
Sarvā it gasundarī, 379	Śreni, 183, 210
Saśāńka, 9	army, 45
Sataghnas, 59	Srībhadra, 162
Sätätapa, 263	Srīdhara, 151
Satī 363	Srīdharadāsa, 264
Sāvarotsava, 374-375	Sıīharşa, 282, 361
Sen, B. C., 236	Srīmāla Brāhmaņas, 82
Sculpture, 380-383	Srīnāthāchārya, 292
Mithuna in Bihar, 382	Śrīparvata, 319, 320
Shahebarāt, 131	Śrivāstava Kāyasthas, 100
Sharing of crops, 184	Standing army small, 46
Shihab-ud-dīn Muhammad, 67,	Sthānikas, 8
68	Stratageni, 64
Siddhala village, 80	Struggle for Empire, 273
Siddharāja, 128, 216, 360, 367	Study of the Vedas, 149
Sikhara, 176	Sub-infeudation, 9, 28
Silāhāras, 10	Sub-mortgage, 221
Silla, 139	Subsidiary alliance, 7
Silver, 202	Succession to throne, 138
Singer, position of, 78	Suddhi, 131-133
Sinha, B. P., 52	Sūdras, 106-108
Sircar, D. C., 3, 105, 139, 175,	Sūdra-Brāhmana 77
266, 374, 375	Sūfī saints, 128
on Kāyasthas, 99	Sugar, 196, 197
on Vaidyas, 105	Sugarcane, 179, 258
on women as fighters, 139	Sūhava, 358, 362
Sītā, poetess. 140	Suicide, 361-366
Siva, 374	Sukhasuptikā. 361
Sivālaya, 319	Sukranītisāra, 1, 356, 360, 368
Skanda, 66	on conquered kingdoms, 6-7
Slavery, 186, 187, 188	on fate, 265
Smaradīpikā, 379	on ministers, 366-367
Soap-making, 207	Sūktimuktāvalī, 361
Soil, its defects, 148	Sulaiman, 257, 261, 359, 378
Soldiers, and tax-free	Sülapāņi, 211
land, 42	Sulka, 230-231
of Bengal, 48-49	Sulphate, 201
their caste, 43	Sulphide, 201
thier dress, 48	Sulphur, 202
Somadeva Sūri, 239, 295, 358	Surāstra, horses, 51
Somanātha, 161, 215, 236, 245	swords, 58
Somapura, 153	Sureśvara, 147, 368
Someśwara I. 4, 362	Surgeons' position, 78
Someśvara III, 2	Sūryamatī, 137
Someśvara Chālukya, 1	Sūryayaśas, 162
Spears, 198	Sussala (Lohara), 18, 29
Spoils of war and soldiers, 57	Suvarņavaņikas, 97, 108
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•

INDEX

Svapākas, 112 Svapnachinūmaņi, 266 Svarāṭ king, 22 Swords, 58, 198

#### T

Tacitus, 20 Talara, 248 Taksaka-vātrā, 360 Tanks, 173 Tanning industry, 204 Tantric Buddhism, 154 Tantricism, and sensual rites, 377 influence on sculpture, 383. Tarain, battle of, 68, 69 Täranätha, 164 Tarapati, 205 Tarika, 205 Tax on profits, 231 Teachers, economic position. 160-161 relation to pupils, 152 Technical education 145-146 Term of studentship, 149 Textile industry, 193, 194 Thakkura 21, 28, 91, 101 Thakkura Vasistha, 172 Thanesvar, 320 Theft detected by Brahmanas, 91 Tila, 179 Tilotha inscription, 187 Timgyadeva, 14 Tin, 202 Tirhut regiment, 48 Tirthas fallen into oblivion, 318 Tirthas, for recital of the Vedas, 150 Tolls, 234 Trade tax, 234 Trailokyavarman, 102 Train, 66 Transfer of regions, 3 allegiance of Transference of feudatories, 29

Treachery as the cause of loss of India's independence, 67 Trilochanapāla, 69, 196 Tripurāntaka, 319 Tryambaka, 320 Turks, their invasions, 127 Turkoman horses, 51 Turko-Afghan destruction of monasteries, 163 in Kashmir Turuska troops army, 47 Turuskadanda, 126, 127, 237 Types of feudatories, 22

#### U

Uchchala, 15, 29, 52, 89, 223

Udakasevāmahotsava, 373

Udayāditya, 5, 174, 215, 278

Uddvotakesarī, 15, 372

Udichyas, 82

Ujjain, 245, 319, 320

Umāpatidhara, 104, 358, 379

Umbrellas, 195, 290

Universities, 153-155

Utkarsa, 15, 365

#### V

Vāgbhaţa, 368 Vaidika Brāhmaņas, 81 Vaidyas, 105-106 Vaidyadeva, 14, 44 Vaidyanātha, 156, 319, 320 Vaidyanāth, King, 372 Vaijalladeva, 262, 296, 297 Vaijayantī, 257 Vainyagupta, 9 Vairochana, 154 Vaisyas, 96 as traders, 97 Brāhmaņas, 77 Valabhī University, 155 Valādi, 235 Vallabhadeva, 262

Vailālasena, 3, 80, 81, 95, 140, 319, 320, 363 Vallala of Malwa, 29 Vamana, 66 Vanapati, 44 Vāra, 264 Varāhamihira, 288 Varanasi, 318, 320 Varmans, 18 Varņaratnūkara, 193 Varunasena, 9 Vassals, grades, 21. obligations, 25 Vastupāla, 252 Vāstuvidya, 148 Vātsyāyana, 276 295, 370. 376 Vegetables, 178 Vidyadhara, 4, 17, 27 Vidyāpati of Gauda, 160 Vidyāraņya, 132 Vigrahapāla, 5 Vigraharāja, 127 Vijayapura, 370 Vijayachandra, 17, 127 Vijayasena, 372 Vijayasimha and his ministers, 17 Vijñāneśvara, 77, 78, 88. 136. 145, 146, 183, 194, 210, 221, 225, 231, 378 Vikramāditya VI, 2, 30 Vikramasila monastery, 153 Vilāsadevī, 142 Village life, 250 Vimalaśiva, 157, 139 Vimonas, 53 Vinayabhadra, 165 Vindhyächala, 320 Vipulaśrimitra, 153 Vīradhavala, 358, 364 Vīraśrī, 18 Visaladeva 252

Viśveśvara, 319, 320 Vodri, 217 Vorallī, 284 Vṛihi, 17?

#### W

Wages of agricultural labour. 184 War as a passion, 68 Wars of succession, 2, 4 Washermen, 109, 110 Watch-making, 209 Weapons. offensive, 58, 59 Weavers, 111 Welfare functions, 239 Wheat, 177, 257, 289, 290 Widows' right to property. 235 Wine, 260-261 as a drink, 291, 298, 359-361, 373 by women, 361 Women, education, 139, 140 position of, 135-144 right to succession, 136 salvation, 160 Wooden furniture, 207 Workmen's Insurance, 185 Wrestling, 255

### Y

Yājñavalkya, 263, 378
Yaksapāla, 262
Yantras, 58
Yasaḥ Karna 2, 172
Yasaḥpāla, 252
Yasastilakachampū, 262, 376
Yasodharman, 8
Yātrā, 63
Yogīs 203
Yuktikalpataru, 63, 204, 205, 206, 207, 293, 294

Viśvakhila 370

Visti, 189

## Errata

Page	Line	Incorrect	Correct
37	fn. no. 97	1205 A D	1005 4 -
39	fn. no. 150	1295 A D. DHNI III	1205 A.D.
48	8 from below	Nāgamati	DHNI II
55	13 , above	Khurdãooah	Bāgamatī
56	2 ., below	atste	Khurdādbah
65	15 , above		state
72	fn. no. 81	Paithvīrāja C H	Prithvīrāja
76	,, ,, 165	HIND	CII
86	4 from above	Viruddhavi-	HIED
	· Home doore	dhamsa	Viruddhavidhi-
91	2 " below	Dādśarman	vidhvamsa
92	fn.	Udamna	Dādeśarmaņ
100	last line	1219-29	Ud <b>am</b> āna 1219-20
111	14 from above	Vacendri	Varendrī
117	fn. no 86	XVII. B	XLII. B
122	fn. no. 230	p. 356	p. 256
122	fn. no. 232	16 f,	61 f.
132	10 from below	must be	must not be
133	fn. no. 4	XXII	XXVII
133	fn. no. 6	346	446
157	23'from above	Pulandara	Purandara
173	12 ,, ,,	Maharāja	Moharāja
181	fn. no. 18	Rea	Reu.
191	fn no. 25	1384	138.
1 <b>9</b> 9	13 from below	thirteenth	fourteenth
232	10 " below	māraka	mānaka.
233	6 ",	path	part
235	6 ,, ,,	Bhamana	Bhanana
247	2 " above	Prahādanapura	Pralhadanapura
251	4 ,, ,,,	liberties	libertines.
270 273	fn. no. 270	verse II	verse 11.
274	fn. no. 184	156	166
275	5 from above	feeling	feeding
301	19 ,, ,	Gardi	Gardīzī
312	11 , above	11.	1 1/8
315	1 ,,	Aboi	Ahol
330	fn. no. 255	VII. 16	VII. 81
331	14 from above	Weapon	wooden
337	6 " "	Kabates 1638	Kapates
343	ŏ ""	does	1688
350	fn. no. 42	110-133	does not
351	fn. no. 85	628	119-133
351	fn. no. 100	1706	682
		1,00	2706.

# लाल बहादुर शास्त्री राष्ट्रीय प्रशासन अकादमी, पुस्तकालय Lal Bahadur Shastri National Academy of Administration Library <del>मधूरी</del> MUSSOORIE 115769

यह पुस्तक निम्नांकित तारीख तक वापिस करनी है। This book is to be returned on the date last stamped.

दिनाँक Date	उधारकत्ती की संख्या Borrower's No.	दिनांक Date	उधारकर्त्ता की संख्या Borrower's No.

954.02	117767
Maz	अवाप्ति संख्या
वर्ग संख्या Class No.	Acc No <b>7797</b> पुम्तक संख्या Book No.
लेखक Author Mazu	
शीर्षक Title Socio-e	conomic history
954-02 Maz LIBRA	141
National Academy of	of Administration
MUSSO	ORIE

per volume will be charged.

3. Books may be renewed on request, at the discretion of the Librarian.

4. Periodicals, Rare and Refrence books may not be issued and may be consulted only in the Library.

2. An over-due charge of 25 Paise per day

1. Books are issued for 15 days only but may have to be recalled earlier if urgen-

Accession No. \_\_\_\_\_

tly required.

5. Books lost, defaced or injured in any